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ABSTRACT

A modification of the AOAC method for thiamin determination utilizing reversed phase (RP) C\textsubscript{18} packing material was developed for analysis of milk, infant formula and breakfast cereal products. Thiamin was extracted from food samples and hydrolyzed following a similar Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC) (1984) procedure. The sample filtrate was purified by passing through the reversed phase C\textsubscript{18} column. An isocratic mobile phase consisting of 3% KCl and methanol (70 : 30) was used to elute thiamin from the reversed phase C\textsubscript{18} column. The sample eluate was oxidized to thiochrome and quantified on a fluorometer using similar AOAC procedure.

The experimental technique was compared to the existing AOAC (1984) method, which employs a Bio-Rex 70 resin in the purification step of thiamin analysis. There was no significant difference in the thiamin content of the samples determined by the two methods. However, there was significant difference in the recovery of thiamin, when taken through the method or added to food samples before extraction. The recoveries of the added thiamin were 97.97 ± 0.69\% and 92.67 ± 1.25\% for RP C\textsubscript{18} and AOAC (1984), respectively, the coefficient of variation was 0.70\% and 1.34\% for RP C\textsubscript{18} and AOAC (1984) methods respectively.
(1984), respectively, the coefficient of variation was 0.70% and 1.34% for RP C_{18} and AOAC (1984) methods respectively.

The RP C_{18} method was found to be better than the AOAC (1984) method in terms of accuracy, precision, and reproducibility. And the RP C_{18} proved to be faster than the AOAC (1984) method. There was no difference in the cost of the two methods. Analysis for thiamin in the two infant formulas used in this study, gave values that were 132.37% and 202.90% of the declared levels, while thiamin content of breakfast cereal was 210.33% of the declared level.
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INTRODUCTION

Thiamin (vitamin $B_1$) is an important nutrient in a human diet; it occurs in foods in either free or combined form; it is also added to some foods as an essential nutrient. Thiamin is required for the normal health and growth of humans.

The establishment of food labelling, in the last two decades, has resulted in a growing need for determination of micro-nutrients in labelled food products. This has created a need for methods that are fast, accurate, and applicable to different kinds of food matrices. Such methods should not require costly reagents or equipment, and should be suitable to both well and poorly equipped laboratories (Sebecic and Dragojevic, 1986).

Bioassay, microbiological, chemical and High Pressure Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) methods have been employed to determine thiamin content in food products. Biological methods are considered the reference standards for other methods because they determine the physiologically available vitamin rather than the total amount of vitamin present. The drawbacks of the biological methods are that
they are time consuming, and costly. Thus chemical methods are the most used procedures today (Mauro and Wetzel, 1984).

The thiochrome method is the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC) (1984) approved method for the determination of thiamin content. The method involves a) acid extraction of thiamin and its phosphate esters, b) dephosphorylization of the extracted thiamin phosphate esters with phosphatase or diastase enzyme, c) purification, d) oxidation of thiamin to thiochrome under alkaline conditions, e) extraction of thiochrome by Isobutanol and fluorescence measurement. Purification of thiamin is carried out on Bio-Rex 70, a weakly acidic cation exchange resin, to separate thiamin from interfering substances.

Several researchers have recently reported the use of High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) to determine thiamin content in many food matrices, both alone (Ayi, et al., 1985; Botticher and Botticher, 1986; Hilker and Clifford, 1982; Hurst, et al., 1983; Kimura, et al., 1982; Ohta, et al., 1984) and combined with other water soluble vitamins (Ang and Moseley, 1980; Augustin, 1984; Fellman, et al., 1982; Fingals and Faulks, 1984; Kamman, et al.,
1980; Mauro and Wetzed, 1984; Skurray, 1981; Toma and Tabekhia, 1979; Wills, et al., 1977; Wimalasiri and Wills, 1985). This technique has proved to have advantages over the official AOAC method in terms of sensitivity, specificity, accuracy and speed (Fellman, et al., 1982; Hilker and Clifford, 1982; Ohta, et al., 1984; Polsello and Rizzolo, 1986;), however the initial capital outlay and subsequent recurrent cost of maintaining the HPLC instrument are relatively high, and the equipment must be used by a skilled operator (Fingals and Faulks, 1987).

A comparison study (wills, et al., 1985) was made between HPLC, using a reverse phase C\textsubscript{18} column, and the AOAC (1980) methods for thiamin determination in different foods. The HPLC system gave thiamine values and recoveries which were 10\% higher than those obtained by the AOAC procedure. Fingals and Faulks, (1984) determined thiamin in potatoes by the HPLC system, equipped with a reversed phase C\textsubscript{18} column, which gave a recovery value of 95.2\%, HPLC also gave higher thiamin values than those obtained by the AOAC fluorometric method.

Wehling and Wetzel, (1984) reported similar thiamin levels in fortified cereal products analyzed with HPLC, using a reversed phase C\textsubscript{18} column, and AOAC techniques.
In another study (Ayi, et al., 1985), the HPLC, with Zorbax CN, 6µm column, and AOAC methods were utilized to determine vitamin B₁ content in infant formula products. The results obtained by both methods were in agreement with those obtained by Wehling and Wetzel, (1984). HPLC recovery studies yielded values of 100 - 102%.

Hurst, et al., (1983) employed HPLC, with reversed phase C₁₈ column, and AOAC methods to measure the thiamin content in milk chocolate. The AOAC method gave results that were high when compared to HPLC figures. Fellman, et al., (1982) reported higher thiamin values obtained by the AOAC method when compared to HPLC values, using reversed phase C₁₈ column, from a number of foods.

This study examined the possibility of modifying the AOAC method for thiamin determination by replacing the Bio-Rex 70 resin used in the purification step of AOAC method with the reverse phase C₁₈ adsorbent (RP C₁₈), which has been used in HPLC as the stationary phase by many investigators to separate and analyze thiamine in food matrices (Ellefson, 1985; Ellefson, et al., 1981; Fingals and Faulks, 1987; Fingals and Faulks, 1984; Kimura, et al., 1982; Mauro and Wetzed, 1984; Wills, et al., 1987; Wimalasiri and Wills, 1985). This material was packed into an open column and used in the purification
step of the AOAC procedure for thiamin analysis in milk, infant formula and breakfast cereal products.

The RP C\textsubscript{18} (50µ) packed into an open column has been used in this laboratory to separate carotene (Tsai et al, 1989) and retinol (Al-Abdulaly and Simpson, 1989) from various foods. Furthermore it was used to separate riboflavin, a water soluble vitamin, from milk and milk products (Saibu, 1988).

The results of this modified AOAC method will be compared with that of the official AOAC (1984) method in terms of cost, time, accuracy and recovery. The objective of this thesis is the development of a modified AOAC method for thiamin determination in food that is accurate and has the low cost and simplicity of the open column chromatography.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

samples:

Skim milk, whole milk, milk-based concentrate infant formulas a) Enfamil (Mead Johnson Nutritionals, IN) b) Similac (Ross Laboratories, OH) and a breakfast cereal (Kellogg's corn flakes fortified at 25% USRDA) were purchased from local supermarket.

Solvents:

Isobutanol (Fisher Scientific, NJ) was redistilled in an all-glass apparatus. Methanol (Fisher Scientific, NJ), Chloroform (Fisher Scientific NJ), Nitric acid (Fisher Scientific NJ), Sulfuric acid (Fisher Scientific, NJ) and Hydrochloric acid (Fisher Scientific, NJ) all met ACS specifications.

Equipment :

- Fluorescence Spectrophotometer (Perkin-Elmer MPF 2A).

- Chromatographic columns:

  - glass columns, with 50 ml reservoir at top and a column (180 mm * 9 mm od.) drawn into a capillary at bottom, were used for Bio-Rex 70 packing.

  - Glass columns, with 50 ml reservoir at top and
column (160 mm * 11.5 mm od.) drawn into a capillary at bottom, were used for RP C<sub>18</sub> packing.

All glassware and pipets were acid washed with 6 N HNO₃ to remove all interfering substances, and then rinsed with distilled water.

**Reagents:**
Deionized water was used to prepare all of the reagent solutions; all dilutions were carried out in volumetric glassware.

Reagents used were: Sodium hydroxide (Fisher Scientific, NJ), Thiamin hydrochloride (Fisher Scientific, NJ), Thiamin monophosphate chloride (Fluka, Switzerland), Thiamin pyrophosphate chloride (Pfaltz & Bauer, CT), Quinine sulfate (Fluka, Switzerland), Potassium chloride (Sigma, MO), Taka-diastase (Pfaltz & Bauer, CT), Sodium acetate (Sigma, MO), Papain (Sigma, MO), potassium ferricyanide (Baker, NJ), and Sodium Chloride (Sigma, MO). All reagents met ACS specifications.

Taka-diastase and papain were first tested before use to ensure that they are thiamin free.

Thiamin monophosphate and thiamin pyrophosphate standards, were treated with Taka-diastase and then their
fluorescence was compared with that of thiamin standard. This was done to determine the capability of the enzyme in releasing thiamin from its phosphate esters.

**Preparation of Vitamin B₁ standard solutions:**

- Stock thiamin standard: Thiamin hydrochloride was dried in oven at 100°C for two hours, to remove any H₂O present. 0.1000 g of thiamin was dissolved in 0.14 N HCl and diluted to 1 liter with the same. This solution was stored in a refrigerator at 4°C.

- Intermediate thiamin standard: 5 ml of stock standard was diluted to 250 ml with H₂O.

**Preparation of Quinine sulfate solution:**

- Stock quinine sulfate solution: 100 mg of quinine sulfate was dissolved in 0.1 N H₂SO₄, and diluted to 1 liter with the same.

- Working quinine sulfate solution: 3 ml of stock quinine sulfate solution was diluted to 1 liter with 0.1 N H₂SO₄ to give a final concentration of 0.3 mg/L.

Alkaline potassium ferricyanide was prepared immediately prior to use by diluting four ml of 1% potassium ferricyanide to 100 ml with 15% NaOH; it was kept refrigerated in a brown bottle.
Acid 25% potassium chloride solution was prepared by dissolving 250 g KCl in H₂O containing 8.5 ml concentrated HCl, and diluting to 1 L with H₂O.

Methods:

All sample preparations were performed under subdued incandescent light.

Two methods for thiamin analysis were compared. Sample preparation, extraction, hydrolysis, oxidation to thiochrome and measurement on fluorometer were the same. The only difference between the two methods was in the purification step. The first one used the Reversed Phase C₁₈ 50 µ packing material (Separation Technology, Wakefield, RI). The second method used Bio-Rex 70 resin 50-100 mesh hydrogen form (Bio Rad Laboratories, CA), the material used in the official AOAC method (1984).

Sample Extraction:

A modified AOAC method was used for extraction, enzyme hydrolysis, and oxidation of the samples.

- Extraction and hydrolysis of skim and whole milk:

  A 25 ml prepared sample were put in 250 ml Erlenmeyer flask. To the flask 50 ml 0.14 N HCl was added. The flask was covered with aluminum foil and
autoclaved at 121°C for 15 min. After cooling the extract to 50°C or lower, 5 ml of 2.5 N NaOAc were added to adjust the pH to 4.5 - 5.0, which is appropriate for the enzymes and five ml of freshly prepared enzyme suspension were added. The solution consisted of two enzymes, 1- 6% taka-diastase (phosphatase enzyme) to hydrolyze any phosphate esters of thiamin present to its free form. This step was necessary because thiochrome phosphates are not extracted by isobutyl alcohol (Hennessy and Cerecedo, 1939; Conner and Straub, 1941; Rindi and deGiuseppe, 1961; Clausen and Brown, 1943), and 2- 6% papain (proteolytic enzyme) to release thiamin bound to protein. The contents of the flask were mixed and incubated at 47°C for 3 hrs in a water bath, cooled to room temperature and transferred to a 100 ml volumetric flask. The extracts were then mixed thoroughly and filtered through No. 40 Whatman ash-free filter paper, which does not absorb thiamin, and the filtrate was stored in a refrigerator at 4°C.

- Extraction of infant formula (Enfamil and Similac):

Eight ml of infant formula concentrate were used. The samples were extracted and hydrolyzed the same way as skim and whole milk, except that only one enzyme, Taka-diastase, was used in the hydrolysis step.
- Extraction of breakfast cereal (Kellogg's corn flakes):

In order to facilitate the vitamin extraction the breakfast cereal was ground to a fine powder in a coffee beans grinder, to pass a 32 mesh screen. A 1 g sample was used. It was extracted and hydrolyzed as above, except that only one enzyme, Taka-diastase, was used in the hydrolysis step.

- Extraction and hydrolysis of thiamin working standard:

To a 250 ml Erlenmeyer flask, containing 45 ml 0.14 N HCl and 5 ml 2.5 N NaOAc, 5 ml thiamin intermediate standard (2 ug/ml) were added. It was extracted and hydrolyzed the same way as skim and whole milk, except that only Taka-diastase was used in the hydrolysis step.

Purification through the RP C\textsubscript{18} column:

A glass chromatography column, fitted with a 50 ml reservoir at the top and a column (160 mm long * 11.5 ml od.) drawn into a capillary at bottom was used. It was fitted with a piece of glass wool, placed over the upper end of the capillary. The column was packed with RP C\textsubscript{18} to a height of 6 cm. The surface of the stationary phase was covered with another piece of glass wool. Nitrogen gas was used to control column flow rate, which was 1 ml / min. or less. Different mixtures of solvents were examined
to choose the right mobile phase to elute thiamin from the RP C_{18} column.

The RP C_{18} column was prewetted with MeOH : H_{2}O (70 : 30). Five ml of sample filtrate were passed through the column and eluted with 3% KCl : MeOH (70 : 30). The second 10 ml eluate was collected and evaporated to near dryness under vacuum in a rotary evaporator. After evaporation, the residues was rehydrated and transferred to a 10 ml volumetric flask.

In another RP C_{18} column, 5 ml thiamin working standard filtrate were run through the column in the manner described above.

The RP C_{18} packed in the column was cleaned after elution with mixture of chloroform : methanol (60 : 40), and then rinsed with a mixture of methanol : water (70 : 30).

Purification through the Bio-Rex 70 resin:

A glass column, fitted with 50 ml reservoir at top and a column (180 mm long * 9 mm od) drawn into a capillary at bottom, was fitted with a piece of glass wool placed over upper end of capillary. It was packed with Bio-Rex 70
resin to a height of 10 cm. The flow rate was 1 ml/min, it was controlled by attaching polyethylene tube with metal clamps to the tip of capillary and adjusting the dial for flow rate.

A 10 ml sample filtrate was pipetted into the Bio-Rex 70 column. The filtrate was discarded, the column and reservoir were washed with three 5 ml portions of hot H$_2$O (63$^\circ$C), and the eluate was also discarded. Thiamin was eluted from the resin by passing five 4.5 ml portions of hot (63$^\circ$C) acid-25% KCl solution through the column. The eluate was collected into a 25 ml volumetric flask, cooled and diluted to volume with acid-25% KCl solution.

In another Bio-Rex 70 column 10 ml thiamin working standard filtrate were run through the resin as above.

The Bio-Rex 70 resin packed in the column was washed between runs with 1 N HCl, rinsed with deionized water, re-equilibrated by depacking the resin, and then placed in a beaker to be stirred with deionized water for 1 min before decanting. H$_2$O washes were repeated until excess acid had been removed. The resin was repacked in the column (Guide to Ion Exchange, Bio Rad).
Conversion to thiochrome:

To each of two 35 ml centrifuge tubes, 1.5 g NaCl and 5 ml of sample eluate were added. To the first centrifuge tube, 3 ml of the oxidizing reagent (1% alkaline ferricyanide solution) were added. The tube was swirled to ensure adequate mixing. Immediately, 11 ml isobutanol were added. The tube was stoppered and shaken vigorously for 90 sec. Thiamin is oxidized to thiochrome by potassium ferricyanide in the presence of strong alkali (Jansen, 1936; Kinnersley, et al., 1935; Hennessy and Cerecedo, 1939; Rosenberg, 1942). Thiochrome is soluble in isobutyl alcohol.

The second centrifuge tube (sample blank) was treated similarly, except that the oxidizing reagent was replaced with a 15% NaOH solution.

To each of another 2 centrifuge tubes, 1.5 g NaCl and 5 ml thiamin working standard eluate were added. These tubes were treated in the same manner as mentioned above for the tubes containing the sample eluate. After the addition of isobutanol to all tubes, the tubes were shaken again for 2 min in a shaker box then centrifuged for 1 min.

Measurement of thiochrome:

Thiochrome fluorescence was measured on the
fluorometer. Excitation and emission wavelengths were 365 nm and 425 nm respectively. Quinine sulfate standard was used to check the reproducibility of the fluorometer.

Thiamin content of the oxidized sample filtrate solution was determined by comparing fluorescence intensity of sample eluate with that from oxidized working standard eluate.

Thiamin content of the sample was calculated as follows:

$$\frac{S - SB}{ST - STB} \times C \times \frac{100}{SW} = \text{ug/g}$$

Where:

- $S = \%$ Transmittance of the sample;
- $SB = \%$ Transmittance of the sample blank;
- $ST = \%$ Transmittance of the standard;
- $STB = \%$ Transmittance of the standard blank;
- $C = \text{Concentration of the standard}$;
- $100 = \text{Total volume of original sample}$;
- $SW = \text{Sample weight}$;

Recovery method:

In the recovery studies of the RP $C_{18}$ and Bio-Rex 70
columns, the same extraction and hydrolyzation procedures used. However, 5 ml of thiamin intermediate standard (2 ug/ml) was added to the prepared samples prior to autoclaving. The filtrate of all the recovery samples were run through RP C\textsubscript{18} or Bio-Rex 70 columns. Recovery sample eluates were oxidized to thiochrome and measured on fluorometer in the same manner as other assay samples.

The percent recovery of the method was calculated by subtracting the corrected spiked sample reading from the corrected reading of sample alone. The difference was compared with the reading of oxidized thiamin working standard that bypassed the purification step.

The percentage recovery of the method was calculated as follows:

\[
\frac{[\text{RS} - \text{RSB}) - (\text{S} - \text{SB})]}{\text{WST} - \text{WSTB}} \times \text{DF} \times 100 = \%
\]

Where:

- \text{RS} = \% \text{Transmittance of spiked sample;}
- \text{RSB} = \% \text{Transmittance of spiked sample blank;}
- \text{S} = \% \text{Transmittance of sample;}
- \text{SB} = \% \text{Transmittance of sample blank;}
- \text{DF} = \text{Dilution factor;}

-16-
WST = % Transmittance of working standard that bypassed purification;

WSTB = % Transmittance of working standard blank that bypassed purification;

Column recovery:
The eluted working standards of both methods were checked against one that had bypassed the purification step. Fluorescence of both standards were compared and the recovery through the column was calculated as follow:

\[
\frac{(ST - STB) \times DF}{WST - WSTB} \times 100 = \%
\]

Where:
ST = % Transmittance of the standard;

STB = % Transmittance of the standard blank;

DF = Dilution factor;

WST = % Transmittance of working standard that bypassed purification;

WSTB = % Transmittance of working standard blank that bypassed purification;

Statistics:
The results of the RP C_{18} and Bio-Rex 70 methods were expressed as mean ± SD and compared using the t-test.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The thiamin standard was first checked by UV/VIS absorption to confirm its purity. The resultant spectrum was found to be the same as documented in the literature.

The Taka-diastase and Papain were proved to be thiamin free. Taka-diastase was found effective in releasing phosphates from thiamin. The recoveries were 94% and 95% from thiamin monophosphate and thiamin pyrophosphate respectively. Therefore the Taka-diastase was considered suitable for the dephosphorylation step (Ellefson, 1985).

The sample eluted from the RP C18 column was evaporated to near dryness to remove methanol present in the mobile phase (3% KCl : methanol 70 : 30). Methanol was found to increase the fluorescent intensity of the sample, resulting in higher and inaccurate thiamin content calculation. Figure 1 shows the fluorescence spectrum of the Enfamil sample, eluted from the RP C18 column, (a) after evaporation and (b) without evaporation. These spectrums clearly demonstrate the methanol effect on thiamin measurements (there was a 10% increase).
Figure 1 Fluorescence spectrum of Enfamil infant formula sample that was passed through the RP C_{18} column: (A) After evaporation and dilution with H_2O only. (B) Without evaporation.
Methanol’s effect on fluorescence intensity of thiamin was first reported by McFarlane and Chapman (1941) who suggested that methanol may stimulate the transfer of interfering substances from the aqueous layer to the isobutanol layer.

Linear regression of the relationship between fluorescent intensity and the amount of thiamin standard from seven different determinations at a concentration of 0.00 to 0.25 µg/ml resulted in a correlation coefficient of 0.998. These results indicated that the standard curve (figure 2) was practically linear within the range tested.

Table 1 presents the thiamin content of milk, infant formula, and a breakfast cereal product as measured by the reverse phase C₁₈ and AOAC methods. Each sample was analyzed in four replicates and the mean and standard deviation were calculated for each method. There is a close agreement in the values obtained by the two methods. As an example, the values determined for Enfamil were 67.95 µg/100 g by the AOAC and 67.60 µg/100 g by the RP C₁₈ method. The t-test did not show significant variation between the RP C₁₈ and AOAC methods of thiamin determination for any sample at both levels of 5% and 1% probability.

Table 2 presents a summary of the comparison of
Figure 2 Standard curve of thiamin working standard. Different concentrations of thiamin standard from 0.00 to 0.25 µg/ml, measured by Perkin-Elmer MPF 2A Fluorometer. (EX. 365 nm, EM. 425 nm).
thiamin values in foods attained by the RP C_{18}
column technique over those acquired by the Bio Rex 70
column technique. The results gave a mean value of 0.999,
showing that the two methods are comparable. The standard
deviation of 0.018, the coefficient of variation (C.V.) of
the mean ratio of results equal to 1.8\%, and a
correlation coefficient (r) of 0.999 were obtained,
meaning that the two methods gave similar results.

The recoveries of thiamin added to four replicate
samples of each type of food analyzed, were determined.
The results, summarized in Table 3, demonstrate that, for
each type of food tested, the use of the RP C_{18} method
resulted in a recovery of 96.64\% to 98.57\%, with an
average recovery of thiamin over all samples of 97.97\%.
However, the AOAC method, in our experiments, yielded a
recovery in the range of 90.89\% to 94.55\%, with an overall
mean recovery of 92.67\%. The standard deviations of the
mean recovery value of thiamin-spiked samples passed
through the Bio Rex 70 column generally were greater
(1.25) than values of those passed through the reversed
phase C_{18} column (0.69). The coefficient of variation
for the two methods were 0.70 and 1.34 by the RP C_{18} and
AOAC techniques respectively. The recovery difference
between the two methods were statistically significant at
levels of both 5\% and 1\% probability.
Table 1. Thiamin content of Milk, Infant Formula, and Breakfast cereal products determined by AOAC and Reverse Phase C$_{18}$ methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>AOAC</th>
<th>RP C$_{18}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Skim milk</td>
<td>36.26 ± 0.64*</td>
<td>37.16 ± 0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole milk</td>
<td>37.63 ± 1.75</td>
<td>38.14 ± 1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Similac</td>
<td>136.06 ± 3.07</td>
<td>132.86 ± 1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enfamil</td>
<td>67.95 ± 0.54</td>
<td>67.60 ± 0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn flakes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>breakfast cereal</td>
<td>2768 ± 44</td>
<td>2738 ± 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(25% USRDA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* average ± SD. for four replicates analyses.
Table 2. Comparison of Reverse Phase C\textsubscript{18} column technique with AOAC technique.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Vitamin B\textsubscript{1} values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RP C\textsubscript{18} / AOAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skim milk</td>
<td>1.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole milk</td>
<td>1.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Similac</td>
<td>0.976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enfamil</td>
<td>0.995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn flakes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>breakfast cereal</td>
<td>0.989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Recovery percentage of added thiamin from milk, infant formula, and breakfast cereal products obtained by RP C\textsubscript{18} and AOAC methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>AOAC</th>
<th>RPC\textsubscript{18}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Skim milk</td>
<td>93.13 ± 1.38*</td>
<td>96.64 ± 1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole milk</td>
<td>91.79 ± 2.09</td>
<td>98.57 ± 0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Similac</td>
<td>90.89 ± 1.64</td>
<td>98.29 ± 1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enfamil</td>
<td>94.55 ± 1.08</td>
<td>98.06 ± 0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn flakes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>breakfast cereal</td>
<td>92.97 ± 0.65</td>
<td>98.30 ± 1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ X \]

\[ SD \]

\[ C.V. \]

\[ * \text{average} \pm \text{SD for four replicates analyses.} \]
Using the AOAC method, with Decalso resin in the purification step, Pippin and Potter (1975), obtained recoveries in the range of 87% to 90% for samples containing different levels of thiamin. They attributed the majority of losses to incomplete adsorption and/or elution of thiamin during purification on the ion exchange resin. Wills et al (1985) reported recovery rates for the AOAC procedure ranging from 86.1% to 92.8%, with a mean recovery value of about 90%.

The recovery data suggest that lower losses of thiamin occurred in the Reversed Phase C18 method than in the AOAC method. In evaluating the two methods, all the steps were the same except for the purification step. This step may be where the recovery losses of the AOAC method occurred.

The accuracy and precision of the two methods can be expressed in terms of recovery and standard deviation respectively. Thus it can be suggested that the reverse phase C18 is more accurate and precise than the AOAC method.

A measurement of reproducibility of the two methods was performed using thiamin working standard, by running
the same standard four times through each column. The results of this measurement are represented in Table 4.

The data shown in table 4 agree well with those of the recovery samples (Table 3). The t-test did show significant difference between the values of the two methods at 1% probability. The average recovery value of the two columns were 98.47% and 94.23% for the RP C18 and Bio Rex 70 columns respectively. The standard deviation of the mean recovery percentage of thiamin standard eluted from the reverse phase C18 column was smaller (0.20) than for that of thiamin standard passed through the Bio Rex 70 column (0.73). The coefficient of variation were 0.20% and 0.77% for the reversed phase C18 and Bio Rex 70 columns respectively. These values indicate that the Rp C18 method yielded more reproducible results than the AOAC method.

The vitamin B1 values, as declared on labels of the food products and as measured by the reverse phase C18 technique are represented in Table 5. It appears from these values that for skim and whole milk, the declared and determined values are similar. However, the other samples showed large differences in thiamin content between the declared and determined values. Both infant
Table 4. Recovery percentage of thiamin working standard obtained by Rp C\textsubscript{18} and AOAC methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>AOAC</th>
<th>RP C\textsubscript{18}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>93.63 ± 0.95*</td>
<td>98.56 ± 0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>93.96 ± 1.30</td>
<td>98.80 ± 0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>95.50 ± 0.98</td>
<td>98.24 ± 0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>94.56 ± 0.75</td>
<td>98.35 ± 0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>93.52 ± 1.82</td>
<td>98.39 ± 1.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ X \quad \text{94.23} \quad \text{98.47} \]
\[ \text{SD} \quad \text{0.73} \quad \text{0.20} \]
\[ \text{CV} \quad \text{0.77\%} \quad \text{0.20\%} \]

* average ± SD for four replicates analyses.
Table 5. Comparison of measured thiamin values by RP C₁₈ against nutritional label declaration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Vitamin B₁ content (µg/100 g)</th>
<th>% of the declared level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Measured</td>
<td>Declared</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skim milk</td>
<td>37.16</td>
<td>36.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole milk</td>
<td>38.14</td>
<td>36.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enfamil</td>
<td>67.60</td>
<td>51.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Similac</td>
<td>132.9</td>
<td>65.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn flakes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>breakfast cereal</td>
<td>2738</td>
<td>1302</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
formula products produced unusually high values, although the difference was more pronounced in the Similac sample. The percentage of label declaration value for Enfamil and Similac were 132.4% and 209.1% respectively. The difference observed between the two formulas is significant at the 1% probability.

The thiamin levels exceeded the minimum requirements of the Infant Formula Act (1980) (40 µg/100 K Cal. = 26.19 µg/100 g). This Act does not specify maximum limits for the vitamin. The infant formulas used in this study were concentrated liquid prepared from a nonfat milk base. The declared levels were 51.07 µg/100 g and 65.48 µg/100 g for Enfamil and Similac respectively, while the measured levels were 67.60 µg/100 g and 132.9 µg/100 g for Enfamil and Similac respectively. This difference between the declared and measured levels was expected since other authors reported high levels of thiamin in infant formula. Ayi et al, (1985) determined the thiamin content in infant formula products by the HPLC and AOAC (1980) methods. The two methods gave comparable values. Vitamin B₁ levels in these products ranged from 122 to 216% of the declared levels. Also these results are in agreement with the values obtained by Martin et al, (1987), who determined thiamin content in 76 milk and soy based infant formula
samples. Thiamin contents were found to be $172.2\% \pm 52.8\%$ of label declaration.

The breakfast cereal sample showed a large difference between vitamin $B_1$ values measured and that calculated from the information on the label. The percentage found was $210.3\%$ of the declared level. Vanderslice and Huang (1986) found thiamin in breakfast cereal to be $171\%$ of the value declared on the label. This was further supported by the findings of Hilker and Clifford (1982), They determined the thiamin content to be $141\%$ of the declared level on the breakfast cereal label.

Thiamin has particular stability problems. Heat causes thiamin losses during the production process. So the manufacturers of the fortified products must take into account potential losses that may happen during the processing and storage of the product. Accordingly, declared levels can be lower than the amount present in the product (Martin et al, 1987).

Table 6 presents vitamin $B_1$ values in milk, infant formula, and breakfast cereal products reported in the literature. The results obtained in this investigation (Table 1) and the levels reported by other researchers are
Table 6. Thiamin content of Milk, Infant Formula, and Breakfast cereal products found in the literature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Vitamin B₁ content (µg/100 g)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Skim milk</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Agriculture handbook No. 8-1 (1976)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole milk</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Agriculture Handbook No. 8-1 (1976)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole milk</td>
<td>33 - 37</td>
<td>Skurray (1981)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole milk</td>
<td>39.24</td>
<td>Halliday and Deuel (1941)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant formula</td>
<td>66 - 137</td>
<td>Ayi et al, (1985)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant formula</td>
<td>106.5 ± 39.7</td>
<td>Martin et al, (1987)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breakfast cereal</td>
<td>1080 - 1120 (25% USRDA)</td>
<td>Skurray (1981)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breakfast cereal</td>
<td>1150 - 1360 (25% USRDA)</td>
<td>Kamman et al, (1980)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
in agreement except for the corn flakes breakfast cereal.

The time required for the sample purification through the reversed phase C\textsubscript{18} column was 20 min. Another 15 min were needed for the evaporation and dilution of the eluate, while the time required for the purification through the Bio Rex 70 column was 50 min. From this comparison we can notice that reverse phase C\textsubscript{18} column reduced the time required for sample purification by 15 min.

In the regeneration and re-equilibration process of the Bio Rex 70 column, the packing material must be unpacked, re-equilibrated in a beaker and then repacked again (BIO Rad). This process will consume some time, especially if there are many samples to be analyzed in the same day. However, the reverse phase C\textsubscript{18} packing material can be cleaned without unpacking from the column, which is another advantage of the reverse phase C\textsubscript{18} method.

The RP C\textsubscript{18} packing material cost is higher than that of the Bio Rex 70 resin (The cost of 100 g RP C\textsubscript{18} packing material is 200 $, while the cost of the same amount of Bio-Rex 70 resin is 47 $). However, the Bio Rex
70 resin showed a decrease in efficiency after several samples had been run through the resin. Hence the column must be packed with a new packing material. The reverse phase C$_{18}$ packing material gave good results throughout a large number of runs when it was cleaned between runs. Therefore the cost of packing material of the two methods seems comparable.

The mobile phase cost of the reverse phase column is equal or less than that of the Bio Rex 70 column (6.5 cents per run for Bio Rex 70 compared to 2 cents per run for RP C$_{18}$).

From the above discussion we can note that the reverse phase C$_{18}$ method reduces the time and cost of thiamin analysis comparing to the AOAC (1984) method.
CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the use of reverse phase C$_{18}$ packing material in the purification step of the AOAC (1984) method for thiamin determination in milk, infant formula and a breakfast cereal product resulted in a modified of the AOAC method. This method has the advantages of HPLC in terms of accuracy and precision, and the simplicity and low cost of open column chromatography. This method is faster, more accurate, precise and reproducible when compared to the existing AOAC method. This method can be employed in laboratories which do not have the HPLC instrument or where it used to perform analyses other than thiamin.
LITERATURE CITED


Ayi, B. K., Yuhas, D. M., Moffett, K. S., Joyce, D. M., &


Ellefson, W. C., Richter, E., Adams, M., & Baillies, N.


Biochem. J., 29, 2369-84.


Thiamin (vitamin B₁) is essential in the nutrition of humans. Thiamin deficiency in the human diet causes a condition known as beriberi. This is characterized by loss of appetite and weight (Lehninger, 1982). Metabolism involving degeneration of the peripheral nerves, coupled with high concentrations of lactate and pyruvate in the blood, are ensuing in the latter stage of deficiency (Ellissen, 1983).

Thiamin pyrophosphokinase metabolizes thiamin to thiamin pyrophosphate (TPP) in animal cells (Kawasaki and Sanooru, 1985). TPP, the coenzyme form of the vitamin, plays an essential role in the glycolytic pathway, the citric acid cycle, and the pentose phosphate pathway (Lamaze, 1972).

The Recommended Daily Allowance (RDA) of thiamin is from 1-1.5 mg/day for adults.

Thiamin is a vitamin found in a wide variety of foods. It is essential for the normal functioning of the nervous system and the cardiovascular system. Thiamin deficiency can lead to beriberi, a disease characterized by weakness, tingling sensations, and neuropathy.

**APPENDIX A**

**LITERATURE REVIEW**

The Recommended Daily Allowance (RDA) of thiamin in adults is typically set at 1-1.5 mg/day.
Thiamin (vitamin B₁) is essential in the nutrition of humans. Thiamin deficiency in the human diet causes a condition known as beriberi. This is characterized by loss of appetite and weight (Lehninger, 1982). Polyneuritis involving degeneration of the peripheral nerves, coupled with high concentration of lactate and pyruvate in the blood, may develop in the latter stage of deficiency (Ellefson, 1985).

Thiamin pyrophosphokinase metabolizes thiamin to thiamin pyrophosphate (TPP) in animal cells (Kawasaki and Sanemori, 1985). TPP, the coenzyme form of the vitamin, plays an important role in glycolysis and the glycolytic pathway, the citric acid cycle and the pentose pathway (Lamden, 1972).

The Recommended Daily Allowance (RDA) of thiamin is from 1.0 to 1.5 mg/day for adults.

The thiamin molecule consists of a pyrimidine compound and a thiazole compound connected by a methylene group. In pure form thiamin is a white crystalline powder with a characteristic odor and slightly bitter taste. It is hygroscopic, easily soluble in water, less soluble in
methanol, nearly insoluble in ethanol, and insoluble in ether, benzene, chloroform and hexane.

Thiamin in dry form is stable to the atmospheric oxidation. In aqueous form it is sensitive to oxidation and reduction. At pH below 5 thiamin solution can stand sterilization at 110°C, however, at pH above 5 thiamin is rapidly destroyed when the solution is heated to this temperature.

As shown in figure A-1, vitamin B₁ occurs in biological materials in the free form, as the thiamin monophosphate, thiamin pyrophosphate and thiamin triphosphate (Lamden, 1972). In plant tissue thiamin is mostly found in the free form, while the most abundant form in animal tissue is the pyrophosphate.

Thiamin is found in many plants. Fruit and vegetables contain small amounts, while the outside coats of grains have high amount of the vitamin. In animals, thiamin is found in various organs (heart, liver, kidney, and brain) (Rosenberg, 1942).

Foods like nuts, pork, yeast and cereal germs are particularly rich in thiamin (Ellefson, 1985). Products
Figure A.1 Structures of Thiamin and its related compounds.
Thiamin

Thlochrome

\[ \text{Thiamin monophosphate} \]

\[ \text{Thiamin pyrophosphate} \]

\[ \text{Thiamin triphosphate} \]
such as white bread, white flour, breakfast cereals, spaghetti, and macaroni are low in vitamin B₁ and are usually enriched or fortified (Lamden, 1972).

Animal assays were the first methods developed to measure thiamin contents in food. Animals that have been utilized are the chick, the pigeon and the rat (Lamden, 1972). The most used animal assays were growth measurements and curative tests (Ellefson, 1985). Animal assays are useful because they are specific for thiamin and are of important in determining all forms of thiamin that are physiologically available to animals. Also, because animal assays determine all biological forms of thiamin, there is no need for the extraction or pretreatment of the sample as required by other methods (Lamden, 1972).

The main disadvantages of these assays are time required and high cost. The growth test takes 6 to 8 weeks, while the curative test is slightly faster. The use of large numbers of animals and specially prepared diet, coupled with the long feeding time needed, caused these tests to be expensive (Ellefson, 1985).

Different microbiological methods have been employed in thiamine determination. Fermentation and the growth of
or acid production by bacteria, yeasts, molds or fungi have been used. These methods are less expensive, faster, more sensitive, and give more reproducible results than animal methods. An microbiological assay takes from 4 hours to 3 days to complete. The main disadvantage of these methods is the effect of other materials such as the breakdown products of thiamin. The microorganisms respond to these products in the same manner as thiamin, thus, these methods are not often reproducible (Ellefson, 1985).

Most of the colorimetric methods suggested for determination of thiamin depend on the reaction of the vitamin with a diazoitized reagent.

The most successful reagent was diazotized p-aminoacetophenon. It was introduced by Prebluda and McCollum (1939). In alkaline solutions the reagent reacts with the thiazole portion of thiamin to form a purple-red color. The colored compound produced is insoluble in water. This reaction was developed into a satisfactory quantitative method by Melnick and Field (1939). They described a procedure for the extraction of the purple-red colored compound with exylene, and the purification and concentration of thiamin by means of zeolite adsorption. It is the most widely used colorimetric method for thiamin determination.
In colorimetric method larger amount of thiamin (20 - 100 µg) is required in the test sample than for the thiochrome method. The determination although specific, is rather complex, tedious and time consuming (Mickelsen and Yamamoto, 1958).

In thiamin determination both colorimetric and thiochrome methods have similar initial steps. These include: extraction with dilute hydrochloric acid or sulfuric acid; hydrolysis of the phosphate esters of thiamin by phosphatase containing enzyme; adsorption on base or ion exchange column and elution of thiamin (Lamden, 1972).

Thiochrome method for thiamin determination had its beginning in the work of Peters in 1935, who discovered that the oxidation of thiamin resulted in its conversion to a strongly blue fluorescent material which was called thiochrome. Jansen (1936) was the first to utilize this property of thiamin as the basis for a quantitative estimation of thiamin. Hennessy and Cercedo (1939) improved the method by introducing an enzyme for the hydrolysis of the phosphate esters of thiamin and used a base exchange zeolite to separate thiamin from impurities which interfere in the determination. Although their
procedure was studied and occasionally modified, it is still the basis for thiochrome method. Recently Bio-Rex 70 ion exchange resin has replaced Decalso resin used in the purification step (Effelson et al., 1981).

The thiochrome method is the standard method of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC). The manual thiochrome method will measure 1 to 20 µg of thiamin in the test sample (Lamden, 1972). The advantages of this method over the biological and colorimetric methods are: rapid analysis, good precision and a wide range of applications (Ellefson, 1981).

Gas Chromatography (GC) was also applied for thiamin measurement. A nitrogen-phosphorus detector has been used to detect thiamin in meat, vegetables and cereals (Echols et al., 1983) and in milk (Echols et al., 1985). Advantages of the GC are simplicity of procedure, ease of standardization, and lack of cleanup. However, disadvantages of the GC procedure are the relatively large amount of sample needed, the high cost of the instrumentation and the long time required to run a GC analysis (Echols et al., 1983).

In recent years there have been an increase in the number of analytical methods utilizing high performance
liquid chromatography (HPLC) for thiamin determination in food. HPLC methods usually utilize the same acid extraction and enzyme hydrolysis used in the AOAC method, followed by protein precipitation with trichloroacetic acid when oxidation to thiochrome was adopted (Fingals and Faulks, 1984; Hurst et al., 1983; Ohta et al., 1984). However, when the purpose was to measure non-derivatized thiamin, acid extraction followed by a clean-up and concentration step through disposable columns (Hilker and Clifford, 1982), decreased the analysis time. This extraction technique allowed the detection of thiamin phosphate esters as well as free thiamin by a UV detector (Hilker and Clifford, 1982; Kimura et al., 1982; Panijban et al., 1982; Vanderslice and Huang, 1986).

The reversed phase (RP), using C\textsubscript{18} and C\textsubscript{8} is the most widely employed HPLC system for thiamin analysis (Polsello and Rizzolo, 1986). On the other hand different mobile phases were utilized, such as organic solvents (Ang and Moseley, 1980; Fingals and Faulks, 1984), ion pairing (Augustin, 1984; Kamman et al., 1980; Skurray, 1981; Toma and Tabekhia, 1979), organic aqueous buffer mixtures (Fellman et al., 1982; Hilker and Cliffer, 1982), and aqueous buffer eluents (Ohta et al., 1984). Vitamin B\textsubscript{1} was detected and measured either directly as thiamin by UV
detection (Ayi et al., 1985; Hilker and Clifford, 1982; Kamman et al., 1980; Toma and Tabekhia, 1979; Vanderslice and Huang, 1986), or indirectly as thiochrome, by flourimetric detection, which can be obtained after pre column (Ang and Moseley, 1980; Augustin, 1984; Botticher and Botticher, 1986; Fellman et al., 1982; Fingals and Faulks, 1984; Skurray, 1981), or post column (Hurst et al., 1983; Ohta et al., 1984; Vanderslice and Huang, 1986) oxidation.

The HPLC method has many advantages over the manual AOAC thiochrome method such as direct analysis without derivatization, simultaneous determination of different vitamins in a single analysis, a reduced analysis time and good precision and accuracy (Polsello and Rizzolo, 1986). However, the initial capital cost and subsequent recurrent cost of HPLC instrumentation are relatively high and a skilled operator is needed (Fingals and Faulks, 1987).

The RP C₁₈ (50µ) packed into an open column has been used in this laboratory to separate carotene (Tsai et al, 1989) and retinol (Al-Abdulaly and Simpson, 1989) from various foods. Furthermore, it was used to separate riboflavin, a water soluble vitamin, from milk and milk products (Saibu, 1988).
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