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Phone talk w. Liry & John Keen

Liry -
- has been touch w. John’s lawyer
- will be compensated for all back pay
- has been reinstated

- Disability retirement - requires a doctor’s certificate - at tax time - will give him steady income for John gets best deal if to work - not life back play
- re-instatement.
  (if not fully healthy - had a heart attack.) - was wanting disability way back.

John was separated in Aug 78 -
- Liry has letter Jan 28 saying John we cannot keep you beyond Aug. - rather than 30 day notice.
- During this period - Liry wrote 50 letters on John’s behalf. The summer of 78 -
  Liry detailed John to 20 - at best
  in the contacts. Instead of - Give John
  wanted to come back to NEW - so he could
  concentrate on finding another job (in letter)
John came back to NEA for last month + 1/2

John says NEA detail "abruptly terminated." He also got full separation pay from NEA.

John maintains he was never given a chance to appeal his separation.

Lily thought he would appeal to OPM. She was never given any conversations. But he didn't.

NEA appears culpable - because they did not get letters from MSPB asking for comments. Letters were lost.

John given every possible break! Even more than others rotated out.

He was not doing a good job - but Lily didn't bring this up.

We can write John + say that we understand that NEA is currently adjudicating your case. In accordance with the letters they have sent, we'd refer you + your lawyer.