# **THE UNIVERSITY OF RHODE ISLAND**

University of Rhode Island [DigitalCommons@URI](https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/) 

[Graduate School of Oceanography Faculty](https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/gsofacpubs) 

**Graduate School of Oceanography** 

2024

# Passive Sampler Derived Profiles and Mass Flows of Perfluorinated Alkyl Substances (PFASs) across the Fram Strait in the North Atlantic

Matthew Dunn University of Rhode Island

Simon Vojta University of Rhode Island

Thomas Soltwedel

Wilken-Jon von Appen

Rainer Lohmann University of Rhode Island, rlohmann@uri.edu

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/gsofacpubs](https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/gsofacpubs?utm_source=digitalcommons.uri.edu%2Fgsofacpubs%2F2190&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) 

### Citation/Publisher Attribution

Dunn, Matthew; Vojta, Simon; Soltwedel, Thomas; von Appen, Wilken-Jon; Lohmann, Rainer. Passive sampler derived profiles and mass flows of Perfluorinated Alkyl Substances (PFAS) across the Fram Strait in the North Atlantic. EST Letters, Dec. 2024, accepted. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.3c00835 Available at:<https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.3c00835>

This Article is brought to you by the University of Rhode Island. It has been accepted for inclusion in Graduate School of Oceanography Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact [digitalcommons-group@uri.edu](mailto:digitalcommons-group@uri.edu). For permission to reuse copyrighted content, contact the author directly.

# Passive Sampler Derived Profiles and Mass Flows of Perfluorinated Alkyl Substances (PFASs) across the Fram Strait in the North Atlantic

## The University of Rhode Island Faculty have made this article openly available. [Please let us know](http://web.uri.edu/library-digital-initiatives/open-access-online-form/) how Open Access to this research benefits you.

This is a pre-publication author manuscript of the final, published article.

### Terms of Use

This article is made available under the terms and conditions applicable towards Open Access Policy Articles, as set forth in our [Terms of Use](https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/oa_policy_terms.html).

This article is available at DigitalCommons@URI: <https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/gsofacpubs/2190>





44 PFOS.<sup>6,9,13</sup> Past research has shown increases in legacy PFAS deposition to the Arctic are tied to increases in production, something that may be replicated again with replacement compounds such as 6:2 fluorotelomer alcohol (FTOH), perfluorobutane sulfonate (PFBS), and other 47 compounds.<sup>5,8,9,12</sup>

 Understanding the fate and transport of PFAS to the Arctic is made further difficult by the region's remoteness. However, the Fram Strait, which has been previously characterized for other POPs, offers a unique opportunity to study PFAS dynamics through this "gateway of the 51 Arctic".<sup>15-17</sup> Previous research has observed a feedback loop through the Fram Strait, where similar mass flows of POPs are imported from Atlantic Water masses in the eastern Fram Strait 53 and exported from the Arctic Ocean to the North Atlantic in western parts of the strait.<sup>16</sup> However, there has been observations of depletions with depth for all POPs in the Fram Strait, potentially caused by degradation processes that govern some legacy POPs, or might reflect 56 changing emissions over time .<sup>15,16</sup> Due to inherent persistence of perfluorinated acids, they constitute an ideal tracer to further examine the complex interplay of the hydrological 58 processes intersect with chemistry and biology at depth. $12,15-18$  Ample evidence suggests the dominant sources of PFAS in the remote northern hemisphere are via long range transport from emissions to air, and subsequent atmospheric 61 deposition, coupled with oceanic long-range transport .<sup>12,13,19-21</sup> Prior studies have highlighted the presence and changing composition of PFAS contamination in the Atlantic and Arctic Ocean. For example, a modeling study by Zhang et al. (2017) suggested that the import of PFAS into the Arctic Ocean with Atlantic water was greatest around 2000, and has since decreased, with a few tons PFOS being imported annually, though another study suggests transport to the Arctic for



88 1).<sup>25,28</sup> Passive samplers were deployed for just over one year (392-413 days) and discrete water samples were collected alongside the recovery of these passive during *RV Polarstern* Cruise PS131 in the summer of 2022. Water properties including average salinity, directional velocity, and temperature with depth were reported from long term monitoring mooring sites as 92 described in previous research.<sup>16</sup> Sites HGIV and F4 were deeper transects on the Eastern end of the Fram Strait (>1000 m), while site EGC sampled shallower (<900 m) waters of the East Greenland Current. For more site details, see Table S2.



**Figure 1. Sampling locations within the Fram Strait monitored from 2021 – 2022 using passive samplers.** 

 **PFAS Sample Analysis.** Discrete water samples of approximately 1000 mL were shaken, weighed, and spiked with 4 ng of isotopically labeled internal standard followed by offline weak anion exchange (WAX) solid phase extraction (SPE), in accordance with previously published 100 methods.<sup>25,29</sup> A matrix spike experiment was performed to assess the recovery of a native PFAS spike in tap water (Table S3). Passive samplers were spiked with internal standards and extracted with MeOH following previously published methods with slight modifications, including the addition of a third 24-hour extraction sequence, to yield a final extract volume of 104 18 mL that was then concentrated to 0.5 mL under a gentle stream of nitrogen at 40 °C. The 105 passive sampler assembly has been reported earlier during the sampler's validation.<sup>25</sup> For calculation of time weighted averages from passive sampler profiles, see SI section S2 and tables S9-10.

 **Quality control and instrumental analysis.** Method detection limits (MDLs) were 109 calculated from laboratory and travel blanks collected for passive sampler (10-3300 pg sampler- $-1$ ) and solid phase (10-3900 pg L<sup>-1</sup>) extraction procedures. The median and three times standard deviation of the blank concentrations were summed to determine MDLs (see Table S4). Method recoveries was evaluated using mass labeled surrogates purchased from Wellington, including their MPFAC24 mixture and 3 additional single compounds (Table S5-6). Recoveries of mass labeled standards ranging from 60-140% were accepted, with an exception made for Perfluoroundecanoic acid (PFUnDA) which had an average recovery of 55% due to losses during the extraction procedure (for details, see SI). The instrumental analysis was performed using a SCIEX Exion LC AC UHPLC system coupled to a SCIEX X500R quadrupole time-of-flight tandem mass spectrometer (QTOF MSMS). For additional details on instrumentation, see SI section S1.



 concentration with depth. As displayed in a previous study, it is unlikely that biofouling in the 142 shallower sites impacted uptake.

 **Seawater grab results.** Most PFAS in the grab samples were below the MDL. The only compound detected above its MDL greater than 75% of the time was perfluorooctane 145 sulfonamide (FOSA), for which concentrations ranged from - 140 pg L<sup>-1</sup> (Table S8). The next most prevalent compound was the long chain nonanoic carboxylate, PFNA, which was detected 147 in 42% of samples above MDL, and ranged from 13 - 17 pg L<sup>-1</sup>. Overall, calculated MDLs ranged  $\,$  from 10 pg L<sup>-1</sup> to 3900 pg L<sup>-1</sup>, displaying how low PFAS concentrations are in these remote waters (Table S4).

 **Time Weighted Average Concentration and Profiles.** Derived time weighted average 151 concentrations ranged from 2.4 - 360 pg L<sup>-1</sup>, with an average propagated uncertainty of  $\pm$ 39% (for details see SI section S2). Detection was dominated by C5-C8 perfluorocarboxylic acids (PFCA), and C4-C8 perfluorosulfonic acids (PFSA) including PFOA and PFOS (Fig 2). There was a general decrease in concentration with depth aside from a few exceptions, similar to prior 155 observations for the same compounds previously studied in Arctic waters.<sup>12</sup> Detection of PFAS decreased in particular for passive samplers deployed below 250 m, though PFOS and PFOA remained detectable (Fig 2). For reference, the MDL values for detection in passive samplers 158 equate to limits near 20 pg  $L^{-1}$  when converted to time weighted average concentrations. Site HG-IV, in the eastern Fram Strait, displayed a unique depth profile of PFAS concentrations: higher PFAS concentrations were present at a depth of 415 m than were found 161 at the shallowest (198 m) depth, likely due to the sinking of warmer Arctic waters already 162 enriched in PFAS, when they encounter colder Polar water (Fig 2).<sup>16</sup> Another exception to the

 decrease with depth was the observation of PFHxS at site F4, which reached a maximum concentration at a depth of 498 m, potentially liberated from settling particles. A similar profile 165 has been previously observed at this site for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH).<sup>16</sup> The PFAS observed in this study suggest that sources of PFAS to the Fram Strait and Arctic are increasingly influenced by replacement compounds including shorter-chain FTOHs and PFBS. While legacy compounds such as PFOA, PFNA, PFHxS, PFOS were all still present at high concentrations relative to other studies in the region, PFBS (a short chain PFSA) as well as PFPeA, PFHxA, and 170 PFHpA (short chain PFCA) were present at similar concentrations (Table S11).<sup>12,22,23,30,31</sup> Principal component analysis was used to examine if water parameters such as depth, temperature, or salinity influenced PFAS profiles (Fig S2). Only depth strongly impacted PFAS profile composition, with deep (>800 m) samples clustering away from the 64-500 m samples (Fig S2). Results from passive and discrete grab samples are discussed in the SI section S4. In addition, the PFHpA/PFOA ratios derived and whether it can indicate atmospheric deposition can be found in SI section S5.



**Figure 2. Time weighted average concentration profiles across depth in the Fram Strait.**



 **PFAS and water mass age.** Prior work established mean estimated water mass ages across the Fram Strait, which ranged from modern (last 50 years) for the top 500m to around

 $-50-100$  years at 1000 m to about 250 years for waters at 2,000 m depth.<sup>18</sup> The detection of several PFAS at depth clearly shows that even the anionic PFAS, which have been produced for several decades, are not perfect water mass tracers, but are also transported to depth via 193 settling particles.

 **PFAS mass flows through the Fram Strait.** In the Fram Strait, the highest export 196 of  $_{10}$ Σ PFAS was observed in the Atlantic Water (AW) with a mass flow of 112 Mg year<sup>-1</sup> ( $\pm$ 82) northwards into the Arctic Ocean (Fig 3). The Recirculating Water and Arctic Atlantic Water (RAW/AAW) and Polar Water (PW) both had southward PFAS mass flows to the North Atlantic 199 Ocean of -66 ( $\pm$  36) Mg year<sup>-1</sup> and -33 ( $\pm$ 19) Mg year<sup>-1</sup> respectively (Fig 3). These values are larger than mass flows reported earlier for sum PAHs, sum OPEs, and sum PBDEs in the Fram 201 Strait.<sup>15,16,33</sup> While no PCB mass flows have been reported for these same sites in the literature, their concentrations were, at times, multiple orders of magnitude below the PFAS reported in this study, suggesting PFAS may constitute the largest contaminant mass flows across the Fram 204 Strait that is currently known.<sup>17</sup> There are likely seasonal fluctuations in PFAS concentration and water mass volumes in the Fram Strait, that are difficult to quantify. We hence relied to annual mean concentrations, and literature estimates of water mass fluxes.

 $\Sigma_{10}$ PFAS mass flows across the Fram Strait were very similar in both directions, with +112 209 and -100 Mg year<sup>-1</sup> estimated in this study. While there was good agreement for sum PFAS between Arctic import and export (within 20%), the difference ranged from 1-74% between inputs and exports for most individual PFAS (Table S12). This balance between inputs and

212 outputs was also noted in other studies for both PFAS and PAHs in the Fram Strait.<sup>12,16</sup> Once again, this overall balance between inputs and outputs was also observed when using a traditional mass flux approach, albeit with more discrepancies between individual compounds' North and South fluxes as these results are uncorrected for total water volume (Table S13). Only FOSA displayed a wider discrepancy (74% difference) with approximately twice as much being exported from the Arctic Ocean via the Fram Strait as was entering with Atlantic Water (0.56 Mg 218 year<sup>-1</sup> versus -1.0 Mg year<sup>-1</sup>). This likely represents the preferential transport, deposition and re- mobilization of the volatile FOSA in the Arctic Ocean. For the anionic PFAS, in contrast, very similar mass flows were estimated (Fig 3). The estimated PFAS mass flows were similar to prior 221 estimates in the Fram Strait derived from grab samples.<sup>12</sup> The slight increase in export/input estimated in this study relative to Joerrs et al. (2020) could be due to the time weighted average 223 nature of the data collected by these passive samplers.<sup>12</sup> Furthermore, these mass flow estimates rely on yearly average water concentrations derived from passive samplers, with their 39% uncertainty, as well as the uncertainty associated with the transport volumes of each water 226 mass reported in literature, which ranged from 54-73%.<sup>18</sup>



**Figure 3. Estimated mass export of individual PFAS compounds in Atlantic Water (AW), Polar Water (PW), and Recirculating Atlantic Arctic Water (RAW/AAW).** 

**Outlook.** This study demonstrated the ability of passive samplers to overcome detection

limits of PFAS in remote, low concentration marine environments. The time weighted average

concentration derived in this study show that PFOA and PFOS were still present at high

- concentrations in Arctic water masses, but shorter chain compounds (PFPeA, PFHxA, PFBS) were
- also routinely present across the Fram Strait.

 The detection of shorter chain PFCAs in the Arctic water masses may reflect industry's switch to shorter chain replacements (i.e., PFBS replacing PFOS or 6:2 FTOH replacing 8:2 236 FTOH).<sup>5,8,21,34</sup> A comparison of PFAS to legacy POP profiles indicated similar trends across the Fram Strait with depth and across sites, implying that similar oceanographic processes are mostly responsible. Given the persistence of perfluorinated acids, this would also imply that biodegradation was of limited importance as a removal mechanism for those legacy POPs and PAHs.

 Overall, our results demonstrate that PFAS are circulating in the Arctic Ocean and North 242 Atlantic water masses in a feedback loop, as was previously suggested for PAHs.<sup>16</sup> This feedback loop consisted of the continued release of volatile PFAS at mid-latitudes that are transported to high latitudes, where they are buoyed by smaller, local secondary sources, and are then re-245 exported back to the North Atlantic Ocean.<sup>16</sup> In the case of PFAS, this transport is more complicated, as the volatile polyfluoroalkyl compounds may degrade into stable anionic perfluoroalkyl acids in the high northern latitudes, and are then exported back to the Atlantic Ocean.

#### **Supporting Information**

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI:

It contains a word document with additional analytical details, methods, standards, quality

control and assurance, LC/MS conditions, details on field deployment sites and conditions, and

calculation of time weighted averages. In a separate excel sheet, tables containing information

- on partition coefficients for passive samplers, and further details on calculations of mass flows,
- time weighted average concentrations, and discrete results can be found.

#### **Author Information**

- Corresponding Author:
- Rainer Lohmann Graduate School of Oceanography, University of Rhode Island, Narragansett
- Rhode Island. 02882, United States. Orcid.org/0000-0001-8796-3229. Phone: (401) 874-6612;
- email: [rlohmann@uri.edu](mailto:rlohmann@uri.edu)
- Matthew Dunn Graduate School of Oceanography, University of Rhode island, Narragansett,
- Rhode Island 02882, United States; orcid.org/000-0002-8902-8434
- Simon Vojta Graduate School of Oceanography, University of Rhode Island, Narragansett,
- Rhode Island 02882, United States;
- Thomas Soltwedel Alfred Wegener Institute Helmholtz-Center for Polar and Marine Research,
- 27515 Bremerhaven, Postfach 12 01 61, Germany; [orcid.org/0000-0002-8214-5937](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8214-5937)
- Wilken-Jon von Appen Alfred Wegener Institute Helmholtz-Center for Polar and Marine
- Research, 27515 Bremerhaven, Postfach 12 01 61, Germany; [orcid.org/0000-0002-7200-0099](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7200-0099)
- Rainer Lohmann Graduate School of Oceanography, University of Rhode Island, Narragansett
- Rhode Island. 02882, United States. Orcid.org/0000-0001-8796-3229
- 

**Note**: The authors declare no competing financial interests.



 *Maritimus* ) from Two Locations in the North American Arctic, 1972−2002. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2006**, *40* (4), 1139–1143. https://doi.org/10.1021/es051750h. (7) Lescord, G. L.; Kidd, K. A.; De Silva, A. O.; Williamson, M.; Spencer, C.; Wang, X.; Muir, D. C. G. Perfluorinated and Polyfluorinated Compounds in Lake Food Webs from the Canadian High Arctic. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2015**, *49* (5), 2694–2702. https://doi.org/10.1021/es5048649. (8) Skaar, J. S.; Ræder, E. M.; Lyche, J. L.; Ahrens, L.; Kallenborn, R. Elucidation of Contamination Sources for Poly- and Perfluoroalkyl Substances (PFASs) on Svalbard (Norwegian Arctic). *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **2019**, *26* (8), 7356–7363. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-2162-4. (9) Yeung, L. W. Y.; De Silva, A. O.; Loi, E. I. H.; Marvin, C. H.; Taniyasu, S.; Yamashita, N.; Mabury, S. A.; Muir, D. C. G.; Lam, P. K. S. Perfluoroalkyl Substances and Extractable Organic Fluorine in Surface Sediments and Cores from Lake Ontario. *Environ. Int.* **2013**, *59* (2013), 389–397. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2013.06.026. (10) Muir, D.; Miaz, L. T. Spatial and Temporal Trends of Perfluoroalkyl Substances in Global Ocean and Coastal Waters. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2021**, *55* (14), 9527–9537. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c08035. (11) MacInnis, J. J.; Lehnherr, I.; Muir, D. C. G.; Quinlan, R.; De Silva, A. O. Characterization of Perfluoroalkyl Substances in Sediment Cores from High and Low Arctic Lakes in Canada. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2019**, *666*, 414–422. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.02.210. (12) Joerss, H.; Xie, Z.; Wagner, C. C.; Von Appen, W.-J.; Sunderland, E. M.; Ebinghaus, R. Transport of Legacy Perfluoroalkyl Substances and the Replacement Compound HFPO-DA through the Atlantic Gateway to the Arctic Ocean—Is the Arctic a Sink or a Source? *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *54* (16), 9958–9967. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c00228. (13) Hartz, W. F.; Björnsdotter, M. K.; Yeung, L. W. Y.; Hodson, A.; Thomas, E. R.; Humby, J. D.; Day, C.; Jogsten, I. E.; Kärrman, A.; Kallenborn, R. Levels and Distribution Profiles of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) in a High Arctic Svalbard Ice Core. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2023**, *871*, 161830. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.161830. (14) Garcia-Barrios, J.; Drysdale, M.; Ratelle, M.; Gaudreau, É.; LeBlanc, A.; Gamberg, M.; Laird, B. D. Biomarkers of Poly- and Perfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) in Sub-Arctic and Arctic Communities in Canada. *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health* **2021**, *235*, 113754. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2021.113754. (15) McDonough, C. A.; De Silva, A. O.; Sun, C.; Cabrerizo, A.; Adelman, D.; Soltwedel, T.; Bauerfeind, E.; Muir, D. C. G.; Lohmann, R. Dissolved Organophosphate Esters and Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers in Remote Marine Environments: Arctic Surface Water Distributions and Net Transport through Fram Strait. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2018**, *52* (11), 6208–6216. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b01127. (16) Zhang, L.; Ma, Y.; Vojta, S.; Morales-McDevitt, M.; Hoppmann, M.; Soltwedel, T.; Kirk, J.; De Silva, A.; Muir, D.; Lohmann, R. Presence, Sources and Transport of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in the Arctic Ocean. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **2023**, *50* (1), e2022GL101496. https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL101496. (17) Sun, C.; Soltwedel, T.; Bauerfeind, E.; Adelman, D. A.; Lohmann, R. Depth Profiles of Persistent Organic Pollutants in the North and Tropical Atlantic Ocean. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *50* (12), 6172–6179. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b05891.

- (18) Stöven, T.; Tanhua, T.; Hoppema, M.; Von Appen, W.-J. Transient Tracer Distributions in the Fram Strait in 2012 and Inferred Anthropogenic Carbon Content and Transport. *Ocean Sci.* **2016**, *12* (1), 319–333. https://doi.org/10.5194/os-12-319-2016.
- (19) Kwok, K. Y.; Yamazaki, E.; Yamashita, N.; Taniyasu, S.; Murphy, M. B.; Horii, Y.; Petrick, G.; Kallerborn, R.; Kannan, K.; Murano, K.; Lam, P. K. S. Transport of Perfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) from an Arctic Glacier to Downstream Locations: Implications for Sources. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2013**, *447*, 46–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.10.091.
- (20) Young, C. J.; Furdui, V. I.; Franklin, J.; Koerner, R. M.; Muir, D. C. G.; Mabury, S. A. Perfluorinated Acids in Arctic Snow: New Evidence for Atmospheric Formation. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2007**, *41* (10), 3455–3461. https://doi.org/10.1021/es0626234.
- (21) Simcik, M. F.; Dorweiler, K. J. Ratio of Perfluorochemical Concentrations as a Tracer of Atmospheric Deposition to Surface Waters. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2005**, *39* (22), 8678– 8683. https://doi.org/10.1021/es0511218.
- (22) Zhang, X.; Zhang, Y.; Dassuncao, C.; Lohmann, R.; Sunderland, E. M. North Atlantic Deep Water Formation Inhibits High Arctic Contamination by Continental Perfluorooctane Sulfonate Discharges. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* **2017**, *31* (8), 1332–1343. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GB005624.
- (23) Benskin, J. P.; Muir, D. C. G.; Scott, B. F.; Spencer, C.; De Silva, A. O.; Kylin, H.; Martin, J. W.; Morris, A.; Lohmann, R.; Tomy, G.; Rosenberg, B.; Taniyasu, S.; Yamashita, N. Perfluoroalkyl Acids in the Atlantic and Canadian Arctic Oceans. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *46* (11), 5815–5823. https://doi.org/10.1021/es300578x.
- (24) Savvidou, E. K.; Sha, B.; Salter, M. E.; Cousins, I. T.; Johansson, J. H. Horizontal and Vertical Distribution of Perfluoroalkyl Acids (PFAAs) in the Water Column of the Atlantic Ocean. *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.* **2023**, *10* (5), 418–424.
- https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.3c00119.
- (25) Dunn, M.; Becanova, J.; Snook, J.; Ruyle, B.; Lohmann, R. Calibration of Perfluorinated Alkyl Acid Uptake Rates by a Tube Passive Sampler in Water. *ACS EST Water* **2023**, acsestwater.2c00384. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsestwater.2c00384.
- (26) Kaserzon, S. L.; Vijayasarathy, S.; Bräunig, J.; Mueller, L.; Hawker, D. W.; Thomas, K. V.; Mueller, J. F. Calibration and Validation of a Novel Passive Sampling Device for the Time Integrative Monitoring of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFASs) and Precursors in Contaminated Groundwater. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2019**, *366* (September 2018), 423–431. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2018.12.010.
- (27) Gardiner, C.; Robuck, A.; Becanova, J.; Cantwell, M.; Kaserzon, S.; Katz, D.; Mueller, J.; Lohmann, R. Field Validation of a Novel Passive Sampler for Dissolved PFAS in Surface Waters. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* **2022**, *41* (10), 2375–2385.
- https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5431.
- (28) Soltwedel, T.; Bauerfeind, E.; Bergmann, M.; Bracher, A.; Budaeva, N.; Busch, K.;
- Cherkasheva, A.; Fahl, K.; Grzelak, K.; Hasemann, C.; Jacob, M.; Kraft, A.; Lalande, C.;
- Metfies, K.; Nöthig, E.-M.; Meyer, K.; Quéric, N.-V.; Schewe, I.; Włodarska-Kowalczuk, M.;
- Klages, M. Natural Variability or Anthropogenically-Induced Variation? Insights from 15
- Years of Multidisciplinary Observations at the Arctic Marine LTER Site HAUSGARTEN. *Ecol.*
- *Indic.* **2016**, *65*, 89–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.10.001.
- (29) Morales-McDevitt, M. E.; Dunn, M.; Habib, A.; Vojta, S.; Becanova, J.; Lohmann, R. Poly-and Perfluorinated Alkyl Substances in Air and Water from Dhaka, Bangladesh. *Environ.*
- *Toxicol. Chem.* **2022**, *41* (2), 334–342. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5255.
- (30) Ahrens, L.; Barber, J. L.; Xie, Z.; Ebinghaus, R. Longitudinal and Latitudinal Distribution of Perfluoroalkyl Compounds in the Surface Water of the Atlantic Ocean. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2009**, *43* (9), 3122–3127. https://doi.org/10.1021/es803507p.
- (31) Yamashita, N.; Taniyasu, S.; Petrick, G.; Wei, S.; Gamo, T.; Lam, P. K. S.; Kannan, K.
- Perfluorinated Acids as Novel Chemical Tracers of Global Circulation of Ocean Waters. *Chemosphere* **2008**, *70* (7), 1247–1255.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2007.07.079.
- (32) González-Gaya, B.; Martínez-Varela, A.; Vila-Costa, M.; Casal, P.; Cerro-Gálvez, E.; Berrojalbiz, N.; Lundin, D.; Vidal, M.; Mompeán, C.; Bode, A.; Jiménez, B.; Dachs, J.
- Biodegradation as an Important Sink of Aromatic Hydrocarbons in the Oceans. *Nat. Geosci.* **2019**, *12* (2), 119–125. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-018-0285-3.
- (33) Ma, D.; Zhong, H.; Lv, J.; Wang, Y.; Jiang, G. Levels, Distributions, and Sources of Legacy and Novel per- and Perfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) in the Topsoil of Tianjin, China. *J. Environ. Sci.* **2022**, *112*, 71–81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2021.04.029.
- (34) Nilsson, H.; Kärrman, A.; Rotander, A.; van Bavel, B.; Lindström, G.; Westberg, H.
- Biotransformation of Fluorotelomer Compound to Perfluorocarboxylates in Humans.
- *Environ. Int.* **2013**, *51* (2013), 8–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2012.09.001.
-