

2006

Academic Standards and Calendar Committee Report #2005-06-4: Proposed New University Manual Section 8.26.13 and Amendment to Section 8.56.10.

University of Rhode Island Faculty Senate

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.uri.edu/facsen_bills

Recommended Citation

University of Rhode Island Faculty Senate, "Academic Standards and Calendar Committee Report #2005-06-4: Proposed New University Manual Section 8.26.13 and Amendment to Section 8.56.10." (2006). *Faculty Senate Bills*. Paper 1785.
http://digitalcommons.uri.edu/facsen_bills/1785

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Senate at DigitalCommons@URI. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Senate Bills by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@etal.uri.edu.



Faculty Senate


Serial Number #05-06--31

TO: President Robert L. Carothers

FROM: Michael A. Rice, Chairperson of the Faculty Senate

1. The attached BILL, titled Academic Standards and Calendar Committee Report #2005-06-4: Proposed New University Manual Section 8.26.13 and Amendment to Section 8.56.10, is forwarded for your consideration.
2. The original and two copies for your use are included.
3. This BILL was adopted by vote of the Faculty Senate on April 20, 2006.
4. After considering this bill, will you please indicate your approval or disapproval. Return the original or forward it to the Board of Governors, completing the appropriate endorsement below.
5. In accordance with Section 10, paragraph 4 of the Senate's By-Laws, this bill will become effective May 11, 2006 three weeks after Senate approval, unless: (1) specific dates for implementation are written into the bill; (2) you return it disapproved; (3) you forward it to the Board of Governors for their approval; or (4) the University Faculty petitions for a referendum. If the bill is forwarded to the Board of Governors, it will not become effective until approved by the Board.

April 24, 2006
(date)



 Michael A. Rice
 Chairperson of the Faculty Senate

~~ENDORSEMENT~~

TO: Chairperson of the Faculty Senate

FROM: President of the University

Returned.

- a. Approved
- b. Approved subject to final approval by Board of Governors ___.
- c. Disapproved ___.

5/4/06

(date)



 President

Academic Standards & Calendar Committee
Report #2005-06--4
Proposed New University Manual Section 8.26.13
Amendment to Section 8.56.10

As approved by the Faculty Senate on April 20, 2006

Last year the Academic Standards and Calendar Committee was directed to look into modifications of the existing regulations concerning grade appeals. The proposal brought to the 2004-2005 Faculty Senate was ultimately sent back to the Committee. The current proposal is the Academic Standards and Calendar Committee's second attempt at the revision of grade appeals procedures. The proposed language attempts to protect both instructors' rights and students' rights in appeals cases.

I. Grade Appeals Procedures

The Academic Standards and Calendar Committee recommends the addition of the following new section 8.26.13 to the University Manual and renumber existing 8.26.13 as 8.26.14.

8.26.13 Faculty members bear responsibility for the evaluation of students and their professional judgment in this regard is to be respected. Undergraduate and graduate students who object to a recorded grade in a course should discuss the matter initially with the instructor. If the issue remains unresolved, students should make their case in writing to the instructor's department chairperson or immediate administrative supervisor. If the chairperson/supervisor thinks the appeal has merit, she/he should so inform the instructor. If this still fails to produce resolution, the chairperson/supervisor should refer the matter to a departmental or college appeals committee* for a recommendation. (The latter would be appropriate in colleges lacking departments; otherwise, the case would go to a departmental committee. For petitions concerning grades, appeals committees at both levels shall consist of faculty from an instructor's department or discipline and include a faculty member from a closely allied department or discipline.) If, after investigating the appeal, the committee concludes that compelling reasons exist to modify a grade, it shall give the instructor a written explanation of its decision and ask

**Note: During discussion, it was agreed that colleges with departments may also choose to use a college appeals committee rather than a department appeals committee.*

that person to make the change. If the instructor still declines, he/she must provide the committee with a written explanation of the reasons for refusing. If, after considering the instructor's explanation, the committee agrees unanimously that it would be unjust to let the original grade stand, it shall recommend in writing to the chairperson/supervisor that the grade be changed over the instructor's objection. The chairperson/supervisor will then initiate the change, notifying the instructor, the student, the instructor's dean, the student's dean, and the Office of Student Affairs of this action. The only exception to these guidelines shall be in cases where the instructor can no longer be consulted (e.g., that person has died or moved to an unknown address). In these circumstances, the appropriate chairperson/supervisor can act in the stead of the absent instructor and modify a student's grade if a departmental or college appeals committee unanimously recommends such action in writing. In general, grades under appeal should not be considered when evaluating students for continuance in an academic program or for scholarship eligibility. (See section 8.56.10 concerning time limits for changes to recorded grades. Regarding cases involving cheating and plagiarism, see sections 8.27.10 through 8.27.21. For cases involving harassment/discrimination, see University Manual Appendix G, Policy #85-1.)

II. Changes in Records

The Academic Standards and Calendar Committee recommends that the Faculty Senate amend section 8.56.10 to bring the section on Changes in Records into agreement with the new appeals procedures set forth in the proposed 8.26.13: (New material underlined, deleted wording has ~~strikethrough~~)

8.56.10 Changes in Records. No grade (including that of NW) shall be changed after it has been reported to and recorded by the Office of Enrollment Services except in the following circumstances. First, a grade may be changed upon written request by the instructor. ~~to the dean of the instructor's college and approval by the dean.~~ Second, following an appeals case an instructor's department chairperson or immediate administrative supervisor shall act on the advice of the appeals committee (see 8.26.13) and change a grade over the instructor's objection. Third, in the event that the original instructor can no longer be consulted (e.g., having died or moved to an unknown address), the instructor's chairperson/supervisor can act in the missing person's stead and initiate a grade change, including the removal of inappropriate NW grades. This shall only happen, however, if an appeals committee has recommended such action in writing. All grade changes must be authorized by the appropriate dean. Entries to a student's academic record shall not be changed after two years for the undergraduate student and after three years for the graduate student. ~~Appropriate academic deans shall be authorized to allow exceptions for extraordinary reasons.~~ (See sections 8.26.10 through 8.26.13 for appeals procedures.)