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TO: President Francis H. Horn

FROM: Chairman of the Faculty Senate

1. The Attached BILL, titled **Curriculum in Community Planning and Area Development—Academic Jurisdiction: Part II A 1., and Appendix A, 39th Report CCA.**

is forwarded for your consideration.

2. The original and two copies for your use are included.

3. This BILL was adopted by vote of the Faculty Senate on **May 22, 1967**.

4. After considering this bill, will you please indicate your approval or disapproval. Return the original or forward it to the Board of Trustees, completing the appropriate endorsement below.

5. In accordance with Section 8, paragraph 2 of the Senate's By-Laws, this bill will become effective on **June 12, 1967** (date), three weeks after Senate approval, unless: (1) specific dates for implementation are written into the bill; (2) you return it disapproved; (3) you forward it to the Board of Trustees for their approval; or (4) the University Faculty petitions for a referendum. If the bill is forwarded to the Board of Trustees, it will not become effective until approved by the Board.

   **May 24, 1967**

   William R. F. Edsall
   Chairman of the Faculty Senate

---

ENDORSEMENT 1.

TO: Chairman of the Faculty Senate
FROM: President of the University

1. Returned.

2. Approved **X**. Disapproved_____.

3. (If approved) In my opinion, transmittal to the Board of Trustees is not necessary.

   **June 3, 1967**

   President

Form approved 11/65 (over)
ALTERNATE ENDORSEMENT 1.

TO: Chairman of the Board of Trustees.

FROM: The University President

1. Forwarded.

2. Approved.

_________________________ /s/ President

_________________________

ENDORSEMENT 2.

TO: Chairman of the Faculty Senate

FROM: Chairman of the Board of Trustees, via the University President.

1. Forwarded.

_________________________ /s/ (Office)

_________________________

ENDORSEMENT 3.

TO: Chairman of the Faculty Senate

FROM: The University President

1. Forwarded from the Chairman of the Board of Trustees.

_________________________ /s/ President

_________________________

Original received and forwarded to the Secretary of the Senate and Registrar for filing in the Archives of the University.

_________________________ /s/ Chairman of the Faculty Senate

_________________________
The Faculty Senate approves the following recommendation of the Committee on Curricular Affairs:

Item 2, Part B, of the report of the Faculty Committee on the Committee on the Curriculum in Community Planning and Area Development, dated March 1964, is amended to read:

"In matters relating to the academic program, this faculty shall act as a Department Faculty and the Director as its Chairman who shall be responsible to the Dean of the Graduate School."

For explanatory comments, see appendix A, attached.
To: William R. Ferrante, Chairman  
Executive Committee, Faculty Senate  

From: Geo. E. Osborne, Chairman, CCA  

At its meeting on January 6, 1966, the Executive Committee of the Faculty Senate voted to request that, in the light of the then-recent decision of the Senate to have courses in computer science under the temporary jurisdiction of the CCA, the CCA review the supervision of the program in Community Planning.

Pursuant to that request, a subcommittee of the CCA was appointed to carry out the review; however, its members were unable to discover any evidence sufficiently valid to constitute a basis for a report and recommendations.

In a memorandum, dated October 17, 1966, the CCA requested relief from the assignment; however, the members of the Executive Committee directed the review to continue, with the aim of clarifying, if possible, the jurisdictional lines of responsibility involved in the supervision of the program. Following is a chronology of events that led to the establishment of the program:

1. March, 1964. In its report, pp. 21, ff., to Dean Peter H. Nash, the Faculty Committee on the Curriculum in Community Planning and Area Development included a special section "Recommendations," pertinent parts of which are quoted:

   The Faculty Committee on the Curriculum in Community Planning and Area Development recommends the provisions of this report to Dean Peter Nash and through him, to the Graduate Council, the Graduate Faculty, and to the Faculty Senate through its Committee on Curricular Affairs.

   Implementation of the report will require the following specific provisions which we hereby recommend for your favorable consideration:

   A. The approval of a Curriculum in Community Planning and Area Development [including] courses . . .

   B. In recognition of the broad perspective necessary in this curriculum, the Committee recommends that: The Graduate Curriculum in Community Planning and Area Development be under the general direction of the Faculty Committee on the Curriculum in Community Planning and Area Development headed by the Director of the Curricu

1. The faculty is composed of the following:

   a. The full-time faculty in Community Planning and Area Development.
b. Faculty members serving part-time and selected from each of the following general areas:

The Arts  Economics
Engineering  Political Science
Geography  Sociology

be

The members to be appointed by the Director in consultation with the individual's Department Chairman and Academic Dean.

2. In matters relating to the academic program this faculty shall act as a department faculty and the director as its chairman.

3. The faculty of the seminar core shall be drawn from the faculty in Community Planning and Area Development and shall include at least one member from each of the fields listed above. A member of the full-time faculty in Community Planning and Area Development shall coordinate the seminar.

2. June 2, 1964. The Report, including the material on jurisdiction, was approved by the Graduate Faculty (see Minutes), implying prior approval by Dean Nash and the Graduate Council.

3. June 5, 1964. The Faculty Senate Committee on Curricular Affairs reported (see Fourteenth Report) its approval of the Curriculum and Courses in Community Planning and Area Development, requesting "confirmation of their approval by the Faculty Senate Executive Committee at its summer meeting, pending final approval by the Faculty Senate, fall, 1964."

4. June 10, 1964. The Faculty Senate Executive Committee approved the Fourteenth Report of the FSCCA.

5. October 15, 1964. The Faculty Senate confirmed the action of the Executive Committee, and the action was reported out as Senate Bill 43.

Discussion. There seem to be two factors contributing to the confusion of the issue:

(1) The approval by the CCA of the Curriculum and Courses only, to the exclusion of the recommendation regarding their supervision. If the recollections of the present Chairman of the CCA (whose service on the CCA has been continuous since its establishment) can be made to serve, the probable reason for such action lies in the "sensitivity" of the Committee at that time regarding decisions it deemed to be "administrative" in nature.

(2) The fact that the Director of the program, as conceived by the original committee, was indeed the Dean of the Graduate School; hence the jurisdictional line ended with the office of the Director. Now that these two offices will be separated, there arises a need to continue the line through the office of the Director and on to some superior academic officer, e.g., the Dean of the Graduate School.
Recommendations. In order to set into the record the administrative structure for the Curriculum in Community Planning and Area Development, the CCA recommends that:

1. Item 2, Part B, of the original Report of the Faculty Committee on CCPAD be amended by adding, "The Director shall report to the Dean of the Graduate School."

2. Part B, as amended, be approved *per se* and included in the next report of the CCA to the Faculty Senate for confirmation.