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The global geographic distribution of subseafloor sedimentary microbes and the cause(s) of that distribution are largely unexplored. Here, we show that total microbial cell abundance in subseafloor sediment varies between sites by ca. five orders of magnitude. This variation is strongly correlated with mean sedimentation rate and distance from land. Based on these correlations, we estimate global subseafloor sedimentary microbial abundance to be 2.9–1030 cells [corresponding to 4.1 petagram (Pg) C and ~0.6% of Earth’s total living biomass]. This estimate of subseafloor sedimentary microbial abundance is roughly equal to previous estimates of total microbial abundance in seawater and total microbial abundance in soil. It is much lower than previous estimates of subseafloor sedimentary microbial abundance. In consequence, we estimate Earth’s total number of microbes and total living biomass to be, respectively, 50–78% and 10–45% lower than previous estimates.

Third, how does this variation affect estimates of total subseafloor sedimentary biomass and Earth’s total biomass?

Materials and Methods

To address these questions, we compiled our cell counts from the South Pacific Gyre (5), the North Pacific Gyre, and the eastern equatorial Pacific Ocean with previously published counts from ocean margins and the equatorial Pacific Ocean (Fig. 1). We limited this compilation to sites with cell counts both above and below 1 m below sea floor (mbsf). To compare the data from different sites, we parameterized the cell distribution at each site by plotting cell abundance against subseafloor sediment depth for each site and then calculating a best-fit maximum likelihood estimate of a power-law function by minimizing the mean squared error (details provided in SI Text) using nontransformed data.

Of the 57 total sites, 34 exhibited a characteristic decrease in cell concentration with correlation coefficients exceeding 0.5 for power-law maximum likelihood regressions. The 23 sites omitted from the study had regression values less than 0.5 due to noisy or erratic cell concentration trends. These noisier data are often explainable by anomalous depositional settings or local geological anomalies (e.g., in the Nankai Trough (13), where cell concentration increases at greater depth due to in situ thermogenic generation of microbial substrates (14); in the Mediterranean Sea, where organic-rich sapropel layers cause elevated cell abundances in certain depth intervals and brine incursions occur at greater depths (15, 16); at the base of continental margins, where mass wasting events alter sediment accumulation rates (17)). These sites with anomalous cell distributions were omitted from further calculations.

For each of the 34 sites analyzed further, we determined two parameters: (i) cell concentration at 1 mbsf (variable b) and (ii) rate of decrease in cell counts with depth (variable m) (details are provided in SI Text).

To test possible causes of geographic variation in subseafloor sediment cell abundance, we then calculated the correlations of b and m to several oceanographic parameters that vary strongly between ocean margins and midocean gyres (Table 1). Of these individual parameters, mean sedimentation rate is most highly correlated with both b and m. The combination of mean sedimentation rate and distance from land (distance from landmasses greater than 105 km) explains an even higher percentage of the variance in both b and m (Table 1). The residuals are normally distributed, and our sites span the broad range of sedimentation rate/distance combinations that occur in the world ocean (Fig. 2). Principal component analyses indicate that the addition of any of the remaining variables does not increase the explanation of variance in either b or m.

Results

These correlations are consistent with a strong influence of organic matter burial rate on subseafloor sedimentary cell abundance. Burial of organic matter from the surface world is generally
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inferred to be the primary source of electron donors for microbes in most subsea floor sediment (2, 18). The rate of organic matter oxidation in subsea floor sediment has been described as declining with age according to a power-law function (19) or logarithmically (20). Correlation between concentration of intact phospholipids (a proxy for microbial biomass) and total organic carbon content in subsea floor sediment shows a clear relationship between subsea floor microbial biomass and buried organic matter (10), indicating that the availability of electron donors, with organic matter being the quantitatively most important one, strongly controls microbial activity and abundance.

Factors that affect organic burial rate include the productivity of the overlying ocean, water depth, the flux of organic matter from land, and sedimentation rate (21, 22). Some of these parameters influence organic burial rate directly (mean sedimentation rate), whereas others influence it indirectly, by influencing organic flux to the sea (water depth), marine productivity (sea-surface chlorophyll, sea-surface temperature, and gross primary production), or flux of organic matter from land. Organic burial rates have been estimated from many of these properties for most of the world ocean (22, 23). Other potential electron donors include reduced metal [e.g., Fe(II), Mn(II)] and H2 from water radiolysis. However, in the anoxic sediment that constitutes the vast majority of sediment in near-shore regions and open-ocean upwelling systems, sulfate is the predominant external electron

![Fig. 1. Subseafloor sedimentary cell counts used for this study. (A) Counted cell concentration vs. depth (mbsf) for the sites used in this study. (B) Site locations overlain on a map of time-averaged sea surface chlorophyll-a (34).](image)

![Table 1. Percentage of variance for (i) cell count at 1 mbsf (b) and (ii) rate of cell count decrease with depth (m) explained by various parameters](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Cell count at 1 mbsf (b)</th>
<th>Rate of decrease with sediment depth (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean sedimentation rate</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance from land</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea-surface chlorophyll</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross primary production</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water depth</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea-surface temperature</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedimentation rate and water depth</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedimentation rate and distance from land</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data sources are provided in SI Text.

![Fig. 2. Distributions of cell abundance at 1 mbsf (b) and the power-law rate of decrease of cell abundance with depth (m) relative to sedimentation rate and distance from land. (A) Distribution of residuals for b. (B) Distribution of residuals for m. The histograms show the distributions of the actual residuals. The blue lines are the probability density functions for normal distributions with the appropriate SDs. (C) Distribution of b vs. sedimentation rate and distance from land. (D) Distribution of m vs. sedimentation rate and distance from land. Colored fields in C and D mark the actual range of combinations of sedimentation rate and distance from land in the world ocean. Note that data used for this model (shown as dots in C and D) occur throughout this range of actual combinations. Dot colors indicate actual values of b and m for each site.](image)
by ca. five orders of magnitude between sites (Fig. 3C). Depth-integrated cell abundance is highest at continental margins and lowest in midocean gyres.

Integrating over the world’s ocean area, we estimate the total number of cells in subsea floor sediment to be $2.9 \times 10^{29}$ cells. A bootstrap exercise to check our analytical solution yielded a median value of $3.3 \times 10^{29}$ cells, with the first SDs at $1.2 \times 10^{29}$ and $8.0 \times 10^{28}$ cells (see SI Text for details).

The geographic distribution of subsea floor sedimentary cells varies greatly from continental margins to the open ocean. Although the world’s ocean shelves (water depth <150 m) cover only ~7% of the total oceanic area, they harbor 33% of the total cells in subsea floor sediment. In comparison, the oligotrophic (<0.14 mg/m$^3$) of chlorophyll-a) oceanic gyres cover about 42% of the world ocean and contain 10% of the total cells.

**Discussion**

Our estimate of total cell abundance in subsea floor sediment ($2.9 \times 10^{29}$) is 92% lower than the previous standard estimate ($35.5 \times 10^{29}$) (9). It is also ~70% lower than other estimates of around $10^{30}$ (7, 10). The reasons for this difference are twofold. First, our database is more geographically diverse than those of the previous studies. In particular, our database includes gyre areas with extremely low cell abundances. Second, like the most recent study (10) but unlike the previous studies (7, 9), we used estimates of actual sediment thickness throughout the world ocean derived from geophysical data (25, 27).

Comparison of our subsea floor sedimentary estimate to previous estimates of microbial abundance in other environments should be treated with caution because uncertainties on the estimates for other environments are either not quantified or extremely large. This said, our results suggest that the number of subsurface prokaryotes may roughly approximate the total number of prokaryotes in surface environments. Our estimate of total microbial abundance in subsea floor sediment ($2.9 \times 10^{29}$) is roughly equal to the estimates of Whitman et al. (9) for the total number of prokaryotes in seawater ($1.2 \times 10^{30}$) and in soil ($2.6 \times 10^{30}$). It also approximates their lower bound estimate for total microbial abundance in the terrestrial subsurface ($2.5 \times 10^{30}$; their upper bound is $25 \times 10^{30}$).

Our more recent estimate of subsea floor sedimentary cell abundance significantly decreases the estimate of Earth’s total prokaryote population. Combining our subsea floor sedimentary estimate with the estimates of Whitman et al. (9) for prokaryote numbers in seawater, soil, and the terrestrial subsurface decreases the estimated number of Earth’s total number of prokaryotes by 50–78% (from 41.8–64.3 $10^{29}$ cells to 9.2–31.7 $10^{29}$ cells).

Conversion of total cell abundance to total microbial biomass requires an estimate of carbon content per cell, which, in turn, depends on cell volume and the amount of carbon per cell. Microbial cell volume varies by many orders of magnitude (28); however, as a general rule, cells react to nutrient limitation by size reduction (29). Organic matter becomes increasingly recalci-trant with depth (3); therefore, microbial cells in deep subsea-floor environments have to adapt to low availability of organic electron donors and organic nutrients. It is thus reasonable to assume that cells will be rather on the small side of the size spectrum, which has profound implications when converting cellular abundance into biomass.

The absolute lower limit for cell size is determined by the minimum amount of macromolecules (e.g., DNA, RNA, ribosomes, proteins) necessary to maintain functionality. Based on the molecular size of a minimum set of these macromolecules (30), the calculated minimum cellular volume would be in the range of 0.014–0.06 μm$^3$; for a spherical cell, this would translate into a diameter of 0.3–0.5 μm. As a general trend, cells with diameters and volumes below 0.2 μm and 0.05 μm$^3$, respectively, are predominantly rod-shaped (29) because of a higher surface-to-volume ratio compared with spherical cells. Because there is...
a minimum set of macromolecules that any cell needs for functioning and survival, small cells tend to have a higher C content per cell volume than larger cells (31). There are no previously published studies of actual cell size distributions in deep subseafloor sediment. Preliminary flow cytometry studies on subseafloor samples and our own observations suggest cell widths and lengths in the range of 0.25–0.7 μm and 0.2–2.1 μm, respectively (see SI Text for details). Other studies assumed average spherical cell diameters of 0.5 μm (4) or volumes of 0.21 μm³ (32) for calculating subseafloor biomass. Assuming that the majority of subseafloor cells have a diameter of 0.25–0.7 μm and cell shapes vary between spherical and short rods, cell volumes range from 0.008 to 0.718 μm³, with an average of 0.042 μm³. Using an allometric model (33) that acknowledges the higher C content of smaller cells (31), the carbon content per cell would be ~14 fg C cell⁻¹ with minimum and maximum estimates of 5 and 75 fg C cell⁻¹, respectively, which is rather to the lower end of previously used values: 18 fg C cell⁻¹ (10), 65.1 fg C cell⁻¹ (32), and 86 fg C cell⁻¹ (9).

Based on our estimates of total subseafloor sedimentary cell abundance and cellular carbon content, our calculation of subseafloor microbial biomass amounts to 4.1 petagram (Pg), with minimum and maximum estimates of 1.5–22 Pg C, respectively.

This estimate is significantly lower than the previous estimate of 303 Pg C of Whitman et al. (9) and somewhat lower than the estimates of 90 Pg of Lipp et al. (10) and 60 Pg of Parkes et al. (32). This result significantly decreases the estimate of Earth’s total living biomass. Using published estimates (9) for the total carbon content of plants and nonsubseafloor prokaryotes, Earth’s total (plant + prokaryote) biomass is reduced from 915 to 1,108 Pg C down to 664 to 927 Pg C (average = 713 Pg C). Subseafloor sedimentary biomass comprises only 0.18–3.6% (average = 0.6%) of the total.
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