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ABSTRACT

After finishing the process of investigating digital evidence on a forensic workstation, it is important for law enforcement to use a forensically sound machine when starting a new investigation. To prevent cross-contamination of remnants between cases, most law enforcement agencies seek to have a controlled operating environment that can be reset to a sterile state which ensures that all remnants of previous cases are not present. The discontinuation of Windows SteadyState™ has left forensic investigators without a viable automated solution for ensuring a controlled environment that protects the probative value of digital evidence. This thesis project forensically validates and modifies an existing open-source SteadyState™ solution, Forensics Steady State, which will provide law enforcement officers with a viable substitution to other costly products.
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CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

In the modern day, the computer crime rate across the world is growing at a rapid pace. Figuring out who committed a crime, using what device, at which location is all part of the puzzle law enforcement investigators are faced with when presented with digital evidence. Following a specific forensic process is crucial when presenting analyzed evidence to the court system. How does law enforcement collect and analyze digital evidence in a way that preserves the probative value of digital evidence?

The digital forensic process is comprised of four main steps: seizure, acquisition, analysis, and documentation. Digital forensic investigations involve developing and testing hypotheses made about the present state of a computer. Law enforcement must seize any device they feel is necessary that may contain digital evidence. These evidence items include computer hard drives, cell phones, routers, switches, gaming systems, or any other electronic device that stores digital data.

Once evidence items are seized, they are acquired using tools installed onto a forensic workstation. Forensic workstations are often Windows or Linux machines that have software to prevent any original evidence from being altered in any way. During the acquisition phase, an exact bit-for-bit copy of the evidence is made in the form of an image file preserving the original state of the evidence. After the acquisition phase, evidence is analyzed using forensic software that observes the state of the evidence image. During analysis, investigators use this forensic software to search through the image to find information that either supports or refutes the hypotheses made for the investigation. It is important that all of these procedures are
performed on a controlled, sterile environment that will not alter the original evidence in any way. Finally, once the evidence image has been analyzed and the hypotheses made about the state of the computer has been made, all findings are documented and reported.

1.1 Statement of the Problem

The purpose of this thesis project is to improve an existing SteadyState™ replacement solution to create a Forensics Steady State tool for newer Microsoft Windows operating systems and to verify its forensic integrity so that it can be used by law enforcement investigators. The result is a controlled, forensically-sound, Windows 7 environment that can be reproduced, re-used, and is free from cross-contamination.

1.2 Justification for and Significance of the Study

When a forensic investigator analyzes digital data on a forensic workstation, he/she must be careful to maintain the probative value of the resulting evidence by being able to demonstrate that their investigation did not corrupt the evidence in any way. A primary concern is cross-contamination, where digital evidence from one case that the investigator worked makes its way into another case, and in doing so undermines the probative value of the evidence. Evidence from a previous case can include, but is not limited to: pictures, documents, applications, email, and viruses. To prevent cross-contamination, most law enforcement agencies seek to have a controlled operating environment (e.g. the forensic workstation’s operating system and installed files) that can be reset to a sterile state which ensures that all remnants of previous cases are not present.
Investigators often use ad hoc methods for establishing a controlled operating environment. One method is to wipe the forensic workstation’s systems disk and re-install the operating systems and tools completely before each investigation. Another method is to use a master image of the operating environment from which they clone a new investigation environment. While these methods are commonly used, they present serious limitations. The first method is extremely time consuming and can take upwards of an entire business day to prepare a new forensic environment. The second method can cause update lag, where new versions of tools are not updated in the master image for long periods of time due to the efforts required to produce a new image.

In addition to these ad hoc techniques, there have been some automated techniques, such as Microsoft Corporation’s *Windows SteadyState™*, a free, simple, elegant solution to the controlled environment problem. It allows administrative users to protect their systems from viruses, malware, and unwanted application installations by tracking all changes to a machine’s current state, and discarding them whenever the administrator chooses to do so. Upon discarding the changes, the machine is returned to its original state. Every change made to the system since its last save point is deleted. *Windows SteadyState™* has proven to be an effective tool for forensic investigators. Unfortunately, *Windows SteadyState™* has been phased out since December 31, 2010 with Windows Vista being the last operating system supported by the solution [Microsoft Support]. This has left forensic investigators without a viable automated solution for ensuring a controlled environment that protects the probative
value of digital evidence that is compatible with Windows 7 and future operating systems.

The goals of this research project are as follows:

1. To make a controlled environment solution that ensures that a sterile digital forensics environment can be created each time a new case is started by law enforcement investigators.

2. To make a controlled environment solution that is easy for forensic practitioners to use.

3. To make a controlled environment solution that does not substantially delay investigations.

4. To have a solution that does not interfere with the forensic process.

5. To document the controlled environment solution behaviors proving forensic readiness.

6. The reboot process of the solution should automate the roll back procedure and boot directly into a Windows environment after completion, as required by the Rhode Island State Police Computer Crimes Unit.

1.3 Accomplishments

This project documented the controlled environment solution behaviors through extensive testing to prove forensic readiness of Forensics Steady State. The outcomes from this project ensured a stable, sterile digital forensics environment that does not substantially delay investigations or interfere with the forensic process. Forensics Steady State also has ease of use for forensic practitioners including the added function of the automatic roll back.
CHAPTER 2: REVIEW OF LITERATURE

This chapter provides a survey of current solutions to the proposed problem presented in section 1.1 that support modern Microsoft operating systems. One solution, Faronics’ Deep Freeze, is a commercial product that is marketed to preserve the state of a host operating system environment for general use. A community supported replacement for the original Microsoft SteadyState™ provides techniques for users to develop their own solution. Horizon DataSys’ Drive Vaccine is also briefly explained.

2.1 Faronics’ Deep Freeze

Faronics’ Deep Freeze [Faronics] is software that can restore Windows, Mac OS, and Linux operating systems back to an original state that is pre-defined by the user. It can function as a SteadyState™ replacement, but previous research on Windows machines has shown that Deep Freeze is not compatible with the requirements of digital forensic investigations [Fonseca]. Problems exist with saving case information to external drives and removing external drives during the computer’s operation. Older versions of Deep Freeze have been shown to crash Windows 7 computers when evidence hard drives are attached internally and removed after the imaging process when using drive trays.

Previous versions of Deep Freeze are also insufficient in that files can appear to be written to external drives, which are transparently locked, creating problems for retaining investigators’ case information. We tested the newest version of Deep Freeze Enterprise and discovered that this problem still persists. There are options to add certain drive letters to a “whitelist” during the initial creation of a Deep Freeze
solution that will allow those drives to be written to without write-protection. This option is not easily accessible and the illusion of seeing the files copied to a destination drive, when the action does not actually occur, can be problematic for law enforcement investigations.

2.2 SteadyState™ for Windows 7 (Panos Macheras)

Currently, there is no formal SteadyState™ solution for Windows 7 provided by Microsoft. In July of 2001, Panos Macheras, a Microsoft developer, released his methodology for creating a Windows 7 SteadyState™ like tool for use in internet cafés, educational computer laboratories, and other establishments that use Windows 7 workstations [Macheras]. Macheras’ procedure claims to work on any machine, but through initial testing we have concluded that it is not an adequate steady state replacement. Using Macheras’ methodology to create the Windows 7 Steady State replacement, we were not able to create a working Steady State. The inability to reproduce Macheras’ work fails to provide a solution for goal 1 of this project. Due to the fact that a working version of Macheras’ solution could not be created, the solution also fails to meet goals 2-4 of this research project as well.

2.3 Horizon DataSys’ Drive Vaccine

Horizon DataSys’ Drive Vaccine is another application designed specifically for Microsoft Windows that shares similar functionality to Windows SteadyState™. Drive Vaccine operates under Microsoft Windows and has the capability to rollback to previous baseline images if a system becomes corrupt with any form of system error preventing normal operation. Drive Vaccine could be considered a viable option for digital forensic investigations, but it has not been forensically validated.
2.4 Steadier State

In 2012 Mark Minasi, of MR&D, released his own open source version of a SteadyState™ replacement for Windows 7 which is named Steadier State [Minasi]. Steadier State uses a technique called differencing disks to make the SteadyState™ solution function on a Windows 7 Enterprise or Ultimate machine that takes advantage of Windows 7’s ability to boot from Virtual Hard Disks.

Virtual Hard Disk (VHD) files are virtual representations of hard disks that provide the same functionality as a regular hard disk drive. VHDs encapsulate hard disk images that can contain partitions and file systems specific to the operating system installed into the virtual disk file. VHDs were originally created for use as storage media for virtual machines that are running in Windows Virtual PC, Windows Virtual Server, or Hyper-V. Windows 7 Enterprise and Ultimate editions and Windows 8 now have native support for booting from VHD files eliminating the need for a hypervisor. When natively booting a VHD, performance is greatly enhanced and there is improved support for Operating System features that are not available in a hypervisor such as Windows Virtual PC [Calvert, 2009].

There are three different kinds of VHD formats that can be created: fixed, dynamic, and differencing. Fixed sized VHDs are a static size that is stored on a physical storage device when the virtual file is created. The size of fixed VHDs cannot be decreased, but can be increased when the file is disconnected and able to be edited [Jain, 2010]. Dynamic VHDs only use as much space on the physical storage device as needed to store the data in the file and can expand as new blocks in the
virtual disk are used. Typically, dynamic VHDs have slower read/write performance than fixed disks [Jain, 2010].

Differencing VHDs are comprised of two or more components, a parent VHD and one or multiple child VHD(s). Any child VHD files are linked to the parent and represent the current state of the VHD as a set of modified blocks in comparison to its parent [Jain, 2010]. The parent VHD can be either fixed or dynamic in relation to its differencing child VHD. Differencing VHDs are analogous to creating snapshots of a virtual machine when using a hypervisor.

Steadier State utilizes the differencing disk technology in order to recreate a Windows 7 SteadyState™. The baseline image.vhd acts as the parent VHD and snapshot.vhd acts as the child VHD caching any/all writes made when natively booted into the VHD file. Currently, no explicit documentation has been released by Microsoft that explains exactly how a machine boots into a VHD using Windows 7 Enterprise/Ultimate native boot to VHD ability.

To implement Steadier State, users must follow a detailed course of action (see Figure 1). First, users need to create a boot disk using tools from the Windows Automated Installation Kit (WAIK) and scripts provided by the Steadier State package. A media disk is connected to a prepared target Windows computer and a VHD image of the prepared computer is created onto the media drive using tools provided by the boot disk. The resulting image is a VHD file that will be used as the basis for the new machine operating system. Once that image is created, the hard disk containing the original operating system is wiped and prepared, and the VHD file is copied to the disk. The disk now contains the VHD file that the PC boots into. For a
fully detailed procedure of the process flow, including specific scripts for creating and deploying Steadier State, see Figure 1.

![Process Flow Diagram: Creating and Deploying Steadier State](image)

When the user restarts the computer, two options are presented. The selected option will determine which of several states that Steadier State could be put into (see
Figure 2). The default first option boots into the Windows 7 environment caching all writes into a snapshot.vhd file. The second option rolls back the system deleting the existing snapshot file containing all written changes to the disk made since the last rollback. A new empty snapshot file is then created and the system returns to its original state. If the user chooses to update software or make any changes to the system permanent, they must first place an empty text file named “noauto.txt” on the root of the D drive. When the user reboots the computer, selecting the roll back option opens the WinPE environment and pauses at a command line prompt. The user can then choose to run a merge script, provided by Steadier State, to accept permanent changes to the base image by merging the snapshot and parent image VHD files and creating a new empty snapshot file to cache future writes.
During the initial testing of Steadier State, the solution seemed promising, but was also too difficult for the general law enforcement user failing to meet the requirements of Goal 2. Furthermore, it has not been extensively tested for forensic validation, a necessity for law enforcement, failing to meet the requirements of Goal 5. Steadier State served as the base implementation on which Forensics Steady State is based to improve the solution and meet the goals of this project. Section 3.1 elaborates on the extensive testing that has been conducted as part of the forensic validation of Forensics Steady State.
CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY

This section describes how this project created the Forensics Steady State tool to meet the goals of chapter 1. It first outlines how this project thoroughly tested the existing Steadier State solution on which the implementation of Forensics Steady State is based. Section 3.2 describes possible future enhancements to Forensics Steady State. Sections 4 and 5 later discuss testing environments and procedures.

Forensics Steady State was implemented using the existing files and command scripts provided by Steadier State with modifications. The original boot process of Steadier State provides the user with two boot options every time the machine is restarted with Windows 7 is always being selected as the default boot option when the pre-boot environment is displayed. Selecting the Windows 7 option boots the system into snapshot.vhd which will contain all changes and writes that currently reside on the image (Figure 2). After restarting or shutting down the machine, the user is always presented with the options to “Roll Back Windows” or “Windows 7”. This thesis project modified Steadier State to change the default boot process and add in the ability to scan the D drive for extraneous files.

The modifications to Steadier State included adding commands into some of the provided command scripts to change the default boot order. Goal 6 specifies that the Rhode Island State Police Computer Crimes Unit requires the reboot process of their forensic workstations to automate the roll back procedure and boot directly into Windows after completion, without requiring any user input. In order to accomplish this task, the default boot entry was changed from “Windows 7” to “Roll Back Windows” by modifying the system’s Boot Configuration Data (BCD) store. The
Boot Configuration Data store is a database file that contains the boot configuration for all bootable devices/partitions [Technet, 2007]. When the “prepnewpc” command is run during the Steadier State creation process (Figure 1), the hard drive that will contain Steadier State is wiped and partitioned to contain a copy of WinPE. Within the command script of “prepnewpc”, commands were added that store the globally unique identifier (GUID) for the bootable WinPE partition after the storage device is prepared. Then, commands were added to the “rollback.cmd” script that stores the default GUID in a file named “defaultguid.dat” which is saved to the same partition that stores both image.vhd and snapshot.vhd. One last command was added to both the “rollback.cmd” and “merge.cmd” scripts that reads the GUID from “defaultguid.dat” and sets the Windows 7 boot option as the default for one time after a successful rollback or merge operation is performed.

Now, when the user restarts the computer, “Roll Back Windows” is the default boot option (Figure 3). The option to boot directly into the Windows 7 environment is still present in the pre-boot environment. Once the solution is rolled back, the BCD is modified to automatically boot into Windows 7 after the baseline image is restored. Essentially, a user could simply shutdown or restart their Forensics Steady State solution and have a pristine Windows 7 image restored without any further user interaction.
Additional functionality was added into “rollback.cmd” that recursively scans the partition containing image.vhd, snapshot.vhd, and defaultguid.dat for extraneous files. If any files are found, the user is prompted with a notification that additional files reside on the drive that should be removed before beginning a new case.

In summary, the following additions were made to Steadier State to create Forensics Steady State:

Figure 3 – Flow Chart for Forensics Steady State
• Automatic roll back upon shutdown or reboot of system without requiring user input.

• Recursive scanning of physical drive for extraneous files and recommendation for deletion before beginning a new digital forensic investigation.

3.1 Initial Steadier State Testing

The first step of this project was to thoroughly test Forensics Steady State using the goals listed in chapter 1.

3.1.1 Test Goal 1

This test determined if Forensics Steady State ensures that a sterile digital forensics environment can be created each time a new case is started by law enforcement investigators, as stated by Goal 1. The test started by determining if the stated functionality of Forensics Steady State is reliably achieved. It should meet its claims that:

• The .vhd file created by Forensics Steady State is never written to unless a merge script is executed.

• All writes to the disk are placed within the snapshot.vhd file created every time Forensics Steady State rolls back.

• The image.vhd file will never become changed. Physically changing the bytes of an image with the use of a hex editor, the image’s bytes should always remain persistent and never change.

I created an image of a forensic workstation and performed tests that included:
- Adding files and folders to a Windows 7 forensics workstation, rolling back the machine, and confirming all changes were deleted.
- Installing applications to Windows 7, rolling back the machine, and confirming all changes were deleted.
- Changing individual bytes on both the image.vhd (baseline image) file and snapshot.vhd file to confirm that once the system is rolled back, all changes were not kept and the original baseline image was intact.
- Test the environment extensively with all of the most important digital forensic tools currently used by the Rhode Island State Police and other law enforcement agencies to ensure their proper functioning. These tools include X-Ways’ Forensics, Guidance’s EnCase, AccessData’s Forensics Toolkit, and ForensicSoft’s SAFEBlock.
- Test disk overflow behavior where more files are written to the environment than specified.
- Test the ability to recognize external disk drives that are connected to the forensic workstation and the stability of hot-swapping external media.

To confirm that a sterile environment is achieved in all of these tests, an MD5 hash of the image.vhd file will be taken to ensure it returned to its original state. In order to test assumptions about the system, bytes contained within the .vhd file were manually changed to test both “Roll back” functionality and write-blocking capabilities.
3.1.2 Test Goal 2

This test determined if *Forensics Steady State is easy for law enforcement investigators to use*, as stated by Goal 2. In order to test the ease of use of the Steadier State solution, I compared it to other comparable products Deep Freeze and Pacheras’ Steady State solution using the criteria:

- The time and process of reverting back to baseline images using each product.
- The time and process of updating the solution and retaining changes as a new baseline image for each product.
- The process of keeping changes temporarily for each product.

3.1.3 Test Goal 3

This test determined if *Forensics Steady State did not substantially delay investigations*, as stated by Goal 3. In order to test this goal, several aspects of re-booting the solution were tested:

- For an on-going investigation, officers need the ability to turn off their workstations without “rolling back” the machine and have their current analysis saved. The re-boot time of the Forensics Steady State machine was timed and compared to a normal re-boot of a similar Windows 7 machine.
- When software needs to be updated on the Forensics Steady State machine, the “merge” script will be run so that the solution retains the updates even upon “roll back”. The time it takes to merge the snapshot.vhd file with the baseline image was recorded.
- When an investigator has finished a case, the system must be rolled back, deleting any files, applications, or case remnants that may exist on the system.
and retuned back to its original baseline image. The time it takes to delete the snapshot file and re-boot to the clean environment was recorded and compared to the re-boot time of a normal Windows 7 re-boot on a similar machine.

3.1.4 Test Goal 4

This test determined if *Forensics Steady State does not interfere with the forensic process* and is stable by measuring if/how often the system crashes and how often that it runs appropriately. Most of the tests in Test Goal 1 will help with testing stability, but more tests involving common forensics tools were also performed. The software that was tested on the solution was consistent with tools that the Rhode Island State Police use.

3.2 Testing Environment and Hardware

The Forensic Steady State solution was implemented on a 500 GB Seagate Barracuda Hard Drive. All hard drives used were tested on a Dell OptiPlex 760, x86-based PC with an Intel Core 2 Duo (2.66GHz) processor, and 4 GB of installed RAM. The Forensic Steady State image was created using the Steadier State procedure for a Microsoft Windows 7 Enterprise operating system.

Section 3.4 outlines specific testing procedures used to validate the forensic integrity of Steadier State. The testing procedures also include the testing of functionality of several programs commonly used by digital forensic investigators: X-Ways Forensics, EnCase, FTK, and ForensicSoft’s SAFEBlock [ForensicsWiki].

The following hard drives were used during the testing phase of this thesis:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Make/Model</th>
<th>Capacity</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

19
1. Seagate  
   S/N: 5QM1H255  
   Model: ST3500320AS  
   500 GB  
   Steadier State

2. Seagate  
   S/N: 5Q61QCTL  
   Model: ST3500630AS  
   500 GB  
   Source Windows 7 Enterprise

3. Seagate  
   S/N: 5QM1EXCS  
   Model:  
   500 GB  
   Contains and deploys image.vhd

4. Samsung  
   Model: HD161GJ  
   160 GB  
   Contains Deep Freeze solution

5. Western Digital  
   S/N: WMAV33252519  
   Model: WD1600AAJS-75M0A0  
   160 GB  
   Contains Drive Vaccine solution

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. | Seagate  
   S/N: 5QM1H255  
   Model: ST3500320AS | 500 GB | Steadier State |
| 2. | Seagate  
   S/N: 5Q61QCTL  
   Model: ST3500630AS | 500 GB | Source Windows 7 Enterprise |
| 3. | Seagate  
   S/N: 5QM1EXCS  
   Model:  | 500 GB | Contains and deploys image.vhd |
| 4. | Samsung  
   Model: HD161GJ | 160 GB | Contains Deep Freeze solution |
| 5. | Western Digital  
   S/N: WMAV33252519  
   Model: WD1600AAJS-75M0A0 | 160 GB | Contains Drive Vaccine solution |

Table 1- List of Hard Drives Used in Experimentation

3.3 Testing Procedures

The following sections detail specific test procedures performed to forensically validate the Forensics Steady State solution. The Forensics Steady State Test Plan was developed specifically to forensically validate Forensics Steady State to test all known areas of a hard disk that has the solution deployed to it. In this case, specific tests were developed to make logical and physical writes to the two known partitions created by the solution as well as unpartitioned space and the boot records associated with each area of the disk. Each procedure has detailed steps with test-specific functions built in to allow for a testing procedure to be re-used for validating each aspect of the goals for this thesis. The test-specific functions for each test procedure can be found in Chapter 4. Chapter 4 discusses each test individually, including any test-specific functions performed and the results and implications of each test. These tests are re-usable for any solution similar to Forensics Steady State, such as Deep Freeze or Drive Vaccine, with the appropriate changes for each test made specific to
tool being forensically validated. Table 2 lists all of the tests that were performed along with the expected results of each test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Expected Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>File and Folder Write Test</td>
<td>Goal 1</td>
<td>All logical writes made to the system will be deleted upon rollback.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application Write Test</td>
<td>Goal 1</td>
<td>All logical writes made to the system will be deleted upon rollback.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw Hex Write Test – Volume Boot Record</td>
<td>Goal 1</td>
<td>Raw hex writes made to the VBR of Partition 2 will be deleted upon rollback.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw Hex Write Test – Within Unpartitioned</td>
<td>Goal 1</td>
<td>Raw hex writes made to unallocated space of Partition 2 will be deleted upon roll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw Hex Write Test – Within image.vhd of Partition 2</td>
<td>Goal 1</td>
<td>Raw hex writes made within the image.vhd file will be deleted upon rollback.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw Hex Write Test – Within snapshot.vhd</td>
<td>Goal 1</td>
<td>Raw hex writes made within the snapshot.vhd file will be deleted upon rollback.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw Hex Write Test – Volume Boot Record</td>
<td>Goal 1</td>
<td>Raw hex writes made to the VBR of Partition 1 will be deleted upon rollback.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw Hex Write Test – Outside Volume Boot</td>
<td>Goal 1</td>
<td>Raw hex writes made to WinPE portion of Partition 1 will be deleted upon rollback.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw Hex Write to Virtualized C: Drive</td>
<td>Goal 1</td>
<td>Raw hex writes made to VBR of virtualized C Drive will be deleted upon rollback.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw Hex Write to Virtualized C: Drive</td>
<td>Goal 1</td>
<td>Raw hex writes made to virtualized C drive outside of VBR will be deleted on rollback.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Update Test – Using snapshot.vhd</td>
<td>Goal 1</td>
<td>The snapshot file will not be accepted by Machine 2 and will fail.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Update Test – Using</td>
<td>Goal 1</td>
<td>The snapshot file will not be accepted by Machine 2 and will fail.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Case</td>
<td>Goal</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>snapshot.vhd with Sysprep</td>
<td></td>
<td>be accepted by Machine 2 and will fail.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rollback Time Measurement</td>
<td>Goal 2</td>
<td>The rollback times of all tested solutions will be similar.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Update Time Measurement</td>
<td>Goal 2</td>
<td>The update times of all tested solutions will be similar.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keeping Temporary Writes</td>
<td>Goal 2</td>
<td>Forensics Steady State will merge the snapshot.vhd and image.vhd files and work successfully.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reboot Time Comparison</td>
<td>Goal 3</td>
<td>The reboot times of a normal Windows 7 machine and Forensics Steady State will be similar.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forensic Steady State Rollback Comparison to Normal Windows Reboot</td>
<td>Goal 3</td>
<td>Forensics Steady State will take longer to rollback than a normal Windows 7 machine takes to perform a normal reboot.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merge Time for Forensic Steady State</td>
<td>Goal 3</td>
<td>The average merge time for Forensics Steady State will take between 2 and 5 minutes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disk Overflow Test</td>
<td>Goal 4</td>
<td>Windows will not allow oversized files to overflow the disk and will prompt the user for additional storage media.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Image File Write Test</td>
<td>Goal 4</td>
<td>Forensics Steady State will be able to use forensics tools to create a disk image successfully.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forensic Tool Test</td>
<td>Goal 4</td>
<td>Forensics Steady State will be able to run forensic software, create temporary case files, and be fully functional.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Disk Test – Copying Files to a Write-protected Internal Hard Disk</td>
<td>Goal 4</td>
<td>SAFE Block will be fully functional with Forensics Steady State and write-protect disk drives appropriately.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Disk Test – Copying Files to</td>
<td>Goal 4</td>
<td>Any files copied to the</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 - Expected Results of Tests Performed

| an Internal Hard Disk | attached internal media will be copied successfully. |

### 3.3.1 Testing Procedure 1

The purpose of Testing Procedure 1 is to investigate Forensics Steady State’s behavior when raw disk writes are made while booted into the environment, and to ensure that all files, folders, applications, and raw disk writes are deleted upon rollback of the solution, and that the original baseline image remains consistent and forensically sound. The procedure can be re-used for each different raw disk write test performed to test Goal 1 and satisfy the claims in Section 3.1.1.

This procedure uses Message-Digest algorithm 5 (MD5) which is a cryptographic hash function that generates a 128-bit hash value. In digital forensic investigations, the MD5 algorithm is used to generate a digital signature of files/disks. These signatures are then used to validate images made of digital evidence to ensure the image is a bit-for-bit copy of the original file/disk. Identical MD5 hash values indicate that the image is an exact copy of the original source evidence. If the signatures do not match, then the image cannot be forensically validated because it does not accurately reflect the original media [Hoog, 2008]. This procedure makes use of Backtrack 5 R3 64-bit gnome for taking MD5 hash values of image.vhd in a forensically sound environment. The procedure is:

1. Boot machine with hard drive containing Forensics Steady State with BackTrack Live CD.
2. Navigate to directory containing “image.vhd” and take MD5 hash of the file.
3. Record hash value and shutdown machine.

5. Perform test-specific functions.


7. Restart machine and select “roll back”.

8. When rollback is complete, shut down the machine.

9. Boot machine with hard drive containing Forensics Steady State with BackTrack Live CD.

10. Navigate to directory containing “image.vhd” and take MD5 Hash of the file.

11. Record hash value and shutdown machine.

It is important to note that if the MD5 hash value of image.vhd from Step 10 does not match the MD5 hash value taken in Step 2, then the baseline image of Forensics Steady State has been altered. In this case, a new image should be deployed onto a wiped hard drive to guarantee the workstation is forensically sound.

3.3.2 Testing Procedure 2

The purpose of Testing Procedure 2 is to determine if Forensics Steady State is easy for law enforcement investigators to use. In order to test the ease of use of the solution, it was compared to other comparable products - Faronics’ Deep Freeze, Pacheras’ Steady State solution, and Horizon DataSys’ Drive Vaccine. The procedure illustrates the main differences in behavior between Forensics Steady State, Deep Freeze, and Drive Vaccine. These differences include rollback times, updating times, and the method used to retain temporary changes until a rollback or merge is
performed. The procedure can be re-used for each test-specific function to test Goal 2 and satisfy the claims in Section 3.1.2. The procedure is:

1. Boot machine with hard drive containing Deep Freeze.
4. Boot machine with hard drive containing Drive Vaccine.
5. Perform test-specific functions for Drive Vaccine.
6. Shutdown Drive Vaccine.
7. Boot machine with hard drive containing Forensics Steady State
8. Perform test-specific functions for Forensics Steady State

3.3.3 Testing Procedure 3

The purpose of Testing Procedure 3 is to determine if Forensics Steady State does not substantially delay investigations. This procedure works directly with the Forensics Steady State solution and compares it to a normal Windows 7 Enterprise machine like the forensic workstations that may currently be in use by law enforcement agencies and other forensic practitioners. For the purposes of these tests, the source Windows 7 computer from which the baseline image for Forensics Steady State was built was used for comparison. The procedure can be re-used for each test specific function to test Goal 3 and satisfy the claims in Section 3.1.3. The procedure is:

1. Boot machine with hard drive containing Forensics Steady State.
2. Perform test-specific functions for Forensics Steady State.

4. Boot machine with hard drive containing normal Windows 7 OS.

5. Perform test-specific functions for normal Win7 machine.


3.3.4 Testing Procedure 4

The purpose of Testing Procedure 4 is to determine if multiple Forensics Steady State solutions can be updated by copying and distributing the snapshot.vhd file from one updated machine to another Forensic Steady State machine. Typically, updating an entire laboratory of Windows machines can be time consuming. The motivation of this procedure is to determine if updating one Forensics Steady State solution can simplify the process of updating several machines simply by copying the snapshot.vhd file from the updated machine and overwriting the snapshot.vhd file on other un-updated machines. Observations will be recorded detailing if the changes are accepted and retained. The procedure can be re-used for each test specific function to test both Goal 2 in terms of ease of use, and Goal 3 in terms of not substantially delaying investigations. The procedure is:

1. Boot first machine with hard drive containing Forensics Steady State.

2. Choose the rollback option upon re-boot to ensure the original image is used.


5. Boot machine 1 with BackTrack Live CD and attach external hard drive.

6. Copy Snapshot.vhd from machine 1 hard drive to external hard drive.

7. Shutdown machine 1.
8. Boot machine 2 with hard drive containing Forensics Steady State.

9. Choose the rollback option upon re-boot to ensure snapshot file will be empty.

10. Shutdown machine 2.


12. Copy over the Snapshot.vhd file from the external hard drive to the hard drive belonging to machine 2, overwriting the old snapshot file.

13. Shutdown machine 2 and remove BackTrack Live CD

14. Boot machine 2 and record behavior.

3.3.5 Testing Procedure 5

The purpose of Testing Procedure 5 is to determine if Forensics Steady State is functional with forensic software including X-Ways Forensics, FTK, EnCase, and ForensicSoft’s SAFE Block software write-blocker. Typically, in digital forensic investigations, law enforcement must collect and image hard drives or other digital media and ensure all devices can be imaged while being write-protected to preserve the integrity of the evidence. Law enforcement must be able to use their normal forensic tool suite when performing investigations. The procedure can be re-used for each test specific function to test Goal 4 and satisfy the claims in Section 3.1.4.

1. Boot machine with hard drive containing Forensics Steady State.

2. Perform test-specific functions.

CHAPTER 4: FINDINGS

This chapter discusses the tests performed to forensically validate the Forensics Steady State solution. Table 3 lists all of the tests performed, along with which requirements they satisfy, and the result of each test. For more detailed procedure and results, please see corresponding sections in this chapter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.1.1 File and Folder Write Test</td>
<td>Goal 1</td>
<td>Succeeded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1.2 Application Write Test</td>
<td>Goal 1</td>
<td>Succeeded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1.3 Raw Hex Write Test – Volume Boot Record of Partition 2</td>
<td>Goal 1</td>
<td>Failed – OS unable to reboot after boot sector is written to.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1.4 Raw Hex Write Test – Within Unpartitioned space of the disk</td>
<td>Goal 1</td>
<td>Failed – Writes remain after rollback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1.5 Raw Hex Write Test – Within image.vhd of Partition 2</td>
<td>Goal 1</td>
<td>Succeeded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1.6 Raw Hex Write Test – Within snapshot.vhd of Partition 2</td>
<td>Goal 1</td>
<td>Succeeded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1.7 Raw Hex Write Test – Volume Boot Record of Partition 1</td>
<td>Goal 1</td>
<td>Failed – OS unable to reboot after boot sector is written to.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1.8 Raw Hex Write Test – Outside Volume Boot Record of Partition 1</td>
<td>Goal 1</td>
<td>Failed – OS unable to reboot after disk is written to.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1.9 Raw Hex Write to Virtualized C: Drive – Within Volume Boot Record</td>
<td>Goal 1</td>
<td>Failed – OS unable to reboot after boot sector is written to.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1.10 Raw Hex Write to Virtualized C: Drive – Outside Volume Boot Record</td>
<td>Goal 1</td>
<td>Succeeded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1.11 System Update Test – Using snapshot.vhd without Sysprep</td>
<td>Goal 1</td>
<td>Succeeded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1.12 System Update Test – Using snapshot.vhd with Sysprep</td>
<td>Goal 1</td>
<td>Failed – OS unable to reboot due to snapshot.vhd belonging another sysprepped machine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2.1 Rollback Time Measurement</td>
<td>Goal 2</td>
<td>Drive Vaccine has the fastest rollback time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2.2 Update Time Measurement</td>
<td>Goal 2</td>
<td>Drive Vaccine’s update</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3 - Test Summaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Description</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Keeping Temporary Writes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reboot Time Comparison</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Forensics Steady State reboots faster than a normal Windows 7 workstation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forensic Steady State Rollback Comparison to Normal Windows Reboot</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Windows 7 workstation reboots faster than total rollback time of Forensics SteadyState.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merge Time for Forensic Steady State</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Forensics Steady State has an average update time of 01:33.60.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disk Overflow Test</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Successful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Image File Write Test</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Successful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forensic Tool Test</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Successful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Disk Test – Copying Files to a Write-protected Internal Hard Disk</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Successful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Disk Test – Copying Files to a Internal Hard Disk</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Successful</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.1 Goal 1 Forensic Validation Findings

Law enforcement and other digital forensic investigators must be able to ensure that they are using a clean Windows 7 environment upon each new investigation to prevent cross-contamination between cases and preserve the probative value of the evidence. Cross-contamination can include files/folders, malware, viruses, applications, and case data left over from a previous case that was analyzed on a specific forensic workstation.

The most common method of preventing cross-contamination is to perform each investigation on a hard drive that had been wiped, re-formatted, and reconfigured for forensic investigations [Forensics Investigations]. Forensics Steady State eliminates the requirement to start a forensic workstation from scratch because all writes made to the system are placed into a snapshot file that is easily discarded once rolled back,
providing the user with a clean baseline Windows 7 image to perform more investigations. While Forensics Steady State may be a fast and elegant solution for law enforcement to use, proper forensic validation of the solution is necessary to prove cross-contamination has not occurred.

4.1.1 File and Folder Write Test

The purpose of this test was to ensure that any files or folders created, used, or accessed during a forensic investigation are removed upon rollback of the host. Digital forensic investigations usually yield output files in the form of recovered/exported evidence files, case files, reports, and temporary files. The artifacts produced by an investigation must be completely removed to prevent cross-contamination between cases.

An MD5 hash value of the baseline image.vhd file was taken before the test to later prove the rolled back solution accurately reflects a clean Windows 7 image. Test Procedure 1, detailed in Section 3.3.1, was followed directly for this test. The test specific functions included adding a text file and folder to the desktop. Notepad was used to produce a plain text file “Test.txt” that was saved to the desktop. An empty folder “Test Folder” with a copy of “Test.txt” in the directory was also added to the desktop. Once both the text file and the folder containing a copy of the text file were added, the test continued with Test Procedure 1, which included rolling back the system and taking another MD5 hash of image.vhd.

As expected, once the solution was rolled back, both the text file and folder containing a copy of the text file were deleted and solution was back to its baseline.
The purpose of this test is to ensure any applications installed during an investigation on a forensic workstation running Forensics Steady State will be removed upon rollback of the solution.

An MD5 hash value of the baseline image.vhd file was taken before the test to later prove the rolled back solution accurately reflects a clean Windows 7 image. Test Procedure 1, detailed in Section 3.3.1, was followed directly for this test. The test specific functions included installing an application and making sure any remnants/artifacts of that application are completely removed upon rollback of the solution. Apple’s iTunes was installed on Forensics Steady State, and once the install completed, the test continued with Test Procedure 1, which included rolling back the system and taking another MD5 hash of image.vhd.

As expected, no artifacts/remnants remained on the system after rollback and the solution was back to its baseline state. The MD5 hash of image.vhd remained the same retaining the forensic integrity of Forensics Steady State.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-test MD5 Hash</th>
<th>2aacf3db0501ad125290e24cf4c3c88</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Post-test MD5 Hash</td>
<td>2aacf3db0501ad125290e24cf4c3c88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 - Matching Hash for image.vhd After Test 4.1.1

4.1.3 Raw Hex Write Test – Volume Boot Record of Partition 2

The second partition of the hard disk containing the Forensics Steady State solution houses both image.vhd and snapshot.vhd. The volume boot record for
Partition 2 could be a target of malicious software, such as Cidox Trojan Horse [Symantec], that may be the result of cross-contamination from suspect evidence. The purpose of this test to determine if malicious software can make writes to the volume boot record of the partition containing the vhd file that may not be reversed upon rollback of the solution. In order to emulate writes to the boot sector, a hex editor, X-Ways’ WinHex, was used to make raw hexadecimal writes while the solution was fully booted.

An MD5 hash value of the baseline image.vhd file was taken before the test to later prove the rolled back solution accurately reflects a clean Windows 7 image. Test Procedure 1, detailed in Section 3.3.1, was followed directly for this test. The test specific functions included using WinHex to make raw hex writes to the VBR of Partition 2. After the solution was fully boot, WinHex was opened. Using a physical hexadecimal view of the hard disk, 4 bytes located at offset 0x130 within the volume boot record of partition 2 were changed from “00 66” to “AA AA”. WinHex immediately displayed a Windows error message explaining that the disk writes would not be allowed:

![Figure 4 - Windows Error Message Not Allowing Disk Writes](image)

After the writes were made, the test continued with Test Procedure 1, which included rolling back the system and taking another MD5 hash of image.vhd. The
MD5 hash of image.vhd remained the same retaining the forensic integrity of the baseline image.vhd image.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-test MD5 Hash</th>
<th>2aadf3de0501ad125290e24cf4c3c88</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Post-test MD5 Hash</td>
<td>2aadf3de0501ad125290e24cf4c3c88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6 - Matching Hash for image.vhd After Test 4.1.3

However, after rollback, inspection of the bytes located at offset 0x130 within the VBR of Partition 2 had retained the raw disk writes despite the Windows error message. The installed anti-virus software, Microsoft Security Essentials, also did not notice that the volume boot record had been altered. This unexpected result presents a vulnerability of Forensics Steady State in that writes made directly to the disk outside of image.vhd or snapshot.vhd may infect a forensic workstation, causing the need for a clean Forensics Steady State environment to be created.

4.1.4 Raw Hex Write Test – Within Unpartitioned Space of the Disk

The hard disk containing Forensics Steady State was also examined outside of the two main partitions present on the disk within unpartitioned space. The purpose of this test is to examine if malicious software has the ability to write to unpartitioned space on the hard disk. Once again, WinHex was used to emulate raw hexadecimal writes to the unallocated space while the solution was fully booted.

An MD5 hash value of the baseline image.vhd file was taken before the test to later prove the rolled back solution accurately reflects a clean Windows 7 image. Test Procedure 1, detailed in Section 3.3.1, was followed directly for this test. The test specific functions included using WinHex to make raw hex writes to the unpartitioned space. After the solution was fully booted, WinHex was opened. Using a physical hexadecimal view of the hard disk, 4 bytes located at offset 0xE8E0C0000 within the
unallocated space of Partition 2 were changed from “00 00” to “AA AA”. Windows unexpectedly allowed the writes, and after continuing with Testing Procedure 1 and rolling back the solution, the writes were retained. This unexpected result presents a vulnerability of Forensics Steady State in that writes made directly to the disk within unpartitioned space may infect a forensic workstation, causing the need for a clean Forensics Steady State environment to be created. The MD5 hash of image.vhd, however, was verified to be unchanged:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-test MD5 Hash</th>
<th>2aacf3dbe0501ad125290e24cf4c3c88</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Post-test MD5 Hash</td>
<td>2aacf3dbe0501ad125290e24cf4c3c88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7 - Matching Hash for image.vhd After Test 4.1.4

4.1.5 Raw Hex Write Test – Within image.vhd of Partition 2

With both the boot sector and unallocated space within Partition 2 of the hard disk being tested, it is necessary to examine writes to image.vhd and snapshot.vhd. The purpose of this test is to examine the behavior of making hexadecimal writes within the image.vhd file while Forensics Steady State is fully booted.

An MD5 hash value of the baseline image.vhd file was taken before the test to later prove the rolled back solution accurately reflects a clean Windows 7 image. Test Procedure 1, detailed in Section 3.3.1, was followed directly for this test, with the test specific functions of using WinHex to make raw hex writes within the image.vhd file of Partition 2. After the solution was fully booted, WinHex was opened and using a physical hexadecimal view of the hard disk, 4 bytes located at offset 0x74C6FC090 within image.vhd were changed from “00 00” to “AA AA”. As expected, Windows would not allow the disk writes to be made.
Testing Procedure 1 was then continued, which included rolling back the system and computing another MD5 hash of image.vhd. After the test concluded, the solution was booted once again and WinHex was used to verify the writes had not been made despite the Windows error message.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-test MD5 Hash</th>
<th>2aacf3dbe0501ad125290e24cf4e3c88</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Post-test MD5 Hash</td>
<td>2aacf3dbe0501ad125290e24cf4e3c88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8 - Matching Hash for image.vhd After Test 4.1.5

4.1.6 Raw Hex Write Test – Within snapshot.vhd of Partition 2

After making raw hex writes to the volume boot record, unallocated space, and image.vhd files within Partition 2 of the hard disk, it was necessary to observe the behavior of the solution when writes are made directly to the snapshot.vhd file.

An MD5 hash value of the baseline image.vhd file was taken before the test to later prove the rolled back solution accurately reflects a clean Windows 7 image. Test Procedure 1, detailed in Section 3.3.1, was followed directly for this test, with the test specific functions of using WinHex to make raw hex writes within the snapshot.vhd file of Partition 2. After the solution was fully booted, WinHex was opened and using a physical hexadecimal view of the hard disk, 4 bytes located at offset 0x2D090 within snapshot.vhd were changed from “00 00” to “AA AA”. As expected, Windows would not allow the disk writes to be made. It is also important to note that any raw hexadecimal writes made to any other files or the free space of this partition are also protected by the Forensics SteadyState solution.

After finishing up with Testing Procedure 1, the test concluded with expected results in that the disk writes were not allowed and never made. This was verified
using a physical view of the hard disk within WinHex. The image.vhd file remained unchanged:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-test MD5 Hash</td>
<td>2aacf3dbe0501ad125290e24cf4c3c88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-test MD5 Hash</td>
<td>2aacf3dbe0501ad125290e24cf4c3c88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9 - Matching Hash for image.vhd After Test 4.1.6

4.1.7 Raw Hex Write Test – Volume Boot Record of Partition 1

With the second partition testing being completed, the forensic integrity of Partition 1 also needed to be verified. Partition 1 contains the WinPE environment that Forensics Steady State uses to rollback and merge the solution. The volume boot record of Partition 1 could be contaminated by remnants left over from a previous or current investigation being performed on a forensic workstation. The purpose of this test to determine if malicious software can make writes to the volume boot record of the partition that may not be reversed upon rollback of the solution. Once again, WinHex was used to make raw hexadecimal writes to the disk while booted into the solution.

An MD5 hash value of the baseline image.vhd file was taken before the test to later prove the rolled back solution accurately reflects a clean Windows 7 image. Test Procedure 1 was followed directly for this test, with the test specific functions of using WinHex to make raw hex writes within the volume boot record of Partition 1. After the solution was fully booted, WinHex was opened and using a physical hexadecimal view of the hard disk, 4 bytes located at offset 0x within the volume boot record were changed from “00 00” to “AA AA”.

Windows unexpectedly allowed the writes, and after continuing with Testing Procedure 1 and rolling back the solution, the writes were retained. The installed anti-
virus software, Microsoft Security Essentials, also did not notice that the volume boot record had been altered. This unexpected result presents a vulnerability of Forensics Steady State in that writes made directly to the disk within partition 1’s boot record may infect a forensic workstation, causing the need for a clean Forensics Steady State environment to be created. The MD5 hash of image.vhd, however, was verified to be unchanged:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-test MD5 Hash</th>
<th>2aacf3dbe0501ad125290e24cf4c3c88</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Post-test MD5 Hash</td>
<td>2aacf3dbe0501ad125290e24cf4c3c88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10 - Matching Hash for image.vhd After Test 4.1.7

**4.1.8 Raw Hex Write Test – Outside Volume Boot Record of Partition 1**

The first partition of the Forensics Steady State solution must also be examined outside of the volume boot record. The rest of the partition contains the WinPE operating system that is used to rollback and merge the solution when selected from the pre-boot environment. The purpose of this test is to determine if any writes can be made to the first partition of the hard disk when booted into solution. WinHex was used to make the raw hex writes to the disk.

An MD5 hash value of the baseline image.vhd file was taken before the test to later prove the rolled back solution accurately reflects a clean Windows 7 image. Test Procedure 1 was followed directly for this test, with the test specific functions of using WinHex to make raw hex writes outside of the volume boot record within Partition 1. After the solution was fully booted, WinHex was opened and using a physical hexadecimal view of the hard disk, 4 bytes located at offset 0x3D0 were changed from “00 00” to “AA AA”.

The results of this test were unexpected, as seen similarly in Test 4.1.7, in that Windows ultimately allowed the writes to be made without error. After continuing
with Testing Procedure 1, the writes were retained after rollback. These writes could be made to any file contained on partition 1. It is also important to note that any raw writes made to the free space of this partition are also unprotected by the Forensics Steady State solution. This result presents a vulnerability of Forensics Steady State in that writes made directly to the disk outside of the boot record of Partition 1 may infect a forensic workstation, possibly affecting the rollback and merge functionality of the solution. This will cause the need for a clean Forensics Steady State environment to be created. The MD5 hash of image.vhd, however, was verified to be unchanged:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-test MD5 Hash</th>
<th>2aacf3dbe0501ad125290e24cf4c3c88</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Post-test MD5 Hash</td>
<td>2aacf3dbe0501ad125290e24cf4c3c88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11 - Matching Hash for image.vhd After Test 4.1.8

4.1.9 Raw Hex Write to Virtualized C: Drive – Within Volume Boot Record

This test is designed to determine the behavior of Forensics Steady State when raw hexadecimal writes are made to the volume boot record of the virtualized C drive when the solution is fully booted.

An MD5 hash value of the baseline image.vhd file was taken before the test to later prove the rolled back solution accurately reflects a clean Windows 7 image. Test Procedure 1 was followed directly for this test, with the test specific functions of using WinHex to make writes to the disk. After the solution was fully booted, WinHex was opened and the virtual C drive was accessed with a logical view of disk. 4 bytes located at offset 0x20 within the volume boot record were changed from “00 00” to “AA AA”.
Once the write was attempted, a Windows error was displayed: “Unable to lock the drive, other programs may be using it. Access Denied”. Even though the error message was present, the writes were made to the disk. The installed anti-virus software, Microsoft Security Essentials, also did not notice that the volume boot record had been altered. This is evident because after Testing Procedure 1 was complete and the solution was rolled back, the solution was unable to boot. This result presents a vulnerability of Forensics Steady State in that writes made directly to the logical C drive outside of the boot record may infect a forensic workstation, possibly affecting the rollback and merge functionality of the solution. This will cause the need for a clean Forensics Steady State environment to be created. The image.vhd file remained unchanged:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-test MD5 Hash</th>
<th>2aacf3dbe0501ad125290e24cf4c3c88</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Post-test MD5 Hash</td>
<td>2aacf3dbe0501ad125290e24cf4c3c88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 12 - Matching Hash for image.vhd After Test 4.1.9*

### 4.1.10 Raw Hex Write to Virtualized C: Drive – Outside Volume Boot Record

This test is designed to determine the behavior of Forensics Steady State when raw hexadecimal writes are made to the virtualized C drive outside of the volume boot record within any file when the solution is fully booted.

An MD5 hash value of the baseline image.vhd file was taken before the test to later prove the rolled back solution accurately reflects a clean Windows 7 image. Test Procedure 1 was followed directly for this test, with the test specific functions of using WinHex to make writes to the disk. After the solution was fully booted, WinHex was opened and the virtual C drive was accessed with a logical view of disk. 4 bytes
located at offset 0x1040 outside of the volume boot record were changed from “00 00” to “AA AA”.

Once the write was attempted, the same Windows error from test 4.1.10 was displayed explaining the write cannot be made. Even though the error message was present, the writes appear to have been made to the disk and after Testing Procedure 1 was complete and the solution was rolled back, the writes were successfully removed.

It is important to note that the free space of the virtualized C drive is also protected by the Forensics Steady State solution. The image.vhd file remained unchanged:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-test MD5 Hash</th>
<th>2aacf3dbe0501ad125290e24cf4c3c88</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Post-test MD5 Hash</td>
<td>2aacf3dbe0501ad125290e24cf4c3c88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 13 - Matching Hash for image.vhd After Test 4.1.10

**4.1.11 System Update Test – Using snapshot.vhd without Sysprep**

System updates are an important aspect of using forensic workstations within a lab environment. As Windows or forensic tool updates release, it is important for computer forensic investigators to make use of all current technology as well as keeping their computers secure through Windows patches. Updating multiple machines at once can be extremely time consuming, thus delaying future investigations. Usually, when a master image is deployed onto multiple machines, the System Preparation (Sysprep) tool is used. The sysprep tool prepares an image of windows for duplication and removes any system specific data from that Windows installation so that the image can be reused [TechNet].

This thesis project explores the idea of using the snapshot.vhd file located on one machine to update another machine. Specifically, it explores the notion of updating
one Forensics Steady State solution and using that machine’s exiting snapshot.vhd file to update multiple machines by replacing the older snapshot.vhd file.

For this specific test, two Forensics Steady State solutions were deployed without the use of sysprepping the original baseline image. Testing Procedure 4, detailed in Section 3.3.4, was followed directly for this test. Once the first solution was rolled back, a text file and a test folder were added to the desktop. The latest version of Apple iTunes was also installed. With this solution being updated, it was shut down and the snapshot.vhd file was copied to external media through the use of a BackTrack Live boot disk. The hard drive belonging to the second Forensics Steady State solution was then attached to the machine, and the snapshot.vhd file from the first machine was copied to the hard disk of the second machine, overwriting the second machine’s snapshot.vhd file.

The second machine’s hard disk contained an exact copy of the snapshot.vhd file from the first machine. The second machine was then booted successfully. When the Windows environment was fully loaded, all of the updates made to the first machine were accurately reflected.

Although it is highly unlikely that a digital forensics laboratory would deploy multiple machines without sysprepping, the possibility of using the snapshot.vhd file to update another machine, validated by this project, may prove helpful.

4.1.12 System Update Test – Using snapshot.vhd with Sysprep

Test 4.1.14 attempted to update a Forensics Steady State machine by copying over an updated snapshot.vhd file from another machine that was running Forensics Steady State. Both machines were deployed from a baseline image that was not
sysprepped. This test aimed to use the same procedure, but using two machines that were deployed from a sysprepped image.

Additional test preparation was required for this test. The original source machine was sysprepped and new baseline image was produced for deployment on Machine 1 and Machine 2. The original Windows 7 image that was used to create image.vhd was sysprepped using the files and instructions in the Steadier State package. A new image.vhd was then created using the Steadier State boot media and the image was deployed to both Machine 1 and Machine 2. Testing Procedure 4, detailed in Section 3.3.4, was followed directly for this test. Once the first solution was rolled back, a text file and a test folder were added to the desktop. The latest version of Apple iTunes was also installed. With this solution being updated, it was shut down and the snapshot.vhd file was copied to external media through the use of a BackTrack Live boot disk. The hard drive belonging to Machine 2 was then attached to the machine, and the snapshot.vhd file from Machine 1 was copied to the hard disk of the Machine 2 overwriting the snapshot.vhd file.

The reboot process of the Machine 2, now containing the updated snapshot.vhd file, failed and was unable to boot into the Windows 7 environment. This result proves that a snapshot file cannot be shared between sysprepped machines and using the snapshot file is not an option for updating multiple machines running Forensics Steadier State.

4.2 Goal 2 Forensic Validation Findings

Law enforcement investigators are typically trained to follow digital forensic procedures in the acquisition, preservation, and analysis of digital evidence. This
includes having a working knowledge of existing forensic software and hardware tools. These investigators often do not possess the skills necessary to troubleshoot, fix, or deploy forensic workstations. An important aspect of using a forensic workstation during multiple on-going investigations is the system’s ease of use. For a less technical savvy investigator, re-imaging a workstation after an investigation can seem like a daunting task. The following tests focus on the ease of use of Forensics Steady State as compared to other solutions such as Faronics’ Deep Freeze and Horizon DataSys Inc’s Drive Vaccine.

4.2.1 Rollback Time Measurement

This first test investigates the process and time of rolling back a machine with Forensics Steady State, Deep Freeze, or Drive Vaccine. Testing Procedure 2, detailed in Section 3.3.2, was used to measure the rollback times of all three solutions. The test specific functions included simply choosing the appropriate rollback option for each individual solution. In order to rollback Forensics Steady State, the user simply shuts down or reboots the computer leaving all default options selected. Likewise, both Deep Freeze and Drive Vaccine roll back to their initial states with a simple shutdown or reboot of the system.

Time was recorded with a stopwatch as soon as the machine was shutdown from a running Windows environment and the rollback option was chosen. The time ceased to be recorded once every solution’s Windows environment completed the booting process. The test was performed five times for each solution due to varying boot times and the results are presented in the figure below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forensics Steady State</th>
<th>Deep Freeze</th>
<th>Drive Vaccine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Forensics Steady State</th>
<th>Deep Freeze</th>
<th>Drive Vaccine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>02:23.51</td>
<td>02:21.35</td>
<td>01:27.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02:35.88</td>
<td>02:21.98</td>
<td>01:50:05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03:01.55</td>
<td>02:02.49</td>
<td>01:14.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02:34.48</td>
<td>02:22.65</td>
<td>01:15.78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03:08.65</td>
<td>02:30.06</td>
<td>01:10.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 14 - Rollback Time Comparisons**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Average Rollback Time</th>
<th>Forensics Steady State</th>
<th>Deep Freeze</th>
<th>Drive Vaccine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>02:44:80</td>
<td>02:19.70</td>
<td>01:23.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 15 - Average Rollback Times**

On average, Forensics Steady State has the slowest rollback time of the three solutions, with Deep Freeze falling slightly behind and Drive Vaccine being the fastest. In terms of ease of use, all three of the solutions have intuitive functionality.

4.2.2 Update Time Measurement

Being able to perform Windows updates and updates to forensic software is imperative for law enforcement to keep their machines secure and guarantee they will be using the latest cutting edge tools. The purpose of this test is to investigate both the update time and ease of updating Forensics Steady State, Deep Freeze, and Drive Vaccine.

In order to perform updates to Forensics Steady State the user must make all desired updates and then place an empty text file named “noauto.txt” at the root of the C drive while booted into the solution’s environment. Then, the user must reboot the system and select the rollback option from the pre-boot environment. WinPE will then start and instead of automating the process of deleting the snapshot.vhd file, it will instead halt at the command prompt due to the text file at the root of the drive. The user must then type “merge” and hit enter to update the baseline image.
Deep Freeze is updated using a control console on a separate workstation. After making all selected updates to the system, the user selects to “thaw” the solution from the console application which will reboot the system without any write-protection to any fixed hard disks. Once updates are performed, the user selects the “Reboot Frozen” option from the console and system retains all changes when restarted.

Once desired updates are performed, Drive Vaccine’s baseline image is easily updated by simply clicking on the Drive Vaccine application within the environment and selecting the update option [Drive Vaccine User Manual]. No reboot process is required.

For Forensics Steady State, the update time was measured from the point in which the merge command was entered in the WinPE environment until the solution was booted into Windows. The update time for Deep Freeze started when “Reboot Frozen” was selected from the console and ended once the system was booted into Windows. Drive Vaccine’s update time was not recorded because it happens instantaneously and is negligible. The test was performed five times with a stopwatch for each solution due to varying boot times and the results are presented in the figure below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forensics Steady State</th>
<th>Deep Freeze</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01:34.78</td>
<td>01:33.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01:33.56</td>
<td>01:31.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01:20.84</td>
<td>01:13.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01:31.51</td>
<td>01:23.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01:47.33</td>
<td>00:56.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 16 - Update Time Comparisons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Average Update Time</th>
<th>Forensics Steady State</th>
<th>Deep Freeze</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>01:33.60</td>
<td>01:19.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 17 - Average Update Times
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On average, updating Forensics Steady State takes approximately 14 seconds longer than Deep Freeze and it does require minor technical ability.

4.2.3 Keeping Temporary Writes

Digital investigations performed by law enforcement may require an extensive amount of time on a forensic workstation. These machines must be able to store case files/folders, keep imaging programs open, or let any processes continue working during non-work hours. Each of the three solutions examined in Section 4.2 have methods of retaining temporary writes to the system without the worry of losing current work or data.

Drive Vaccine allows users to keep changes temporarily by either leaving the active solution booted into a Windows environment or updating the baseline image to keep changes, which is not desired. Deep Freeze can retain temporary changes by either keeping the solution in a “frozen” state or “thawed” state. Keeping the solution in a write-protected mode will keep changes until the next reboot and all writes made to the system in a thawed state will be retained permanently, which is not desired. Potential problems could occur if power was cut to an active machine with Drive Vaccine or Deep Freeze installed. For example, if a forensic workstation was taking more than a few hours to image a drive, this process may be left in the laboratory to complete overnight. If power is lost to the building or machine in some way, the solution will rollback to its baseline image upon reboot, causing a possible loss of data.

Forensics Steady State, however, operates much like a normal Windows 7 workstation. Any writes made to the disk will be kept temporarily until the rollback
option is chosen from the pre-boot environment. The user also has the option to shutdown or restart the system and continue working with the current state of the solution. All changes are only discarded when the baseline image is restored. This can provide law enforcement with a form of safety net for digital investigations because the baseline image can only be restored if the option is chosen; losing power or other unforeseen circumstances will not cause the loss of data in Forensics Steady State.

4.3 Goal 3 Forensic Validation Findings

Performing a digital investigation in a timely manner is important for law enforcement to be able to process and complete as many cases as possible. The following tests will determine if Forensics Steady State does not substantially delay investigations by comparing the solution to a normal machine running a Windows 7 Enterprise 64-bit operating system.

4.3.1 Reboot Time Comparison

Two machines were set up to measure the reboot time of Forensics Steady State and Windows 7 Enterprise. Test Procedure 3, detailed in Section 3.3.3, was used to measure the reboot time of each machine. Each machine was fully booted into their operating system environments. After fully loaded, each machine was restarted and duration of time it took each machine to fully restart into Windows was recorded. The test was performed five times with a stopwatch for each solution due to varying boot times and the results are presented in the figure below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forensic Steady State</th>
<th>Windows 7 Workstation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Average Re-boot Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01:00</td>
<td>01:10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01:03</td>
<td>01:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01:02</td>
<td>01:18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01:04</td>
<td>01:28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01:03</td>
<td>01:27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 18 - Reboot Time Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forensic Steady State</th>
<th>Windows 7 Workstation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average Re-boot Time</td>
<td>01:02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>01:19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 19 - Average Reboot Times

On average, the re-boot time of Forensic Steady State was 17 seconds faster than the machine running just Windows 7 Enterprise.

4.3.2 Forensic Steady State Rollback Comparison to Normal Windows Reboot

Two machines were set up to measure the rollback time of Forensics Steady State and a simple reboot time of Windows 7 Enterprise. Test Procedure 3, detailed in Section 3.3.3, was used to measure the appropriate times of each machine. Each machine was fully booted into their operating system environments. After Forensics Steady State was loaded, the time it took to restart and roll back to the baseline image was recorded. The Windows 7 Enterprise machine was simply restarted and the duration of time between reboot and being fully restarted was recorded. The test was performed five times with a stopwatch for each solution due to varying boot times and the results are presented in the figure below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (Rollback)</th>
<th>Average Re-boot Time (Reboot)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>02:23.51</td>
<td>01:10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02:35.88</td>
<td>01:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03:01.55</td>
<td>01:18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02:34.48</td>
<td>01:28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03:08.65</td>
<td>01:27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 20 - Rollback of Solution Compared to Normal Windows 7 Boot

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forensic Steady State</th>
<th>Windows 7 Workstation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Simply re-booting a machine running Windows 7 Enterprise is significantly faster than rolling back the Forensics Steady State solution by approximately 01:25. The two machines are performing completely different functions but it proves that rolling back to a pristine baseline image in Forensics Steady State merely takes 01:25 longer than a simple reboot of a normal forensic workstation.

**4.3.3 Merge Time for Forensic Steady State**

The time it takes to update a baseline image of Forensics Steady State was measured in test 4.2.2. Test Procedure 3, detailed in Section 3.3.3, was used to measure the update time of the solution. The test specific functions included adding a test file, “Test.txt” and test folder, “Test Folder”, to the desktop. The latest version of Apple’s iTunes was also installed. The solution was then restarted, and the “merge” command was run to update the baseline image. The update time was measured from the point in which the merge command was entered in the WinPE environment and was no longer recorded once the solution was booted into Windows. The test was performed five times with a stopwatch and the results are presented in the figure below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forensics Steady State</th>
<th>(Rollback)</th>
<th>(Reboot)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>02:44.80</td>
<td>01:19.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 21 - Average Rollback Time (FSS) compared to Average Reboot Time (Win 7)

Table 22 - Recorded Times to Update Baseline Image
**Average Update Time:** 01:33.60

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 23 - Average Baseline Image Update Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

On average, it took 01:33.60 for the baseline image to be completely updated in the Forensics Steady State solution. This time is optimal and can allow for quick updates to be made to the baseline image for further investigative use.

### 4.4 Goal 4 Forensic Validation Findings

Goal 4 of this thesis focuses on system stability and functionality with forensic software to ensure the solution does not interfere with the forensic process. Tests include observing how the system reacts to fixed disks being hot-swapped with the machine, disk overflow behavior, and general functionality with software that is consistent with tools used by the Rhode Island State Police.

#### 4.4.1 Disk Overflow Test

The purpose of this test is to demonstrate what happens when the Forensics Steady State solution runs out of hard disk capacity. Additional preparation for this test was required in the form of adding a second storage device to the forensic workstation. In this case, a 1 TB internal hard disk was added to the system.

Test Procedure 1 was followed directly for this test, with the test specific functions including the use of FTK imager to image the newly inserted 1 TB hard disk. Once the solution was fully booted, FTK imager was opened and used to create an E01 image of the 1 TB drive. The virtualized C: drive of Forensics Steady State was selected as the destination for the image file. FTK Imager started to image the drive, segmenting it into parts until it required more space. The remaining image segments were selected to be put on the D: drive until that too ran out of space.
all storage locations were full, FTK Imager displayed a low disk space warning, reporting that only 978 MB of free space remained on the hard disk:

![Low Disk Space Warning](image.png)

Figure 5 - Disk Overflow Test Indicating Low Disk Space

Imager also asked to write the remaining image segments to a new location, but no additional storage devices were added to finish the imaging.

After finishing Testing Procedure 1, the solution was properly rolled back erasing all traces of the large E01 file and an MD5 hash of image.vhd was computed to verify the baseline image remained unchanged.

### 4.4.2 Image File Write Test

The purpose of this test is to verify that the Forensics Steady State solution functions appropriately when imaging external media.

Test Procedure 1 was followed directly for this test, with the test specific functions including the use of FTK imager to image a 1 GB USB thumb drive. Once the solution was fully booted, FTK imager was opened and used to create a raw dd image of the thumb drive to the desktop. The image was created and saved successfully and after finishing Testing Procedure 1, all remnants of the image file were removed and a clean baseline image of the Forensics Steady State solution remained.
4.4.3 Forensic Tool Test

The purpose of this test is to verify that Forensics Steady State functions properly with the use of a forensic tool and a software write-blocker. For the purposes of this test, X-Ways’ Forensics was the selected forensic tool and ForensicSoft’s SAFE Block was used as the software write-blocker. Both of these tools were included in the baseline image of Forensics Steady State.

Test Procedure 1 was followed directly for this test. The first test-specific function was to open X-Ways Forensics once the solution was booted. After ensuring that SAFE Block was enabled, a 1 GB USB thumb drive was then inserted into the machine and was successfully write-blocked. Using X-Ways Forensics, an E01 image of the drive was made and saved to the internal hard disk. The image was then opened in X-Ways and two deleted files were recovered and saved to the hard disk to simulate case files that can/would be created from a digital investigation. A total of 359 MB of case data, including the image, was created and saved to the machine. X-Ways was then closed, and Testing Procedure 1 was finished.

Both the forensic tool and write-blocker behaved as expected and all created files were deleted upon rollback of the solution.

4.4.4 Fixed Disk Test – Copying Files to a Write-protected Internal Hard Disk

Similar Steady State solutions, such as Deep Freeze, have caused problems for law enforcement when trying to copy files from a forensic workstation’s local storage to an additional fixed hard disk in the system. Internal hard drives are often attached to forensic workstations via an eSata port or card for either imaging or exporting of case files. It is imperative for law enforcement to be able to hot-swap hard drives
during an investigation on a forensic workstation while using software write-blockers and forensic tools simultaneously.

Testing Procedure 5, detailed in Section 3.3.5, was used for this test. After booting into the Forensics Steady State environment, a 1 TB hard drive was connected to the system via an internal eSata card during operation. SAFE Block was then opened to ensure the drive was write-protected. To simulate writes to the newly attached write-protected hard drive, a text file, “Test.txt”, and test folder, “Test Folder”, were created and then copied over to the destination drive. Since the drive was write-protected, a Windows error message was displayed indicating that writes could not be made to the drive. The external drive was then disconnected from the system to ensure no abnormal behavior occurred upon removing the disk.

The results of this test were as expected. The solution had no problems recognizing the newly inserted fixed disk, write-protecting the drive, or detaching the drive during the machines operation.

4.4.5 Fixed Disk Test – Copying Files to a Internal Hard Disk

This test follows directly from Test 4.4.4 with the only difference being that the fixed disk added to the system will not be write-protected. Law enforcement needs the ability to export case files and folders to external or internal media. Solutions such as Deep Freeze write-protect all drive letters, unless this option is manually changed. Copying files to external media connected to a machine running Deep Freeze can be misleading in that the files may appear to be copied to their destination, but are not actually written to the drive. Investigators may mistakenly think they are copying case
files to another storage device, reboot their machine to a clean baseline image, and realize the case files that appeared to be copied no longer exist.

Testing Procedure 5, detailed in Section 3.3.5, was used for this test. After booting into the Forensics Steady State environment, a 1 TB hard drive was connected to the system via an internal eSata card during operation. SAFE Block was then opened and the newly attached drive was un-blocked allowing for the possibility of writes to be made. To simulate writes to the newly attached hard drive, a text file, “Test.txt”, and test folder, “Test Folder”, were created and then copied over to the destination drive successfully. The additional storage device was then powered off during the machines operation to ensure no abnormal behavior occurred. Once the machine was shutdown, it was then booted into a Linux environment using a BackTrack Live boot disk to make certain the test files were copied to the additional hard disk.

Writing the test files to the additional storage device was successful. In additional testing with Deep Freeze, the test files appear to be written to the storage device successfully without error. After examining the storage device in BackTrack Live, the files did not exist and were never written to the drive. Forensics Steady State is more intuitive in that it acts exactly as the user would expect. Once attached storage devices are un-blocked, writes are made to the drive, as expected.
CHAPTER 5: DISCUSSION

5.1 Discussion of Results

The results of all tests performed in Chapter 4 of this thesis helped meet all of the goals of this project. This section will discuss all of the findings according to which goal each test satisfied.

Goal 1 - To make a controlled environment solution that ensures that a sterile digital forensics environment can be created each time a new case is started by law enforcement investigators.

Goal 1 was met because of the results of the tests in Section 4.1. The logical write Tests 4.1.1 and 4.1.2 both performed as expected. Once the solution was rolled back, any files, folders, or applications added to the solution were deleted and the solution was back to its baseline state.

Tests 4.1.3 through 4.1.10 tested Forensics Steady State’s ability to recognize raw hexadecimal writes made to different areas of the hard disk, from both a physical and logical view, and cache those writes within the snapshot VHD file. Tests 4.1.5, 4.1.6, and 4.1.10 all performed as expected. Any raw hex writes made in Partition 2 within unallocated space, within image.vhd, or within snapshot.vhd were all deleted upon rollback of the solution, as expected. Any writes made to the virtual C drive outside of the volume boot record were also eradicated upon rollback. Test 4.1.4, however, failed in that it allowed raw writes to be made to the unpartitioned space of the disk showing that unused area of the hard disk are not protected by Forensics Steady State.

Test 4.1.11 attempted to use the snapshot.vhd file located on one machine to update another. Updating one Forensics Steady State solution and using that
machine’s existing snapshot.vhd file to update multiple machines by replacing the older snapshot.vhd file was successful for solutions that were not sysprepped. This may not serve any practical purposes because the forensic workstations in a laboratory are most likely sysprepped beforehand. Test 4.1.12 explored the idea of performing the same test on two sysprepped machines, but failed proving multiple machines cannot be updated by simply using a snapshot file from another machine. In this case, either each machine would need to be updated separately or a new updated master image could be used to re-image each individual machine in a laboratory setting.

Tests 4.1.3, 4.1.7, 4.1.8, 4.1.9, and 4.1.12 all had unexpected results and are discussed later in Section 5.2.

**Goal 2 - To make a controlled environment solution that is easy for forensic practitioners to use.**

Goal 2 was met because of the results of the tests in Section 4.2. Test 4.2.1 focused on the process of rolling back the solution and the roll back time measurement of Forensics Steady State, Deep Freeze, and Drive Vaccine. In terms of ease of use, the user simply needs to restart or shutdown any of the three solutions to roll back and return to them to their initial state. Forensics Steady State has the slowest rollback time of the three solutions, with Deep Freeze falling slightly behind, and Drive Vaccine being the fastest. On average, Forensics Steady State took 02:44.80 to rollback to its original state.

Test 4.2.2 focused on the process of updating each solution and measured the update time of each of the three solutions previously discussed. In terms of ease of use, the process for each solution is described in detail in section 4.2.2. Each solution
has its own intricacies involved with updating the baseline image, and can be fully understood with the provided literature for each. In this case, Drive Vaccine updated the fastest. On average, Forensics Steady State took 01:33.60 to merge the snapshot.vhd and image.vhd files and update the original baseline image.

Test 4.2.3 tested Forensics Steady State’s ability to keep writes temporarily. This allows investigators the ability to shutdown a forensic workstation and continue working with the current state of the solution at any time without losing any data until the solution is rolled back. This can provide law enforcement with a form of safety net for digital investigations because the baseline image can only be restored if the option is chosen. Any unforeseen circumstances will not cause the loss of data.

**Goal 3 - To make a controlled environment solution that does not substantially delay investigations.**

Goal 3 was met because of the results of the tests in Section 4.3. Test 4.3.1 measured and compared the re-boot time of Forensics Steady State and a normal workstation with Windows 7 Enterprise installed. Unexpectedly, Forensics Steady State rebooted with an average time 01:02. On average, the re-boot time of Forensics Steady State was 17 seconds faster than the machine running Windows 7.

Test 4.3.2 compared the rollback time of Forensics Steady State to the re-boot time of the same Windows 7 workstation in Test 4.3.1. As expected, the machine running Windows 7 re-booted 01:25 faster than Forensics Steady State could rollback to its baseline image. Although each machine was performing different functions, it proves that rolling back to a pristine baseline image in Forensics Steady State merely takes 01:25 longer than a simple re-boot of a normal forensic workstation.
Test 4.3.3 measured the time needed for Forensics Steady State to merge its snapshot.vhd and image.vhd files permanently changing the baseline image. On average, it took 01:33.6 for the baseline image to be completely updated in the solution. This time allows for quick updates to be made to the baseline image for further investigative use.

**Goal 4 - To have a solution that does not interfere with the forensic process.**

Goal 4 was met because of the results of the tests in Section 4.4. Test 4.4.1 observed the behavior of Forensics Steady State when hard disk capacity was low or about to overflow. As expected, the solution gave an error message stating that disk space was low when an oversized image was being saved to the hard disk.

Tests 4.4.2 and 4.4.3 utilized functions of FTK Imager, X-Ways’ Forensics, and SAFE Block to ensure proper functionality with Forensics Steady State. All tools worked as expected, and any temporary files such as images, case files, and recovered files were removed upon rollback of the solution.

Tests 4.4.4 and 4.4.5 tested the actions of attaching and write-protecting internal hard disks while Forensics Steady State was operating. Specifically, Test 4.4.4 verified that inserting a fixed disk, write-protecting that disk, and attempting to copy files to the disk all behaved as expected. Test 4.4.5 tested the same procedure, but without write-protecting the fixed disk to make sure normal copying functions could be performed. Previous tests with older versions of Deep Freeze caused system crashes when internal disks were added to the system during operation. In additional testing with newer versions of Deep Freeze, the test files appear to be written to the storage device successfully without error, but after examining the storage device with...
BackTrack Live, the files did not exist and were never actually written to the drive. This result would not be desired for investigators trying to export reports or temporary case files to an external device, thus proving Forensics Steady State is a more viable solution for digital forensic investigations.

**Goal 5 - To document the controlled environment solution behaviors proving forensic readiness.**

Goal 5 was met through the production of this thesis. All of the tests developed in the Forensics Steady State Test Plan provides a forensic validation of Forensics Steady State. The tests were performed in a scientific manner and all results were carefully documented. Each test was reproduced several times to prove that particular behaviors of a specific test occurred each time the same test was performed.

**Goal 6 – The reboot process of the solution should automate the roll back procedure and boot directly into a Windows environment after completion, as required by the Rhode Island State Police Computer Crimes Unit.**

Goal 6 was met through the functionality that was added to the base implementation of Steadier State to create Forensics Steady State. With the addition of automating the rollback procedure, a user could essentially perform a simple shutdown or restart of their Forensics Steady State solution and have a pristine Windows 7 image restored without any future user interaction. Also, the additional functionality that scans the physical drive for extraneous files gives investigators notification that more files should be deleted manually before beginning a new case to prevent cross-contamination.

**5.2 Interesting Results**
Several tests run during the process of this thesis yielded interesting results. Tests 4.1.3, 4.1.7, and 4.1.9 all used Test Procedure 1 to make raw hex writes to the volume boot records of specific locations on disk. Test 4.1.3 included making hex writes to the volume boot record of Partition 2, the area of the disk storing image.vhd and snapshot.vhd. Test 4.1.7 made hex writes to the volume boot record of Partition 1, the area of the disk storing the WinPE operating system files. Finally, Test 4.1.9 made hex writes within the volume boot record of the virtualized C drive that can be viewed logically when booted into Forensics Steady State. Despite Windows error messages and the fact that the anti-virus software Microsoft Security Essentials did not catch that writes were made, all of the writes were allowed to be made to the boot sectors and resulted in failure to boot the solution after restarting or shutting down the system.

These unexpected results present a vulnerability of Forensics Steady State in that the boot sectors of any physical or logical partitions are not protected. This opens the possibility of viruses/malware infecting the boot sectors of the logical or physical boot sectors on the hard disk. Although it is highly unlikely that an infection would occur in the boot sector of the WinPE partition, an investigator would still be able to maintain the probative value of the evidence located in the second partition because the virtual files are fully protected by Forensics Steady State. Any viruses/malware that may affect the boot sector of the second partition would rely on another piece of malicious code residing within the current state of the system, which can be rolled back and eradicated making the infection harmless to the evidence. Finally, if the solution no longer functioned as a result of an infection, an investigator would still be
able to extract any current evidence from the solution because the virtual disks are completely protected by Forensics Steady State.

5.3 Future Work

In order to ensure Forensics Steady State can be used for an extensive period of time for forensic practitioners, some future work is required. Most importantly, Forensics Steady State should be extended for use with Windows 8 and future Microsoft Operating Systems to keep up to date with any forensic tools that require newer operating systems. Other future work includes making changes to the existing Forensics Steady State solution to make it more functional for law enforcement.

One such addition would be to prevent accidental rollback of the solution by adding in a warning prompt whenever the option is chosen in the pre-boot environment. Another recommended addition would be the provision of MD5 and SHA-1 hashes of image.vhd in the pre-boot environment to verify that a sterile environment has been achieved and the integrity of the baseline image is preserved. This would include re-hashing image.vhd every time the system is rolled back.

Another functional addition to Forensics Steady State would be to add the ability to scan new system for drivers to be included in WinPE while before solution is deployed. This would eradicate any problems with the system’s communication to hardware.

5.4 Conclusion

The results of this research show that Forensics Steady State is a viable solution for law enforcement to use. Without having the capability of using current Windows operating systems, law enforcement investigations have been delayed severely.
Eliminating the need to wipe hard drives belonging to forensic workstations upon completion of an investigation will facilitate the possibility of completing more case investigations. Instead of spending part or all of a business day preparing a new forensic workstation, investigators can simply use Forensics Steady State’s ability to rollback to a forensically sound baseline image within just a few minutes. Updating the solution is also an easy task and can keep the Windows 7 environment secure and forensic tools up to date.

This research does suggest that Forensics Steady State is vulnerable to malware or other infectious viruses that particularly affect the boot sectors of the solution. It is important to note that the competitor products, Deep Freeze and Drive Vaccine, both exhibit the same behavior when raw writes are made to the disk. Forensics Steady State also lacks in speed when it comes to updating and rolling back the solution, but the minimal extra time required is negligible and still saves law enforcement the extensive process of starting from scratch after each investigation.

In conclusion, this thesis attempted to forensically validate and add features to the Steadier State solution created by Mark Minasi for use at the Rhode Island State Police Computer Crimes Unit. Forensics Steady State is an elegant, free Steady State solution that is forensically sound for use in digital forensic investigations.
APPENDIX 1: Forensics Steady State Creation Process

Download the necessary files:

Confirm it is the WAIK download from August 5, 2009
Burn this ISO file to a DVD or use an image mounter
Download the Steadier State files: http://www.steadierstate.com/
Create a folder C:sdrstate and copy all of the files from the download to this directory

Creating SS bootable USB or CD:

1. Open a command prompt with administrator privileges.
2. Navigate to C:sdrstate and type the command: buildpe. Choose which type of bootable media is desired:
   a. Bootable USB Stick
   b. ISO file that can be burned to DVD/CD

Creating the VHD from source PC:

1. Install all programs, change all settings, and fully prepare the PC you would like to deploy as SS.
2. Sysprep source PC.
3. Power down the PC.
4. Connect the PC to either external storage or insert a second hard drive into the computer.
5. Boot the computer into the SS bootable media created in previous section.
6. Run the following command to convert the PC to a VHD image file. (Note: The external storage that will store the VHD file must be at least 2.5 times the maximum VHD size)
cvtvhd %sourceDriveLetter% %destinationDriveLetter% %MaxVHDSize%
   Example: cvtvhd c: d: 50
7. Shutdown the computer.

Deploy the image:

1. Remove any external storage.
2. Add a single wiped hard drive either internally or externally, ensuring it is the only storage in the machine. This hard drive will become the target PC that Steadier State is running from.
3. Boot back into the SS bootable media.
4. Run the command: prepnewpc
5. Now, connect the hard drive containing the VHD file.
6. Use the following command to copy the image file over to the target hard drive.
   `robocopy %sourceDriveLetter% %destinationDriveLetter% image.vhd /mt:50`
   (Note: Make sure the size is the same used in previous section)
7. Upon completion, disconnect the external storage and shutdown.
8. Remove all drives from the PC ensuring that only the Target drive remains.


