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Mr. President, I rise in opposition to this amendment. In 1990 we changed the authorizing legislation of the Arts Endowment to ensure that no works deemed obscene would be funded with taxpayer funds. In addition, the authorizing legislation now requires that the Arts Endowment take into account general standards of decency and respect for the diverse beliefs and values of the American people. Finally, Arts Endowment panel membership now varies significantly from year to year and must include a knowledgeable layperson who is not an arts professional.

I take note of the fact that Ms. Jane Alexander has instituted agency reforms that are directed towards those few grants that become controversial each year. Progress reports must be submitted by the grantees to the Endowment before the release of the final third of funding is approved. The Endowment requires that a grantee receive advance written permission should it change the grant activities from those approved by the Endowment. Finally, I am that informed Ms. Alexander is commencing a full-scale review of the procedures of the agency and will introduce her proposals for internal reform before the National Council on the Arts next month.

From the foundation of the Arts Endowment, the central criteria has always been the artistic merit of a work of art. Restrictions such as the one here propose are contrary to the spirit behind the arts endowment and are unconstitutional. Even if one or two mistakes are made each year, we should realize that the vast majority of the Endowment’s funding is a great boon to communities throughout the country. The programs range from educational programs for children to cultural festivals and work for historic preservation. I am of the mind that punitive, overbroad restrictions such as those embodied in this amendment would do far more harm than good.

Mr. President, I urge my colleagues to reject this amendment.