Tuesday vetoed FEC regulations that the election commission said were intended to make the holding of such debates easier but that the media and the FCC said would have the opposite effect.

The proposed regulations, adopted in June subject to a veto by either House of Congress, were a response to a request from the League of Women Voters. The league was concerned about the problem of obtaining funds to defray the costs of the kind of presidential debates it sponsored in 1976 between President Jerry Ford and his challenger, Jimmy Carter.

The federal election law was seen as barring corporate and union funds for such purposes. And the regulations, the FEC said, would permit the contribution of such funds for debates between candidates for federal office if the sponsoring organization were nonpartisan and nonprofit, such as the league.

However, the language employed in the regulations was interpreted by some as barring broadcasters and newspapers from staging debates that they would then cover, as well as sharply limiting the use that could be made of corporate and union funds to pay the costs of televised political debates.
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