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ABSTRACT

Ezra Pound's correspondence with Congressman George H. Tinkham of Massachusetts, who served from 1915 to 1943, is a substantial body of Pound letters that can be classified as "political correspondence." Extending from February 1933 through the 1940 national elections, these 100 letters provide an extended discussion of many of Pound's economic and political ideas, especially as they relate to his twin efforts to unseat President Roosevelt and head off the impending war.

As the introductory essay shows by placing the correspondence in its historical, biographical, and rhetorical contexts, the Pound/Tinkham letters shed a sustained light on the poet as he was during the turbulent decade that culminated in his incarceration at Pisa and the treason indictment. What stands out clearly is that Pound, in his efforts to convert thought into action, was not only committed to his vision of a new administration in Washington, not to mention a new world order, but also convinced that he himself could be instrumental in making it happen. As a result of this commitment and belief, Pound doggedly persevered in his self-appointed role of advisor, exhorter, and political strategist, despite the absence of any sign that his advice, exhortations, and strategies would be acted upon. The final impression the letters create is perhaps a quixotic Pound, and certainly one who retains the familiar antisemitism and meanness of spirit, but one whose patriotism is beyond question.

In addition to the annotated text of the letters and the critical introduction, an index and a cross-reference list to the Cantos are provided.
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This dissertation is an edition of the correspondence between Ezra Pound and George Holden Tinkham, the United States Representative from the 11th (later the 10th) Massachusetts District from 1915 to 1943. It contains all of the extant Pound/Tinkham correspondence, as well as a few letters written to Pound on behalf of the congressman by Tinkham's secretaries, Grace Hamelin and Gertrude Ryan. There are one hundred letters in all, dating from 6 February 1933 until 26 December 1940. The original letters from Tinkham (and his secretaries) to Pound and the carbon copies of the letters from Pound to Tinkham, are kept at the Beinecke Library at Yale University. The whereabouts of Pound's original letters to Tinkham are unknown.

In addition to the letters themselves, which I have annotated at some length, I have provided an introductory essay that describes the significance of the correspondence and defines its historical, biographical, and rhetorical contexts. Following the annotated text of the letters, I have included as a research tool an appendix that cross-references names of persons who are mentioned in both the letters and the Cantos; an index of all persons mentioned in the letters; and a complete bibliography of works cited.

In editing the letters I have used the following conventions:

1. **Arrangement.** The letters are arranged chronologically. In most cases the letters are dated. Where I have had to supply missing dates (usually the year) I have done so on the basis of internal evidence and references to previous letters within the collection.
2. **Missing Passages.** Missing passages are indicated by a series of three asterisks (***)

3. **Crossouts.** Crossouts have been edited according to category as follows:
   a. Crossouts that mark misspellings or typographical errors have been silently removed.
   b. Crossouts that reflect reconsiderations or simple errors in thinking are indicated by enclosing the item in braces ({}).
   c. Crossouts that are indeterminate because the item is totally obscured are indicated by a series of upper case letters, the same ones Pound used to obscure the item (e.g., HHHHH).

4. **False Starts.** False starts (where Pound mistyped a word, then typed it correctly but neglected to cross out the error) have been silently eliminated.

5. **Misspellings.** Pound's playful or otherwise intentional misspellings have been retained, but those that are clearly unintended or that result from typographical errors have been silently corrected. Misspellings whose cause is indeterminate have been retained, but the Latin marker sic is inserted in square brackets immediately following the word.

6. **Upper/Lower Case Inconsistencies.** Pound's inconsistent capitalization of proper names relating to nationalities (e.g., British vs. british) has been retained. However, with regard to Pound's habit of using lower case letters at the beginning of some sentences, case has been determined according to the following principle: if the sentence may be interpreted as an afterthought in relation to the previous sentence, thereby rendering the first period problematical, the lower
case letter has been retained; on the other hand, if the second sentence
bears no such relationship to the first, the initial lower case letter
has been silently changed to a capital.

7. **Punctuation.** Punctuation has not been altered except where Pound
neglects to supply closing parentheses and, in one case, a closing
quotation mark. In each circumstance, the righthand parenthesis or
quotation mark has been silently inserted using editorial judgment.
Pound's idiosyncratic use of the slanted line (/) in place of conven-
tional punctuation has been emulated because, in many cases, it would be
too difficult, if not impossible, to determine which conventional mark
would be appropriate.

8. **Emphasis.** Pound's use of capital letters for emphasis has been
emulated.

9. **Typography.** Pound's use of the "equals" sign in place of the hyphen
and his use of the upper case I in place of the Arabic numeral 1 have
been emulated. While these substitutions may have been dictated by
mechanical or keyboard limitations of Pound's typewriter, they
nonetheless contribute to the peculiar character of the letters and, for
that reason, should be retained.

10. **Editorial Insertions.** My editorial comments, of which there are
few, are indicated by square brackets ([ ]). Also, in letters where I
have had to insert part of the date (usually the year), I have enclosed
my insertion in square brackets ([ ]).

11. **Annotations.** To make the notes more accessible to the reader, they
have been placed immediately following the particular letters with which
they are associated.
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INTRODUCTION

Ezra Pound's prolific letter-writing has been well documented in numerous Pound biographies, and many of his letters have been published in book form. The Selected Letters, published in 1950, is probably the most familiar volume, but there are additional volumes devoted to his correspondence with specific individuals as well. These individual volumes include Pound's correspondence with his wife-to-be, Dorothy Shakespear; his sometime mentor, Joseph Darling ("Bib") Ibbotson; one of his proteges, John Theobald; as well as more famous people such as James Joyce and Wyndham Lewis. The available letters, however, despite their great number, represent merely the tip of the iceberg of the complete Pound correspondence, most of which still resides at university libraries, particularly those at Indiana University, Yale University, and the University of Texas at Austin. Nor does the published correspondence provide a true cross-section of Pound's letters. While the published letters do include correspondence with a diversity of individuals and cover most of Pound's favorite themes, they do not include a body of letters that could be clearly identified as "political correspondence." Indeed, what is missing from the Selected Letters and from the other volumes is Pound's correspondence with politicians and other public officials who were in a position to influence public policy. As a result, the published letters omit a sustained, focused discussion of politics and economics, an omission that is striking because of Pound's well-documented political concerns and his obsession with economics.

The omission is even more striking because Pound did carry on a voluminous correspondence with public officials, especially those who
were part of or who held office during Roosevelt's New Deal Administration (Pearlman 419; Walkiewicz and Witemeyer 441). Of this large political segment of Pound's letter-writing, his "three most sustained correspondences" were with Senator William Borah of Idaho, Senator Bronson Cutting of New Mexico, and Representative George H. Tinkham of Massachusetts—all Republicans with whom Pound felt an emotional and philosophical affinity (Pearlman 419-20).

Although Senators Borah and Cutting were doubtlessly more important than Tinkham in terms of national politics, the Massachusetts Congressman was not a complete unknown. In 1930, during a session of Senator Caraway's lobby investigating committee, he entered the national limelight by delivering an eloquent speech attacking two influential church organizations, the Board of Temperance, Prohibition and Public Morals of the Methodist Episcopal Church, and the Federal Council of the Churches of Christ in America (McKee 298). Moreover, his name resurfaced from time to time thereafter, usually in connection with speeches or other statements he would release to the press against one or another of his "great hatreds," which included, among others, virtually any form of internationalism (not the least of which was international finance), pacifism, Roosevelt and the New Deal, as well as violations of the principle of separation of church and state (McKee 304; "Tinkham, George H[olden]" 838). However, apart from his red beard, which was universally acknowledged as the most impressive in Congress, and his collection of African game trophies and other exotic items that filled his Washington apartment ("one of the most bizarre places in the city") (McKee 304), these intermittent attacks comprised his only basis for a national reputation. Expending precious little
energy on the daily affairs of Congress and being a signatory to no significant legislation of national scope, he preferred to minister to the wants of his Boston constituents—a task to which he applied himself and the resources of his office with extreme diligence. For the rest, he spent his time gathering vast stores of information that would support his political philosophy and supply ammunition for his eloquent harangues. He was therefore regarded by many as an effective Congressman within the domain of his constituency on the one hand, and a knight-errant tilting at straw dragons on the other (McKee 301-04; "Tinkham, George H[olden]" 838).

Despite his lack of national stature, Tinkham's correspondence with Pound is more important than the correspondence of Borah and Cutting in terms of quantity and reciprocity. It is more extensive than the Borah correspondence by three-to-one, in addition to being more reciprocal, Borah having written only "three brief replies"; and the Cutting correspondence was cut short by the Senator's untimely death in 1935 (Pearlman 419-20). Apart from quantity and reciprocity, moreover, the Pound-Tinkham letters are valuable in their own right. Extending from February 1933 through the 1940 national elections, thus spanning most of the turbulent 1930s with its world economic and political crises culminating in the Second World War, Pound's letters to the Massachusetts Congressman concentrate on many of the poet's economic and political ideas that are dispersed throughout the poetry of the Cantos and expressed variously in prose works such as ABC of Economics (1933), Jefferson and/or Mussolini (1935), and Guide to Kulchur (1938). Moreover, the letters refer candidly, and in many cases repeatedly, to more than 300 personalities and events, the overwhelming majority of
which are referred to in Pound's literary texts. More than 20% of these references appear in the *Cantos* alone. Since Pound's poetry and published prose are so highly allusive and yet restricted to a limited number of characteristic themes, and since Pound's correspondence with Tinkham addresses some of the more important themes and shares many of the allusions, the letters can serve as a suggestive commentary on or companion to the literature.

In addition, the letters show Pound in an interesting rhetorical position. Writing to a United States Congressman whom he perceives as right-thinking on important issues, Pound's self-appointed role is neither to criticize nor to convert, but to inform, encourage, and assist. We see in these letters not just the impassioned lecturer or cantankerous gadfly, but a political advisor, strategist, and would-be aide, who offers his services out of a developing friendship, a common philosophy, and a desire to put this philosophy into action at the highest levels of national government. This is not to say that elements of the more familiar Pound do not pervade these letters—indeed, they are clearly and abundantly there. But Pound the lecturer and social critic, the venter of spleen and opinion, and even the self-acknowledged Great Man are subordinate to Pound the citizen-in-absentia, the eccentric patriot bent on action, the intellectual in the (hypothetical) caucus room. Apart from extending (or refining) our already multiple perspectives on Pound, the consistent rhetorical stance he assumes in these letters is important because it helps to explain, though not to excuse, later events in his life, notably his Rome Radio speeches and subsequent indictment for treason—and this despite the wrongheadedness and mean-spiritedness of some of his ideas and comments in many of the
letters themselves.

What has been said thus far is intended to explain the need for the present volume of letters: in sum, I have argued that the Pound-Tinkham correspondence will begin to fill the political gap in the available Pound letters as well as contribute to Pound studies in general. What follows is a series of contexts--historical, biographical, and rhetorical--that are presented as a threefold approach to situate and understand the letters and their production.

The Historical Context

The 1930s was a climactic decade for the Western democracies and for America in particular. Beginning with the economic collapse of a capitalism gone haywire, the worldwide depression was a time of political upheaval and social and economic chaos in which the material and spiritual needs of Europeans were held hostage to presumably irreconcilable ideologies rather than met by effective policy. As Arthur M. Schlesinger, Jr., has pointed out, the prevailing viewpoint in Europe held that a state-directed economy was wholly inconsistent with capitalism. There could be no middle ground, no socially directed capitalism, so the choices were either/or: either "parliamentary democracy with economic chaos" or "economic authoritarianism with political tyranny" ("Sources" 98). The more willful choice was the latter, and by 1933 it had long been made in Soviet Russia, Germany, and Italy, where the totalitarian option had already produced the Communist, Nazi, and, most pertinent to Ezra Pound, Fascist states. The democratic option was less a choice than a failure to choose, for the liberal governments in countries such as Great Britain and France, especially at
the beginning of the decade, opted for little more than the status quo. Seeing no middle-ground possibility and refusing to commit themselves to complete socialism, "they had no alternative but to accept the logic of laissez-faire" and somehow survive the crisis (100).

The great exception to these alternatives--totalitarianism on the Right and Left versus the ineffectual coexistence of liberal-socialist sentiment and laissez-faire capitalism--was the American experiment of Franklin Roosevelt's Administration. The virtues of the New Deal--the hope that it inspired as well as its accomplishments--derived from its ability to implement practical measures that pulled in the reins of an irresponsible capitalism on the one hand, and to remain free of the constraints of ideological purism on the other. Moreover, it did so without shocking the American system. The New Deal did not constitute a revolutionary change in American life, a cataclysmic shift in response to economic collapse; rather, it responded to the collapse and the subsequent Great Depression in an evolutionary way. It brought to fruition various developments stemming from widespread unrest among diverse groups, including labor, farmers, intellectuals, and ethnic minorities--developments that had been gathering momentum beneath the facade of national prosperity (95-97). The "liberal pragmatist par excellence", Roosevelt used what was at hand from the American liberal-capitalist tradition, along with some new ideas from Keynesian economics (e.g., emphasis on government spending and public works) to forge new and effective policies that revitalized the national social and economic health within the constraints of a sometimes strained but ultimately flexible Constitution (103-04).

Keynes himself perceived the boldness of Roosevelt's program,
praising its promise, though not without noting its risks. Schlesinger quotes Keynes from an open letter to the President, written in 1933:

You have made yourself the trustee for those in every country who seek to mend the evils of our condition by reasoned experiment within the framework of the existing social system. If you fail, rational choice will be gravely prejudiced throughout the world, leaving orthodoxy and revolution to fight it out. But, if you succeed, new and bolder methods will be tried everywhere, and we may date the first chapter of a new economic era from your accession to office. (104)

Keynes was not alone in the tone of his early perceptions of the New Deal. Ezra Pound, too, saw both promise and risks in Roosevelt's "accession," although he would articulate them differently than Keynes, and although, unlike Keynes (for whom he had no use, calling him a "blathering trained seal" in Letter 15), he would quickly shift from proponent to severe critic. A glance at where Pound stood in the course of his life and thought by the early 1930s should help to explain what underlay those views in general and the Tinkham letters in particular.

Vortex Rapallo and the Anti-Roosevelt Campaign

When Pound moved to Rapallo in 1924, it marked the third in a series of self-imposed "exiles" that had begun more than a decade earlier. Having visited London briefly in 1906 and having lived there from 1908 to 1910, Pound returned in earnest in 1911 (Stock, Life 29, 53-96). For him life in the United States had been intellectually, artistically, and spiritually barren. New York, according to Michael Reck's account, was "too provincial" for Pound, and "American poetry was
almost nonexistent" (18). London, however, "was Pound's Mecca" (11), and during his long sojourn there he centered himself in circles of intellectual and artistic vitality. The First World War put an end to that, however, and in 1921, "fed up with England" (39), he moved to Paris. Immersed in "the magical Paris ambiance" (40), Pound regained his intellectual and creative energies, but after four years he "again felt that he had come to a dead end" (48). By 1924 he had grown tired of Paris, especially the whirl of its social life, and he had come to regard Paris itself as "tired" (49). Moreover, he felt that "the important things were happening elsewhere." Having discovered on recent visits to Mussolini's Italy that conditions there were conducive to his needs, he moved on to Rapallo, where he would remain for the next twenty years (Stock, Life 256).

To trace the moves in Pound's exile is to follow the stages of a quest. From his "barren" homeland to the intellectual vitality of London, from a London "in terror of thought" (Pound, quoted in Reck 48) to artistic Paris, and from "tired" Paris to the new Italy, Pound continually sought out a vital center of intellectual, artistic, and spiritual activity—what he would call a "vortex"—that could sustain him in his various endeavors and, by extension, the culture at large. Clearly, it was not until he settled in Italy that his vortex became more or less stable, despite the ironic fact that in Rapallo, in contrast to London and Paris, he lived in far less proximity to the other artists, intellectuals, and others on whom the vortex depended. As a result he had to import his circle of friends and proteges (not too difficult a task since, as James Laughlin points out, "the trains from Paris to Rome all stop in Rapallo" [9]); and what is more to the point,
he had to write letters. In a very real sense, "the Rapallo vortex" funneled through the mail.

While Pound was undertaking the progressive stages of his exile, his thinking about economics was undergoing a not altogether unrelated development. When the philosopher-poet T. E. Hulme, and the sculptor Henri Gaudier-Brzeska, both friends of Pound, were killed in the trenches in the First World War, Pound interpreted the loss as youth and talent sacrificed to the interests of munitions makers and international bankers who profited from the War. Their deaths, and those of others like them, left a void that for Pound was filled with a hellish vision of postwar England:

Profiteers drinking blood sweetened with sh-t,

And behind them ......f and the financiers

lashing them with steel wires. (Canto 14)

This vision, in addition to spurring his move to Paris, was probably as important as any other factor in turning his mind increasingly to economics (Laughlin 152), particularly the economics of war and the economics of want. His inquiries into the subject convinced him that wars are created to make markets for war materiel, thereby filling the pockets of profiteers and bankers, and that the cause of want was not a deficiency of production but of distribution. While both of these observations led to his obsessive attacks on international financiers, who, he believed, conspired to keep money out of circulation so that they could grow fat on usurious rates of interest, these attacks were balanced by the more positive side of his economics. This constructive side stressed a rethinking of the nature of money, particularly as a mode of circulation of goods and services, the production of which, in
the industrialized world, was or need not be a serious problem. This emphasis on circulation placed the onus on the distribution of money: as Pound saw it, the primary economic need was to increase the purchasing power of the average person.

Crucial to his thinking along these lines were the ideas of "Major" C. H. Douglas, a civil engineer and economic theorist, whom Pound "discovered" under the auspices of A. R. Orage at the New Age in 1918 (Laughlin 153). Douglas' theory of Social Credit held that the problem of purchasing power could be solved by means of a "National Dividend," a monetary sum to which all citizens except the very rich were entitled by virtue of the "Cultural Heritage," which included the productive capacity of the nation as well as nature's bounty (Finlay 112). As Pound studied economics throughout the 1920s and into the 1930s, seeking always to gain converts to Social Credit, his thinking did not adhere to pure Douglasism, but rather combined other ideas with a Social Credit essence. In Laughlin's phrase, "Pound may have been the inventor, intellectually at least, of the Cuisinart. He kept pouring new ingredients into the ever-protesting gullet of Major Douglas" (153). These "ingredients" included economic ideas from an otherwise heterogenous group of sources, many of which, such as the following, are mentioned in his letters to Tinkham: the history of the Monte dei Paschi bank in Siena, Thomas Jefferson, John Adams, Andrew Jackson, Martin Van Buren, McNair Wilson, Christopher Hollis, Montgomery Butchart, Francis Delaisi, Peter Larranaga, Willis Overholser, Odon Por, W. E. Woodward, Jerry Voorhis, Dexter Kimball, Henry Ford, Confucius, Mencius, Fascist corporatism, and Pound's own grandfather, Thaddeus Coleman Pound.
The most important "additive" to Pound's version of Social Credit was Schwundgeld, or stamp scrip, which was invented by a relatively obscure German economist, Silvio Gesell, and had been employed with temporary success in the small Austrian town of Woergl. Because it required that the bearer periodically affix a postage stamp to keep it valid, stamp scrip was "a self-liquidating currency that would discourage hoarding" (Laughlin 158). It therefore squarely addressed the question of circulation, which nicely complemented Social Credit's emphasis on distribution.

By the 1930s Pound's "Cuisinart" had produced a blend of economic thinking that was virtually complete; his ideas had matured to the extent that he considered himself a genuine economist, and he presented himself as such to the world in both his public writing and his private correspondence. Moreover, he had come to believe that the world, if properly educated about the nature of its economic problems, would adopt the practical solutions offered by his version of Social Credit. This perception was no doubt conditioned by his vantage point in Rapallo. Living in Fascist Italy, he approved of the social and economic policies that Mussolini had implemented. Indeed, as Earl Davis suggests, Pound admired Mussolini's version of government control and his encouragement of a national economy, considering them favorably in terms of his own ideal of Confucian order (128, 136). In addition, he thought Italian corporatism provided "an ideal social basis for the implementation of Social Credit" (Laughlin 162).

What is more important is that Pound believed in Mussolini—a belief that is crucial to understanding Pound's embrace of Fascism. Writing Jefferson and/or Mussolini in 1933 (at the same time that he was
beginning his correspondence with Congressman Tinkham), Pound addresses this notion of belief:

Any thorough judgment of MUSSOLINI will be in a measure an act of faith, it will depend on what you believe the man means, what you believe he wants to accomplish. (33, Pound's emphasis)

What Pound believed Mussolini wanted to accomplish, moreover, was something that, in his estimation, boded well for a new economic era in America. The dominant theme of Jefferson and/or Mussolini, which follows a strange and idiosyncratic logic, to be sure, is that Mussolini embodied the Jeffersonian spirit that had dominated American thinking, particularly in the realm of economics, up until the end of the Van Buren administration, after which it had been suppressed by private financial interests. Mussolini's Fascist State, in other words, was a twentieth-century reenactment, Italian style, of Jeffersonian America!

More to the point, Pound's observation implied that if the United States would adopt certain economic ideas, and if her leadership would exhibit a Mussolinian constructive will, and if she could adapt these ideas and this type of leadership to her local conditions and democratic traditions, then Jeffersonian America would thrive once again. America would thus regain her rightful course, which had been abandoned after Van Buren.

These developments in Pound's life and thought—his progressive exile, his economics, and his belief in Mussolini—had come to a head in 1933 when Roosevelt took office. Convinced that a "new order" was at hand in Italy and could be realized in America, Pound naturally focused much of his attention on the new President and his administration. At first he was optimistic, and he said so. In January 1934 he declares
himself "a supporter of, and hoper for the [Roosevelt] administration," but in the same breath his optimism begins to wane—something about "dear Frankie" communicating with "british private swindling interests" ("Current Hopes").

This qualified optimism had much to do with his perceptions of the preceding administrations. To Pound, Wilson was "a man incapable of receiving ideas...a type of low vitality" ("This Super Neutrality"), and he called the terms of Harding, Coolidge, and Hoover a "period of infamy...[when] America was largely acephalous" (Kulchur 132). Nor was he always so polite, referring to "the filth of american govt. through the reigns of Wilson, Harding, Coolidge and the supremely uncultivated, uneducated gross Hoover...."Kulchur 155-56). Therefore, in June 1935, when Pound writes in The New English Weekly that he has "no superlative hopes" for the Roosevelt administration, it is no surprise when he adds that "it is immeasurably superior to what the U. S. wished on itself with Wilson, Harding, Coolidge and Hoover. But the most telling comment from the same article is that Roosevelt's administration "has come so near to being a good administration, yet is not" ("American Notes," 27 June 1935).

A letter Pound wrote to the President on 2 May 1933, less than two months after Roosevelt's inauguration, contains a clue to what he may have meant by "has come so near...." Praising him for his book, Looking Forward, which had just been published, Pound writes that he has recommended the book to the readers of the Paris edition of the Chicago Tribune, and he cites page 128 as the book's "highwater mark." Page 128 contains the following paragraph:

Our economic life today is a seamless web. Whatever our
vocation, we are forced to recognize that while we have enough factories and enough machines in the United States to supply all our needs, these factories will be closed part of the time and the machines will lie idle if the buying power of fifty million people remains restricted or dead.

With this emphasis on the problem of monetary distribution, Pound must have concluded that the President shared his concerns. At any rate, in the letter he goes on to mention his own Jefferson and/or Mussolini, and says it was "written in Feb. from motives which seem very to me like those which moved you to write yr/ own bok [sic]." He then proceeds, in true Poundian fashion, to suggest that the President read C. H. Douglas and (because the Roosevelt passage quoted above is embedded in a chapter on agriculture) advises him to apply "what you say of [the farmer's part] of the nation's purchasing power to the purchasing power of the WHOLE NATION, in relation to the whole nation's product." Then he concludes: "The distance from there to Douglas' main theorem seems to me fairly short."

Early on, then, it is clear that Pound regarded the Roosevelt administration as having the potential to implement sound economic measures, and seven months into the New Deal he was still keeping the faith. In a New Democracy article of 25 August 1933, he portrays Roosevelt as something of a knight among knaves: Roosevelt, he says, "has introduced a new terminology and several new concepts into the circle of scoundrels and cannon feeders, pimps assembled in London calling itself an Economic Conference" ("Points"). Although Pound does not specify the particulars of Roosevelt's "new terminology" and "new
concepts," he may have been referring to the following passage from Roosevelt's wireless to the Conference on 3 July 1933—a passage that is not inconsistent with Poundian economics:

The sound internal economic system of a Nation is a greater factor in its well-being than the price of its currency in changing terms of the currencies of other Nations.

It is for this reason that reduced cost of Government, adequate Government income, and ability to service Government debts are all so important to ultimate stability. So too, old fetishes of so-called international bankers are being replaced by efforts to plan national currencies with the objective of giving to those currencies a continuing purchasing power which does not greatly vary in terms of the commodities and needs of modern civilization. Let me be frank in saying that the United States seeks the kind of dollar which a generation hence will have the same purchasing and debt-paying power as the dollar value we hope to attain in the near future.... (Roosevelt, Public Papers 264-65)

Even though Pound's "hope" for the New Deal was waning by January 1934, he was not to abandon it altogether until some years later. On 27 April 1934 he again wrote to the President, this time to call his attention to an obvious misprint in his recently published On Our Way. In addition to pointing out the error, he explains, somewhat curiously, "I am reviewing [the book] with what temperance my conscience permits and with considerably [sig] doubt as to my criticism passing the printer," and closes with this equally curious sentiment: "With best wishes and...convictions that you have not publicly shared" (Pound's ellipsis). The curiosity is twofold: on the one hand Pound suggests
that he disapproves (vehemently, one might suppose) of what Roosevelt has written, but on the other hand he implies that the book does not express Roosevelt's true convictions. The letter reveals Pound's hope even as it conceals it, for in this case the President's "convictions" constitute Pound's hope for the administration, even though they remain unexpressed. It is a problem that became thematic with Pound as he grew more critical of the New Deal and its leaders. On the basis of his reading of Looking Forward, he suspected that Roosevelt understood the basic economic problem of distribution and purchasing power, and he believed that Roosevelt was aware of the answer to the problem (Pound, "Mr. Roosevelt at the Crossroads"), so he harbored a diminishing faith in the President that lingered throughout the first term of his administration. But it was never more than the palest shade of optimism:

AT LAST the President has made a very astute speech at Atlanta. AT LAST, after three years social credit hammering Great White Father has TOLD his flock that Americans are LIVING on a THIRD CLASS DIET. For the very simple reason that the masses of the American people have not the purchasing power to eat more and better food....

The Atlanta speech is a high tribute to the achievements of the administration...BUT Roosevelt still offers the false dilemma between England's dirty old clothes, the dole, degrading and dishonoring, and Public Works on the Italian Model. ("American Notes," 16 January 1936)

a glimmer of potential:

Increasing evidence that Roosevelt, personally, has an inkling
of America's NEED for Social Credit (whatever he may think is practical politics on a given day) ("American Notes," 26 March 1936).

or a sardonic comment:

A roseate whisper has reached us that F. D. R. has murmured something about 'reversal of interest,' that is...a drift toward the Gesellite system to be applied somewhere in the interstices of Morgenthau's 'department!' ("Bravo Roosevelt!")

By the end of Roosevelt's first term, Pound's hopes for the administration, far from being "superlative," had played out their slow death. From then on there was nothing left to temper his attack.

Pound's case against the Roosevelt administration can be divided into four principal issues, as described below.

1. Pound's attitude toward the New Deal was tied to his contempt for the international banking system, or the "usurocracy," as he called it. In his view, international finance was a swindle because it profited, without being productive, at the expense of the people of a nation. He explains "the trick" as follows:

Whenever the Rothschild and other gents in the gold business have gold to sell, they raise the price. The public is fooled by propagandising the devaluation of the dollar, or other monetary unit according to the country chosen to be victimised. The argument is that the high price of the monetary unit is injurious to the nation's commerce.

But when the nation, that is, the people of that nation, own the gold and the financiers own the dollars or other monetary units, the gold standard is restored. This raises the value
of the dollar and the citizens of 'rich' nations, as well as citizens of other nations, are diddled. ("An Introduction" 181) Pound's opposition to Roosevelt and his administration can be measured by the extent to which they were, in Pound's estimation, influenced by or in league with the international financiers.

2. Pound thought the New Deal enslaved the people through economic policies that kept them in debt to private interests. "Usury," he said, "is an instrument for increasing debt, and for keeping the debtor in debt perpetually or at least for the longest possible period. And it is hypocrisy to prattle of liberty unless the liberty includes the freedom to keep out of debt" ("To Recapitulate" 261). True liberty for Pound would result from a non-usurious policy that would solve the problem of distribution. Only then would the people be free of the enslavement and humiliation of the dole, which in his view was "incitement to shiftlessness with concurrent PENALIZATION of everyone who is efficient or willing to be" (Letter 15).

3. Pound thought Roosevelt had violated his oath to uphold the Constitution and was therefore guilty of perjury. Moreover, by handing over the nation's money to the financiers in violation of the Constitution's provision for state control of the supply and value of money, Roosevelt, according to Pound, was guilty of embezzlement. Pound considered these transgressions treasonous and thought the President should be brought to justice because of them. As Pound explains it, SOVEREIGNTY inheres in the right to issue money, and the American sovereignty belongs by LAW to the people, and their representatives in CONGRESS have the right to issue money and to determine the value thereof. And one hundred and twenty MILLION suckers
have lamentably failed to insist on the observation of this
'DECIDED law,'.... The point at which embezzlement of the
nation's funds on the part of her officers becomes treason can
be decided only by jurists, and not by hand picked JUDGES hired
to support illegality. ("Indecision" 84)

4. Based on his belief in the theory that wars are caused
intentionally by the "usurocracy," Pound thought Roosevelt, through his
connections with international finance, was leading the country into
another world war, and this too he considered criminal.

These four interrelated issues were the key ones in Pound's
criticism of Roosevelt. All of them reducing to a single
term--economics--they became the theme of an attack.

Pound carried out his campaign against Roosevelt chiefly through
articles in New Democracy during the first years of the New Deal, in The
New English Weekly beginning in 1934, and via the Rome Radio broadcasts
during the war. He gives an early hint of what was to come in a New
Democracy article of June 1934, entitled "Mr. Roosevelt at the
Crossroads":

The answer to the present trouble is known. The president
knows it is known, and has SAID so (March 4th). Whether he
chooses to betray the people and continue the Hoover system of
handing the increase of purchasing power to particular cliques,
groups, grafters, or to give it to the people per capita is the
issue. It is Roosevelt's particular problem; and by it he will
be blessed or damned.

If the fate of Roosevelt's soul was hanging in the balance in June
1934, Pound saw which way the scales had tipped by July. In The New
English Weekly he writes:

It is an infamy that the STATE in, and by reason of, the very act of creating material wealth should run into debt to individuals. It is on this evil that Franklin Roosevelt is headed plumb bang to hell, and all liberal shysters with him. ("Ecclesiastical History" 273)

By January 1935 Pound's critical view of Roosevelt and his administration had all but solidified. Despite the lingering hopes mentioned above, his characteristic posture was now one of attack, and his theme the perceived connection between Roosevelt, international finance, and the Jews:

Roosevelt gets no help from his entourage. There is no one among his advisers who can, or who, presumably, would have the patience or courage to tell him anything he doesn't already know. The only constant pull is from the Lehman, Richberg contingent.... There is positively no evidence against Roosevelt's being utterly under the thumb of international finance. ("American Notes," 10 January 1935)

It was about mid-1935 that Pound's anti-semitism became more closely linked with his attitude toward Roosevelt. Whereas previously it had been present in his references to the President's associates (the "liberal shysters," the "Lehman, Richberg contingent") and thereby applied to Roosevelt by association, it now began to merge with his conception of the President himself. Writing in The New English Weekly in July 1935, Pound says:

It is not so much that Frank Roose(n)velt has cooperated with the Levys as that their cousins the Lehmanns, Baruchs,
Morgensteins, etc. have cooperated him (sic). Roosevelt is fundamentally the usurers' champion. ("American Notes," 4 July 1935 225)

By Hebraicizing the President's name (a practice that would become habitual--and more extreme--with Pound), Pound seemed to have transformed the man into a symbol of what he found contemptible in the New-Deal-World-Usurocracy complex. And by transporting Roosevelt to the level of symbol, thereby dehumanizing him, Pound seemed to have unleashed the baser elements of his own criticism. These elements received their fullest (and most vile) expression in the wartime radio broadcasts. Consider the following excerpts, taken more or less at random:

...that any sub-Jew in the White House should send American lads to die for their Jewsoons and Sassoons and the private interest of the scum of the English earth.... ("Power," 19 February 1942)

If Roosevelt were not BELOW the biological level at which the concept of honor ENTERS the mind...that liar would... commit hara-kiri.... Frankie Finklestein Roosevelt. ("The Pattern," 30 March 1942)

[Roosevelt's] set of Kikettes.... ("Indecision," 9 April 1942)

President is an imbecile...dumb cluck, a goof...two-fisted double-time liar...Franklin D. Frankfurter Jewsfield.... ("More Homely," 18 February 1943).
Judeocracy...new Jerusalem, the new Jew Roosevelt oosalem.
("Serviti," 21 February 1943)

...the Kikefurter, Morgenberg, Cohen, and company administration.
("Pots to Fracture," 9 March 1943)

The list could be extended, but the drift should be more than
apparent without adding to the string of epithets. If the print medium
had exerted any control at all over the nature of Pound's invective, no
such restraint was imposed by the airwaves. Through the Italian
microphone Pound spit forth his venom, but the spitting forth was more a
purging, serving more to flush out his accumulating hatred and
frustration than to influence his listeners in any constructive way.

In any case, his more coherent attack was still to find voice in
print. As late as 1944 Pound had not abandoned the field. In his
pamphlet, America, Roosevelt and the Causes of the Present War, he
outlines the theory that wars "are provoked in succession, deliberately,
by the great usurers, in order to create debts, ...so that they can
extort the interest on these debts..." and he describes Roosevelt's
role in this diabolical scheme:

Roosevelt being in all this a kind of malignant tumor, not
autonomous, not self-created, but an unclean exponent of
something less circumscribed than his own evil personal
existence; a magistrate with legally limited jurisdiction,
a perjurer, not fully aware of what he does, why he does it,
or where it leads to. His political life ought to be brought
sub judice. (18)
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This characterization of Roosevelt, even though it is hardly less obscene than the broadcasts, reveals a mind in control of its discourse. More than that, it focuses not only the sentiment, but the theme as well as the intent of the ten years' war Pound waged, one might say unilaterally, against the President.

Pound's campaign against Roosevelt throughout the President's four administrations is crucial to an understanding of his political correspondence in general and his letters to Tinkham in particular. The President, members of his cabinet, his advisors, and his activities and policies gave concrete form to many of the abstractions that were anathema to Pound, and being concrete they provided real enemies that Pound could fight in the real world. Whereas all of his writing, including his Cantos, his published prose, and his other correspondence, waged a verbal battle against nefarious ideology, disembodied or otherwise, his political correspondence served that purpose and more. Because of its particular audience and rhetorical aims, it could stimulate immediate and practical change at the sources of power through votes, Congressional activities, and political campaigns. His political correspondence therefore became a potential means of putting his ideas into action, the most direct means he could use from Rapallo.

The Rhetorical Context

While Pound's political letter-writing, as it turned out, did not succeed in bringing about the political and economic changes that he sought, the effort was nevertheless successful in achieving lesser rhetorical aims.

One of these aims was consistent with a dominant aim of his
correspondence in general: writing from Rapallo Pound wanted to
establish a network of intelligent people, a "chosen six hundred" who
would form the core of an enlightened civilization. He was always on
the lookout for "a special intelligence," and when he found one, whether
it be in a book or magazine or through some other medium, he would
initiate a correspondence with the individual and try to put that person
in touch with like-minded others (Laughlin 42). In the case of Tinkham,
a Congressional speech attacking the Carnegie Endowment provided the
impetus.

Writing to Tinkham on 6 February 1933 (Letter 1), Pound opens on a
strong congratulatory note: "AND CHEERS!! Damn well time someone said
so...." And so begins the correspondence that would last until the war,
which effectively cut him off from not only Tinkham, but most of his
other American communicants as well. As he would complain over Rome
radio:

...I write letters to and read letters from the most intelligent
of my contemporaries, and Mr. Churchill and that brute Rosefield,
and their kike postal spies and obstructors...annoy me by cuttin'
off my normal mental intercourse with my colleagues. ("Books and
Music" 7)

Despite its abrupt termination at the end of 1940, the eight-year
correspondence would make Tinkham one of Pound's "recruits" and attempt
to engage him in an ongoing discourse not only with Pound himself, but
also with those with whom he encouraged a more productive acquaintance.

Thus Pound tries to reinforce and refine what Daniel Pearlman calls
Tinkham's "Social Credit leanings" (422) by consistently affirming the
ideas of Major Douglas, whose name crops up periodically in the letters
from 1935 through 1939; by encouraging him to seek out or inquire into other politicians and intellectuals, such as Senator Lynn Frazier of North Dakota and the journalist Amos Pinchot (Letter 35), whose ideas were compatible with Social Credit theory; by suggesting that he adopt a nurturing posture toward certain promising Congressional novices, such as the "bright INFANT" Jerry Voorhis of California, whose ideas were on the right track but still malleable ("don't shoot the kid until you have looked at his teeth" [Letter 44]); and by subjecting Social Credit itself to critical examination, a critique that comes into sharpest focus in the context of the failure of the Alberta experiment (Letter 43).

In addition to encouraging Tinkham's commitment to Social Credit, Pound attempts to stimulate his thinking about economics in general and the political implications of a decent economic system by bombarding him with compatible fragments from a mixed bag of thinkers and doers other than Douglas himself. The letters are full of references to economists like Montgomery Butchart (Letters 16 and 24) and Christopher Hollis (Letters 15, 16, 20, and 44); journalists like Robert McNair Wilson (Letters 12, 46, and 87) and Francis Delaisi (Letters 10, 18, and 28); public officials like Edmondo Rossoni (Letters 15, 16, 18, and 43) and Walther Funk (Letter 99); philosophers like Confucius (Letters 53 and 57) and Scotus Erigina (Letter 81); and even, when they happen to advocate a Poundian notion, bankers like Rupert Beckett (Letter 15) and Reginald McKenna (Letters 15 and 20). While these and similar references are usually elliptical and suggestive rather than discursive, they nonetheless create a pattern of reference that is both repetitive and cumulative, reinforcing and expanding (much like the Cantos).
themselves), thereby enfolding Tinkham into the fabric of the discourse and encouraging him to join it. Pound does not always tell him what to think and do, but he does show him where he believes the clearest thinking and the most effective action are taking place. The effect is to situate Tinkham within an international network that is intellectually "charged," to place him in a context where certain core ideas can generate a new economic system in the catalytic presence of intellectual interaction and reciprocal influence. In other words, it is to place him within Pound's own Rapallo vortex.

All claims of "vortex Rapallo" notwithstanding, Pound wished to engage Tinkham in the practical affairs of the United States, and to this end the "discourse" should take place on American soil. Therefore a second category of references and encouragements, which centers on Washington but radiates to other centers of political activity, includes other Congressmen and Senators, such as William Borah of Idaho (Letters 16 and 72), Joseph Martin of Massachusetts (Letters 78 and 81), Henry Stiles Bridges of New Hampshire (Letter 38), and Burton K. Wheeler of Montana (Letter 38), as well as Republican bosses like J. D. M. Hamilton (Letters 29 and 30) and Samuel Pryor (Letters 81, 84, and 100). These are the people Pound wanted Tinkham to work with in a coordinated effort to remove Roosevelt from the White House.

While the letters clearly demonstrate that Pound took the greater initiative in network-formation, whether for political action or economic edification, Tinkham himself tried to reciprocate when Pound came to the United States in 1939 in his quixotic effort to forestall American entry into the Second World War. In his letter of 15 May 1939, Tinkham encloses letters of introduction for Pound to a number of
influential people in Massachusetts, including politicians and newspaper editors (see Letter 72, n1) whom Pound might have found useful. While these letters did not catch up with Pound in time for him to use them (see Letter 74), Tinkham's offer of assistance was clearly more than a mere political gesture. He really did want to put Pound in touch with the Massachusetts power base. Since his home state was the one place where his own influence was strongest, he probably felt he could help Pound the most in Massachusetts. Moreover, he himself wanted to know Pound's assessment of the thinking in Boston. "I was much interested," he writes in Letter 74, "in your comments concerning your interview with Mr. Herter." On the other hand, Tinkham's introductions show that he took Pound and his ideas seriously, referring to him as an "economist" in addition to "the distinguished poet." If he had had any serious doubts about Pound's economic ideas, he probably would not have recommended him in this manner to people on whose political support he depended, nor is it likely that he would have subjected these men to so outspoken a man as Pound if he did not think that they could benefit from his ideas. In sum, Tinkham must have regarded his effort to introduce Pound to his Massachusetts contacts as beneficial to all concerned. It was an effort that was well within the spirit of Pound's own civilization-building agenda.

That Pound was successful in recruiting Tinkham into his "civilization" is clear by the content and tone of the letters themselves, not to mention the degree to which Tinkham faithfully upheld his end of the correspondence. In the first place, the exchange of ideas and opinions expressed in the letters mark out a common ground. On the fundamental issues of economics and foreign policy, Pound and
Tinkham were in general agreement. Pound's preoccupation with economics, particularly the need to think clearly about the nature of money, is matched by Tinkham's careful analysis of the economic situation, which he articulates most extensively in his letter of 31 March 1937 (Letter 39), concluding on a characteristically pessimistic and partisan note:

These three elements, basic commodity prices, taxes and labor costs, determine the cost of living, so the cost of living must rise, and this irresistibly, causing a fall in the purchasing power of national and international currencies. I say 'international' currencies as well as national currencies because all the larger countries are pursuing about the same course.

From all this, as you can well see, will come political and social chaos. The denouement in the United States will come very probably between 1938 and 1941. I should rather be held to this span of years in this prediction, although quite tentatively I am picking 1939 as the year.

On the other hand Pound's perceptions of the international conspiracy of bankers and munitions makers, and the intertwining interests of government, financiers, and the press, parallel Tinkham's fears and convictions about foreign entanglements, whether they be manifest in foundations like the Carnegie Endowment (Cong. Rec. 3 Feb. 1933: 3336-39), the communist conspiracy (Cong. Rec. 14 May 1935: 7526-34), or Roosevelt's desire to involve the United States in a European war:

If there is war, as it is the only political 'out' for Roosevelt, he will do everything to get us in. I predicted a course of
events with that ending to our Chief of Staff in April or May 1936. (Letter 76)

This common ground, moreover, extends to their opinions of two key personalities, Mussolini and Roosevelt himself. Of the former, Tinkham has this to say: "Mussolini certainly has had a great triumph and in his age and generation is a great man" (Letter 17). And of the latter:

There is plenty to hear [about America's relation to the European war in 1940], and a great deal of froth and foolishness, framed in by the greatest uncertainty in every direction with a psychopathic hysterical presiding over all. (Letter 85)

While this "common ground" was clearly important to the correspondence since it gave the two men something to talk about without serious disagreement, an even more important factor was Tinkham's quality of mind, both in fact and in terms of Pound's perceptions. As Tinkham demonstrates in these letters, he was an independent thinker and an articulate explainer; moreover, at least from Pound's standpoint, he was both lucid and open-minded. These intellectual qualities, combined with the fact that he took Pound seriously and proved to be a good listener, constituted an audience for Pound that all but guaranteed a sustained correspondence. On one hand, Tinkham's intellectual qualities, apart from engaging Pound's admiration, provided an effective sounding board, a mind in the presence of which Pound could refine his own articulations. On the other hand, Tinkham's receptive attitude encouraged the profusion of those articulations. Taken together, these qualities supported the pedagogical strain in Pound's rhetorical stance, a strain that was both central and dominant since education, or the dissemination of information, was fundamental to what Pound wanted to
achieve (a revitalized civilization) and how he aimed to achieve it (political action).

As the letters demonstrate, Pound was pedagogically successful, but a proper understanding of this success requires further explanation and qualification of the notion of Pound's pedagogy and its relationship to Tinkham. To begin with, it is necessary to emphasize the limits of his pedagogical aim: as pedagogue, Pound's aim was to convey to Tinkham information about economics, politics, and related national and world affairs, and to do it in such a way that the information would effectively register on Tinkham's intelligence. Given this aim Pound's attitude toward Tinkham is not the usual attitude of a teacher toward a student; rather, it is the attitude of a teacher toward someone else who is neither a student nor a colleague, someone with whom he has no hierarchical relation whatsoever but who nevertheless shows interest in the information conveyed. It is a relationship that allows the "teacher" to teach but does not require a "student" who learns.

Tinkham, in other words, does not move from a state of ignorance to a state of knowledge; he merely, and for the most part silently, assimilates new information into the sophisticated body of knowledge that he already has, and occasionally, when Pound asks for it, contributes information of his own, as he does in Letters 13 and 39. This information is sometimes "corrected" by the pedagogue (see, for example, Letter 14, in which Pound dissociates the notions of "convertibility" and "supply"), but even the "correction" is more accurately absorbed into, rather than taken as a replacement for, old knowledge. Whatever Tinkham might choose to do with the information was outside the scope of the pedagogical aim. (To provoke action on
Tinkham's part is a different rhetorical aim, one that will be addressed below."

Another aspect of Pound's pedagogy is that the structure of his "teaching" alternates between a straightforward, consistently focused, albeit elliptical analysis of a subject to a more fragmented and discontinuous approach that is similar to the ideogrammic method he uses in his *Cantos*. An example of the more conventional structure is Letter 28. In this letter, written in October 1936, he addresses a question Tinkham had asked him during his recent visit with Pound at Venice (which was the only time the two men actually met): "Will the price of gold go up?" After answering emphatically "YES," he piles two-plus pages of qualifications, dissociations, and implications on top of his initial "generic" response, thus adding texture to his argument in true academic fashion, or in a fashion that would be perceived as academic were it not for the ellipses in the otherwise continuous argument, ellipses that are magnified by the erratic typography.

In contrast to the direct, conventional structure, Letter 38, dated 27 February 1937, represents Pound's more associative, ideogrammic thinking at work (or at "play," to inject a Barthesian impression that may be more to the point). This type of thinking, as it pertains to Pound's poetry, is "ideogrammic" because it centers on the notion of the "ideogram," which is an idea presented through juxtaposition of concrete particulars, usually without connective words or phrases (Gefin xvi). In Letter 38 Pound adopts this poetic strategy to communicate a complex image of politics. The "concrete particulars," or components, that comprise the letter are as follows:

Component 1--the press: "cuttings" from the Washington "Post";
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"truth" is questioned.

Component 2—presidents/presidential hopefuls: Harding, Vandenberg, Bridges, and Dewey; level of understanding and receptivity questioned.

Component 3—parental duty: Dewey et. al. as children; Tinkham as parent; right thinking is a matter of intellectual maturity.

Component 4—the Dutchmen: Congressman Joseph Martin vis-a-vis Martin Van Buren; Van Buren as standard for "economic comprehension," the "BUT" against which present politicians are measured.

Component 5—presidential hopefuls, again: Bridges and Wheeler; "economic comprehension" is questioned.

Component 6—the press, again: support for Republican candidate (Vandenberg, Dewey) equals guaranteed Democratic victory; the smell of conspiracy, the odor of dissembling.

Component 7—the "son-in-law racket": Alexander Hamilton and Philip Schuyler, with echoes of the Anthony Eden-Gervase Beckett connection (Letters 12 and 14); conspiracy of international finance; perversion of parental duty component: the "snots...play for 'YOUTH', which they think they can bamboozle."

Component 8—historical reference: "notes on J/Adams and the Chinese Emperors"; suggestive juxtaposition; anticipates Chinese and Adams ideograms in Cantos.

When perceived in their composite, these particulars form an ideogram—call it the ideogram of presidential politics—that conveys some of the uncertainties of such politics, especially as they undermine one's confidence in candidates whose nascent economic ideas are taking shape.
in an atmosphere of conspiracy and manipulation.

Finally, it should be borne in mind that Pound's pedagogy is pedagogy, not propaganda. The difference between the educator and the propagandist is that the former engages his audience within a discourse that is free of controversy (Lasswell 3). That Pound addresses Tinkham in this context is clear enough; the "common ground" discussed above is sufficient evidence to dispel any notion of controversy. More than mere agreement, however, the letters show that Pound thought of Tinkham, just as he thought of himself, as a mentor for his intellectually "younger" colleagues, as a kind of teacher in his own right. In other words, he saw Tinkham as an elite or privileged audience, one that was, in Chaim Perelman's phrase, "distinct from the common run of men" (34). Moreover, he saw him as one with whom he could share not only ideas and opinions, but also the more intimate attitudes conveyed through linguistic nuance. Pound's seeming penchant for homespun speech, insofar as it emphasizes by exaggeration the "ordinary" in his unique linguistic mix, signifies his comfort and strong sense of communion with his audience. In addition, it supports his pedagogical aim by promoting agreement. As Perelman points out,

The relationship between ordinary language and admitted ideas is not fortuitous: ordinary language is by itself the manifestation of agreement, of community of thought, by the same right as the received ideas. Ordinary language can help to promote agreement on the ideas. (153)

What is perhaps more telling, Pound's frequent "lapses" of diction and particularly his gross alterations of the surnames of Roosevelt and his circle shore up, through implied complicity, the privileged status.
...mutilation of a proper name or distortion of a text usually attest to a certain contempt for the person or thing referred to. These negligences can create a complicity with the hearer.... Use of a deliberately poor or clumsy vocabulary may serve the same purpose. (164)

Because Pound perceives Tinkham as already part of an elite audience, he does not have to convert him to a different system of ideas, values, and attitudes; therefore, the propagandist in Pound, if it exists at all, remains latent in his correspondence with Tinkham since there is no motive to release it.

If one of Pound's rhetorical motives in these letters is pedagogical, another is a call to action; and depending on the nature of the action called for, Tinkham was more or less responsive. If the action was merely to supply information, Tinkham was quite accommodating, even if it meant spending his own or his staff's time to do the necessary research or locate the relevant documents. See, for example, Tinkham's letter of 25 June 1937 (Letter 47), in which he encloses information on the Empire Credit Foundation and a copy of the Patman Bill in response to Pound's requests, or his secretary's letter of 11 May 1940 (Letter 93), in which she encloses the Treasury Department information that Pound wanted. On the other hand, if the action involved any kind of commitment on Tinkham's part, such as introducing a bill in Congress or entering the presidential race, the response was not forthcoming. Thus Tinkham served Pound well in his bureaucratic capacity of provider of information, but he was consistently unresponsive to requests that called for the exercise of
whatever power and influence he might have had. That is, he did nothing to put Pound's ideas into effect. As a pedagogue Pound was successful in getting his message across, but as a motivater he failed to inspire practical action.

The most curious aspect of this rhetorical failure is that Pound persevered in his role of advisor, exhorter, and political strategist despite the lack of any indication that his advice, exhortations, and strategies would be acted upon. Indeed, judging from Tinkham's return letters most of Pound's overtures in this regard were tacitly rejected, while others, such as his proposition that Tinkham run for president, were politely acknowledged as mere flattery. Indeed, in Tinkham's letter of 20 June 1936 (Letter 17), he makes a glancing, and characteristically formal, reference to "your very flattering communication of March 11" and then moves on to matters of presumably greater import, notably his upcoming European vacation. To attempt to explain this seemingly futile perseverance of Pound's requires shifting focus from the rhetorical to the psychological dimension of the correspondence.

At the risk of suggesting technical, psychological connotations that are beyond the realm of my expertise, I can think of no better word than "delusion" to help explain the curiosity of Pound's dogged pursuit of rhetorical goals that, from an objective standpoint, seem futile. To appreciate the point, consider Pound's assumed role of political strategist that dominates many of the letters.

As Daniel Pearlman suggests in his article on the Pound-to-Borah letters, Pound, as early as May 1934, envisioned himself as "the unofficial Brains Trust of the next president of the United States"
Pearlman's comment refers to Pound's self-assigned role as economic and political advisor to Idaho's Senator William Borah, who sought the presidency in 1936. As Pearlman further points out, after the Republican defeat in the 1936 elections Pound pinned his hopes for 1940 on George H. Tinkham, hopes that the Tinkham correspondence itself clearly documents. It is worth mentioning at this point that his alliance with these two presidential "hopefuls"--the first a candidate in fact, the second a candidate only in Pound's imagination--reveals two aspects of Pound's character that help to explain his personal campaign against Roosevelt: for one thing, he was serious about defeating Roosevelt at the polls; for another, he really believed that he himself could be instrumental in that venture.

The basis for Pound's support of Borah and Tinkham, apart from economic philosophy, was his belief that Roosevelt could be defeated and his judgment that the Republican party itself had been and still was partially responsible for Roosevelt's success. In a letter to Tinkham dated 4 November 1936 (Letter 29), Pound calls the GOP a "dictatorship from the DuPonte•• and Wall St. ••• with a nonentity [Alfred M. Landon] at the head of the ticket," refers to its policies as "sheer intellectual cowardice," and accuses it of mounting "a purely negative and cowardly opposition to Roosevelt that has been a WASH OUT."

While it is clear that he thought the Republicans needed new, courageous, and articulate leadership, it is equally clear that he had his own ideas about the direction in which that leadership should move. Expatriate though he was, Pound seems to have had a greater sensitivity to the national mandate than did the Republican bosses back home, for he perceived that the right direction was implicit in the New Deal itself.
He wrote Tinkham again the next day (5 November 1936, Letter 30) to advise that the "old skeleton" of the GOP should take up "some clear and decent issue. a way out; that is to say an ISSUE FROM the Nude eel. NO question of changing just a FEW votes in 1940." Then he continues:

You are not going to oust Frankfurter with mere non-intellectual tosh; and a few soft boiled lies that millions of people now KNOW to be hoakum. ...

Only way to beat Nude Eel ideology is to get a BETTER one, something with a drive/ not merely old fat.

And he reiterates the theme in a letter of 23 November 1936 (Letter 31):

My belief that F. D. R. will grab ALL the good issues/ and the only effective opposition will be to get the BEST ones BEFORE he does. and then HOLD 'em.

As these excerpts from his letters to Tinkham suggest, Pound's assessment of the political situation seems not to have been unreasonable. However, if you consider his perception of his own role in rectifying that situation, you come away with an altogether different impression. The self-importance that is implicit in the advisory role that Pound had assumed with Borah carried over into his correspondence with Tinkham. In a letter of 6 February 1936 (Letter 15) he writes:

When I say a serious [economic] enquiry I mean one where people like Odon Por, C. H. Douglas and myself wd. get a chance to cross examine the Tugwells, Warburgs, Spragues, Warrens, etc.

That Pound regarded himself as an authority on economics is clear enough; the prospect of Pound cross-examining members of and advisors to the Roosevelt administration, presumably within the chambers of the
Capitol itself, seems to have no basis in reality. Moreover, the
delusory quality of his scenario is underscored by fact: when he
actually tried to intervene during his Washington visit of 1939, he was
either rebuffed or ignored, his conversation with Agriculture Secretary
Wallace notwithstanding (Stock, Life 361-62).

One month later, in a letter dated 11 March 1936 (Letter 16), Pound
speaks of his own role in the "prospective" presidential campaign:

On my part it means working on private letters to you rather
than in splashing ideas over printed pages.

I don't mean I wd. quit publishing economic articles, but
there wd/ have to be coordination, and the mere pleasure of
uttering ideas, wd. have to be fitted into the probable effect
of printing them.

The modest, businesslike tone of this description of his role might
suggest anything but self-importance, but when you consider that the
whole notion of the Tinkham campaign was pure Poundian fantasy, and
that, judging from the return letters, Tinkham himself had no intention
of running for the presidency, the modesty is strikingly peculiar
because the proposition itself is fantastic.

The culmination of the thread of delusion that runs through Pound's
letters to Tinkham comes in a letter of January 1937 (Letter 36):

[Lecture agent William Colston] Leigh offering me tour in the
U. S. CD/ it be any USE?? I suppose I shd. read poesy and
'lecture' on littercheer...IF etc// matter of timing whether
it od. [be] used as feeler or to get things into the air....
At any rate my transport and expenses wd. be covered....

BUT I shd/ want guidance before hand as to what was possible
and/or advisable. ALSO the choice of time very important. He
suggests winter of 37 or Fall of '38.
Neither time any use to ME. ...
And other more interesting ways of using my TIME...
there wd. have to be a REASON for a tour, more than being looked
at.
The "REASON," of course, would be to reconnoiter, to test the
political waters in the American hinterland, to spread the WORD of a
Tinkham presidency. It would be to enact the role that Pound had
conceived for himself; more to the point, it would be to enact the role
that he alone conceived, and that he conceived out of whole cloth. But
if his conception had even less grounding in the real world than did the
Tinkham presidency itself, where did it come from?
The answer, I think, lies in Pound's poetry, or it lies in his
poetic imagination. The notion of the poet (or the poet's minstrel) as
advance man or political operative had captured Pound's imagination some
thirty years earlier when he was studying the Provencal troubadours.
Indeed, a poet such as Bertran de Born, whose poetry often mixed the
sentiments of love with the politics of war, might well have been the
prototype for his own persona of 1937. Peter Makin, in his book
Provence and Pound, makes the following comment on de Born's poetry:
One might guess that the love half [of de Born's poetry] served
to gain entry to the courts of Poitou and Limousin, ruled by
their 'salon-queens,' from which the propaganda of the war half
might effectively spread. If a joglar [de Born's minstrel]
gained entrance or attention more easily by announcing that he
had a song about the lady of the house, the troubadour could very
well insert a mention of her, just so as to have his man welcomed at that particular castle. And so, if we look on the map and find that the traceable ladies in a particular song form a neat circle around the troubadour's potential enemy, as...they do in Dompna puois, may we not suspect some kind of political intrigue?

(27-28)

This is precisely the kind of intrigue that Pound develops in his own version of de Born's Dompna puois. In "Near Perigord" the covert function of the minstrel is to venture into the centers of power where his master's political interests might best be served, and there to become that master's eyes and ears and tongue.

If this "persona" of the poet as political operative had lain dormant in Pound's imagination over the years, the exigencies of 1936 might well have awakened it; and given his inclination to convert thought to action, the awakened persona would have been the perfect vehicle. Under the pretense of giving lectures or reading poetry, Pound would play minstrel to Tinkham's de Born, or he would assume the dual role of poet and jolgor, bringing his own eyes, ears, and voice into the countryside, all in a political effort to unseat, not the Count at Perigord, but the President in the White House. However, since the real world, especially America of the 1930s, would not support the conception, the persona merely enriched the delusion.

Deluded or not, Pound was undaunted. Throughout the correspondence he persevered as advisor and/or strategist, convinced of the rightness of his perceptions and the feasibility of his propositions. Even in the later stages of the correspondence, when Tinkham's disinclination to follow up on Pound's calls for action had long since become a pattern
that would have discouraged another person, Pound continued to hammer
away, albeit with increasing frustration. In a letter of 20 January
1939 (Letter 68), which was the second letter to Tinkham on that day, he
lashes out at Tinkham himself, as if yet another, more forceful appeal
could break the Congressman's inertia.

sorry to be so frequent, but paragraph in Eclaireur de
Nice sets me off. It says Roosevelt wants you to PROLONG
his financial powers. DAMN it do you never READ the con­
stitution. Of course Baruch and co/ don't give a damn
about that document. ARE you still on the committee for
affairs concerning the Pres? Congress has power to issue
money etc/ ... You are merely illegal if you hand over right
to issue money to, let us say, the Chief J. Justice.

Frankie NEVER legally had these powers. Why go on compounding
a felony or whatever.

Whether or not Pound was deluded as to the significance of his
self-appointed role in the deliverance of America, his stubborn
adherence to that role can be explained by his need to stay afloat in a
world that of itself would not sustain him. It was his veil of
Leucothea, without which he could have no hope. More importantly, his
belief in his ability to influence public affairs was central to the
Rapallo vortex. He had to keep that vortex churning; if the center did
not hold, the whole thing would fall apart. Seen in this light, the
Ezra Pound of the Tinkham correspondence projects a suggestive image of
the public Pound whose wartime activities led to his personal tragedy.
Psychologically dependent on the perpetuation of his vortex, he was
prisoner to necessity, but it was a prison whose walls were pure motion,
an equilibrium of centripetal and centrifugal forces that were imperceptible to the outside world. The world, rejecting the vortex and blind to the prison, recognized only the prisoner. Ironically, and tragically for Pound, it took him for a prisoner of its own.

When Tinkham, who was something of a big game hunter, had arrived in Rome on a European tour back in 1936, he sent Pound a "letter of greeting" (Letter 19). In his return letter (Letter 20), Pound included a comment that, in retrospect, is poignantly ironic:

No big game here for you to shoot, only one unfortunate lion, but you might shoot the responsible people for putting the animal in a cage that is MUCH too small.
Representative G.H. Tinkham
Washington

Sir

AND CHEERS !! Damn well time someone said so. Peace
O.K. and all very nice but that gas bag Nic. Butler has
so far as I can make out done nothing but {GGGGGGGGGG}
sabotage the study of the CAUSES of war ever since he
got his racket.²

Count Mensdorff wrote to Nic. But. back
about 1927 (I can look up precise date if any use)
telling him that there ARE economic causes of war, that
at least some of the causes of wars are more or less
known and that the g.d. foundation was hired to study 'em.³

These foundations are a tax on public (ten millions
cost half a million a year). IF the foundation
does something of more use to pubk. than pubk. cd. or wd.
do for self. All jake. But a gang of profs. merely
sponging on a corpse .... seems as if the house ought to
be pincher, malversation of funds.

Guggenheim foundation incompetent. Aydelotte and
co.⁴ about as competent to pick writers as I wd. be
to judge Kentucky horses. But the Carnegie undoubtedly
the worst scandal.

You dont make peace by messing up internal order of any country. Till man can feel himself part

*** [page(s) missing]

Letter 1 Notes

1. The Roman numeral XI refers to year eleven of the Fascist era. During this period Pound often dated his letters in this fashion.

2. Pound refers to a speech Tinkham made in the House of Representatives on 3 February 1933. In his speech Tinkham attacked the Carnegie Endowment and its president, Nicholas Murray Butler. Tinkham claimed that the Carnegie Endowment and similar organizations were "disloyal and seditious" and sought to "destroy the independence of the United States, to subvert her national integrity, and to involve her in advance in the next European war." Tinkham based this last claim on what he saw as the primary goal of the Endowment: the entry of the United States into the League of Nations (Cong. Rec. 3 Feb. 1933: 3336-39). The contention that the foundation should investigate the causes of war was Pound's, not Tinkham's, and was not voiced in the speech. Tinkham enclosed a copy of his speech in his letter to Pound on 28 February 1933 (see Letter 2).

3. Count Albert von Mensdorff-Pouilly-Dietrichstein (1861-1945) was the Austro-Hungarian ambassador to Great Britain (1904-14), delegate to the League of Nations, and European agent for the Carnegie Endowment ("Mensdorff"). Stock reports that Mensdorff's letter to the Endowment, dated 18 June 1928, was actually written jointly with
Pound, but signed only by Mensdorff. In the letter Mensdorff-Pound lists the "causes" as follows:

"1. Intense production and sale of munitions....

"2. Overproduction and dumping, leading to trade rivalries and irritation.

"3. The intrigues of interested cliques" (276).

Elsewhere, Pound lists these same three items, in paraphrase, as the known causes of war" ("Peace," Selected Prose 222).

4. Frank Aydelotte (1880-1956), then president of Swarthmore College, was advisor to Simon Guggenheim in planning the John Simon Guggenheim Memorial Foundation for the support of science and the arts (Davis, John 256-57).

5. The missing passage probably contains some of Pound's Confucian philosophy about the importance of order in all levels of society, beginning with individual and domestic order.
February 28, 1933

E. Pound, Esquire
Rapallo
Genova, Italy

My dear Mr. Pound:

Sincere thanks for your kind communication of February 6. I take pleasure in sending to you herewith a printed copy of my speech of February 3 in the House of Representatives.¹

With all good wishes, I am

Sincerely yours,

[signed] GEORGE HOLDEN TINKHAM

Encl.

Letter 2 Note

1. See Letter 1, n2.
Hon. G.H. Tinkham
Washington D.C.

My dear Mr Tinkham

Thanks very much. I am glad to see full text of yr. (Feb. 3) speech.

Wouldn't it be possible to "get" Butler and co., not on basis of Carnegie's general letch for the old country, but on the terms of Carnegie's letter endowing the "Foundation".¹

They have certainly violated the "trust". I mean they have assiduously kept OFF all investigation of causes of war.

AND they either know it, or ought to know it. I mean they have been informed, and their only reason for not knowing is that they have a secretary to file documents and NOT to read 'em to the trustees.

Mr Bunting, who has studied their last report more carefully than I have, suggests that their research is mainly an enquiry as to how to make the next war more efficient.²

Considering the extent to which ENDOWMENTS, if not
all and sundry, at least a great number, have been
used to stultify intellectual life in America, your

*** [bottom of page missing]

after it finishes with the ineffable Nic. B.

I hope your resolution was carried.³

It's pretty good betting that the Carnegie will not diffuse
the information contained in "Mercanti di Cannoni"
reprint from La Stampa di Torino.

large Parisian
Best inf. I can get, there are four {french} papers
not owned by La Comite des Forges⁴ (plus two extreme
Populaire
left; {HHHHHHHH} and L Humanite)

When you find any uncoloured news in London Press
you might send me a cable.

sincerely yours

Letter 3 Notes

1. Tinkham offered evidence in his speech of 3 February 1933 that
Andrew Carnegie yearned for the reunification of Great Britain and the
United States (Cong. Rec. 3 Feb. 1933: 3337-38). Carnegie's letter, reprinted in the New York Times on 15 December 1910, makes no explicit reference to investigating the causes of war, although such an investigation was within the spirit of the letter. However, Trustee James Brown Scott, who was State Department solicitor at the time, did recommend that an investigation into the causes of war should be first on the Endowment's agenda ("$10,000,000" 1-2). Cf. Letter 1, n2.

2. Basil Bunting (b. 1900), the British poet, visited Pound at Rapallo and lived there from time to time. A conscientious objector, he had spent six months in jail following World War I (Terrell, vol. 2 371).

3. Tinkham's resolution, which he submitted upon delivery of his speech of 3 February 1933, was "to investigate the political activities of all organizations, foundations, endowments, and associations which have attempted or are attempting to influence political opinion and political action by any means whatsoever, with reference to the foreign policy of the United States" (Cong. Rec. 3 Feb. 1933: 3339). The resolution was not "carried." For Tinkham's contemplated submission of a similar resolution, see Letter 5.

4. La Comite des Forges was a powerful French trust representing the steel industry. It was influential in French politics and policy, especially regarding foreign affairs, and was linked to war profiteering (Seldes 118-19).
9 March
I935 March
I935

The Hon. G.H. Tinkham
Sir
The Hon. G.H. Tinck

I am very glad to read (Santa Fe New Mexican) that you mean to show up the Carnegie Endowment (the Rockefeller, I know less about but wd/ be glad of details.) More power to yr/ elbow.

Butler deserves no pity. Those buzzards have spent half a million a year taxed out of the people, and they have steadily avoided exposing or investigating the economic causes of war.

All these big endowments feed a bureaucracy, and what they do toward the "purpose" avowed or "intended" by their founders" is not always clear.

As I am doing a weekly column on American affairs which does percolate back into American offices, I shd/ be glad to have congressional record for days when you get going.

I take it the Peace endowment is what the notice refers to. The library endowment is probably slack, and led by the nose by N.Y. editors who wd/ be better dead.

Yale Review with its centenarian edtr/ and Salter (my sufferin' catfish is there no English corpse so dead that some American flunky won't trot out and caress it) as star "economist", liar, staller etc... might also be dynamited. obt. sv.
Letter 4 Notes

1. On 26 March 1935 Pound published the first of a "sporadic" series of columns in the Santa Fe New Mexican entitled "Ez Sez: Being Some Pithy Promulgations" (Clark 420).

2. The "weekly column" was probably "American Notes" in The New English Weekly.

3. Sir James Arthur Salter (1881-1975), director of the economic and finance section of the League of Nations and advocate of the 1933 World Economic Conference and the U. S. Federal Reserve System, was a British economist who, during the 1930s, contributed pieces on economics to The Yale Review (Rickett). The "centenarian edtr" of The Yale Review was Wilbur L. Cross. Cross, who was then 73 years old, had been editor since 1911 and would continue in that role until 1940. He was also governor of Connecticut (1931-39) ("Cross").
E. Pound, Esquire
via Marsala 12-5
Rapallo
Genova, Italy

My dear Mr. Pound:

Sincere thanks for your communication of March 9. I regret that it has not been possible for me to reply to it sooner but recently I have been quite overwhelmed with work of an urgent character.

Enclosed is a copy of a speech which I made on the Carnegie Endowment about two years ago.1 I shall probably move at the proper time for an investigation of the expenditures of this and other foundations for propaganda purposes, and in the event that I have any speech on the subject printed, a copy will be sent to you.

With kindest regards and with all good wishes, I remain

Sincerely yours,

[signed] GEORGE HOLDEN TINKHAM
Letter 5 Note

1. This is the 3 February 1933 speech that Tinkham had already sent to Pound on 28 February 1933 (see Letter 2).
[May 1935]

The Hon.
George Holden Tinkham
Washington

Dear Mr Tinkham

The arrest of Jacques Roumain in Haiti needs investigation. It is an outrage that a writer of Roumain's standing and sensibility should be treated as a common bum.1

It is the kind of foolishness that makes all the young intelligentsia see red and hate [the] very form of order.

Thanks for yr/ letter re Butler. The WHOLE of education needs overhauling. 80% of all professorships that teach anything bearing on life seem to be given to men who do nothing but IMPEDe research. Heaven knows there is room for thought and a need for correlation of KNOWLEDGE in economics. We wont get it by the London so called School, or the american professorial snob who takes on english opinion (and especially error) 20 years late. Novelty as novelty may be a diversion for dilletantes, but a stale novelty, a lie 20 years old has, as far as I can see, very few merits.
Letter 6 Note

1. Jacques Roumain, then considered the foremost poet and writer in Haiti, had been imprisoned on fabricated charges of treason. He was an outspoken critic of the Haitian government under dictator Stensio Vincent (Bradley).
August 20, 1935

Professor Ezra Pound

c/o Messrs. Stanley Nott, Limited

69 Grafton Street

Fitzroy Square

London, W.1, England

My dear Professor Pound:

Sincere thanks for your communication from Salzburg and also for the complimentary copy of your book, "Jefferson and/or Mussolini", which was received several days ago from the publishers, Messrs. Stanley Nott, Limited. I shall read this publication with much interest, and I appreciate your kind thought in having it sent to me.

With cordial regards, I remain

Sincerely yours,

[signed] GEORGE HOLDEN TINKHAM
310 San Gregorio

Venice 2. Sept. [1935]

Hon. G.H. Tinkham
Washington

Dear Mr Tinkham

Whether ANYone in the U.S. wd. have or has had the patience to wade through the tempest of English, French and Italian papers due to Abyssinia AND ALBERTA, social credit landslide, I don't know. I find it hard work to read ONE American newspaper two weeks later.

If the following summary of Financial News, Morn. Post, different french papers, Temps, Matin, etc. and the Italian press is any use to you, go on and wade thru it.

If it is all old stuff by the time this reaches you, don't bother.

Schacht due in London. Monty Norman in Canada, gone too both of 'em late to stop or blackmail Alberta, jews and friends,2

Tannery is SAME position as Louis XVI, foreign aid in struggle against french people.

Bank of Eng. a private company, but that ASS
Morgenthau is officially the U.S.A.

and Tannery SAYING he had got AID to help in POLITICAL crisis.

Rotten as the french press is (vide Rafallovich papers) some frog must in time observe this analogy.3 England allied to the enemies of the people in both france and germany CANT be in very sound position.

Stephen Lausanne4 reprints VERY CLEAR text of Suez Canal contract.

British Tory press, quoting the rootenest french left (the soppy, worse than Manchester drivveler L. Bloom5 etc.) to back up British imperialism.

///

As to economic reform

You can save property rights IF you dissociate property from CAPITAL, which is DIFFERENT. Capital is a lein on others, property is NOT.

Usury differs from the increment of association.

As long economics profs. in Harvard and 40 other universities teach drivvle which DOES NOT dissociate different economic factors, the whole teaching of econ. remains pseudoscience of the most dangerous sort,
ANY attempt at order gets branded bolshevik etc.

After ten years "lid", british press now RATTLED by Alberta. Telegraph calling Aberhart a "socialist organization"

when British socialists are the LAST people who will stand for C.H. Douglas.

and {M.post} Financial News talking about currency cranks who CLAIM there is a shortage of purchasing power.

*** and at that point (with millions on DOLE. idiotically, but presumably because some lack of purchasing power had been noticed somewhere or other.

I wish somebody with a grain of sense wd. see Douglas on his way to Alberta, or failing that talk with young Larkin (Crate Larkin) v.p. Larkin Co.

680 Seneca St. Buffalo.

N. Angell must be merely gaga. but typical pacifist prefers pan-european war to having a little one in Abyssinia. Gen Page Croft rather good in yester/Morn. Post. Zaharoff said to have disappeared again.

No doubt Russian element wants pan/europ war, in hope of general revolutionary shindy.
Trouble with Brain Trust is HALF-ignorance. I ONCE read a book by a Prof. named Dexter Kimball, containing some good sense.¹² Haven't heard of his being mixed in shall we frivolously say the Frankfurter/SAUSAGE.¹³

At any rate if they wd. start their damned economics by using ONE WORD to mean ONE thing (and not six or seven different things), and thereafter refrain from false dilemma, such as "Mr. R. MUST go either to Pittsburg or Brooklyn") we might hope for a few simple steps toward a saner order.

My Jeff/Muss writ. over two years ago. I hear the method of slapping down the incongruous and uncooked facts, and leaving the reader to THINK, is annoying a number of people. especially the British.

[no closing]

Letter 8 Notes

1. The threat of war between Italy and Abyssinia (Ethiopia) was acute in September 1935. Italy attacked in October. In Alberta, Canada, a social credit government had been elected on 22 August 1935, with William Aberhart as premier ("Alberta is Swept").

2. The former Reichsbank president (1923-30), Hjalmar Horace Greeley Schacht (1877-1970) was German Minister of Economics (1934-37) ("Schacht"). The British press had reported that he was bound for London to obtain a loan through the Bank of England. The Reichsbank
denied the story, citing Montagu Norman's absence from England as sufficient evidence to belie the claim ("Montagu Norman Arrives"). Norman (1871-1950), then governor of the Bank of England (1920-44) ("Norman"), had arrived in Canada on 11 August and would embark on his return trip on 6 September ("Montagu Norman Sails").

3. Jean Tannery (1878-1939), then governor of the Bank of France (1935-36) ("Tannery"), had received U. S. aid in France's franc crisis. Henry Morgenthau, Jr. (1891-1967), U. S. Secretary of the Treasury (1934-45) ("Morgenthau, Henry, Jr."), had allowed the Treasury to purchase French gold at the usual fixed rate to keep the market supplied with dollars, thereby protecting the value of the franc ("U. S. Rescued Franc" 1). Louis XVI's dependence on foreign credit contributed to France's economic crisis in the years immediately preceding the Revolution of 1789 (Salvemini 85-110). Pound probably saw the two situations as analogous: in both cases he would perceive the international bankers thriving at the expense of the French people. The "Raffalovich papers" possibly refers to Arthur Raffalovich's last work, written with Yves Gutot, advocating adherence to the gold standard, or to Raffalovich's published works in general. Raffalovich (1853-1921) was a Russian-French economist, a financial agent in France for the Russian government, and an economic journalist appearing frequently in French economic journals ("Arthur Raffalovich").

4. Stephen Lausanne was editor-in-chief of Le Matin and wrote on economic subjects.

5. "L. Bloom" is Pound's punning reference to Leon Blum (1872-1950), the French socialist premier (1936-37, 1938) ("Blum"). The pun evokes
Leopold Bloom, James Joyce's "Ulysses," who, like Blum, was Jewish.

6. William Aberhart (1878-1943), premier of Alberta, Canada (1935-43), introduced a social credit program based on the ideas of C. H. Douglas (Elliot). Cf. n1, above.

7. Clifford Hugh Douglas (1879-1952) was a British civil engineer and social economist whose theory of "social credit" was influential on Pound and others. He was reconstruction advisor to Aberhart's government in Alberta in 1935 ("Douglas").

8. Larkin and Co., which operated nearly 200 department stores in Illinois, Pennsylvania, and New York, issued its own version of "private money" in the form of "merchandise bonds" (Pound, "An Impact" 149). "Young Larkin" was John N. Larkin, vice president and general manager of Larkin and Co. ("Larkins Buy Store in Chicago").

9. Sir Norman Angell (1872-1967) was an Anglo-American economist, journalist, and pacifist. He had been editor of Foreign Affairs (1928-31), author of works on international affairs, finance, and peace; and awarded the Nobel Peace Prize (1933) ("Angell").

10. This may refer to Sir Henry Page Croft's proclaimed opposition to the proposed closing of the Suez Canal to Italian warships (Croft).

11. Sir Basil Zaharoff (1850-1936) was a British international financier who represented large munitions manufacturers during World War I ("Zaharoff"). His comings and goings were often shrouded in mystery.

12. Dexter Kimball (1865-1955) was an American economist and author (Edwards and Vasse 112). Pound is referring to his Industrial Economics (1929).

13. The reference is to Felix Frankfurter (1882-1965), then Harvard
law professor and Roosevelt advisor, whom the President would appoint to the U. S. Supreme Court in 1939 ("Frankfurter"). The "Frankfurter/sausage" is Pound's way of referring to Roosevelt's Brain Trust.
At
Boston, Mass.,
Twenty-six September,
1935

Mr. E. Pound
310 San Gregorio,
Venice, Italy

My dear Mr. Pound:
This is to acknowledge receipt of your communication
of September 2nd addressed to Congressman Tinkham. It has
been received by me, as Congressman Tinkham is absent upon a
vacation.

Very truly yours,

[signed] Gertrude Ryan
Secretary to
CONGRESSMAN TINKHAM
My Dear Congressman Tinkham/ I send you this carbon, {in} to reinforce note to Senator B/Hotel Italia vide P.S. ROME I oct I935 XIII

The Hon
Wm Borah

My Dear Senator

Congratulations on as much as has been quoted of yr remarks on the league of Nations.1

The question of Abyssinia is NOT whether the league wants etc/ or don't want.

It is a question of whether ANY nation that doesn't crawl on its belly and take orders from London (from the most treacherous nation of earth) is to have the league used against it; is to suffer unlimited and unscrupulous blackmail, wangled by England.

Re/France, a recent issue of VU (no. 380; no date on clippings sent me) contains an article on Bank of France [by] Francis Delaisi,2 which ought to be in the hands of every senator and congressman who can read french.

Practically the first clear exposition of why
french politics are what they are, and why they have five ministries in a week etc.

Tri/part treaties Eng/Fr/Ital re/ Abys/ did NOT invite Abys/ to sign.

The Hon Senator hasn't answered my letters since I expressed {some} an estimate of H. Hoover, which I strongly suspect you wd/ endorse in substance, if not in exposition.³

England wants the earth, and demands admiration for her self-sacrifice while trying to get it.

Letter 10 Notes
1. William Edgar Borah (1865-1940), the U. S. Senator from Idaho (1907-40), was an outspoken isolationist and opponent of the League of Nations. As he did with Tinkham, Pound corresponded often with the Senator during the 1930s (Pearlman 419, 421).
2. Francis Delaisi (1873-1947) was a journalist, author, and economic reformer who was influential in French Leftist politics from before World War I through the interwar years. His two principal concerns—preventing a second European war and the nefarious influence of international finance—were shared by Pound. In Delaisi's view, the conspiracy of international finance was the chief villainy of
modern capitalist society. It was the financiers (notably the Jewish ones) who controlled political as well as economic power, thereby giving the lie to democracy (Mazgaj 136-37, 245n). If workers were to survive under this plutocracy, they would have to study and emulate its industrial organization: "a technologically proficient, highly centralized capitalism could be successfully challenged only by a labor movement itself technologically proficient and highly centralized" (Mazgaj 136).

3. Pound is probably referring to his letter to Borah of 8 May 1934 (unpublished), in which he has this to say about the possibility of Hoover running again for president: "tell that fat cheater of chinamen that the only things about him that cd. run are his nose or his hemeroids [sic]."
My dear Doctor Pound:

The copy of your letter of October 1 to Senator Borah which you sent to Congressman Tinkham has been received in Mr. Tinkham's absence. Mr. Tinkham is now abroad and is not expected to return to the United States before the end of November. Your communication will be placed upon his desk for his attention.

Very truly yours,

[signed] G. C. Hamelin

Secretary to Mr. Tinkham

Doctor Ezra Pound

Hotel Italia

Rome, Italy
27 Dec [1935]

The Hon. G.H. Tinkham

Dear Mr Tinkham

I shall enc/ a note to yr/ glory from the Corriere, if I can find a copy.1

Yesterday AT LAST a little mystery was cleared. "Mr Eden, in 1923 married into the powerful banking family of Beckett. Sir Gervase idem, director of Westminster bank. In the same year master Tony entered Parliament for Warwick and Leamington.

Nacherly his rise was rapid. The secrecy of brit. diplomacy does not broadcast these simple causes of undesirable effects.

If we consider Tony's "formation", Eton to start, and a third son.

I probably never wrote to you a 14 year old Brit. school boy denounced my poetry a couple of years ago because of TRUTH it contained. A long quite sincere letter full of outraged feeling, plus complete exposition of theory that one must lie to keep up appearances, and that truth wd/ shatter society.

As a third son, Tony E/ wd. have been brought up in luxury, but wd/ not INHERIT.

Haven't had time
to trace Sir Gervase particular bias/2

I have collected a little information re/ Birmingham Small Arms, and Whitworth Chemicals as factors in what is and what is NOT proper opinion in the British Press. I WISH the Nye committee wd/ unbend a little and show a bit more curiosity.3

Did I send you the little story of the Jap army corps that just wandered into somewhere and vanished? It has NOT been broadcast. I am perhaps betraying a confidence, but I don't know to whom better.

I think both Japan and Germany have "enough to do" and probably need a few rods, bowie knives and other convenient implements.

(Statement to be recd. with due caution and reserve.)

I wd. be honestly grateful for any indication you can give me of what COMMON SENSE conservative opinion is re/ money, its nature etc.

I know what the "advanced ideas are". Landon4 seems to me very ignorant. I take it some recognition of new faculties for production MUST be made the issue of in money and/or credit?

Where there is NO need of shivering the constitution or having the govt. blow the nose and wash the ears of its citizens.

I have put the matter, during the past I5
years, with increasing frequency, to a number of responsible men.

I may say that Dessari seems to me to think more clearly than do several members of our own cabinet (Have you perused Roper and the elder Morgenthau on the "soul", or investigated the leanings of Tugwell toward the Oxford (or Buckmanite) movement?5

There is a chap named Peter Larranaga now in the U.S. who has no reforming or messianic urge, but who has written some very lucid things about economics.6 I have no idea what he is like personally. Understand he has built some good roads. Might be useful in framing a SANE monetary program, or at least one that ANY one of 200 younger writers on money couldn't show up as IDIOTIC.

I have been wrasTlin' with Mc N. Wilson, who has some interesting remarks in "Defeat of Debt"7 but has that curious British tendency to identify the Reigning incumbent of the White House with the late J. Christ of Nazareth and Jerusalem.

I hope somebody has jammed New Democracy for Dec. Ist. into yr/ mail box. Can't answer for anything but my one article.8

seasons greetings

[The following fragment is filed with this letter at the Beinecke Library.]
I suppose it wd/ be quite irregular if not wholly impossible to force the HOUSE to discuss principles?

Senator Cutting's confidential list of Senators and Congressmen who understood something of the subject was rather BRIEF.9

[Note: Pound had probably pasted something here—perhaps Cutting's "confidential list."]

The original object of legislature was not that of educating its members AFTER election; but "our form of govt. is fundamentally flexible".

Do the blighters KNOW what (and/or) if they think? And if so how many of 'em wd/ have the courage or be permitted to say so.

Letter 12 Notes

1. Tinkham had been touring Europe and observing the political situation there in the autumn of 1935. His public response was to criticize the Roosevelt Administration for its support of League of Nations sanctions against Italy for its attack on Abyssinia, and to recommend U. S. withdrawal from the Kellogg-Briand Pact, which he considered an "alien" conception, a threat to U. S. neutrality, and ironically, a means toward war ("Sees U. S. Approaching War"; "Tinkham Will Ask End of Peace Pact"). The "Corriere" was probably the Corriere della Sera of Milan, which Mussolini "fascistized" in 1923.
(Devendittis). It probably reported on Tinkham's comments, no doubt applauding his sentiments. Tinkham himself refers to his statement in Letter 17, 20 June 1936.

2. "Mr. Eden" and "Tony" are Robert Anthony Eden (1897-1977), the British diplomat and politician who was minister without portfolio to the League of Nations (1935), Foreign Affairs Secretary (1935-38), and Dominions Secretary (1939) ("Eden"). The British financier Sir Gervase Beckett (1866-1937), in addition to being a director of the Westminster Bank and father-in-law to Eden, was chairman of the Yorkshire Post and owner/editor of The Saturday Review ("Gervase Beckett, English Banker"). To Pound, the family connection suggested interlocking ties among government, finance, and media control that were highly suspicious. The related references to Eton and the "Brit. school boy" cast further doubt on Eden's character, the suggestion being that the English private schools, where Eden's "formation" had begun, fostered a habit of obfuscation.

3. Birmingham Small Arms was a British manufacturer of Daimler automobiles, motorcycles, bicycles, and machine tools, in addition to small armaments ("Company Meetings"). Whitworth Chemicals was probably a subsidiary of Vickers-Armstrongs Ltd., which was the leading British armaments firm, and which had taken over Armstrong-Whitworth, another armaments-related company ("Vickers' Acquisition"). The Senate Munitions Investigating Committee (the Nye Committee) had found ties between U. S. bankers and Allied munitions makers before U. S. entry into World War I ("Nye"). Pound would have wanted the committee to look into the activities of both of these firms.

4. Alfred Mossman Landon (1887-1987), then governor of Kansas, would
be the Republican presidential candidate in 1936 ("Landon").

5. I can find no information on "Dessari." Pound may have been thinking of the French writer and economic statistician, Jean Dessirier, who advocated devaluation in the French franc crisis (Jackson, Julian 115-16). Taking this position, Dessirier, like Pound, was in opposition to "Tannery's betrayal of France," which Pound refers to in Letters 8 and 18. See also Letter 8, n3. Daniel Calhoun Roper (1867-1943) was Secretary of Commerce (1933-38) ("Roper"). Henry Morgenthau, "the elder" (1856-1946) was an American diplomat who had served as a technical expert at the London Monetary and Economic Conference in 1933 ("Morgenthau, Henry"). Rexford Guy Tugwell (1891-1979) was an economic advisor to President Roosevelt and Undersecretary of Agriculture (1934-37) ("Tugwell"). I can find no information on Roper and Morgenthau on the "soul," nor on Tugwell's "Buckmanite" leanings.

6. Pedro J. Larranaga was a Peruvian member of the Inter-American Financial and Economic Advisory Committee and well known publicist and writer on social and economic issues. ("Peruvian is on Way Here").

7. Robert McNair Wilson was a British writer on many subjects, including money, economics, and Christianity. He was a correspondent for the London Times, but also contributed to smaller publications such as The New English Weekly, where Pound also frequently appeared (Terrell, vol. 2 681; Heymann 321).

8. The article to which Pound refers is his "Who Gets It?" published in New Democracy, December 1935 (Gallup, C1275).

9. The reference is to Senator Bronson Cutting of New Mexico, with whom Pound carried on a substantial correspondence until the Senator's
untimely death in an airplane crash (Pearlman 419). Cutting's "list," which he included in a letter to Pound dated 24 May 1934 (unpublished), was actually two lists. One consisted of Senators Borah, Bone, LaFollette, Wagner, Black, Wheeler, and Costigan; and Congressmen Kvale, Zionchek, Goldsborough, Patman, and Lewis. The other consisted of 12 additional Congressmen who had "mostly crank notions of their own, but could perhaps be converted." It is interesting to note that Cutting did not include Congressman Tinkham on either list.
January 22, 1936

Personal

My dear Professor Pound:

Your communication of December 27 was duly received. I thank you for it and for the information it contained.

I note particularly your statement: "I would be honestly grateful for any indication you can give me of what COMMON SENSE conservative opinion is re/money, its nature, etc."

There are many schools of thought in the United States, as you well know. The most conservative school of thought regards money as merely a measure of value and a medium of exchange, as an instrument of trade and not the production of wealth; and not wealth itself. Those of this school think that we should have a convertible currency based upon gold because gold, though not stable, is the most nearly stable metal in a free market. Of course, the market has not been free for several years, but manipulated.

With all good wishes, I remain

Sincerely yours,

[signed] GEORGE HOLDEN TINKHAM
Hon. G.H. Tinkham

Dear Mr Tinkham

Thanks for a straight answer (which I will not repeat, as you have headed it private.)

First half of yr/ answer I agree with.

2nd/ half I wd/ argue. Gold MEASURE, probably O.K.

convertability / re/ Reg/ McKenna's report to Midland Bank

a few days ago. Where it appears to me he admits what I have printed before now.

ADEQUATE supply even more important

than fixity of the unit (but ALL immoderate measures

all measures that ride an idea (any idea) to death

are merely stupid.1 Old laws crop up in new conditions, but

they crop up

perhaps unrecognized as themselves.

//--

Am not writing to inflict theory on you/ but for

ITEM

Eden (hell take him) son in law of Gervase Beckett of

Westminster Bank

Rup/ Beckett chairman.

a director of this same bank Lord Cranbourne, who "joined the

bank in I933" has resigned to become Under Sec. for
League of Nations Affairs.

That places Eden in a nice Frame of Sassoons and Becketts (wd/ take a bile specialist to follow all the crookedness of perfice Albion/

and it is VERY slow/

tentative, let us say "openness to conviction."

McKenna and Midland Bank, comparatively clean R McK. dont lie ALL the time.
Possibilities re/ the connections of the Westminster to Sassoon this is a bit simplistic but all I've got to etc/etc/ for the moment.2

At any rate keep an open eye. if you have time.

I once in my younger days before I was interested, but when I was in London had a little diagram of how members of Asquith Cabinet had each betrayed each other all round in a nice little circle.

sincerely yours

Letter 14 Notes
1. Reginald McKenna was chairman of the Midland Bank, which was the most unorthodox of Britain's "big five" banks. Pound is probably
referring to McKenna's statement that "the misuse [i.e., hoarding] of gold has driven us to abandon the fixity of the external value of our currency for the far more important benefit of stable internal value" ("British Bank Head" 27). Pound had made a similar point in his "Impact" where he contends that the real value of money is convertible into commodities that people really want (146), and that the function of money is not to create wealth (hoarding), but "to get the goods from where they are to the people that need them" (154).

2. Rupert Beckett (d. 1955) was also chairman of the Yorkshire Post ("Rupert E. Beckett"); Lord Cranbourne was Robert Arthur James Cecil (1893-1972), who served as Eden's undersecretary for the League of Nations (1935-38) ("Cecil"); and "Sassoons" refers to the influential British banking family who, like the Becketts, had newspaper connections, specifically with the London Observer and the Sunday Times (Comay 363-64). Pound was both fascinated with and suspicious of the apparent "conspiracy" of government, financial, and newspaper interests. Cf. Letter 12, n2.
Hon. George Tinkham

Dear Mr Tinkham

Forgive my writing so soon again on thorny topic/re Vandenberg etc/etc/1

Trouble with brain trust is that it was HALF BAKED/ grant the Tugwell's may have MEANT well. None of 'em knew ENOUGH. Ignorance of a bloke like Warburg is appalling.2

Are any serious republicans interested in formulating a platform ECONOMIC platform that will stand inspection. (I knote that both Beckett and McKenna (one each) noted points made in my Impact. I dont mean they have read it. But that these factors are admitted.3

Keynes, hell take him, blathering trained seal. (I have TALKED to him,) patient has small pox, and K/ is then put up to tell the world that "a slight nettle rash" etc.4

The CONSTITUTION is O.K. plenty of room in it for decent monetary system. And, really !! the attempt to conceal things plainly stated at various epochs, say by Paterson when starting the Bank of England or by Rotschild in 1860. is too stupid.5 No form of government can go on as sheer fake
forever.

I dont care which "party" wins this autumn. But immense good could be done by having a REAL issue, not a fake one as in last English elections.

If the Republican party would simply demand a SERIOUS enquiry into the known facts of credit and currency it wd/ mean an immense advance. That is IF they meant it.

Discussion IN ITALY seems to me in healthier state than elsewhere. Bottai, Rossoni, Farinacci all honestly WANT the truth.6

I dont believe an article like mine in the Vita Italiana for Jan. could be published in any other country with approval of man of cabinet rank.7 Any number of people here seriously interested in mechanism of government AS Jefferson's circle was I20 I40 years ago.

When I say a serious enquiry I mean one where people like Odon Por, C.H. Douglas and myself wd. get a chance to cross examine the Tugwells, Warburgs, Spragues, Warrens, etc. Larranaga knows a lot, but seems to be sold on idea that Public Works (concurrent with hyperusury) are an answer. Fack is the most reasonable Freiwirtschafter.8 I cant make out that Bankhead really understood the subject when he proposed his stamp scrip bill.9 The blighter wont write to anyone but Fack. {HHHHHHHHHHH}
The Larkins, father and son, running a
20 million dollar business, can't be mere impractical idiots.10

Roosevelt is wonder, the way he has taken up EVERY
damn wheeze that has been tried or proposed in England
and found idiotic/ every economic FAD, is amazing.

Whether the country is lucky to have 'em tried
once and for all and done with, I dont know.

Doles! incitement to shiftlessness with concurrent
PENALIZATION of everyone who is efficient or willing to be.
bimentalism !!bi/carotism etc.

forgive this outbreak

I note in Chris/ Hollis "Two Nations" just out, Rutledge.11
he talks about English prices, stable for two centuries
(Hen VIII time) WHEN, damn, prices AS MEASURED IN METAL
were steadily declining through the whole period. And metal
was the supposed measure. Marx and La Tour du Pin12 couldn't
think MONEY / and with most of the stabilization cranks
we are up against people who WON'T think "MEASURE".

Letter 15 Notes
1. Arthur Hendrick Vandenberg (1884-1951) was the U. S. Senator from
Michigan (1928-51) ("Vandenberg"). In the absence of a previous
(extant) letter that could shed light, the "thorny topic" must remain a matter for speculation. However, judging from subsequent letters one might surmise that it had to do with a difference of opinion on Vandenberg's merits. Tinkham was a Vandenberg supporter; Pound was not. See Letters 38, 79, 81, and 89.

2. For note on Tugwell see Letter 12, n5. James Paul Warburg (1896-1969), American banker and author, had been financial advisor to the 1933 World Economic Conference ("Warburg"). Both men were members of Roosevelt's Brain Trust.

3. I can find no specific point in Rupert Beckett's annual report to the Westminster Bank that is similar to anything in Pound's "Impact." However, the two texts do convey a common disapproval of the gold standard ("Company Meetings: Westminster Bank"; "Impact" 146, 149). For a note on McKenna's "point," see Letter 14, n1.

4. John Maynard Keynes (1883-1946) was the noted British economist. Pound may have considered him a "trained seal" of the financial interests because he advocated bank loans as the best means of financing public expenditures (Dillard 573).

5. William Paterson (1658-1719) was the chief founder of the Bank of England in 1694 (Edwards and Vasse 168). Mayer Amschel Rothschild (1744-1812) was the founder of the noted international banking family; his son, Nathan Mayer Rothschild (1777-1836), founded the London branch of the family in 1805 (Ricardo). What was "plainly stated" by Paterson when he founded the Bank of England was the basis for what Pound regarded as the Bank's usurious policy: "the bank hath benefit of the interest on all moneys which it creates out of nothing" (quoted in Pound, "A Visiting Card" 308; see also Canto 46). The Rothschild
reference is probably to what one of the Rothschild brothers wrote, quoting Treasury Secretary John Sherman, in a letter to the New York firm of Ikleheimer, Morton and Van der Gould, dated 25 June 1863:
"Those few who can understand the (usurocratic) system will be...busy getting profits,...while the general public...will probably never suspect that the system is absolutely against their interests" (quoted in Pound, "A Visiting Card" 311; see also Canto 46).

6. Giuseppe Bottai (1895-1959) held several posts in Mussolini's cabinet. In 1936 he was governor of Rome, Minister of National Education, and a member of the Fascist Grand Council (DeGrand, "Bottai"). Edmondo Rossoni (1884-1965) was Mussolini's Minister of Agriculture (1935-39) (Cannistraro, "Rossoni"). Roberto Farinacci (1892-1945), who hated Jews, Catholics, and socialists, was a member of the Fascist Grand Council (DeGrand, "Farinacci").

7. The article Pound refers to is "Moneta Fascista," published in the January/June issue of La Vita Italiana for 1936 (Gallup, C1281).

8. Odon Por (b. 1883) was a Hungarian-Italian economist and social critic who wrote much about Fascism. Like Pound, he was affiliated with The New English Weekly (Edwards and Vasse; Finlay 174). Oliver Mitchell Wentworth Sprague (b. 1873), a Harvard economist, had been financial advisor to the Bank of England, liaison officer between the Bank and foreign capitals, member of the League of Nations committee on the purchasing power of gold, chairman of the committee on gold supply, and financial advisor to the U. S. government ("Many Years at Harvard"). In 1936 he was president of the American Economic Association ("Learned Societies Elect"). George Frederick Warren (1874-1938) was an American agricultural economist and financial
advisor to Roosevelt. He advocated a "commodity dollar," a monetary unit whose gold content would fluctuate with prices; this concept influenced the government's gold-buying policy from October 1938 ("Warren"). Hugo Fack was the American publisher of Silvio Gesell (see Letter 41, n5) and the monthly, The Way Out (Pound, "An Introduction" 183). A "Freiwirtschafter" is a proponent of a free market economy. For notes on C. H. Douglas and Larranaga, see Letter 8, n7, and Letter 12, n5.

9. John Hollis Bankhead (1872-1946) was U. S. Senator from Alabama (1931-46) ("Bankhead, John Hollis"). On 11 March 1933 he introduced his stamp-scrip bill to the Committee on Banking and Currency. On the same day Congressman Pattengill introduced a similar bill in the House. Both bills died in committee. On 30 March 1933 Senator Bankhead tried again with a stamp-money amendment to a relief bill, but the amendment was rejected. Then, on 13 April 1933, he introduced a resolution urging the Senate Banking Committee to investigate a number of methods to change the currency system to increase commodity prices and restore normal debt-paying power. Stamp money was just one of the methods he suggested. The committee never reported on this resolution. (Cong. Rec. 11 Mar. 1933: 3336-39; 30 Mar. 1933: 1027-35; 13 Apr. 1933: 1625).

10. See Letter 8, n8.

11. Christopher Hollis was a British biographer and economist ("To Teach at Notre Dame").

12. Marquis de la Tour du Pin (1834-1924), a French socialist and syndicalist, was the main theorist of the Catholic social movement at the end of the 19th century (Read 259-60).
II March [1936]

The Hon. Geo. H. Tinkham
Washington D.C.

Dear Mr Tinkham

I am for "Tinkham 1940". And I am not writing this letter frivolously. I am not interested in impossibilities and I believe I have for months carried on a more searching correspondence with the best economists than anyone else has had the gumption to attempt.

I have been on the job for 18 years, and 12 years ago I came here (Italy) to see the difference between what blokes write in a high brow weekly and what gets DONE.

Most writers on econ/ are laboratory men. They make blue prints, and have NO imagination. I mean they do NOT visualize humanity, Bill, Joe, and Henry DOING the things on the program.

Most of 'em cant use WORDS. I mean they do not start by defining their terms, and continue by sticking to CLEAR definitions.

One of the most lucid of 'em, and a man who is READ by govt. advisors has just written me "credit is debt"

Oh yeah, and east is west, and left is right.
Sure, he MEANS something, but by the time he has uttered it isn't there.

**ANOTHER CASE**

Peeke,¹ I think the bloke's name is, writes a buttery article about F.D.R. contribution to the "pure science of mathematics"

and suddenly shifts from talking about NUMBERS (arithmetic) to dollars.

Hollis² writes of prices being STABLE for two centuries when prices were steadily declining AS MEASURED in metal. which was what they thought they were measuring by.

The job of building up a clean terminology GOES ON. We've got plenty of high brow magazines and Butchart's "MONEY" is a start for a new library. Butch. being the second generation brought up, as you may say, by Orage, E.P. and Douglas.³

Daladier, Duboin (of Ligue du Droit du Travail) Rossoni, Kozul in Jugoslavia, Hen. Ford recently, and EVEN Hitler in one clause of his last outbreak all see certain FACTS.⁴

If it were a mere matter of laboratory work, I wdn't be impelled to but the postage stamp on this letter.

I am now after what you and Bill Borah can DO.

If, that is, you are interested, and want a program that will WORK.

I don't know what you think of Borah. Hoover is a crook and the other possible candidates for 1936 are DEAD and petrified
from the neck up.

The problem in dynamics is Tinkham 1940. IF G.H.T. will consider sane monetary reform WITHIN the frame of the Constitution.

and without LOWERING anyone's standard of living.

(though that phase of it [sic] question is probably above and outside popular politics.)

You'd probably have to nominate Borah.5 YOU, and not the expansive Wm B. wd. have to make terms. That is to say YOU wd/ have to put the DEFINITE ideas into him. or make him sign 'em.

There is no use in expecting any great precisions from him.

(At least damn'd if I see it.)

//

On my part it means working on private letters to you rather than in splashing ideas over printed pages.

I don't mean I wd. quit publishing economic articles, but there wd/ have to be coordination, and the mere pleasure of uttering ideas, wd. have to be fitted into the probable effect of printing them.

Lenin saw Mussolini as the one man who cd/ get anything DONE in Italy.

It is the Boss's genius for seeing what must be day by day. What done FIRST. March 1936/ what done next after that April; May, June.

That is the kind of thought (or imagination

88
to use a word which Napoleon occasionally found useful) which

is needed.

//

Borah election or non-election etc. needn't mean postponing a

 sane economic reform. There is no reason why you shouldn't
come in 1940, emeritus, on the gratitude for having got it done.

/

If the press has gone short on reporting the Bank Reform here,
you can get the general outline from my "Jefferson and/or Musso


state of Bank of the U.S. under Washington. Before

Hamilton and Biddle had got in their dirty work.

(lines following "While the govt. remained

at Philadelphia").6

For the Republican party to DO anything, the sole chance is

a combination on the lines of Van Buren Jackson.7

You wd/ have

to supply the Van B/ element, the sharp definition of ideas.

No use my putting down details UNLESS you approve at

least part of this letter.

[no closing]

Letter 16 Notes

1. "Peeke" probably refers to George Nelson Peek (1873-1943), who had
been head of the Agricultural Adjustment Administration briefly in
1933, but was removed because of policy disagreements with Henry
Wallace. Subsequently, he became a bitter critic of the New Deal ("Peek"). The "man who is READ by govt. advisors" is probably John Maynard Keynes (cf. Letter 15, n4; and "Bukos," the "economist consulted of nations," in Canto 101 [Klinck]).

2. Christopher Hollis (see Letter 15, n11).

3. Montgomery Butchart was a British economist whose book Money is a compendium of three centuries of thought on the nature of money and credit (Pound, "Introductory Textbook" 160). Alfred Richard Orage (1873-1934), a British journalist, was editor of the social credit publication, New Age. For note on Douglas, see Letter 8, n7.

4. Eduard Daladier (1884-1970), the statesman and Radical Party leader, had been and would be again the French prime minister (1933, 1934, 1938-40) ("Daladier"). Jacques Duboin, a well known economic publicist in France during the 1930s, popularized the "theory of abundance," which held that the economic crisis resulted from underconsumption, which itself arose from the unemployment caused by industrial mechanization (Jackson, Julian 16). Kozul was the Yugoslavian Minister of Building (Pound, unpublished letter to Borah, 12 March 1936). For note on Rossoni, see Letter 15, n6.

5. Senator Borah had been widely considered as a contender for the Republican Presidential nomination, but at the June convention in Cleveland Tinkham did not nominate him. Although Borah did receive 19 votes on the first ballot, Landon won the nomination unanimously on the second (National Party Conventions 82).

6. The entire passage from Jefferson and/or Mussolini, beginning with a quote from Thomas Jefferson, reads as follows:

"While the government remained at Philadelphia a selection
of members of both Houses were constantly kept as directors who, on every occasion interesting to that institution, or to the views of the federal head voted at the will of that head; and together with the stock-holding members, could always make the federal vote that of the majority.'

This was the bank in Federal hands, i.e., opposed to Jefferson, but an 'engine of' Hamilton during Washington's administration. That is to say: during the first administrations there was national control of the national finances. This ceased when the administration changed WITHOUT there being a corresponding change in the control of the bank.

Thereafter the fights against the First and Second Banks of the U. S. were fights to keep the control of the nation's finance out of control by a clique and to attain the use of the national resources for the benefit of the whole nation. (117)

7. The Jackson and Van Buren administrations of the 1830s fought to keep the control of the nation's finances out of the hands of private bankers. This is precisely what Pound wanted from a Tinkham-Borah administration.
June 20, 1936

Personal

My dear Doctor Pound:

I hope you will excuse the delay in my answering your very flattering communication of March 11. It was received at a time when I was under unusual pressure and shortly thereafter, when it would in ordinary circumstances have been brought to my attention, the work of my office was placed in some confusion by the absence of my secretary, on account of illness.

I always read your letters with the deepest interest, and am always delighted to hear from you.

It is now my intention to sail for Europe early next month and I am seriously thinking of coming to Italy. If I should, I shall give myself the pleasure of communicating with you.

Recently, I was informed that the gun which I fired against the Austrians at Capo d'Argine on the Piave River, on December 11, 1917, which was the first gun to be fired by an American after the declaration of war against Austria, has been placed in the War Museum at Rome. I should like to see it.

Last winter I gave a statement to the press here in which I said that the United States should not be a "puppet" state of Great Britain. This statement was printed
in Italy and was read by the Colonel of the battery with which I happened to be on December 11, 1917 when the United States declared war against Austria, and he wrote me of the incident to which I referred above. He is Doctor Giovanni Ravagli, Lieutenant Colonel of Auxiliary Artillery and Superior Inspector of Demesne, and his address is Villa Piancarelli, Pescara, Italy. In the summer he is at Teramo. I hope to see him also if I come to Italy.

Mussolini certainly has had a great triumph and in his age and generation is a great man. Any man who can successfully defy England and the League of Nations, representing fifty-two nations, is a man of strength and he has my admiration.

With cordial regards and with every good wish, I remain

Sincerely yours,

[signed] GEORGE HOLDEN TINKHAM

Doctor Ezra Pound
via Marsala 12-5
Rapallo, Italy

Letter 17 Note

1. In his statement to the press, Tinkham had claimed that the Administration's neutrality bill then being considered by the House Foreign Affairs Committee, of which he was a member, gave the
President too much power, increased the chances of war, and made "the United States a puppet state of Great Britain and a subsidiary of the League of Nations" ("House Gets Report").
[26 June 1936]

BRAVO!

Yesterday's postcard due to notices in Italian press/clipping from Time, Feb. 15 just in. That's TALKIN'!!!

And to develop. I suspect that blighter Phillips is the new nigger in Mr. Hull's woodshed.2

BUT I come back to Tannery's betrayal of France/3 [we]

NO Straus cd/ resist the massed spendours of the Rothschilds.

It ain't HUMAN. Gheez (if you will pardon the divigation for curial speech) I once took my dearly beloved publisher Mr Liveright to a party in Paris and the EFFECT of the splendours due to foreclosing on etc/etc// waaaaal,

I can picture Mr. Straus showing young Morgenthau round etc.4

and I can not picture the American people of so different origin, or the French people having a stand in.

And Sieff lunches with Rothschild,5 and that 30% loan "from" London, with nothing moved, not a brass farden sent over to the frogs.

this grape vine (such as Ben Franklin saw wd/ come into existence.

I haven't the exact next length in hand but it all means ENTANGLEMENT in European affairs.

with corresponding European tentacles heaven knows where in
The U.S. Treasury ought NOT to be in French politics,
french INTERNAL politics.

DeWendel's holdings in Mitsui ??? how much are they now?6
Can't remember if I sent you Delaisi's map of the French
rule? "VU" no 380 must be in congressional library anyhow.

26/ June 1936

As for England, I want the connection ?? Sieff, Eden.
nothing exact yet.
Cranbourne, a director of same bank, succeeds Eden as Sec. for League.
Sieff certainly in touch Paris Rothschild. supposed bank hand
behind Rothermere.7 I dont KNOW.

personal testimony is that he [is a]
nasty piece of work. ANYHOW.

Zaharoff's whereabouts reported unknown. AND at his age...
marrrrvelous...8

I keep on recommending Chapter XXX (as it is all Jefferson
and I dont have to be modest about authorship) of my

Jefferson and/or Mussolini.9

As NO news about Daladier gets printed in England, I think
anything you cd/ do to get his views printed, widely
distributed in the U.S.A. wd. help toward American independence.
The really appalling difficulty with getting America FREE from
British propaganda is that all Garrison Villard's {gann}
gang, all these sham highbrows and paste-liberals GOT
their IDEAS out of British coacoa papers/ AND the next
generation, Wood Krutches, etc; GO ON DOING now, after
a quarter of a century.10

It used to be possible to transmit a TINY
stream of acid from France, as counter irritant, but
France was simply knocked out I914/I9.
Nothing but little papers with hardly more than private circulation
except when Delaisi breaks into big circulation.

I go on reviewing occasional french books, but they are NOT
hammered down hard.

NO USE our expecting foreign intelligentzia
to save us. At least we oughtn't to go on expecting it.

//The enc/ review/ might be of interest. I mean the CONTRAST of
Italian reviewer going for root idea, and one that Morgan11
wd/ consider dangerous/

whereas that ass Nicolson (Harold) M/P12
merely slithered, and wd'n't face Jeff's ideas/

He revd. the book in London Sunday Observer.
Much closer thought here than in Eng/ Spirito going for
Rossoni in detail and Rossoni printing it in his own paper.13
Much healthier atmosphere at least in some circles here
than in equally high spheres in England.

very truly yours

Letter 18 Notes

1. Pound must be referring to an article in Newsweek, not Time, on 15 February 1936. The Newsweek article mentions Tinkham's appearance before the Nye Committee, particularly his demand for investigations of Colonel Edward Mandell House, for his alleged unpatriotic sentiments and his alleged role in getting the U. S. involved in the World War; ambassador Walter Hines Page, for his "traitorous" behavior in England during the war; and the British "domination" of the U. S. State Department ("Guns" 10). The only mention of Tinkham in Time for 1936 was on 6 April in a note about Tinkham's refusal to move from his apartment in the Arlington Hotel, which had been rented by Tugwell's Resettlement Administration. Tinkham had claimed he had taken a lifetime lease on the apartment and refused to be "resettled" ("People" 72).

2. William Phillips (1878-1968), a career diplomat, was U. S. minister to Italy (1936-41). Cordell Hull (1871-1955) was Secretary of State (1933-44).


4. Horace Brisbin Liveright (1886-1933) had been Pound's publisher at Boni and Liveright, which he founded in 1918 (Edgett). Jesse Isidor Straus (1872-1936), a member of the department store family, had been president of Macy's, which had been long established in Paris. In
1933 Roosevelt had appointed him ambassador to France, a post he held until just before his death (Harlow). For notes on Morgenthau and the Rothschilds, see Letter 8, n3, and Letter 15, n5.

5. At the time of Pound's writing, Israel Moses Sieff (1889-1972) was vice chairman and joint managing director of Marks and Spenser Ltd., a British department store chain established in 1926 by his brother-in-law, Lord Marks. Sieff was also chairman of a political research group (P.E.P), from which position he was influential on British social and economic policy, and an active zionist (Marks & Spenser Ltd.); "Sieff"). "Rothschild" probably refers to Baron Edouard Alphonse James de Rothschild (1868-1949), the head of the French branch of the Rothschild banking family and chief regent of the Bank of France in 1936 ("Rothschild...", Obituaries).

6. Francois de Wendel (1874-1949), a French industrialist and political leader, was president of La Comite des Forges, the industrial trust ("Wendel"; cf. Letter 3, n4). Mitsui was a Japanese bank. For note on Delaisi, see Letter 10, n2.

7. Harold Sidney Harmsworth, 1st Viscount Rothermere (1868-1940) was a British newspaper publisher ("Harmsworth"). For note on Cranbourne, see Letter 14, n2. Also, cf. Letter 12, n2, on Eden et. al.

8. For note on Zaharoff, see Letter 8, n11.


10. Oswald Garrison Villard (1872-1949) was an American editor and author who had been connected with the New York Evening Post and The Nation ("Villard"). Joseph Wood Krutch (1893-1970) was an American author, educator, and social and literary critic ("Krutch").

11. John Pierpont Morgan (1837-1913), the American banker and
financier.

12. Harold Nicolson (1886-1968) was a British diplomat, politician, and journalist ("Nicolson").

13. Ugo Spirito (1896-1968) was an Italian philosopher interested in economics and the corporate state. His brand of Fascism had a "communist" bent in that he saw corporate ownership passing from stockholders to producers, whose proprietorship would be based on technical expertise (Cannistraro, "Spirito"). For note on Rossoni, see Letter 15, n6.
ROME, September 8, 1936

Professor Ezra Pound,

Via Marsala 12-5
Rapallo.

My dear Professor:

I arrived Saturday September 5th in Rome and I am staying at the Excelsior Hotel. I shall probably remain around Rome until about the 20th of September. I thought being so near you I should send you a letter of greeting with my compliments.

With kindest personal regards and best wishes, I am

Sincerely yours,

[signed] George Holden Tinkham
310 San Gregorio

VENICE

Dear Mr Tinkham

Thanks for yr/ letter. I "just can't" get to Rome now but nobody ought to visit Italy (even if it is for the seventeenth time) without passing through Venice.

ANY chance of your making it? No big game here for you to shoot, only one unfortunate lion, but you might shoot the responsible parties for putting the animal in a cage that is MUCH too small.

At any rate if I can't see you do please see Odon Por. address 4 via Ugo Bassi

He can tell you more about economics than anyone else in Europe, though I have had some extremely interesting news in the last few days. Most of which gets to Por but I can't elaborate it. At any rate if you pass through England you might also see Hollis and R. McKenna.

The news comes too fast to deal with in private letters. Certain fakes are too well known NOW to last much longer.
Hope your itinerary takes in this lagumar village.

cordially

Letter 20 Note

1. For notes on Por, Hollis, and McKenna, see Letter 15, n8 and n11, and Letter 14, n1.
ROME, September 15, 1936.

Professor Ezra P. Pound [sic],
310 San Gragorio,
Venice.

My dear Professor:

Your communication of September 10 has been duly received and I was most pleased to hear from you. Odon Por, Esquire, called upon me in Rome and gave me your compliments. I had a very interesting conversation with him.

I am coming to Venice leaving Rome by plane at 7:30 a.m. Saturday. I shall stop at the Excelsior Hotel at the Lido. I shall stay Saturday, Sunday, Monday, and Tuesday at Venice going Monday and Tuesday by automobile to Capo d'Argine where I fired the first American gun on December 11, 1917 after the United States had declared war against Austria. I then intend to go to the place on the top of Monte Grappa where my staff limousine was destroyed in artillery action.

I shall sail Thursday on the Rex from Genoa. You may be sure I will communicate with you.

With kindest personal regards and best wishes, I am
Sincerely yours,

[signed] George Holden Tinkham

Letter 21 Note

1. For note on Odon Por see Letter 15, n8.
310 San Gregorio
VENEZIA
Italy
25 Sept. anno XIV
[1936]

G.C. Hamelin

Dear Miss Hamelin

I have just seen Mr Tinkham, and he wishes you to send me a copy of his 8000 word speech against COMMUNISM.

Please send it to this address.¹

I shd. like copies of any other speeches of his, if you have spare copies handy

yours very truly

Ezra Pound

Letter 22 Note

1. Pound comments on Tinkham's "communism" speech in his letter of 23 October 1936 (see Letter 17).
My dear Doctor Pound:

In compliance with the request contained in your letter of September 25, received this morning, I am sending to you herewith a copy of Mr. Tinkham's speech in the House of Representatives on May 14, 1935.1

At the moment, Mr. Tinkham's regular office is closed for repairs. Upon my return there, I shall see if there are any other speeches available in which you might be interested.

Very truly yours,

[signed] G.C. Hamelin

Secretary to Mr. Tinkham

Doctor Ezra Pound

310 San Gregorio

VENEZIA, ITALY

encl.

Letter 23 Note

1. Pound responds in his letter of 23 October 1936 (see Letter 27).
Dear G.H.T.

1. I hope the tubing and piping is O.K., if eating it breaks out again try a FEW drops of Vapex on a lump of suGAR. I mean a FEW, dont try swallowing the whole bottle neat.

2. I sure did enjoy your week in Venice.

3. As soon as, or IF the North American Review prints my note on Jefferson, I shall try opening 'em up on American form of government.

   (New English Weekly shd/ print a note on "Race" in their next issue.)

As PRACTICAL program for return to U.S. system in form that wd. be EFFICIENT now.¹

I. Senate and House debates shd/ be broadcast.
   That is up to date, and only way people can find out who is in congress.

2. Wd. you consider proposing it/ AND a further reform re/ committees.² That is House shd/ be divided into somewhat larger committees than at present.
I don't know how long House now sits. But say it works theoretically four hours a day. Two hours shd. be in these separate committees, or even three, and full assembly only for final speeches and voting. Instead of possibly vague system and seniority rules the committees shd. each contain ONE representative from the 20 larger states, and one from groups of smaller states, grouped by mutual agreement and having similar interests.

This preserves the 1789 form, but has some of the advantages of new Italian program where [in] the grand council every man is supposed to be represented by someone of his own trade.
The arguments in committee shd. be all (or ?? largely) printed, as the Congressional record now is. Votes in House shd. occur only after members have had chance (I don't say they wd. TAKE it, but shd. have chance to read the committee proceedings.)

This system wd. allow real debate on MORE issues than can possibly be dealt with in full house.

I see no chance for parliamentary govt. or whatever congressional govt. is supposed to be, unless INTEREST in congress is resurrected.

There is NO reason why all information shd. get to
White House before the legislature has a chance at it.

This strike you as reasonable?
Hope you will soon hear from Butch.3
I enc. two cards that happen to be on my desk. (writing on them contains nothing private.) one of Santa Maria Miracoli, and the other a view of Rapallo (hope you will verify photographer's opinion, after you get enough Pacific Ocean.)

[no closing]

Letter 24 Notes
1. The North American Review would print Pound's article, "The Jefferson-Adams Correspondence," in August 1937; his article "Race" was published in The New English Weekly on 15 October 1936. While the "note on Jefferson" is "an attempt to show how [the Jefferson-Adams correspondence] might serve as a point of departure...for a revalorization of our cultural heritage" (especially as it descends intellectually from the French encyclopedists) (315-16), the "Race" article is more pertinent in the present context because it suggests the "PRACTICAL programs" Pound refers to. The gist of the article is contained in the opening paragraphs:

Communism is Muscovite, Socialism is German and embodies the worst defect of that race, democracy with representation divided in respect to geographic areas is Anglo-American, and the Corporate State is Latin.

Resistance to any one of these modes of government by races
where to it is alien, is a sign of health, submission to any one of them by a race where to it is alien is a sign of decay. (12)

Tinkham would have been particularly interested in this article as he considered himself a specialist on racial traits and comparative ethnology (McKee 302).

2. Tinkham did not raise any of these issues in Congress.

3. "Butch" is Montgomery Butchart (see Letter 16, n3).
Paris, France, October 17, 1936.

Ezra Pound, Esquire,
via Marsala 12-5,
Rapallo, Italy.

My dear Mr. Pound:

Your communication of October 11 I received while here in Paris. As I am under a good deal of time pressure, I will not answer it at length.

I was much pleased to hear from you. I leave Paris to-morrow, Sunday, October 18, for London, and sail for the United States on the 28th of October.

When I arrived at Bucharest, I had a doctor and a nurse and went to bed with bronchitis. At the end of ten days I recovered, and I am in an excellent form now.

I desire to thank you very much for all your courtesies and attention while I was at Venice. Had I not had you, I should have been deprived of a great deal of pleasure. All of the places you took me to were little "gems" which I never should have seen.

Thanking you, and with high regards, I remain,

Sincerely yours,

[signed] George Holden Tinkham.
[Between 11 and 23 October 1936]
still Venice, but leaving

address

via Marsala 12/5

RAPALLO

Dear Uncle George

(Is that {addre} form of address of
{n} any political use?)

I will suppress it in private

correspondence if yr/ secretaries misinterpret it.1

I hope you will see my article in NEW ENGLISH WEEKLY
for 15th oct.2

(there is a misplaced comma, and a verb misprinted.)

I have just bet myself six pence, that Landon
will git licked. And have written a few pages on
why that is as it shd/ be.

If I am wrong I can tear up
the article.

I am saying that congress cd/ revive by immediately
demanding an enquiry into money, its nature and mode
of issue.

If you want to originate this, I can hold the article.
I want you to lead/ and will follow as ordered.
But I am, nacherly ready to bust out, unauthorized, and in way that can't possibly imply any collusion.3

[no closing]

Letter 26 Notes

1. This letter marks the first instance, as far as I know, of Pound's using "Uncle George" in reference to Tinkham.

2. The article here referred to is "Race"; cf. Letter 24, n1.

3. For Pound's article on Landon, see "Landon, or the Loser" in New English Weekly, 16 November 1936, pp. 105-06 (Gallup, C1378).
23 Oct/ [1936]

Dear Uncle George

What I like best about yr/ speech on Russia is that you go straight to ROOT. Dictatorship of proletariat/ That's it/ Trap to get people out of one tyranny into another.

Speech O.KAY: but...communism is a disease which attacks weakened organisms.

Demand for withdrawal of Russia proper. Don't think I don't see the value of yr/ attack as formal move.¹

BUT the only way to keep OUT some such mould and rot is to CURE the economic system.

Coughlin has some useful quotations from Jackson etc. in his book "Money"

I don't refer to anything but the quotations at the back of it.²

+2

I hope you have collected some information in Paris/ and that you will see several people in London.
Young Jas. Laughlin writes me very sensible letters as per sample

"You see, boss, America is not like what you think it is. There is nobody here who is interested in taking life as seriously as you do."

Followed by a few concrete examples. It appears that Pell edtr. North American Review is 30; thinks Social Credit or Mussolini or anything like that is crap.

[no closing]

Letter 27 Notes

1. In the Congressional Record the headnote to Tinkham's speech of 14 May 1935 reads as follows:

Undisputed evidence from Russian and American sources shows that the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics promotes activities to undermine the institutions of the United States and to overthrow its government in complete repudiation of the pledges which it gave to obtain United States recognition. Because of this situation, as he perceived it, Tinkham recommended severing diplomatic relations with Russia and even called for an inquiry into the possible impeachment of Government officials who were
responsible for the state of affairs on the grounds of not upholding their oath to defend the Constitution (Cong. Rec. 14 May 1935: 7526-34).

2. Father Charles Edward Coughlin (1891-1979) was an American Roman Catholic priest who attacked the New Deal in print and over the radio. His views became increasingly anti-semitic and pro-fascist until he was silenced by his superiors in 1942 ("Coughlin"). I can find no record of his book, "Money." Pound may have been thinking of his Money, Questions and Answers, published in 1936, and may have confused the title with Montgomery Butchart's book, Money, which he mentions in Letter 16.

3. James Laughlin was a young literature student who visited Pound at Rapallo during the 1930s. He became a Pound protege, editing the literary section of New Democracy. He later used the title of his column, "New Directions," for the name of his publishing company. New Directions became Pound's principal publisher (Stock 322-23).

4. John Pell had been editor of The North American Review since spring 1935.
Dear G.H.T.

This will probably miss you in London; but there was one question of yrs/ which I didn't answer in Venice

I didn't quite get what you were driving at, and the question is not one to answer straight off anyone's bat, and without qualification.

You asked: will the price of gold go up?

The answer is generically: YES, and I dont give a damn if it does.

Of course it wont go up at a specific moment, and it wont go up IF you have all sorts of monkeyings; govt. etc. that wont let it / etc.

But suppose that after 20 years of various wangles one did emerge into a free market; in say I960.

Unless some chemist had managed to make synthetic gold etc.

gold wd. I think be UP as against monetary units.
It wd. be very much up against manufactured products IF any sense of reality underlay the public mentality (which is doubtful.. I mean has the pubk. got ANY)

The thing to eliminate is a bottle=neck. The strangling of exchange.

The nature fakers focus on foreign exchange. Sheer smoke screen to keep mind off internal or 90% + of trade. in U.S.

I am thinking of a modus vivendi with; say; Og Mills (damn him).

For people at that level of intelligence I think the answer is: the price of gold will go up (event u a l y) after as much short term wobble and fluctuation as the old gang can get past the police.

So will chinese jade for collectors. At least the better quality of ancient carved jade.

During the next 16 years

The fun of playing with gold will probably depend much more on inside tips about legislative action than it will on knowledge of market for merchandise etc.

Man might put a couple of gold bricks in the cellarage for his great grandchildren; and let himself and sons starve in the
interim.

Private holding might be illegal, though hardly for bullion, certainly not for unmined gold.

UNLESS mineral wealth were nationalized, or farmed to concessionaires ...that has happened before.

latter Persian petrol etc.

The timid and the seekers for absoL00T safety might just as well die ANYhow.

Main curve of gold over say a century will be UP.

And the price of wheat: meat and clothing is more important in national economy.

sorry to be so dull; but hope this is clear/
or at least that it exposes my mental nakedness.

Delaisi or Duboin[^2] has got round to remarking that the distance from Paris to Dijon will not be altered by shortening the kilometer.

In fact several of the ideas in my Volitionist 8 questions formulated I933, are now crawling into French print

the 4th is the sticker.[^3] devme//
Letter 28 Notes

1. Ogden Livingston Mills (1884-1937) was undersecretary of the U. S. Treasury (1927-32) and Secretary of the Treasury (1932-33) ("Mills").

2. For notes on Francis Delaisi and Jaces Duboin, see Letter 10, n2, and Letter 16, n4.

3. In August 1934 Pound had a list of eight questions about economics printed up and then sent them to bankers, economists, politicians, and others, requesting that they reply. The form was headed "Volitionist Economics," and contained the following "questions":

   Which of the following statements do you agree with?

1. It is an outrage that the state shd. run into debt to individuals by the act and in the act of creating real wealth.

2. Several nations recognize the necessity of distributing purchasing power. They do actually distribute it. The question is whether it shd. be distributed as favour to corporations; as reward for not having a job; or impartially and per capita.

3. A country CAN have one currency for internal use, and another good both for home and foreign use.

4. If money is regarded as certificate of work done, taxes are no longer necessary.

5. It is possible to concentrate all taxation onto the actual paper money of a country (or onto one sort of its money).

6. You can issue valid paper money against any commodity UP TO the amount of that commodity that people want.

7. Some of the commonest failures of clarity among economists
are due to using one word to signify two or more different concepts: such as, DEMAND, meaning sometimes WANT and sometimes power to buy; authoritative, meaning also responsible.

8. It is an outrage that the owner of one commodity can not exchange it with someone possessing another, without being impeded or taxed by a third party holding a monopoly over some third substance or controlling some convention, regardless of what it be called.

(quoted in Stock, Life 321-22)

Pound's purpose in soliciting responses to these "questions" was not merely to take a poll, but to determine the "basis of agreement between various schools of contemporary economics" (unpublished letter to Morgenthau Sr.).
4 Nov [1936]

Dear Mr Tinkham

Judging from first radio reports that PORE OLE EFFERLUNT aint got nothink but hiz tail left to stand on. If it weren't for the delay in mail I wd/ hold off for a as few days; but this wont reach you before the 15th. I proceed.

A party responsible for Hoover getting into the White House; deserves to die. and that wasn't the first offense, though it was the worst.

The same wd. apply to Col. House. ¹

This is really a WAIL for guidance. Can you check up on the following questions and items.

I. Has the old guard learned ANYTHING by the election; or do they still think the peepul WANT a dictatorship from the DuPonts and Wall St. with the hired Atlantic Monthly bleating behind it?

2. Do they NOW admit that a policy of sheer intellectual cowardice/ with a stuffed non-entity at head of ticket
wont work?

A man who knows NOTHING, who dont even know enough
to stall with efficiency to conceal his ignorance!

I mean IS the question of a soft westerner OUT for 1940
or are there still simps/ wd. need to be TOLD about that.

ARE the following safe planks that can be agreed on:

THE CONSTITUTION (including the clause that
congress had the right to coin money and
[distribute] it legally; despite Eccles and Barney Baruch. 2

Non intervention in Europe. Monroe doctrine.

//

Is there any better IMMEDIATE way of attack than that of
demanding a thorough investigation of the nature of MONEY?
attacking the socialistic FOLLIES by that
investigation.

Linc Steffens 3 once said to me: Can't do anything with revolutionaries until they are at the END

of their tether.

Is the old gang of negaters lower in the plane of human intelligence than the greasers Stef was talking about?
A purely negative and cowardly opposition to Roosevelt has been a WASH OUT.

I am naturally foaming to know what if anything you discovered in Paris or elsewhere/

I take it re/ the body of this letter; the first man to sound out is Hamilton. 4

At any rate do send on some sort of answer calculated to restrain my nacherl foolishness.

cordially yrs.

Question in my mind whether ANYthing remains of republican party EXCEPT a few bankers; and the DuPont contingent. I mean money ramp severed from manufacturers/ or manufacturers simply muddled to point of not knowing the difference.

What wd. be wrong with demand for COMPLETE reorganization of Rep.

Letter 29 Notes

1. Edward Mandell House (1858-1938), an advisor to President Wilson, helped draft the Versailles Treaty and covenant of the League of
2. Marriner Stoddard Eccles (1890–1977) was an American economist. As governor of the Federal Reserve Board (1934–36), he was influential in pushing the Banking Act of 1935 through Congress. He was also chairman of the board of governors of the Federal Reserve System (1936–51) ("Eccles"). Bernard M. Baruch (1870–1965) was an American financier, securities expert, and long-time government advisor ("Baruch").

3. Lincoln Steffens (1866–1939) was a noted American journalist and author ("Steffens").

4. Pound is referring to John David Miller Hamilton, the Republican political leader who became National Chairman of the party in 1937.
[5 November 1936]

Dear Mr Tinkham

On the supposition that democratic fan mail will be heavier than republican during the next few weeks and/or that a deluge from me may amuse you amid the ruins of Persepolis

AND without supposing that 100% of what I tap out will have failed to occur to you ANYHOW,

surely NOW is the time for some one INSIDE the Repub/ lines to INSIST on fact that the party committed suicide when it put up a damn crook like Hoover and succeeded in insulting the whole people by getting that fat mugg into the White House.

The people had stood a good deal before that.

Recovery on merely reactionary and negative lines is or by right OUGHT to be impossible.

Either the old party died DEAD and stays dead and the democs/ split into two real parties; god knows what demarcation
socialist nose=blowing/ doles ala England/

heaven knows where it wd. divide.

OR the old skeleton takes up some clean and decent issue.

a way OUT; that is to say an ISSUE FROM the Nude eel
No question of changing just a FEW votes in 1940.

REEDUCATION; serious teaching of the REAL history of the U.S.A.
not the sixty years of suppression of the VITAL
prolonging

facts.¹

You are not going to oust Frankfurter with mere non-intellectual
tosh; and few soft boiled lies that millions of people
now KNOW to be hoakum.

national
That cold in the head is NOT is not going to be cured by
mere ignorance
however many Liberty Bigs and Committees for
anti=nation get up and spend money.²

I note the Atlantic Digest
and the American Murkury are in SMALLER format.
John Danl (Webster) Miller Hamilton will have to assemble at least a few [n] blokes who KNOW something.³

Obviously if the hired press hasn't cut any ice at the polls.

Taxes are unpopular/ but not unpopular enough.

Ham will have to learn difference between a tax and share.

Only way to beat Nude Eel ideology is to get a BETTER one, something with a drive/ not merely old fat.

Otherwise it will be Tugwell in 1940 and Frankfurter, Baruch; Morgenthstein; Isaacsohn, Ikevitch in the Supreme Court.⁴

What about Hen. Ford; any way of boring a hole in HIS skull.

He had budged on a few inches/ got as far as need of economic engineer, and had it printed in the Sat. Eve Pustulence.⁵

I cant get past his barricade of yes men and puboty. agents.

I enc. spare copy of 8 questions, dated 1933. I emphasize the date of printing; because they are not yet OUT of date.⁶

[no closing]
Letter 30 Notes

1. Pound felt that the views of Jackson and Van Buren regarding the government's responsibility for money and credit had been suppressed since the Civil War.

2. "Liberty Bigs" probably refers to the large financial interests that supported the Liberty League, a nonpartisan organization of conservatives who opposed the New Deal (Patterson 251-52).

3. J. D. M. Hamilton was the new Republican National Chairman. Cf. Letter 29, n4.

4. Pound's references to Isaacsohn and Ikevitch are unclear, although the latter may be his mutilation of the surname of Harold LeClaire Ickes (1874-1952), then Secretary of the Interior (1933-46) ("Ickes"). For note on Tugwell see Letter 12, n5; on Frankfurter, Letter 8, n13; on Baruch, Letter 29, n2; and on Morgenthstein (Morgenthau), Letter 8, n3.

5. Ford argued that both production and distribution would increase if industry were free to operate according to the production principles of high wages and low prices, rather than the opposite principles of "money men." "As prices come down to touch level after level of purchasing power," Ford maintained, "production goes up. And as a general and far-flung result, the standard of wealth and purchasing power of the whole community rises" (Crowther, "Our Job"

6. These principles would operate freely if left alone. "What we need is some financial engineers...free exchange has been so hampered [by government and finance] that production, distribution, and service have been made to look as though they have broken down" (Ford, quoted
in Crowther, "Only Real Security" 6).

23 Nov

XV [XIV] [1936]

Hon G.H. Tinkham
Washington D.C.

Dear Mr. Tinkham.

Enclosed item re/ Henry FORD. valuable.

These crank papers are the only way to get the news which the hired press doesn't print.

My belief that F.D.R. will grab ALL the good issues/ and the only effective opposition will be to get the BEST ones BEFORE he does. and then HOLD 'em.

The boys in London like you. So you will get my note on Landon, and if you have time; you will notice several items between the lines of it.

I mean; not only what I said but what I was driving at.¹

I suppose you are among the survivors// even if you weren't it wd. be worth doing a dying gladiator in the lame duck and coming out for Congressional control of currency. So that when the split comes you cd/ steer the right section.

¹
Not enough data to interpret the Tugwell resignation.\textsuperscript{2}

? a feint ??

or a concession to the usurers ? or ??

just better paid job for T/

I dont think T/ was venal.

anyhow the WORST diddlers are Morgenthau; Perkins and Wallace.\textsuperscript{3}

ad interim

[no closing]

Letter 31 Notes

1. For citation on Pound's "note on Landon," see Letter 26, n3.

2. Tugwell had submitted his letter of resignation as head of the Resettlement Administration (RA) to Roosevelt on 17 November 1936, but the resignation was not effective until 31 December. His main reasons for resigning probably had to do with the RA's chances of getting appropriations. Since Congressional opinion considered him too progressive, the RA's chances were better if he resigned (Sternsher 322-25).

3. Frances Perkins (1882-1965) was U. S. Secretary of Labor (1933-45) ("Perkins"). Henry Agard Wallace (1888-1965) was U. S. Secretary of Agriculture (1933-40) and would be Vice President (1941-45) and Secretary of Commerce (1945-46) ("Wallace").
My dear Mr. Pound:

Upon my return from Europe on November 2, I went to Boston, where I remained until two weeks ago, when I came to Washington. Although I have not yet come to the end of the accumulation of work which I found upon my desk here, I am writing this letter so that you may know that your letter of November 23, with its enclosure, and your several earlier letters, including one which you addressed to me at London, have all reached me. Sincere thanks for them all, and for the literature which I have received under separate cover.

I am glad to know that "the boys in London like" me. I enjoyed very much meeting them. I regret I did not have the pleasure of seeing Major Douglas. "The boys" may have explained to you that he was out of town when I arrived and did not return until it was too late for me to meet him as finally suggested.

Enclosed is a press dispatch concerning my reelection which appeared in the principal Boston afternoon paper and which it occurred to me you might be interested to read. I understand it was copied over the country.
Believe me, with cordial regards and with the compliments of the Season,

Sincerely yours,

[signed] GEORGE HOLDEN TINKHAM

Ezra Pound, Esquire

via Marsala 12-5

Rapallo, Italy
Dec 24 [1936]

Hon. G./H/ Tinkham

My dear "Uncle George"

I am sort of pinin' for a letter about what it looks like from the inside. I dont hold it against [you]

(what you told me about Landon,¹ 'cause even the frigid T.S. Eliot come back from Baastun all steamed up about the peril that some blokes wd/ vote republican...)

The enclosed is fer yr/ hours of idleness. But considering what the reps/ of the solid South get into the Congressional Record (in the leave to print sections) it seems a shame not to uplift 'em.

Print don't do it justice/ it must be sung in bar room to get the full rhythmic richness.

yrs devotedly

Letter 33 Note

1. For note on Landon see Letter 12, n4.
[December 1936]

Hon. G.H.T.

W.E. Woodward's

[Note: What is missing here is probably some reference to Woodward's book, *A New American History.*]

I shall review it in New Eng/ Weekly, but article won't appear for long time/ as other crisis stuff will precede it.¹

I want to see if you think I exaggerate value of Woodward's moderation and horse sense.

Book OUGHT to be in all high schools.

most interestin'

(He is the Washington Image and Man; bloke)²

Hope you meet him.

WHAT is back of my mind is: YOU ought to be on nation's "mind" in press every day and all day till 1940.
Text book reform cd/ be presented in such ambiguous or vague terms that no one wd/ be alarmed. OBViously high schools shd/ NOT go on with text book obscurantism planned or slopped during Grant's administration.

II. I want you to get out WEST and let a few folks see you. OR spread the legend somehow; but I; from here, can't see better way than silent passage among the anonymous. (selected anons/)

Unless the character of the people in them paats has completely diliquesced I do NOT recommend such trip for most easterners I mean NOT as political move.

I think it wd. work on blokes like Hawk out in S. Cristobal N. Mex (who is COMpletely anonymous).³

Have you any line on Col. Mack of S. Frisco.? runs a paper there.⁴

Any use my trying to write in Baaastun Travvelorr?

Re/ Text Bk/ reform. Woodward's book is a concrete and extant object. that CD/ be introduced.

Not mere matter of vague wish.

And his being a sort of democrat (not very) and having been on Roper's⁵ advisory whatever/ might make him a strategic point.
when I say democrat; get it that he is ENTIRELY undazzled.

(as you wd. gather from the book anyhow.)

OH/ well AZ nooz (just come) Liveright\(^6\) has sent on chq/ proving that several copies of my Jefferson/Mussolini have actually been sold.

[no closing]

Letter 34 Notes

1. The article appeared in *The New English Weekly* on 4 February 1937, and was reprinted on 11 February 1937.

2. This is the title of another Woodward book.

3. "Hawk" refers to Harold W. Hawk, a former student of Pound's at Wabash College, who had written a favorable review of *Jefferson and/or Mussolini* for "a Texas paper," probably the *El Paso Times* or the *Dallas News* (Hawk).

4. I can find no information on Colonel Mack.

5. For note on Roper see Letter 12, n5.

6. For note on Liveright see Letter 18, n4.
Dear "Uncle George"

Absent treatment you GOT to apply to me, but possum treatment just aint necessary. I dont want to push you (I see you ceding to pressure ANYhow!!) to indiscretion.

And if you dont want to SAY anything yet; I suggest you give Senator Frazier a chance to work on you. Let him TRY to convert you (and incidentally find out what he really knows).

I have had a sensible letter from him. He also suggests I try working on the new governor of Dak/ for text book reform.¹

NEXT; Is Amos Pinchot a serious character?² I have had serious long letter from him. Good as far as it goes. But does "NON partisan monetary authority" mean one that cares a damn for the good of the country; or does it mean Barney Baruch/ Vanderlip and a few more silent and untrustworthy non-partisans keeping things quietly wrong?³

//

It having been PROVED damn well up to the next that foreign business differs from INTERNAL business.

The NEXT fact to be burnt into public head is that SOME GOODS last longer than others.
Difference in durability of tomatoes and bricks.

Demurrage charge.

NO NEED to use SAME tool (monetary tool) for all purposes.

A medium of exchange/ ANOTHER medium for savings.

STAMP SCRIP to correspond to PERISHABLE goods.
National bonds O.K. so long as you want to maintain rentier class.

They can STAY as a medium for saving.
Fixed gold certificates can properly be issued AGAINST actual gold

BUT not against hot air and banker's ink.

This PRACTICAL program will not satisfy doctrinaire theorists

but it wd/ WORK.

I will elaborate if you wish.

The WEDGE to split the present swollen Roosevelt party COULD be/ REFUSAL to accept restrictions of product BEFORE honest monetary system is set up.

EVERYTHING he does to keep OFF MONEY is red herring. ArchBish

Re/ England/ That swine of Canterbury/ spent summer on Morgan's yacht.

dont forget that. Since when Church has damped down on economic justice.
All the filth in Baldwing buggery is {HHHHH} BANK Wiggin Wigram (alias {HHHHHHHHH}) and the old stuffed anestheticia LORD {WIGAN,} who has been put back to whisper sweet nothing into ear of Geo. VI is or was director of MIDLAND BANK.

I am asking London for LIST of cabinet connections.

(Wigan) the palace pimp/ Midland. (permanent Lord in Waiting.)
Pigram

People who stood by Ed/ a handful of Fascists/ handful of Soc/ Creditors/ a few communists and hyper-excitable people.

AND the Catholic Times/ which had good front page/ to hell with bankers' govt. (so good it was quoted entire in Doug's paper)

At any rate for gorZAke RAG 'em about their red herrings even if you dont want to start a definite program prematurely.

[no closing]

Letter 35 Notes
1. Lynn J. Frazier (1874–1947), U. S. Senator from North Dakota (1922–40), was a member of the Committee on Banking and Currency ("Frazier"). On 9 April 1937 he was to submit a joint resolution "to
restore to Congress the sole power to issue money and regulate the value thereof" (Cong. Rec. 9 Apr. 1937: 3313). When Pound says he wants Frazier to "work on" Tinkham, he is probably thinking of Frazier's ideas on money. The new governor of North Dakota was William Langer. At Frazier's suggestion, Pound had written Langer in December 1936. In his letter he offers his own ABC of Economics as a basis of economics textbooks in North Dakota schools (Pound, "To William Langer" 273).

2. Amos Richards Eno Pinchot (1873-1944) was an American lawyer and publicist ("Pinchot").

3. Frank Arthur Vanderlip (1864-1937), the assistant secretary of the treasury (1897-1901), was a banker, financial writer, and government advisor ("Vanderlip"). For note on Baruch see Letter 29, n2.

4. Cosmo Gordon Lang (1864-1945) was Archbishop of Canterbury (1929-42) ("Lang").

5. "Baldwing" is Stanley Baldwin (1867-1947), the British prime minister (1923-24; 1924-29; 1935-37) ("Baldwin"). Lord Wigram was Clive Wigram (1873-1960), a British courtier who had been private secretary and Keeper of the Privy Purse to George V and was currently Lord in Waiting and Extra Equerry to George VI. For many years he was a director of the Midland Bank. ("Wigram, Clive"). The "buggery" probably refers to Pound's belief that Edward VIII, because of his social credit leanings and his desire to keep England out of the war, was forced to abdicate by the Baldwin government in collusion with financial interests (Materer 182-92; Davis, Earl 107). Samuel Hoare (1880-1959) was Viscount Templewood; he had been the British foreign secretary in 1935 ("Viscount Templewood Dead"). For notes on Eden and
Beckett see Letter 12, n2.

6. "Doug's paper" was *Social Credit*, founded in 1934 by the Social Credit Secretariat, of which Major Douglas was the leader. The paper folded in 1939 (Finlay 139-42).
[January 1937]

Dear "Uncle George"

Beaverbrook's Daily Express is referring to Ham Lewis and Borah as Shylock No. I and Shylock No. 2.¹

Someone ought to TELL England that the sending of Runcy accompanied by Otto Ernst Niemeyer is enough cause for severing ALL relation between the two countries²

A little PEP in it.

London press seems to think the kikes failed to pick Morgenthau's pocket...but who knows...

Some pilgrims at my father's yesterday were talkin about Lewis as the next president.

Report dont say whether they meant "Ham" or the Labour bloke...³

however it ought to be watched.

Globe says they were to be on the stands at LAST, on day before yester/ but gore knoze.⁴

Leigh offering me tour in the U.S.⁵ CD/ it be any USE?? I suppose I shd. read poesy and "lecture" on littercheer...IF etc/ matter of timing whether it cd. [be] used as feeler or to get things into the air.... At any rate my transport and expenses wd. be covered...
BUT I shd/ want guidance before hand as to what was possible and/or advisable.

ALSO the choice of time very important. He suggests winter of '37 or Fall of '38.

Neither time any use to ME.

And other more interesting ways of using my TIME...

there wd. have to be a REASON for a tour, more than being looked at.

Text book reform sproutin' mildly.

...Hope you are feelin' fit.

To the Hon. G.H.T.

Letter 36 Notes

1. Senators Lewis and Borah insisted on the repayment of the British war debt. In February 1937 Lewis was to submit a resolution prohibiting the U. S. from entering into any reciprocal trade treaty with any nation that refused to pay its war debt to the U. S. or that repudiated such debt (*Cong. Rec.* 19 Feb. 1937: 1398-99).

2. Walter Runciman, a British statesman, and Otto Ernst Niemeyer, a director of the Bank of England, both visited the U. S. in the latter third of January, 1937. Contrary to wide speculation that their visits were more than coincidental, probably tied to the agreement among Britain, France, and the U. S. on currency stabilization, the
two men had separate agenda. Runciman, in what he claimed was a "private" visit, held talks with Roosevelt and Hull about U. S.-British trade. Although the currency issue was discussed, it was only in relation to trade. Niemeyer, claiming to represent neither the Bank of England nor the British government, met with the Foreign Bondholders' Association to discuss German and South American defaluts. (Kuhn, "Trade Pact"; "Anglo-U. S. Treaty"; "Niemeyer Coming")

3. "Ham" refers to James Hamilton Lewis (1863-1939), the U. S. Senator from Illinois (1913-19, 1930-39) and former U. S. Representative from Washington (1897-99) ("James Hamilton Lewis"). The "Labour bloke" refers to the American labor leader, John L. Lewis.

4. Globe's first issue came out two months later, in March.

5. William Colston Leigh (b. 1901) ran the leading lecture agency in the U. S., W. Colston Leigh, Inc. He specialized in contracting with returning American expatriates ("Leigh").
[January 1937]

Dear G.H.T.

This letter is sent in order to make it possible for you to say that a letter has reached you showing American in favour of War with England at once UNLESS state of things permitting the inclusion of Ernst Otto Niemeyer in a financial delegation to the U.S. chief pimp to Monty Norman, is ENDED at once.

(at mildest, one might suggest severance of diplomatic relations...

spose that, eva n [sic] that is impractical)

Inclusion of the dirty pimp IS useful as lighting up Paish (most downy of bird, on whom so far as I know no one has anything)

and Runciman, "the invisible man".

As usual NO real news gets thru. I hear Borah is O.K. on the Brit. swindle.

//

State of England perhaps shown by FRONT Page two col. headline some farmer shot a FOX.

(Last week what's his name V. Leech was murdered/

I think NOT a line. NOT A LINE Ital/ papers got it in connection with stabbing of Nevchine.

cheerio.
Letter 37 Notes

1. To say that Niemeyer was part of a "financial delegation to the U. S." was a misrepresentation of Niemeyer's visit (see Letter 36, n2). For note on Montagu Norman see Letter 8, n2.

2. Sir George Paisch (1867-1957) was a British economist, financier, and government advisor ("Paisch"). The epithet "the invisible man" may refer to Runciman's low profile, despite his highly influential role in the Baldwin cabinet.

3. The "British swindle" probably refers to Britain's default on its war debt to the U. S. (cf. Letter 36, n1).

4. I can find no information on either "V. Leech" or "Nevchine."
Dear UnKL George

Thanks very much
for the cuttings.

That Washington Post reminds me of the happy
rumour "Harding will listen". My impression of yr/ friend Van
denberg was that he wouldn't. Tho recently he was right about
something (forget what) when Bridges wasn't.¹

Dewey² seems to have heard that a lot of govt. bonds are held
by banks. That is ONE tiny toddler's toddle toward light.

I wish you wd. do yr/ parental duty by those young men.
They NEED a fatherly hand. and OUGHT to be grateful for the
chance of it.

Saw a wide blurb article re/ Jo Martin.³ all very complimentary.
I spose I ought to have seen him. BUT...and on other hand
the only good dutchman ever was Martin Van Buren.
I wish I cd/ get a line on Bridges' economic comprehension (if any)

I dont spose J. Lewis' plug for Wheeler wd/ elect the latter.
The people might decently choose between Wheeler and Bridges
without being SURE of getting a slob.⁴

Didn't look to me as if the Wash. Post writer much WANTED
a republican president. Last year the dems/ were all TOO damn anxious to have Dewey nominated. Didn't smell right to me, but then I am nearly a dago.

Also this son in law racket. With 200 years of history. Hamilton (Alex) was I believe a snot, more I read, more it appears so, but back of him was his pa/in/law. The snots when they can't elect someone sure to sign on the dotted plug for "YOUTH", which they think they {HH} can bamboozle. 5

here's how.

If you have time for light reading, let me know, and I will send on my notes on J/ Adams and the Chinese Emperors. 6

Letter 38 Notes
1. Henry Styles Bridges (1898-1961) was governor of New Hampshire (1935-37) and then U. S. Senator from New Hampshire (1937-61) ("Bridges"). For note on Vandenberg see Letter 15, n1.
2. Thomas Edmund Dewey (1902-71), the New York politician, was to become governor (1942-54) and, in 1944 and 1948, the Republican Presidential nominee ("Dewey, Thomas Edmund").
3. Joseph William Martin, Jr. (1884-1968) was U. S. Representative from Massachusetts (1925-63). In 1936 he had been the Eastern chair of the Republican National Committee, and in 1940 he would be the National Chair ("Martin").

5. For note on the "son-in-law racket," see Letter 12, n2. Alexander Hamilton's father-in-law was Philip Schuyler, a general in the Continental Army and the foremost financial expert in the Continental Congress. Like Pound, General Schuyler bewailed the financial ignorance of the Congress, but from an opposite viewpoint; the general, along with his son-in-law, was a strong proponent of governmental ties with financiers (Miller 23, 52, 56).

6. These "notes" would eventually become the Chinese (52-61) and Adams (62-71) cantos, published by Faber on 28 January 1940 (Stock, Life 375).
My dear Ezra:

I am sorry I have not been able to write to you before. The work of my office has been unusually heavy recently, and following the Supreme Court proposal there descended upon me a deluge of protests. In fact, I have never received so many letters on one issue since coming to Washington twenty-two years ago. With regard to the economic situation, my views have not changed fundamentally since we went off gold in April of 1933. In fact, what I predicted then is eventuating today. Basic commodity prices are rising. They are going to rise much higher. There are three reasons for this rise:

(1) During a depression basic commodity surpluses accumulate; as recovery begins, the accumulations are absorbed; there are increased biddings for basic commodities and prices rise. This is the normal and orthodox action and reaction in a depression and recovery; but in this country, two very artificial influences have been at work to precipitate the rise in commodity prices to great heights.

(2) Between 8 and 10 billions of what might be called "deficit" dollars have been issued and put into circulation. This has been done by a printing-press bond method and has created great credit resour-
ces, with the same economic effect that would have been achieved had the Government printed the same amount of money in the form of what used to be known as "greenbacks". The purpose of so large an issue of money has always been to raise prices artificially, and the purpose has always been effected.

(3) There was an artificial reduction of the gold content of the dollar by 40 per cent, thus adding an enormous amount of gold. This action has stimulated the world production of gold to such an extent that 50 per cent more gold is being produced at present than was being produced in 1929. History over a period of 400 or 500 years shows that a large intrusion of gold has always powerfully increased basic commodity prices. The discovery of North and South America and of its gold, which went to Europe, finally increased commodity prices 300 to 400 per cent; the discovery of gold in California in 1838 increased basic commodity prices, roughly, 50 per cent; and the discovery of The Rand in Alaska raised basic commodity prices about 50 per cent, - all very slowly, of course. In 1933 there was more gold in the possession of the genus *homo* than at any time in the history of the world, and since then there has been added the largest amount of gold ever added in a like period of time.

These three forces are increasing basic commodity prices inordinately and will continue to do so unless some
action is taken. As any action taken would bring a panic, particularly in agricultural commodities, it is probable that no action will be taken by this wholly politically-minded Administration which we now have in Washington.

Now, as to taxes. Not only is an immense amount of money being spent by the federal and local governments, but policies have been adopted which call for further large expenditures, so that taxes are bound to rise materially in time.

Labor costs are being pyramided, first, by increase of pay and secondly, by reduction of hours.

These three elements, basic commodity prices, taxes and labor costs, determine the cost of living, so the cost of living must rise, and this irresistibly, causing a fall in the purchasing power of national and international currencies. I say "international" currencies as well as national currencies because all the larger countries are pursuing about the same course.

From all this, as you can well see, will come political and social chaos. The denouement in the United States will come very probably between 1938 and 1941. I should rather be held to this span of years in this prediction, although quite tentatively I am picking 1939 as the year.

This, certainly, is a very unfavorable outlook, and what can come out of it except a great disturbance and social and political dislocation I cannot see. Labor,
encouraged by our wholly irresponsible president, is not only rampant, but defiant of law. All of these things could have been avoided by an administration which was conservative or by one which dealt with fundamental things and knew something about economic history and about dealing with the *genus homo* in the mass.

I am loath to write such a report, but I am compelled to do so by facts.

I hope all goes well with you.

It is now most uncertain when the Congress will adjourn. I do not know yet what I shall do this summer. I may fly the Pacific and spend several months in the Far East, or I may come to Europe.

I do not see war in Europe this year any more than I did when I left Rome last October. Of course, if France should collapse economically or politically it might come. I should be much interested in your views on this subject—whether or not you think there is a possibility of war in 1937 in Europe, also I should like to know what you think of the French situation, with which you must be quite familiar.²

With cordial regards, I remain

Sincerely yours,

[signed] GEORGE HOLDEN TINKHAM

Ezra Pound, Esquire
Via Marsala 12-5
Rapallo, Italy
1. Roosevelt had proposed that he should be empowered to appoint a new justice, up to a total of six, for each Supreme Court Justice who did not retire within six months after turning seventy years old. If his proposal had been approved, he would have been able to outflank the "nine old men" with six New Deal justices (Burns 293-94).

2. Pound responds in Letter 41.
II April [1937]

Dear "Uncle George"

I was glad to read of yr/ vote vs/ Federal Reserve hoax. Curious to know whether you voted quietly or with music.¹

I am scared to death of making a noise too soon. I think I wrote that GLOBE couldn't handle my note on the League of Notions. At least not YET. They were pleased by my note on Edward to=be Simpson.² BUT doubt if the magazine got into England.

And I dont know what the traffic will stand.

//

Alberta news is good. Canadian news is good. Indian news is also interesting.³

Spain keeps on USING guns.

Odon Por says I shd/ be cheered by economic development here. Old hoaxes failing slowly. De' Stefani gone to China.⁴

Anyhow, both Germany and Italy seem to begin to see that nations money shd/ be based on national productivity.

Por in Revista del Lavoro claimed Hitler was out for National Dividend.

The Fuhrer said "jeder Kontrahenten" ought to have his part.
North Amer. Rev. dont like me, but the boys in the VOU, Tokio, have been printing me every month for some time in translations whereof I can make out just enough to see what they are using. 5

Let me know if ANY foreign information can be useful.

yrs ever and keep AT 'em

Letter 40 Notes
1. Pound is referring to a Senate bill that would amend the Federal Reserve Act to "extend the period during which direct obligations of the United States may be used as collateral security for Federal Reserve Notes." He probably considered it a "hoax" because it allowed the banks to profit at the government's expense. In the words of Congressman Patman, who voted for the bill, but only because of its two-year limitation on the extension:

It seems ridiculous...that the Government should issue a bond drawing 3 percent interest, sell that bond to a bank, then permit the bank to deposit the bond with the Federal Reserve bank and get its face value in new money by paying the rediscount rate of 1 1/2 or 2 percent and continue to get 3 percent on the bond. Then the Federal Reserve bank by paying the cost of the printing...may get Federal Reserve notes--new money--on this bond without paying the Government a penny for the use of its credit, which guarantees the money, and will collect from
the member bank the rediscount rate of 1 1/2 or 2 percent.

Tinkham voted against the bill "quietly," but it passed by a wide margin. (Cong. Rec. 24 Feb. 1937: 1563-64, 1571.)

2. For Pound's "note" see: "Abdication." Globe March 1927: 82-87 (Gallup, C1394). The magazine never published his piece on the "League of Notions."

3. "Alberta news" possibly refers to demands by "insurgents" in the Alberta legislature for more drastic monetary reform along social credit lines, and for the possible services of C. H. Douglas as advisor ("Alberta"). "Canada news" possibly refers to the legislative effort to control the munitions industry to outlaw war profiteering and to keep Canada free of entanglements that might lead to her involvement in war ("Canada Plans"; "Canada Studying"). Cf. reference to Spain "USING guns" in the next line. "Indian news" possibly refers to the deadlock between the British government and Mahatma Gandhi and the Indian Congress party over the new Indian Constitution, particularly in regard to the powers of provincial governors ("Britain is Seeking Talks").

4. For note on Odon Por see Letter 15, n8. Alberto De Stefani, the ex-finance minister, had become Italy's financial advisor to the Chinese government ("Italian Ex-Finance Chief"). The "economic development" that Pound is probably referring to here is the tendency, demonstrated in Italy, Germany, and China, toward greater national self-sufficiency and, as he perceived it, consequent economic justice for each citizen claimed by the Fascist state.

160
5. The VOU Club was a Tokyo-based group of Japanese poets who, under the leadership of Katue Kitasono, published *Vou*, a journal of contemporary poetry (Pound, *Kulchur* 137-39).
12 April [1936]

Dear Uncle George

Yrs/ 31st. March to hand. And yr/ question

a fair teaser. Plain reply is: I know no more than a jack rabbit.

IM(damn)POSSIBLE to get any detailed news out of

France.

I am convinced that Blum is NO damn good. Millionaire

Socialist fake.

ALMOST but not quite incredible that he shd/ be

as ignorant of economic fact as he pretends to be.

Anyhow. He is BAD. slush.. call him a jewish

Ramsay MacDonald.¹

Daladier possibly a crank, but I think honest

and Laval practical.²

One frog (who may be totally ignorant) told me I wasn't

utterly crazy to dream of a Laval Daladier hook up.

but it is all guessn and sky

writing

ALL I do see is CONTINUAL pressure to sell guns. This was

eased by a few sales in Abyssinia. The Spanish fake now permits

a limited sale in Spain.
without the unpleasantness of formal war between European nations.\textsuperscript{3}

India, Canada, Alberta, Italy, Hitler all getting wiser to London (Jew and nonjew) Bank racket.

I don't see why France shdn't just slump into general sabotage WITHOUT its starting a European war.

Swiss (german origin) bloke named Knitel to lunch will try to get inside his head, he has just landed from Egypt on way to conferenze in Montreux on Capitulations etc/\textsuperscript{4} (in heaven knows what "capac/ or incapacity or merely trailing along.) I haven't made him out. But may get some Cairo gossip. IF I can keep from trying to explain the world to him.

THANKS for yr/ two pages on American situation. No comment. I mean none from me. All that sequence of a cause and effect is perfectly clear and straight. printing press I shd/ say the Bond rather accentuated the effect, or some of the effects, though mebbe makes 'em show a bit slower.

And tax on the actual money (Gesell stamp)\textsuperscript{5} cd/ be used to mitigate or do away with part or all of other taxes.
I shd/ say (again mere guess) that Russia's internal disorder made it less likely she will attack others.

I am FOR the constructive efforts here and in Germany.

which take count of "genus homo" in its Italian and German segments.

I dont know whether my translation of Por's note on Milhaud plan wd/ interest you. As no editor wants to print it, I cd/ lend you the typescript.6

That is for international trade balance clearing bills that have a time limit/ seems to me a sort of Guillo Gesellism, instead of gradual demurrage charge on the paper.

Supreme Court issue a damned red herring to keep public from clear thinking about the power inherent in the right to issue money (alias right to issue enforceable claims against goods and services). Constitution much too honest a document to suit Barney Baruch.

I hear Admiral Dudley Pound (no relation) British Mediterranean fleet is in favour of UNIVERSAL currency.7 I.E. a world money governed by a few London kikes.

Waaal THAT don't surprise me. Merely shows
that London city is mis-educating the Navy.

to such lengths must

they go in pervertin' the simple minded sail/ors.

The Western segment of the U.S.A. at least might be kept awake
to the undesirability of the U.S. being governed by Mond and Co.

Imperial Chemicals and Niemeyer (Otto).³

If this be

political mindedness on my part; make the best on't.

Of course I shd/ prefer you to come to Europe. But if
a look at
you go to Japan do have {in} the VOU club/ {HHHHHHHH} co/
Kitasono Katue, I649 l'chome=magomachi, Omoriku Tokio.⁹ IF
the Japs of their generation know as much about other European
activities as the eight who have just sent me english
translations of their own stuff, do about contemporary poetry

there is a NEW Japan to consider.

A very nice and alert

and friendly Japan (so far as my friends are concerned) but at any
rate not a negligible Japan. The Councillor of their Embassy in Rome
has been working for I7 years on a history of their poetry IN
ENGLISH.

These are the Japs who want friendly understanding. I mean
COMPREHENSION...proper exchange cultural and commercial.
No doubt there is interesting talk in Tokio.

Pleasanter prospect than in Paris (ganz verJudet).

Enough of this/ I will try to collect something more factual re/ France... but apart from the general desire of gunsellers to sell guns and financiers to finance the most rapidly consumable or destroyable products.... HELL!!

yrs.

Letter 41 Notes

1. Pound no doubt opposed Blum's monetary policies, which depended on British loans and served the private interests of gold sellers. In March 1937 Blum had arranged for "free imports of and commerce in gold and its purchase by the Bank of France without inquiry into the identity of the seller..." (Philip). James Ramsay MacDonald (1866-1937) was the first Labour Party prime minister (1924), head of the second Labour ministry (1929-31), and head of the coalition cabinet (1931-35); he was also Lord President of the Council in Stanley Baldwin's government (1935-37) ("MacDonald").

2. Pound had several reasons for approving of Daladier. Among them were his opposition to La Comite des Forges and large armaments.
expenditures, and his support of stamp scrip (Seldes 21-22; Pound, Kulchur 301). Pierre Laval (1883-1945) had been premier of France (1931-32, 1935-36), would become premier of Vichy France, and would be executed for treasonous collaboration after the war ("Laval").

3. While the Soviet Union had supplied arms to the Loyalists, Britain and France had not. Most of the influx of men and materiel had gone to the Nationalists and had come from Italy and Germany (Haines and Hoffman 415-17; Jackson, Gabriel 251-255). These facts make Pound's assertion about guns to Spain seem either misinformed or highly ironic, given his Fascist sympathies. He was probably getting his information from the Italian Fascist press. In the Giornale d'Italia, for example, a series of articles charged, in considerable detail, that both Russia and France had violated the non-intervention agreement by supplying both men and armaments (Cortesi). The "Spanish fake" may refer to either the Loyalist president, Manuel Azana; the prime minister, Largo Caballero; or the Loyalist government itself.

4. The Egyptian capitulation conference in Montreux would put an end to four-hundred-year-old treaties that granted special privileges to foreign nations in Egypt. The "capitulations" would be phased out by 1949. ("Powers Sign Pact"). Knitel is possibly the "Knittl" of Cantos 89 and 97 (but cf. Terrell).

5. The "Gesell stamp," or Schwungeld, was a form of paper currency to which the bearer had to periodically affix a postage stamp to keep it valid. The longer it was kept out of circulation, the less it was worth to the bearer. Therefore, it was a form of money that encouraged rapid circulation and discouraged hoarding (Laughlin 158).

6. Devised by professor Edgard Milhaud of Geneva University, the plan
provided for the issuance of international purchase checks, payable in goods and services, in payment for imports. The checks, which were to be used within six months or a year, could be used to purchase commodities or services from any subscribing country. The checks were not convertible in gold, unless gold were bought as a commodity. The plan had wide approval and had been adopted by several countries, including France and Switzerland ("Urges Study"). For note on Por see Letter 15, n8. His "note," translated by Pound, was published a year later in the July/September issue of the British Union Quarterly as "Systems of Compensation" (Gallup, C1409).

7. Sir Alfred Dudley Pound (1877-1943) was an admiral in the British Navy (1939-43) ("Pound, Sir Dudley").

8. Sir Alfred Moritz Mond (1868-1930), 1st Baron Melchett, was a British industrialist. He was a board member of Imperial Chemicals Industries, then the world's largest chemical company, closely associated with the British government and part of the war industries network (Brockway 247-252), which Pound dispised. For note on Niemeyer see Letter 36, n2.

9. Kitasono Katue was the central figure in the VOU Club. He translated several of Pound's poems into Japanese. Cf. Letter 40, n5.
Dear "U.G."

Bloke from Cairo a mixed bag. Lot of amusing imitations of Ed/viii, very drunk and very human/ various generals, Sauerwein (damn fool) after interview with Hitler only ET/C// No clear line, and his opinions useful as indication of opinions held in certain quarters.

He is believer in Thorez and thinks they will "hang" Blum (i.e. guillotine), and that Rhoosia is goin forward.

Acc/ this bloke Various "authorities" admit they underestimated Mussolini (past tense) but don't seem to have learned not to go on underestimating.

an' thaZZATT

Specific datum today/

Italian papers carry with BERLIN dateline a statement that French maconic powwow was for aiding Spanish reds to point of war

and that Daladier held out against it and was attacked for so doing.
Friend of Daladier's wife told me Daladier was not a macon.

Either may be right.

My belief being that in any case Daladier has some sense and enough to want to keep OUT of war.  

Card from Eng/ says it was "one of the Dean's party" who broadcast the rot about Franco.

I don't know that it matters. But I will get it out of Hewlett Johnson when he gets back to England.

The only thing the pp/ re/ Daladier proves is that Italian press is willing to give him a friendly notice.

Letter 42 Notes

1. The "Bloke from Cairo" is the Knitel mentioned in Letter 41 (and n4).

2. Jules Sauerwein (b. 1880), a French journalist, was then editor of Le Matin and foreign editor of Paris Soir ("Sauerwein").

3. Maurice Thorez (1900-64), one of the founders of the Popular Front in 1934, was leader of the French Communist Party (1930-64) and a
member of the Chamber of Deputies (1932-39) ("Thorez").

4. For notes on Daladier see Letter 16, n4, and Letter 41, n2.

5. The "Dean" refers to Hewlett Johnson, who, as Dean of Canterbury, had accepted an invitation from the Spanish government to investigate religious conditions in Spain. His "party" included Professor John MacMurray and Monica Whately, a well known Roman Catholic. Johnson was sympathetic to the Loyalist cause and critical of General Francisco Franco, especially for the bombings of Guernica and Durango ("Allows Mission to Spain"; "Dean of Canterbury"; Johnson).
5 May [1937]

Dear "Uncle George"

I.

WILL you or WUN'T you start drive for

"Congress shd/ go on the AIR!"

???

(I can't put it straighter than that. Tho' I am ready for advice about timing. ¹

2.

GLOBE is under weigh. They tell me my Article on Eddie's abdication got a glad hand in EVERY review of the mag that they recd//

. What the Roman Empire will pull, gorrn noze.

3.

They sent back a piece by me damning the League of Notions and saying the U.S.A. peepul ought to [be] eternally thankful to you and Borah (and the late etc/s/) for keeping us out.²

As bizniz proposition Dunn has got to play with the majority at the last elections.

Mag/ "strictly non political".

Waaal; they printed a soft by Huddleston³ on Spain, oh a soapy evasion of all things/
and Huddy in May number sez the League is no bloody good.

which is so and wot of it.

good enough as far as it goes or dont go.

But no USE in particular.

LONG letter from Woodward (E.S.) of Vancouver Canada/ not to be confused with BILL W/\(^4\)
saying what an son of an bitch is Aberhart.\(^5\)

I dont know whether you are interested in failure (not of Social Credit but of various ideas which I have held and HOLD false.)

I.
Naturally there is NO credit without social ORDER.

2.
Monte dei Paschi/ 1624/ SOUND CREDIT/

based on abundance of nature

AND RESPONSIBILITY of the whole people\(^5\)

that is the SOUNDEST, of course MOST of the people cd/ make a tolerable base.

3.
Doug/ dividends impossible without knowing at least roughly that there IS a total profit to whole nation (or credit area)
for the period (year or whatever).

4. Damn econ SECTS; instead of listening to valid criticism of other sects all start disagreeing BEFORE thinking.

5. IGNORANCE of Italy, is no help.

Rossoni's speech in Senate, printed in April La Stirpe, is important.6

Naturally WITH Italian organization, amassi of grain and farm produce, they can DO things impossible [with] unorganized commercial chaos.

Someone ought to BRIEF the Italian real news, you7

Mebbe the Foreign Relations Committee Wd/ pay for the necessary TIME.

I cd/ supervise it, even if not paid/

Though no committee wd. have any faith in unpaid help.

not I I understand their natr/

For and I cd/ oversee the briefing.8
I can't take the time to do the job

as it would leave me no time to earn anything by other work.

Unlikely Hull plus Phillips would gather or know bee from buzzard about such matters.

Now damn it all; why don't you talk to Senator Bankhead and find out what put him off Gesell, after he had hit the high water mark of his career, and done the one spout that is likely to put him [on] historical map as a forerunner.¹⁰

Neither Rossoni or Daladier are mere plain simps.

Daladier proposed Gesellism in Radical congress 3 years ago.¹¹ Rossoni in a few minutes made what amounts to more constructive criticism than all the "disciples". I mean now I have had time to think over the implications and possibilities in Ros' view.

Nothing in contradiction to yr/ remarks on GOP.

Do you see British Union Quarterly/ my article in current issue.

I asked 'em to send it to you/ but the brains have left the office.
New Party being organized in England,

I don't know whether what has been left in B.U.Q. office like me or not.

At any rate they gave me head of list on the cover/ and have printed my transition proposal.¹²

Letter 43 Notes

1. Tinkham never raised the broadcasting issue in Congress.


3. Sisley Huddleston (1883-1952) was a British journalist ("Huddleston").

4. E. S. Woodward, a friend of Aberhard, was an economist sympathetic to the ideas of Silvio Gesell (Pound, "American Notes" 185; cf. Letter 41, n5). Woodward's criticism of Aberhart may have concerned Aberhart's deficient understanding of economics. He had admitted that his social credit program had failed to deliver the promised dividends within the first 18 months of his administration. Moreover, the Alberta Supreme Court had overturned some of his social credit legislation. Major Douglas, like Pound, had little sympathy with Aberhart because he (Douglas) thought Aberhart misunderstood social credit (MacCormac). "Bill W." refers to William E. Woodward, the historian (cf. Letter 34, n1).

5. Monte dei Paschi refers to the Sienese Bank, established in 1624.
(Edwards and Vasse 147).

6. For note on Rossoni see Letter 15, n6. His speech may have concerned the Fascist policy of "amassi," or storing of grain for use by the nation.

7. Tinkham did not act on Pound's suggestion to "BRIEF" the Congress about the "italian real news."

8. For note on Por see Letter 15, n8.


10. Cf. Letter 15, n9, and Letter 41, n5, on Bankhead and Gesell, respectively.

11. In his only major economic speech in the 1930s, Daladier spoke favorably of the Gesell-like experiment in Woergl, Austria--an experiment that was destined for oblivion after a brief success. Although Daladier used Woergl only as an example, it was seized upon, distorted, and used by his opponents to defeat his proposals. The Radical Congress was overwhelmingly against anything like a Gesellist monetary policy (Larmour 72, 270n).

12. The "brains" may refer to John Angus McNab (editor), John Beckett (Director of Publications), and William Joyce (Director of Propaganda), all of whom left the British Union of Fascists to form the National Socialist League (Cross 170-71). The article to which Pound refers is "Intellectual Money," published in the British Union Quarterly, April/June 1937 (Gallup, C1400).
Dear "Uncle George"

Minor crisis in B.U.Q. head quarters as to whether you are to be provided with a free copy of last issue of their expensive Quarterly, containing me and Gen Fuller.

(The ex-edtr/ wd. have sent it. Their successor is applying to the Fuhrer for plenary powers).

The last three issues have been good.¹

//

Chris. Hollis² "We aren't so Dumb", is worth seeing. General sanity on Europe, useful for Foreign Relations Committee unless they know more. Chapter on the U.S. unconvincing, but not fulsome (considering he has an administration job...in fact very calm.)

//

They tell me there is a bright INFANT m;c; named Voorhis from California...³

if he speaks to you, don't shoot the kid until you have looked at his teeth. There may be the makings of something there...

I can't guarantee anything, I report
direct remarks of his friends. He has graduated out of some ideas.

I dont spose Foreign Relations Com/ has much to DO except NOT have 'em.

Have they noted the Milhaud plan at all??
Intemperate Gesellism...but better than stagnation.⁴

ever

oh yes/ a nuther young friend of mine met Stone⁵ at dinner a few weeks ago/ letter just in/ he SEZ EF the rest of the Suprm/ Judges are like THAT mebbe Frankie better had chuck em ALL out and replace 'em by kikes

Letter 44 Notes
2. For note on Hollis see Letter 15, n11.

3. Horace Jerry Voorhis (1901-84) was U. S. Representative from California (1937-47) ("Voorhis").


5. Harlan Fiske Stone (1872-1946) was Associate Justice of the U. S. Supreme Court (1925-41) and Chief Justice (1941-46) ("Stone").
4 June [1937]

Dear "Uncle George"

New Yorker in Rome last week told me they had got it all nicely sewed up to put in FARLEY in 1940.

If that don't arouse the ole fightin' sperrit wot will!!!

One of Al Smiff's suite told the N.Y'er next day that it was a dynasty, with Jimme R/ followin' poppah!!

Waaal!! what about Patman bill, chances?? I shd/ like to see a copy, to find out whether I think as highly of it as English informant that it has chances.²

// Rassagna
I have been asked to write for {Revista} Monetaria (about as serious as there is.)

also for Volpe's [sic] very other magazine, that is the Rivista di Politica Economica; office of Corporazioni or at any rate Volp/ at the top of that pyramid.³

Which DONT mean they are sure to print what I send 'em.
North American Rev/ after billing me in Siena last year, still afraid to print Jefferson (as on P. II6, my Jeff Muss.)

and SO on.

While being asked for Riv. Pol. Ec. I heard one on Volpe [sic] that may amuse you/ someone observing him in early days in the Balkans said:

That man is clever enough EVEN to be honest.

Matin reports some sort of scheme for commercial credits.

I am asked for a note on these Chicago people Empire Credit Foundation/ 24 million, of credits, so they say or printed.

Anyhow, with Larkin's methods, etc. ideas are spreading. I mean beyond theoretical circles.

Brit Union Quarterly SAYS they are sending you current issue.
(Macnab has left. dunno wot new edt. will be able to do with it.)
Mme Agresti regrets not having seen you.  

and the next word.

ever

Letter 45 Notes

1. James Aloysius Farley (1898-1976) was Chair of the Democratic National Committee (1932-40) and U. S. Postmaster General (1933-40) ("Farley"). Alfred Emanuel Smith (1873-1944) was ex-governor of New York (1919-20; 1923-28) and had been the Republican presidential nominee in 1928 ("Smith"). "Jimmie R/" probably refers to Farley as Roosevelt's political "son."

2. The Patman Bill, introduced by Wright Patman, U. S. Representative from Texas (1928-76), provided for government ownership of 12 Federal Reserve banks. Its intent was to remove bankers from the Federal Reserve Board and the Open Markets Committee, thereby preventing private manipulation of money and credit and, ultimately, "to stabilize and maintain a dollar of uniform purchasing power" (Cong. Rec. 24 Jan. 1938: 1010; 25 May 1937: 5043; and 24 Nov. 1938: 362). Congressman Patman introduced similar bills throughout his congressional career (Congress and the Nation 385).

3. Giuseppe Volpi (1877-1947), an Italian statesman and financier, was president of the Italian Federation of Industry (1934-43). He had been a leader in the Italian effort to intervene politically and economically in the Balkans in the "early days" before World War I
4. In *Jefferson and/or Mussolini* Pound quotes Jefferson on his position against interest-bearing currency (116-17); Pound uses the same reference in his article on the Jefferson-Adams correspondence ("Jefferson-Adams" 320-21), which *The North American Review* in fact would publish two months later in the August issue (cf. Letter 24, n1).

5. For note on Larkin see Letter 8, n8.


7. Olivia Rossetti Agresti, a British journalist living in Rome, was a keen observer of contemporary social and economic conditions. Although she did not support Fascism, her writings nevertheless respected Fascism's constructive efforts. Later she would translate Pound's essay, "What is Money For?," into Italian (Pearce and Schneidau 156).
6 June [1937]

Dear "Uncle George"

I am, naturally, pleased by bust London gold market.

McNair Wilson\(^1\) will be all fireworks/ at any rate the moment people begin to swap stuff OUTSIDE the field governed by or affected in reference to the gold buggars

the metal's value is bound to come to its USE, out of fashion for false teeth, and spectacle frames now made of fake tortoise shell.

Have you any line on the Empire Credit Foundation, of Chicago. /// kikes onto something...

such az / trade balance clearings...

ever

Letter 46 Note

1. For note on Robert McNair Wilson see Letter 12, n7.
June 25, 1937

My dear Ezra:

Sincere thanks for "The British Union Quarterly", April-July, 1937. I was much interested in your article, "Intellectual Money".

Enclosed is a memorandum in relation to the Empire Credit Foundation about which you inquired. It would seem that they are not particularly reliable.

Enclosed also is a copy of the Patman bill to which you referred in your letter of June 4. No date has been set for its consideration by the Committee on Banking and Currency. Everything here is so confused it is impossible to say whether or not there is any chance of its passage during the present session. My guess is that there is not.¹

At the moment I am up to my neck in work, and the weather has become quite intolerable.

I trust you keep well.

With cordial regards, I remain

Sincerely yours,

[signed] GEORGE HOLDEN TINKHAM

Ezra Pound, Esquire
Via Marsala 12-5
Rapallo, Italy
1. The Patman Bill was never passed. Hearings on the bill did not begin until 2 March 1938. The final hearing was 19 April 1938 (Cumulative Index 595).
IO July [1937]

Dear Uncle George

Am sending you a spot of Confucius, sep/ cov.

Glad you cd/ read the Brit. Un. Quarterly article/ it was the third of a series, and I think the best. Wonder if the others ever reached you?¹

Wonder ALSO if any larger American diffusion of such discussion is possible?

Thanks very much for copy of Patman bill/ and note on Chicago contraption (which might be O.K. IF.... last two letters being caps.)

I wonder (recurring verb) whether you have YET got any line on why Sen. Bankhead got off Stamp Scrip?² souvenir enclosed.

Mebbe if they were sent him in a Congress of U.S. envelope with interrogative IOth district stationary, it might elicit a spark or so.

Patman P/ 3 line 8/ "a generation hence" seems a bit ambitious and a bit unseasoned by historic perspective. AIM of bill seems otherwise O.K. and I suppose a bit of platonic exaggeration" etc/etc/ as to ideal stability may. oh hell!!

a bit more proportion wd/ be better.
A purchasing power that is just, that doesn't w*** violently,
that wd/ adjust itself reasonably to available supplies
recognizing the GRADUAL cheapening of manufactured products
as process improves.

That wd. imply a bit more judgment on
part of the framer. ³

The rest I have said already. Public (total pubk) shd/ be able
to buy available goods up to total amount WANTED for
use, comfort, etc.

Idea of ABUNDANCE percolates slowly.

cordially

Letter 48 Notes

1. The series of articles Pound wrote for the British Union
Quarterly is listed in Letter 44, n1.

2. For note on Bankhead's stamp scrip proposal, see Letter 15, n9.

3. For note on the Patman Bill, see Letter 45, n2.
My dear Ezra:

Mr. J. W. Dunn, Jr. has sent me four issues of his new magazine GLOBE with advice that they were sent to me "through the courtesy of Mr. Ezra Pound of Rapallo, Italy".1 Sincere thanks for your kind thought of me in this connection. I shall read the publication with interest.

We now expect to adjourn about August 21. It is my plan to leave at once for Bad Nauhein and to remain there twenty-six days, then to go to Berlin, Geneva, Vienna, Budapest, Prague, Paris and London, and from London to Cape Town by boat, then to Johannesburg and back to London by air by way of Nairobi. If it were not for my trip to Africa, I should plan to spend two or three weeks in Rome, where there is certainly much enchantment for me.

I trust all goes well with you.

With kindest regards, I remain

Sincerely yours,

[signed] GEORGE HOLDEN TINKHAM

Mr. Ezra Pound
Via Marsala 12-5
Rapallo, Italy
Letter 49 Note

22 Nov. [1937]

Dear Uncle George

What looks to me like a first rate scandal
SABOTAGE and bloody sabotage in the medical world. Not being a
specialist I am not claiming to KNOW.

BUT a bloke named
Tweddell has been curing pulmonary tuberculosis CHEAP, for the
past 20 years/

  inhalation of gypsom dust/ apparently workers
in the trade do NOT have tubercles/ cattle that kick up
calceus dust do NOT have tubercles/

  BUT (as might be expected)
Doc. F. Tweddell (Plandome N.J.) can NOT get any publicity.

  Naturally IF his cure costs 2 dollars
for six months treatment/

  AND the fancy blokes get 10 bucks
a shot for pneumothorax and theracoplsty they do NOT want
a poor man's treatment advertised.

  THAT one can unnerstan/
BUT but damnd if I see why they shd/ get away with it.

Can you note it and VENTILATE/ especially if you are getting
round to investigating ENDOWments.¹

Let me know if you want any data re/ events here.
I have been spending my spare time on Confusius and Mencius and can read more chinese than I cd/

    bright lads in Tokio, writing good poetry and translating me into Japanese.²

    and so forth.

Hope you had a good trip, and regret not having made a junction

Letter 50 Notes

1. Dr. Francis Tweddell (b. 1863) was a pediatrician who did research on tuberculosis ("Mrs. Francis Tweddell"). Tinkham did not "ventilate" the "bloody sabotage" in Congress.

My dear Ezra:

I hope you will excuse my delay in replying to your letter of November 22, which I was delighted to receive. As I know you must appreciate, I have been under a great deal of pressure since returning to Washington for the special session.

The predominant opinion here is that we are having a minor depression. I am not going to make up my mind as to whether it is a minor or a major depression until March or April. A good deal will depend upon what the government does. I do not expect much that is sound, with the present Administration. All it will do very probably is to modify some of its most extreme measures. This would not suffice, with our whole economic structure heretofore eased upon decentralization of government and free economy.

My vacation in Europe was cut short by the calling of the extra session. I spent 26 days at Bad Nauheim, 8 days in Berlin, one day at Stuttgart, 8 days at Geneva, 9 days in Paris and 9 days in London. I had intended to go to Vienna for a week, to Budapest for 5 days, to Prague for 3 days, and then from Southampton to Cape Town by boat, to Johannesburg by air for a week, and then, by air, back to London, returning to the United States about December 31. I sailed from South-
ampton for home on the NORMANDIE November 3. A year ago I returned on the QUEEN MARY. The NORMANDIE makes the QUEEN MARY seem second or third class. It really is a most remarkable boat in nearly every particular. What surprised me was the quality. The boat is worth any one's taking a trip on it, or visiting it, no matter how travelled or critical he many be.

I think you are intellectually wise to divert your mind from the present state of affairs by turning to Confucius and Mencius. I envy you your enterprise.

Great historical events are occurring in the Far East and I am following them with much interest. I flew over the territory from the Trans-Siberian Railroad to Saigon, and over Nanking twice, and I can see it all now below me very plainly.

I trust you keep well. I know you keep busy.

With cordial regards and with the compliments of the Season, I remain

Sincerely yours,

[signed] GEORGE HOLDEN TINKHAM

Mr. Ezra Pound
Via Marsala 12-5
Rapallo, Italy
December 31, 1937

My dear Ezra:

It occurred to me that you might not see the enclosed page from the NEW YORK SUN of December 18.

I hope all goes well with you and that 1938 will bring you much happiness.

With cordial regards, I remain

Sincerely yours,

[signed] GEORGE HOLDEN TINKHAM

Mr. Ezra Pound
Via Marsala 12-5
Rapallo, Italy
[9 January 1938]

Dear Uncle George

Am early doing Mencius because he is more explicit statement of where the Confucian ROOT (Ta Hio) sprouts in economics against usury, against tax ramp.

I cant spread laterally so have to go DOWN into.

As for energy, I am trying to prod you onto a job that needs a lot more. The voice of the people is there all right in

"He'll never die of hunger
He'll never die of thirst
Got one son with Dupont
And another one with Hirst."

Time for you to cash in on yr/ record. I can't stand settin' roun' with all that RECORD doing apparently nothing.

Might note that the only place I have succeeded in printing yr/ name is in Mosley's "ACTION" with credit for keeping the U.S. out of the bloody League of Nations.¹

Took a year and a half to get that paragraph of Jefferson's into North American Review.² Undt dtenn I findts in th' office Mister AUSSlander!! ZION!! ZION!!³

Ef you dont give 'em Texas QUICK they'll take every state in the union.
Waaal; by geez; I'd like to see you stand on that
ONE paragraph of Jefferson's, even if it were only like
Van Buren standing on the anti-slavery ticket. It wd/ make
notch in the stick.

yrs ever

Letter 53 Notes

1. Action was originally the organ of Oswald Mosley's New Party,
found in 1930. It was later revived, in 1936, as the organ of
Mosley's British Union of Fascists (Cross 44-52, 141).
2. The "paragraph of Jefferson's" appeared in The North American
3. Joseph Auslander (1897-1965), an American poet noted for his
romantic rhetoric and treatment of classical and biblical themes
("Auslander"), was poetry editor for the North American Review
(1936-39). He was outspoken in his sympathy for Jews and other
victims of Nazism. Cf. "O Jerusalem, Jerusalem!" (Auslander,
"Words").
Dear Uncle George

Mixed bag of notes.

I. Canadian usurers probably have created a record. Refusing to allow an expert advisor, invited by Aberhart to Alberta to stay in Canada.¹ There is NO limit to bank tyranny but it sometimes gets picturesque.

I enc. two cuttings. Ford is gradually getting pried into place.²

Science

2. Cultural front//

Tweddell's cure for tuberculosis. Good popular stuff.

Cows stir up calcium dust. Gypsum workers breathe it. Neither have tuberculosis. British doctors refuse to regard these facts as data for science.

Dr. F. Tweddell, Plandome N.J.³

3. cultural front/ microphotography for musicology and oriental studies.

Milan Paper now in Fourth year/ Lingua Estere/am trying to start 'em on a THREE language system.

(esperanto, Occidental etc/ wont succeed. BUT a three language system/ a latin idiom, say Italian/

Chinese ideogram/ taught by bilingual texts/

Kitasono⁴ in Tokio on this//
English, as only possible medium or middle ground
between STATES of mind represented by inflected language
and ideogram, where one word can be all parts of speech.

enough for this a/m/

com'mon over for a little

music.

Letter 54 Notes
1. Alberta prime minister William Aberhart, having failed to make
good on his promise to give each citizen a monthly dividend of $25,
and having recognized that his version of social credit would not
materialize, was compelled to ask Major C. H. Douglas (cf. Letter 8,
n7) for assistance. Although Douglas, through his representatives,
provided social credit legislation intended to salvage Aberhart's
program, the legislation was blocked by the Canadian government
(Elliott).
2. Pound may be referring to the possibility of a Ford presidency in
1940. There had been talk in 1937 of his running on a third-party
ticket, but he had denied such intentions ("New Party Invites").
3. For note on Tweddell see Letter 50, n1.
4. For note on Kitasono see Letter 40, n5.
I6 Jan [1938]

Dear Uncle GEORGE

A few days [ago] I got notice that I had been elected a MEMBER...and judging from the list of antecedent members I reckon it must be the membrum virile which was certainly lacking...OF the American Institute of Art (or Aht) and Letters.

Has this institution any official standing? Can a segment of the Tinkham staff be detached for ten minutes to find out?

As Brooks Adams, Henry Adams and Hen James belonged to it, I can't be too contemptuous of it. The intention is manifestly honorific, but I can't make out (from the printed matter) that it has DONE a damn thing during the 40 years of its existence.

The Sec. has carefully arranged that the LETTER to be read at the annual etc/ on Jan 18 can NOT get there in time. I mean they ask me for a letter. Waaaal ole Hen James told me what happened when they asked HIM for a letter and GOT it.... so that all gravy and bacon.

I have naturally taken ACTION. I mean within 24 hours I exercised several privileges, such as suggesting that the way to elevate the status of the BODY wd/ be to get in a few of the better writers (sent a list of ten or a dozen).

The membership is limited to 250 including MEWsicians and PAINTERS (the hand not house variety).
I haven't counted the members, but say there are 80 writers
in the show// they COULD be mobilized and are NOT hired by
any one gang of newspaper owners (at least NOT at the moment).

That is a potential force that could be used. I shall nat=
urally start driving for decent reprint of the gist of John Adams,
Jeff/Van Buren etc.¹

Adams [handwritten emendation]
Saml H. (Hopkins) (not in the inst.) has been tackled
on this by old Bib Ibbotson (who is or was on some national
library committee).² Sam is FOR, but busy.

It strikes me that any public man OUTSIDE the
institute COULD make himself felt by public notice of it. If you
get me.³

I dont know how feasible it is. The Inst. is ALL the
Inst. there is. A question as to whether it is official, cd/ be
perfectly noncommittal. But the members shd/ in a civilized country
be interested by hearing such a question asked.

They sent an, god
hellup us "insignia". I didn't know these existed in the U.S.
I suppose it has about the status of the button of the Royal Order
of Buffaloes???. Nevertheless it is supposed to indicate distinguished
services to aht or lettahs. It would be official in France, for
example (where the kikes come from Bonnet's noses LONGER than
Blum's.)⁴

At any rate if this starts a train of thought, do INDICATE

[No closing]
Letter 55 Notes

1. One month later, on 14 February 1938, Pound did in fact press for the publication of this material. In a letter to the secretary of the National Institute of Art and Letters, Henry Seidel Canby, he wrote:

   A job, and I think the first job for a serious Institute is the publication in convenient form of the thought of John Adams, Jefferson and Van Buren.

   That kind of thing is particularly the sort of thing an Institute could and should do. (quoted in Stock, Life 352).

2. Samuel Hopkins Adams (1871-1958) was an American journalist and author who wrote fictional and historical accounts of the Harding Administration and a biography of Daniel Webster ("Adams"). Joseph Darling ("Bib") Ibbotson (1869-1952) was librarian and professor of English literature, Anglo-Saxon, and Hebrew at Hamilton College, Pound's alma mater. He was Pound's teacher and long-time correspondent (1935-52) (Pilkington 4).

3. If Pound was hinting that Tinkham should take up the issue in Congress, the Congressman ignored the hint.

4. Georges-Etienne Bonnet (1889-1973) was French foreign minister under Daladier (1938-40) ("Bonnet"). For note on Blum see Letter 8, n5.
23 J Feb [1938]

Dear Uncle George

Well, Eden is OUT.¹ And I am wondering if there is or oughtn't to be a Scotch proverb

"an' th' devil's welcome to him if he likes flies in his parritch."

I have at last thought of something useful. Get me over to Heavud or Yale to give a few lectures on Confucius and Mencius.

With the light of two thousand years of Chinese history, there wd be NO NEED to allude to the present administration or indeed to anything later than the founding of the Ming dynasty.

Naturally you wd/ not appear. Some respectable and non political old gentleman from Back Bay wd/ insert the bomb. Eliot, confound him, is holding over my Mencius till June (good editing as Faber ought to have time to bring out my Guide, with the note on the Analects before the Mencius appears,² AND naturally I can't let T.S.E. in on any ulterior reasons for wanting the essay printed sooner.

His firm's interest, such as it is etc.etc.

waaaal good luck and a pleasant spring time

[No closing]
Letter 56 Notes

1. For note on Eden see Letter 12, n2.

2. Pound's "Mang Tsze (The Ethics of Mencius)" would appear in the July 1938 issue of The Criterion. His Guide to Kulchur came out shortly thereafter, on July 21. It had been ready for publication in June, but was held back for last-minute editing of 15 pages that Faber had considered "possibly libellous." Pound's digest of Confucius' Analects, previously published in English as Confucius, Digest of the Analects in June 1937 by Scheiwiller, was included in Guide as chapter one (Stock, Life 346, 354). Eliot ("T. S. E.") was a board member and editor at Faber and Faber and editor of The Criterion.
15 April [1938]

Dear Uncle George

The RIGHT thing said about the Goldsborough Bill spells 1940 (nineteen forty).

Say it with both barrels.¹

The swine and liars dont seem to be having it their own way with the committee/ esp/ Ford M/C/ seems to have made a fairly pertinent enquiry to that stiff from the N.Y. beanery.²

[No closing]

Letter 57 Notes

1. The Goldsborough Bill, proposed by Representative T. Alan Goldsborough of Maryland, was intended "to provide a national monetary policy which [would] have a definite relationship to the requirements of domestic industry and trade under the conditions imposed by [the nation's] power economy, which [would] increase production and consumption to the limit of the country's power to produce...." It would control commodity prices through the issue of $10 billion in interbank currency as a revolving fund for the maintenance of buying power among retail consumers, who would be granted 15% of credit on each purchase, which could be used to buy other goods (Cong. Rec. 8
June 1937: 5438; "Angus Would Set Up $10,000,000,000 Fund"; "Backs Retail Discount").

2. Pound often referred to universities as "beaneries." The reference in this case is probably to Nicholas Murray Butler, who was president of Columbia University at the time, as well as president of the Carnegie Endowment (cf. Letter 1, n2). "Ford M/C/" refers to Thomas Francis Ford (1873-1958), a foreign trade expert who was U. S. Representative from California (1933-45) and member of the Banking and Currency Committee and the Foreign Affairs Committee ("Ford, Thomas Francis").
April 19, 1938

My dear Mr. Pound:

I regret exceedingly that there has been so long a delay in replying to your inquiry concerning the National Institute of Arts and Letters contained in one of your communications to Congressman Tinkham.

The National Institute of Arts and Letters was founded in 1898 by the American Social Science Association. Its present President is Walter Damrosch. The following have also served as President:

Charles Dudley Warner    Augustus Thomas
William Dean Howells    Cass Gilbert
Edmund Clarence Stedman    Robert Grant
William Milligan Sloane    Maurice Francis Egan
Henry van Dyke    John C. Van Dyke
John White Alexander    Arthur Twining Hadley
Brander Matthews    William Lyon Phelps
Edwin Howland Blashfield    Wilbur L. Cross

Mr. Tinkham seems to think that there is no organization in the country of its kind with a higher standing.

With all good wishes, I am

very truly yours,

G. C. Hamelin

Secretary to Mr. Tinkham
10 May [1938]

Dear Uncle George

Waaaaal, we live to learn/ and/or as Cato said.¹

A bright young lad of the younger Mellon set² has just been telling me all about America. He sez in them circles things are etc//

And you can't be president because you are "an excentric", you "wear a beard and never take a bath. No man with a beard can be president.["]

They might be polite to you because you have a bank account, but you are not ONE of them.

(seems to me a damn good thing, but still)

Also Bro/ Goldsborough is an excentric. He goes round and actually speaks to the farmers.³

I suggested the election returns might cause thought even in the heights of capitalist idiocracy/ but this didn't seem to convey anything. "They" had heard of young Lodge,⁴ but you just got elected somehow.

AS NO American ever seems to know anything about any other
American, I now set down a few points visible from here.

I. These California dated money people SAY they are having whoopie.
But damn 'em they have gone off on DOLE (not dividend).

Now to repeat/ DIVIDEND a la Douglas is a divide up of
the EARNINGS of dead men who can't eat 'em.
WORK still being done by EDISON's mind, or by Carleton's inventions
on wheat bending etc/
It is ETHICAL/

DOLE rots/ it is canker/ get details of
the way it IS absolutely rotting hell out of English working
class/ or now non-working class. human deterioration APPALLING.
I am not going by newspaper, but by direct testimony brought me
here by direct observer.

Young Lafollette/ according to program printed in London has AT
LAST and for the first time so far as I have seen GOT hold
of something sound.

Damn it all I wish he wd/ back you
and then follow you.

I suppose the Goldsborough hearing in committee has been timed
so as to keep bill OFF the floor of the House??

May amuse you, in this connection to know that Dad got out
some old obit of my grandfather, last sunday. Milwaukee
Journal; I think it was. Said the Pound rule, at least in 1914 was still used to prevent the speaker from shutting out discussion of any measure he personally disliked.

T.C.P.\textsuperscript{9} having been lieut/governor in Wisconsin knew damn well what speaker could do; under old procedure. I believe he stayed off a fool bill single handed with purely imaginary mover and seconder, on one occasion. And when they had got him out of the state into Washington/ they bitched their river control and ultimately lost millions in flood damage.

All this Wisconsin nucleus dates I think from mugwumps and first election of Cleveland/

Oh yes; other item is these Mellonites look to Kennedy...\textsuperscript{10}

Lewis\textsuperscript{11} meaning sheer class war/ I.E/ an out of date conflict leading nowhere and wasting 25 years of human time for the U.S.

gawdDamnit.

devotedly yrs

Letter 59 Notes

1. Pound is probably referring to Marcus Porcius Cato, the elder
(234–149 B.C.), an austere Roman statesman, orator, and writer, known also as Cato the Censor ("Cato").

2. The "younger Mellon set" included Andrew Mellon's nephew, Richard King Mellon, who was president of Mellon National Bank, and Andrew Mellon's son, Paul Mellon (Carroll). The Mellon family interests included coal, iron, and international banking. Andrew Mellon himself had been U. S. Secretary of the Treasury (1921-32) and ambassador to Great Britain (1932-33) ("Mellon").


5. The "dated money people" in California were advocating a plan whereby "every senior citizen of California who is fifty years of age or over who has retired or will retire from active business or employment for compensation" would receive $30 a week for life. The money would be paid in stamp scrip. The idea was to increase purchasing power and open up jobs for citizens under fifty (Canterbury 408-10).

6. Mark Alfred Carleton (1866–1925) was an American plant pathologist and botanist whose importation of foreign grains established the durum wheat industry ("Carleton").

7. Robert Marion LaFollette, Jr. (1895–1953) was U. S. Senator from Wisconsin (1925–47) ("LaFollette").

8. The hearings on the Goldsborough Bill had begun on 8 July 1937 and continued intermittently through 10 March 1938 (Cumulative Index 595).
9. Thaddeus Coleman Pound (1833-1914), Pound's grandfather, had been lieutenant governor of Wisconsin (1870-71) and U. S. Representative from Wisconsin (1877-83) ("Pound, Thaddeus Coleman"). As president of Union Lumber Company of Chippewa Falls, he issued stamp scrip redeemable in company commodities (Laughlin 151).

10. The reference is to Joseph Patrick Kennedy (1888-1969), the American millionaire, who had been chairman of the Securities and Exchange Commission (1934-35) and was currently ambassador to Great Britain (1937-40) ("Kennedy").

11. The reference is to John L. Lewis, the labor leader.
26 May [1938]

Note/

in case it has missed you

SCHACHT during the Roman love feats has come out VERY clear for monetary ideas that I was pestering you with in Venice.

Ribbentrop apparently started letting parts of the cat out last year at Leipzig fair/ Hitler this spring/ and now Hjalmar H. Greely Schacht to all intents using my definitions (naturally in blissful ignorance of the honour),¹ but still it OUGHT to finish off the Salters/Keyneses/Guggenheim Gregories and Sprague's harvard cronies.²

devotedly yrs

To the Hon. G.H.T. Tinkham

Letter 60 Notes

1. Schacht (see Letter 8, n8), Hitler, and German foreign minister (1938-45) Joachim von Ribbentrop (1893-1946) ("Ribbentrop") had voiced economic ideas that were "Poundian" in that they were based on national self-sufficiency. These ideas included a version of stamp scrip called "delivery drafts" that were not to exceed available funds and were to be redeemed within six months, a currency backed up by
German industry instead of gold, and a general emphasis on German 
industry and internal trade as the economic base (Tolischus, 
"Germany's 'Right'"; Tolischus, "Reich is Shifting"; "Fuhrer at German 
Motor Show"; and "Nazi Election Crusade").

2. While "Guggenheim" refers to the family of American capitalists, 
industrialists, and philanthropists, the other names refer to 
influential economists. "Gregory" is probably Theodore Emanuel 
Gugenheim (Gregg) Gregory, a British economist and author of Gold, 
Unemployment and Capitalism (1933) (Pearce and Schneidau 136; Edwards 
and Vasse). For notes on Salter, Keynes, and Sprague, see Letter 4, 
n3, and Letter 15, n4 and n8.
July 27, 1938

My dear Mr. Pound:

Congressman Tinkham is now abroad for several months. Upon his return there will be placed before him the copy of LA VITTORIA received from you this morning, for which please accept my thanks on his behalf.

Sincerely yours,

[signed] G. C. Hamelin

Secretary to Mr. Tinkham

Mr. Ezra Pound
Via Marsala 12-5
Rapallo, Italy

From Mr. Tinkham's Itinerary:

August 2-6, Geneva
  " 8-14, Vienna
  " 16-23, Budapest
  " 25-29, Prague

Sept. 1-7, Paris
  " 8-23, SS CARNOVAN CASTLE to Cape Town
  " 24-28, Cape Town

Oct. 1-8, Johannesburg
  " 10-17, in air to London
  " 19-24, London

Nov. 1 arrive in New York
August 5, 1938

My dear Mr. Pound:

This week, in the absence of Congressman Tinkham, there have been received from London (1) a copy of the July-September, 1938 issue of PURPOSE and (2) a copy of your book, "Guide to Kulchur". Both publications are being placed upon the Congressman's desk for his attention when he returns from abroad. I know he will appreciate your kind thought of him.

Very truly yours,

[signed] G. C. Hamelin

Secretary to Mr. Tinkham
IO Dec [1938]

Dear Uncle George

Roosevelt "for the capitalist system"

???? meaning the present unconstitutional mess and abrogation
by govt. of the sovereignty vested in it??

Hell! why not a constitutional party?

Yr/ friend Schacht after having come clean with an
honest definition of money, has now flopped, and shows
himself to be no more trustworthy than Sieff; Norman or any
damn jew.1

When next in England I hope you will meet General Fuller2

(J.F.C.)

Have just spent six weeks in that muggy island.

cordially yrs

Letter 63 Notes

1. Schacht had proclaimed that necessity had forced Germany to
abandon certain economic policies in favor of external trade and
credit expansion (Tolischus, "Schacht Upholds Nazi Trade Policy").
For notes on Sieff and Norman, see Letter 18, n5, and Letter 8, n2.
2. For note on Fuller see Letter 44, n1.
Dear Uncle George,

Enclosure shows that European NEWS sense is progressing; pity we can't have a bit of similar NEWS from New York.

A who's who of British Parliament is being serialized showing what which M/Ps are directors of; also Milfordhaven as director of Marks and Spenser.¹

AND so forth.

in the Spring
Hope if I get to Washtn you won't be skylarking off on a Easter vacation or something frivolous.

[no closing]

Letter 64 Note

1. Sir George Mountbatten, 2nd marquess of Milford Haven, second cousin to George V, and Queen Victoria's great-grandson, was a prominent businessman ("George V's Cousin"). Marks and Spenser Ltd. is a British department store chain, established in 1926 by Lord Marks, who was Israel Moses Sieff's brother-in-law ("Marks & Spenser Ltd."); "Lady Sieff Dies"). Cf. Letter 18, n5.
I3 Jan [1939]

Dear Uncle George

I enclose a carbon that needs no explanation.

The Skoda drive for war last year STINKS/¹ I spent some weeks in England. Bloke who had been in their secret services, with references to the "CITY" said he cd/ buy any of the big politicians EXCEPT Chamberlain.²

He also had the dope on ALL the communist leaders, some of the lower men honest, but the rest definitely paid by Russia for military espionage.

The devilment in the U.S. I keep on repeating is in their efficient PUBLISHING propaganda.

Has taken me years to get John Adams' works, whereas Stalin, Lenin, Trotsk, Marx on sale at 10 cents, and 25 cents, in editions of 100,000/

Sweepings of european ghettos come to attack constitution without bothering to know what is in it. Said admirable document BETRAYED by every god damned stinking administration since Andy Johnson.

Waaaal; ef you and Bill Rearer and young Jerry cant turn out Rosevfeld, Baruch and Morgenstein Ltd/ I shall register delusion.³

I see young Jerry (Voorhis) had sense enough to
start a speech by quotin' J. Adams/ but do educate him NOT to quote or read Keynes. Schacht as I told you had used a decent sentence re/ natr ov MONEY. 4

[No closing]

Letter 65 Notes

1. Skoda was a Czechoslovakian industrial complex that was one of the world's largest producers of munitions and armaments during both world wars ("Skoda Works").

2. Arthur Neville Chamberlain (1869-1940) was British prime minister (1937-40). He sought peace through negotiations and appeasement with Mussolini and Hitler while Britain rearmed ("Chamberlain").

3. I have not been able to trace "Bill Rearer." "Young Jerry" refers to Jerry Voorhis, U. S. Representative from California (see Letter 44, n3). "Rosefeld" and "Morgenstein" are Pound's Hebraized spellings of "Roosevelt" and "Morgenthau."

4. Cf. Letter 60, n1, but also Letter 63, n1.
January 14, 1939

Personal

My dear Ezra:

Sincere thanks for your letters of December 10 and 21. I am delighted to know that you may be in Washington in the Spring. Please let me hear from you the moment you arrive.

You may be interested to know the semi-civilized and barbarous places with which I had geographic contact during last Summer and Fall: Washington, New York, Bremen, Bad Nauheim, Frankfort, Berlin, Geneva, Vienna, Budapest, Prague, Madeira, Cape Town, Johannesburg, Pretoria, Durban, Mozambique, Mombasa, Nairobi, Kisumu, Khartoum, Alexandria, Rome, London - 28,000 miles, about 13,000 by air.

With cordial regards and best wishes for 1939,

I remain

Sincerely yours,

[signed] GEORGE HOLDEN TINKHAM

Mr. Ezra Pound

Via Marsala 12-5

Rapallo, Italy
20 Jan [1939]

Dear Uncle George

Considering the extent whereto the Nation has been bitched by dumping swill into it, since 1866, not only swill of Europe, but the slop of descendents of tartars, so low in the human scale that even a conversion to Judaism means a cultural advance/

AND considering bolchevism AND

I suppose Frankie being left with only yitts and yes-men, the constructors, or good-intenders like Warren and Tugwell having died or gone/¹

wdn't it be in order to refuse admission (or at any rate nationalization) to anyone who couldn't pass a stiff exam. in the U.S. Constitution, really show understanding of it, and THEN swear to support it.

Have you either heard or have read Voorhis whoop, of June 6th 1938.

       not to be taken verbatim. But the opening quotation is good.²

       //

Realize what it signifies that it took me seven years to get hold of John Adams writings....

more one digs into 'em the more a MIRACLE one finds our start. 1760 to 1860/ or at any rate the revolution
itself. Knowledge of same being snowed under 4th July rhetoric, as fluid in (our your) time of our youth.

ever

I haven't copy of naturalization law as it now stands/ believe there IS a vague something about an oath/ but not much prerequisite UNDERSTANDING.

Letter 67 Notes

1. For note on Warren see Letter 15, n8; for note on Tugwell see Letter 12, n5.

20 Jan [1939]

Dear U/G

sorry to be so frequent, but paragraph in Eclaireur de Nice sets me off. It says Roosevelt wants you to PROLONG his financial powers. DAMN it do YOU never READ the constitution Of course Baruch and CO/ don't give a damn about that document.

ARE you still on the Committee for "affairs concerning the Pres"?1

Congress has power to issue money etc/ article 8 pp/52

NO department of govt. has the right to hand over its functions to any other. You are merely illegal if you hand over right to issue money to, let us say, the Chief J Justice.3

Frankie NEVER legally had these powers. Why go on compounding a felony or whatever.

There was also some unadulterated CRAP about his wanting these extra powers to balance exchanges etc/

NUTTZ, nuts.

same section and pp/ "and to determine the value of foreign COIN."4
Congress has the power to FIX the exchange rate with foreign countries/

JUST as has so successfully been done HERE/
you dont have to ask permission of Baruch and Rothschild or
go up to Sing Sing to tell Whitney.⁵

If congress SAYS the price of sterling is 4.70, it is illegal
to buy above that.

I wish you wd/ impeach the blighter/ but I suppose that is
asking too much. Rosenfeld *** is *** a ***

[No closing]

Letter 68 Notes

2. Pound's reference to "article 8" of the Constitution is incorrect. The passage he has in mind is from Section 8 of Article I and reads as follows: "The Congress shall have power...To coin money, regulate the value thereof, and of foreign coin, and fix the Standard of Weights and Measures" (quoted in Kelly and Harbison 845).

3. The Chief Justice of the U. S. Supreme Court in 1939 was Charles Evans Hughes.
4. See n2, above.

5. Richard W. Whitney, a New York banker and stockbroker and ex-president of the New York Stock Exchange, had been convicted of embezzlement and sentenced to 5-10 years at Sing-Sing ("Whitney Receives 5 to 10").
Am pinitin out the coincidence of Presidential beardlessness with DAMN'D ROT in the White House.

Dunno that they'll print it.

What we need is a national movement GROUNDED on John ADAMS.

That cd/ start in Braintree.¹ I hope to visit that village.

ever

hush, this not a signature for public use ["signature" missing]

Letter 69 Note

1. Braintree (now Quincy), Massachusetts, was John Adams' birthplace. It was therefore an appropriate place to initiate a movement "grounded" on Adams.
February 23, 1939

Personal

My dear Ezra:

Enclosed is a clipping from THE BOSTON HERALD of February 7 which I think you will be interested to see. It certainly was most complimentary and kind of you to promote such publicity in Boston.¹

At the moment I am under a great deal of pressure, which explains why I am not writing you more at length at this time.

I trust all goes well with you. Believe me, with cordial personal regards,

Sincerely yours,

[signed] GEORGE HOLDEN TINKHAM

Mr. Ezra Pound
Via Marsala 12-5
Rapallo, Italy

encl.

Letter 70 Note

1. In the newspaper item Pound suggests Tinkham for the Presidency ("Tinkham Suggested for President").
May 15, 1939

My dear Mr. Pound

I appreciate your kind proffer of assistance. Everything in Boston seems to be moving satisfactorily at the moment and I think Mr. Tinkham is making some progress in adjusting his personal affairs there.

With every good wish, I am

Sincerely yours,

[signed] Grace C. Hamelin

Secretary to Mr. Tinkham

Mr. Ezra Pound

c/o Mr. F. S. Bacon

80 Maiden Lane

New York, New York

Letter 71 Note

1. Although it is not clear what kind of assistance Pound had "proffered," nor when he did so, he was to make what was probably a similar overture in November 1939. See Letter 81 (2 November 1939), where he offers to help in Tinkham's Boston office.
May 15, 1939

My dear Ezra:

Sincere thanks for your several notes.

Enclosed are letters of introduction to several persons in Boston whom you might find it interesting to see and to talk with.¹

I shall try to arrange a definite appointment for you with Senator Borah.²

With cordial good wishes, I remain

Sincerely yours,

[signed] GEORGE HOLDEN TINKHAM

Mr. Ezra Pound

c/o F. S. Bacon

80 Maiden Lane

New York, New York

[encl.]

Letter 72 Notes

1. Tinkham wrote letters of introduction for Pound to Christian Herter, Massachusetts state representative; Leverett Saltonstall, governor of Massachusetts; Lincoln O'Brien, editor of the Boston Evening Transcript; and Frank W. Buxton, editor of the Boston Herald. He introduced Pound as "the distinguished poet and economist." In his
letters to both of the influential newspaper editors, O'Brien and Buxton, Tinkham included the following sentence: "He [Pound] wished a letter of introduction to the most intelligent man in Boston; hence, this letter to you." Clearly, the congressman knew wherefrom his bread was buttered.

2. For note on Borah see Letter 10, n1.
3301 P. St.

Waaal I give yr. salutations
to Borah this a.m.¹
also seeing other lights,
& am dated up to see more,
& so forth.

Washington very
pleasant village or
town. In fact only
habitable paart of the U.S.
known to me.

yrz

Letter 73 Note
1. It was probably during this interview with Borah that the senator placed his hand on Pound's shoulder and uttered the words that Pound records in Canto 84: "am sure I don't know what a man/like you would find to do here" ('On Resuming" 25).
June 5, 1939

Dear Ezra:

I am returning herewith the letter written by Mr. James C. Grey of THE SUN\(^1\) enclosed with your letter of May 30.

I regret that my letters of introduction did not reach you in time. In your note of May 13 you indicated that you wished your letters to be addressed to you in care of Mr. F. S. Bacon, 80 Maiden Lane, New York, and any telegrams sent to you in care of Mr. Theodore Spencer, Eliot House, Cambridge, Massachusetts.\(^2\)

I was much interested in your comments concerning your interview with Mr. Herter.\(^3\)

I have delayed my acknowledgement of the receipt of your letter of May 30 as I was expecting to see you back in Washington this week.\(^4\)

With cordial regards, I remain

Sincerely yours,

[signed] GEORGE HOLDEN TINKHAM

Ezra Pound, Esquire
c/o F. S. Bacon
80 Maiden Lane
New York, New York

[encl.]
Letter 74 Notes

1. Grey was literary editor of the New York Sun ("James C. Grey").

2. Francis S. Bacon was an American businessman and entrepreneur whom Pound admired. Having known him since 1910, Pound apparently stayed with Bacon during his 1939 visit (Stock, Life 90; Nicholls 30). Theodore Spencer was a Harvard assistant professor of English, later to become Boylston Professor of Rhetoric and Oratory ("Dr. T. Spencer").

3. Christian Herter was a Massachusetts state representative at the time.

4. The forwarding address on the accompanying envelope in the Beinecke file shows that this letter eventually reached Pound c/o Professor A. P. Saunders at Pound's alma mater, Hamilton College, Clinton, New York.
August 24, 1939

My dear Mr. Pound:

Your letter of August 14 was received today and is being forwarded at once to Mr. Tinkham, who is now in Boston.

With all good wishes, I am

Sincerely yours,

[signed] G. C. Hamelin

Secretary to Mr. Tinkham

Ezra Pound, Esquire
Via Marsala 12-5
Rapallo, Italy
Ezra Pound, Esquire
Via Marsala 12-5
Rapallo, Italy

My dear Ezra:

Your communication of August 14 has been forwarded to me here at Boston. I was much pleased to hear from you.

I had heard some publication in an English paper unidentified, of my resolutions for neutrality which was filed in Congress some time ago.¹ That is the only information of any publication I have heard of. Of course, I have not seen a copy.

Representative Martin, of course, will be Speaker of the House if the Republicans have majority.²

It is very uncertain whether I go to Europe this summer. I have so much disruption in my office here in Boston, as I explained to you, I think.
My number one private secretary who was with me thirty-two years collapsed last November and it has put a great deal of detail in front of me to be attended to with some thorny questions.

I made reservation for the "Yankee Clipper" for September 6, then postponed it until September 16. I now am going to postpone it until the 15 or 20th of October. Whether I can go then is uncertain.

If there is war, as it is the only political "out" for Roosevelt, he will do everything to get us in. I predicted a course of events with that ending to our Chief of Staff in April or May 1936.

When you receive this letter we shall know whether there is to be war or peace. I have felt there was to be no war but from our newspapers down to the last publication, I see about fifty-one percent chances for peace and forty-nine percent chances for war. The war, of course, will change the face of political things here very much.

Already the English propaganda is thundering.

With kindest personal regards, I remain
Sincerely yours,
[signed] GEORGE HOLDEN TINKHAM

Letter 76 Notes

1. Tinkham had offered two joint resolutions on neutrality: one "providing for the reassertion of a foreign policy of genuine neutrality for the United States" (Cong. Rec. 15 May 1939: 5561), and another "providing that the United States should maintain a policy of strict neutrality in Asia" (Cong. Rec. 4 Aug. 1939: 11117).

2. For note on Martin see Letter 38, n3.
2 Sept [1939]

Dear Uncle George

There might be some utility in the view that UNTIL the present kike government of England is damn well licked the aryan population/ especially rural population of Eng/ will never get a square deal.

England where Habsburg Austria and Czarist Russia were in 1914 I mean in comparison with INTERNAL government of other countries (except france).

The commutation of tithes in (HHH) England, i.e; what the pore bloody farmers had and could pay, into a money tax is one of the dirtiest deals since the shitten Brits. cut off the colonial paper money in 1750 whatever. and thereby drove the colonies to revolt.

Some nice data re/ English relations to colonies AND the Rothschild agents Ikleheimer, Morton and Vandergould/ in W. Overholser's "History of Money in the U.S."

60 pages, 25 cents/ Progress Publishing Concern, Libertyville Illinois. Best thing I have read or reread in a long time. though Rota's Storia delle banche has some good hits.¹

ever yours
[Blithering idiocy of England's fake position is that if Russian regiments went in to Poland, Eng wd/ be in *** bought to take on the Rhoobbyshuns.2

Letter 77 Notes

1. Ikleheimer, Morton and Van der Gould was a New York bank (Pound, "Gold and Work" 339). Ettore Rota (1883-1958) was an Italian historian and professor of medieval and modern history at the University of Pavia. He co-edited Nuovo Rivista Storia and wrote Le Origini del Risorgimento ("Rota").

2. England had just signed a treaty with Poland, on August 25, whereby she would come to Poland's defense in the event of an attack by Germany. The treaty did not require that England defend Poland against any other aggressor, including Russia ("British Treaty with Poland").
I2 Sept [1939]

Yaaaas, damnBit, My dear Uncle George

I know Martin will be speaker IF etc.
What I want to know is": Are the republicans putting up a
candidate with some horse sense, OR "a stuffed shirt"
as Senator W. said they wd/ do "and git licked"??

I cd. find it in my heart to wish the demmys wd/ nominate
SENATOR Bankhead (not the other one) I dont believe he
likes the Roosenstein=Cohen combination much better than we do.

Wyndham Lewis has got to Canada. I have told him to paint
your portrait (by force if necessary). The job ought to be
done. You dont want a chromo lithograph.

As fer Martin, wot I want (indiscretely) to know IS
what the hell is he up to INSIDE Massachusetts. if anything.
These are the details. etc//

I forget whether I told you of my lunch at the Polish
Embassy last May. Potocki said they wd/ fight with or WITHOUT
England's help. I naturally was telling him England's help
was no bloody use. I spose he may see that by NOW.

Whether the damn brits/ YET see the need of putting
some of their farm land back into cultivation, gorr noze.

One farmer being worth at least 40 usurers to a blockaded country and no war yet ever won by taxes.

I believe the Vivaldi week is being held in Siena, at least no news yet of its being called off.\(^5\)
I shall then go to Venice (310 San Gregorio), till the Middle of Oct. and then return here; unless something unforeseen happens.

Not one word of sense in Brit. and French papers/ or rather yes, a few. and no news of what people outside newswyper control are doing or thinking in either country.

I keep on recommending Overholser's sixty pages on History of Money in U.S.

very clear on British prohibition of money to colonies in 1750 that counting for much more than stamp tax etc.
and much more interesting in relation to history of past 5 years same old goddam wheeze.

yours ever

Proofs of my resume of Chinese history (economic) and J. Adams are coming thru from London. I dont know whether my
corrected galleys are getting back to Faber (pubrs)?

Letter 78 Notes

1. For note on Martin see Letter 38, n3. For note on "Senator W." (Wheeler), see Letter 38, n4.

2. For note on Senator Bankhead, see Letter 15, n9. The "other one" was William Brockman Bankhead, the U. S. Representative from Alabama who was then Speaker of the House of Representatives (1936-40). ("Bankhead, William Brockman"). Benjamin V. Cohen (1894-1983) was a lawyer and advisor to President Roosevelt on New Deal legislation. He had been counsel to the American zionists at the London and Paris peace conferences after World War I ("Cohen").

3. Percy Wyndham Lewis (1884-1957) was the British writer and painter and friend of Pound ("Lewis, Percy Wyndham").

4. Count Jerzy Potocki (1869-1961) was the Polish ambassador to the United States (1936-40). Previously, he had been ambassador to Rome (1933) ("Jerzy Potocki, 72, is Dead").

5. Since 1936 Pound had been organizing a series of Vivaldi concerts and lectures in an effort to revive interest in the Italian composer (Stock, Life 337-38).

6. Noel Stock reports that the proofs of Pound's Chinese cantos were ready "by November" (Stock, Life 369). According to Pound's account in this letter to Tinkham, he had already been correcting them as early as September.
My dear Ezra:

I hope you will excuse my not writing to you sooner in reply to your several communications. I have had considerable difficulty in my Boston office and have been obliged to spend a good deal of time there with an office organization not so good as formerly. Here in Washington, since September 1, I have been receiving more than five hundred letters a day bearing upon the so-called neutrality proposal.1 Only one and one-half per cent of these letters are in favor of the proposed repeal of the arms embargo provision. Many other members of Congress are receiving the same character of mail; however, for some unknown reason, the Administration seems to have control of the Senate and I am afraid that may mean control of the House, in the final analysis, for repeal. Nothing, however, is really certain. In my opinion, the repeal of the arms embargo provision would be a long step on the road to war. There is a powerful feeling among the members of both the House and Senate that the American people generally are opposed to United States entry into war, just as they were in 1914.

In my opinion, the President will stop at nothing finally to involve us.

Enclosed is a letter which I am sending to residents of my district who are writing me on this subject.

So far as the 1940 presidential situation is concerned, everything is inchoate. Vandenberg has taken a strong position
against the repeal of the embargo and Taft has taken a strong position the other way.\textsuperscript{2}

So far as Martin is concerned, his hands are full with the legislative situation here. I do not think he is doing anything in Massachusetts for the time being.\textsuperscript{3}

The English and French military situation, with the communique out of Berlin September 29 giving details of the new Soviet Russia-Germany accord concluded at Moscow, is certainly historic and very portentous for Europe, if not for the world.\textsuperscript{4} English realists must be profoundly pessimistic.

With kindest personal regards, I remain

Sincerely yours,

[signed] GEORGE HOLDEN TINKHAM

Ezra Pound, Esquire
Via Marsala 12-5
Rapallo, Italy

[encl.]

[Following is a copy of the letter Congressman Tinkham sent to his constituents who had written him about the neutrality proposal.]

Sincere thanks for your communication of recent date. I was very pleased to hear from you.

For years I have opposed any political commitments by the United States in Europe or in any other continent, and I had much to do with drawing the present
neutrality act. I am wholly opposed to the United States entering the European war and am wholly opposed to any step in that direction. I certainly believe that the repeal of the arms embargo would be in that direction and would mean war for the United States in the end. Although the President talks peace, in my opinion, his purpose is war.

With all good wishes, I remain

Sincerely yours,

[signed] GEORGE HOLDEN TINKHAM

Letter 79 Notes

1. In his desire to aid Britain and France after they had been drawn into the war with Germany's invasion of Poland, Roosevelt had proposed that the arms embargo provisions of the Neutrality Act of 1935 be repealed. The embargo was in fact repealed by November 1939 (Burns 394-97), much to the dismay of isolationists like Tinkham.

2. For note on Vandenberg see Letter 15, n1. Robert Alphonso Taft (1889-1953), the son of President William Howard Taft, was U. S. Senator from Ohio (1939-53). He would seek the Presidency himself in four successive elections from 1940 ("Taft, Robert Alphonso").

3. For note on Martin see Letter 38, n3.

4. The initial Nazi-Soviet pact of 24 August 1939, which affirmed mutual neutrality and nonaggression, had virtually guaranteed Hitler's invasion of Poland on September 1, which in turn provoked the Anglo-French declarations of war on Germany. The pact also provided for separate German and Soviet partitioning of eastern Europe, which
October 19, 1939

My dear Mr. Pound:

I regret there has been so long a delay in sending you the enclosed letter which Mr. Tinkham dictated on September 30. The work of the office has been considerably retarded by my enforced absence because of the critical illness of my father, who the doctors seemed to believe could not recover. However, I am glad to say that he has rallied and that his condition at present is considerably better.

With all good wishes, I am

Sincerely yours,

[signed] G. C. Hamelin

Secretary to Mr. Tinkham

Ezra Pound

Via Marsala 12-5

Rapallo, Italy

[encl.]¹

Letter 80 Note

1. Ms. Hamelin's letter was a cover letter for Tinkham's of 30 September 1939 (see Letter 79).
2 Nov. [1939]

Dear Uncle George

I am not interested in what Frankie WANTS but in what one can STOP him from getting.¹

If yr/ Boston office is being a nuisance why not put ME into it. I know you picture me floatin' round in a gondola plucking water lilies etc/etc/

but my favorite theologian Scotus Erigina defined sin as; a LAPSE from reality.²

Mebbe a little political close up wd/ be valuable experience for one still young but not exactly in first blush of jejune innocence.

Young Jas³ motored me along that river front; my life has not been one long sunday in sunday school, though doubtless etc.

I am IG GURUNT and how of the sidelights of Bastun.

I make this suggestion just to indicate that I can at moments be a serious character.

In the interim I wish you wd. converse with Sam Pryor.⁴ He struck me a bright lad. And if you and he and Hank Mencken⁵ cd/ agree on ANYthing, I imagine I cd. swallow it. I am convinced people stay too much INSIDE their own little circles.

You by now have got USED to seeing life from the majority side/ fortune of the 10th district.
Pryor was aware that a MINORITY has to get votes FROM the other side. possible
Parenthesis; my idea of my own utility
in the Boston office is that at present EVERYBODY in that office and around it knows everything about everyone else. I can conceive the USE of a nonconducting substance.

I am not so much interested in what Joe has omitted because of business in the National Capital, as in what may have happened in Mass. itself: while J.M. is otherwise occupied. 6

Of course at this distance I live in blank ignorance of local detail.

Vandenberg was YOUR pick. I can NOT see him as INTERESTING the kind of left wingers that I can contact. I thought he had the edge on Bridges recently, apropos Europe. I think you thought me right about Taft, last April. The other younger men I met in Washington were suprised at my liking Taft at all.

I didn't get down to economics with Bridges. 7 I liked him very much and he was O.K. on Europe. then.

//

Frankie's frontiers were then on the Rhine/ Hitler smacked him.
No no mutver's boye must bbbleeed. Molitoff has just kicked Mr Jewsfeldt in the breeches.  

Eden as I am suggesting have managed to win the war and co/ for Russia without winning it for england.  

The american jews may find themselves without a landing place on the West coast of Europe.  

There is a steady flow of quotation from Swedish and Dutch papers showing that Europe is gradually awakening to the non European nature of yitts.  

Of course fer me and Stoddard ANY suggestion that Chinamen [don't?] differ from Norwegians or Portageese will soon become suspect.  

I see me old acquaintance Gorham Munson is writing against anti-semitism. Despite his admired Maj. Douglas being a good healthy anti-yitt.  

The intelligentzia in Amurikaaa will shortly be discussing RACE etc// and the difference in Chinese endocrine reactions to Welsh hormone distillation etc.  

If gawd loved England, they wd. send Neville to an home fer the senile and shoot the rest of the cabinet, but they are as a race 90% docile and accustomed to being conquered at intervals.
What the american GOOF thinks England is going to WIN [in] this war, gorr alone knows.

And then the jews felt/ that they must leave Mr. Roosevelt.

God alone knows why the Baltimore Sun and N.Y. Sun are both pro Roosevelt. They OUGHT not to be.

Tremaine is another damn good man. Pryor OUGHT to be made to see that he has got to AUGMENT the republican and/or anti Roosevelt forces.¹³

Must have SOME PRESS. must be some place to write IN/ some means of communicating with the public.

I keep hammering on PUT CONGRESS ON THE RADIO.

But if after flooding the Supreme court/ the discussion of Neutrality IN CONGRESS can be plugged by a hat trick... then damn it, even radio isn't ENOUGH. Party must have newspapers. Capitol Daily OUGHT NOT to have been allowed to stop.

Greenwich Time?? what's it up to?

that is at least local in strong republican town could be built up as national organ IF IF IF etc.¹⁴

and so forth.
Dear Miss Hamelin

I am sorry your father has been so ill.

Glad to hear of his recovery.

You might let me know whether Overholser has sent in his little history of money.

If not do for god's sake order a copy. Trust me for the 25 cents it costs. I will repay.

History of Money in the U.S. / by Willis Overholser/

Public Service Buildings, Libertyville, Illinois.

you get it from Overholser himself.

and see that Mr Tinkham looks at pages 27/8 and 44/49.

say I said so, to both Mr O/ and Mr T/

[No closing]
theologian ("Erigina").

3. "Young Jas" may refer to James Laughlin. Cf. Letter 27, including n3.

4. Samuel F. Pryor, Jr., had been Republican National Committee member from Connecticut in 1936 and would become vice chair for 1940 ("Republican Group").

5. Henry Lewis (H. L.) Mencken (1880-1956) was an American author, critic, and editor ("Menoken").


7. For note on Vandenberg see Letter 15, n1; for note on Taft see Letter 79, n2; and for note on Bridges see Letter 38, n1.

8. "Molitoff" is Vyacheslav Mikhailovitch Molotov (1890-1986), who was the Russian diplomat who negotiated the nonaggression treaty with Germany's Joachim von Ribbentrop ("Molotov"; and cf. Letter 79, n4). In his report on foreign affairs to the Supreme Soviet on 31 October, Molotov criticized Roosevelt for giving moral support to Finland, and for repealing the arms embargo. He claimed that U. S. policy would "not...weaken war and hasten its termination, but...intensify, aggravate and protract it" ("Points"). "Jewsfeldt" is one of Pound's many anti-semitic references to Roosevelt; by referring to Roosevelt in this manner, he meant to suggest the President's collusion with Jewish bankers and financiers as well as attribute to the President himself a Jewish ancestry.

9. For note on Eden see Letter 12, n2. Given the antisemitic context of Pound's accusation about Eden's winning the war for Russia rather than England, he may be thinking of what he perceived as Eden's
Jewish/banking connections, but the implications are hardly clear.

10. Theodore Lathrop Stoddard (1883-1950) was an American writer on social, international, economic, and racial subjects ("Stoddard"). Given Pound's view on racial differences, the sentence would make more sense if it read "...Chinamen don't differ...." (my italics).


12. For note on Neville Chamberlain, see Letter 65, n2.

13. "Tremaine" is probably Morris Sawyer Tremaine (1871-1941), the state comptroller of New York (1927-41) (Kimpel and Eaves 308).

14. The Capitol Daily had stopped publishing earlier in 1939. The issue for May 9 included an article by Pound, "Ezra Pound on Gold, War and National Money" (Gallup, C1509). Pound had published an article in Greenwich Time, on July 13, entitled "The Cabinet of a Dream, and Congress Should Go on the Air" (Gallup, C1512).
Dear Uncle George

I asked you one very delicate question last spring, and you answered "No; but they could be used." Now living in awtistik an licherary etc/ milieu in Europe I have not been much with American masons. But I shd/ say the last thing any American mason wd/ think of, and still more strongly the last thing he wd/ tolerate wd/ be the idea of the U.S.A. taking orders from a gang of kikes in Belgium or Paris. WHATEVER high falutin and easterin top dressing they used.

An I leave it at that. In Venice you said "I'm goin' to do a job on that feller."

//

Lincoln was shot. Huey Long was shot. I dont know what is back of the last assault on Mosley. Certainly not british workers; in Glasgow they are demanding nationalization of mines, and pasting hakenkreuz on 4I shop windows/ as the brief paragraph says "the perbloody=lice suspect an antiyitt association" I spose it was on jew shops.

I [get] see quotes from Scandinavian papers etc. the idea of the jew hoping the european white man will exterminate himself seems fairly well diffused.

Any idea as to Molitoff's ethnic background?
The one bit of inside news that I trust, was that antisemitism was coming up in Russia. peasants loathing small officials, bureaucrats; all of which had got into hands of jews.

Friends of Tremaine's whom I found onto a lot of stuff (jawed with him on the boat coming back most days) thought republicans cd. win on straight anti-semitic platform.
I was also assured by another chap, young but of "large" family connections, that "Jack wd. throw 'em out" (meaning Garner, who cert. is NOT a pick with reformers like yr/ obt. nevvy Ez.P.)

I dont think ANYone in Europe looks on the abrogation of embargo as motivated by anything save rank lust for profits, due to bloodshed.

The english whom I know are of all sorts of opposed groups but NONE of 'em believes in this war. Mosley has been absolutely consistent. Friendship with Germany and fight like hell if they attack the Brit. Empire.

(which dont include embezzling the mandates from the archi=rotten and utterly stunk League of Nations.)

The fools and naive criminals have fairly exposed themselves in a book by Clarence Streit (not Straight) Union Now
pubd. last march.

All the punks on that bill. Steed, Nic But. Nor Angell, Ed Grigg etCETERA

yrs.

Letter 82 Notes

1. Sir Oswald Mosley (1896-1980) was the leader of the British Union of Fascists, organized in 1932; his open support of Nazism and Fascism led to his imprisonment in England during the war (1940-43) ("Mosley"). While Mosley had been hit by a thrown stick at a demonstration in Wilmslow, near Manchester, on 5 November 1939 ("Attacks on Sir O. Mosley"), he had been assaulted on prior occasions as well. His head had been "gashed open by a brick" at a political meeting in early November, 1937, and on 12 July 1936 a bullet penetrated the window of his car—the only "genuine attempt" on his life (Skidelsky 415, 417n).

2. If Pound suspected that Molotov was Jewish, he was wrong. Molotov had recently become commissar for foreign affairs, replacing Maxim Litvinov, who, as a Jew, would not be an effective negotiator with the Nazis during the nonaggression talks ("Molotov").

3. For note on Tremaine see Letter 81, n13. John Nance ("Jack") Garner (1868-1967) was Vice President of the United States (1933-41) ("Garner").

4. Clarence Kirshman Streit (1896-1986) was an American journalist
whose book, *Union Now* (1939), advocated a federal union of North Atlantic democracies ("Streit"). Henry Wickham Steed (1871-1956), a British historian and journalist, was foreign editor of *The Times* and had directed Allied propaganda during World War I ("Steed"). Edward Grigg (1879-1955) was a British journalist and politician who had once edited *The Times* and *The Outlook* ("Altrincham Dies"). For note on Angell see Letter 8, n9. The opinions of these men were expressed in Streit's book.
November 27, 1939

Dear Mr. Pound:

Your letter of November 7 to Congressman Tinkham has just been received. Mr. Tinkham has gone South for a month's vacation and I am under instructions to forward no mail to him. I am therefore holding your letter here for his attention when he returns.

Sincerely yours,

[signed] G. C. Hamelin

Secretary to Mr. Tinkham

Ezra Pound

Via Marsala 12-5

Rapallo, Italy
II Jan [1940]

Dear Uncle George

I enclose a copy of a petition to the Supreme Court which concerns a young friend of yours.

I believe the procedure correct in cases where the Constitution is involved. In any case it is the second time in ten years that attention (my attention) has been drawn to state dept. rulings which violate that particular clause of the constitution.

In this case the consulate tells me that the State Dept was against the ruling and the Dept. of Labour insisted and went to the Attorney General who OUGHT to know the constitution, but considering the present tendency to violate it in all depts. and cases of course he may not. In which case he ought to be TOLD.

I dont imagine Sis Perkins is in a jew plot to make my life miserable, but we cd. do with a few 100% aryan citizens just to maintain at least a minority.¹

2. I wish you wd. converse with Sam Pryor² for about 15 minutes.

3. I wish, procedure permitting that you wd. shortly rise in the
House and offer the following few and I believe chosen words.

BE IT MOVED THAT the debates in this House and those henceforth in the Senate be immediately transmitted by radio so that any citizen wishing to know what his representatives are doing will have opportunity to same while they are doing it.

I have private advice from Connecticut that there has been quite a bit of favourable talk re/ this suggestion.

Anyhow it wd. remind the denizens of yr/ presence.

4.

Goddamit, whether you mean to oblige or not, I believe the Party ought to think out or have thunk out FOR THEM some sort of 20 year policy that they cd. at least appear to believe in or agree on.

I believe the American system of govt. is worth restoring.

Yrs devotedly

By the way the consul was deeply grateful for another little bright deed of YOURS, that of trying to get verterans' wives
decently treated after the last war.

Hang it all if you will CONCEAL all yr/ assets in the Congressional Record, what the hell is one to do.

Europe is very interesting at the moment.

Letter 84 Notes

1. Frances Perkins was Secretary of Labor; the Attorney General was Frank Murphy.

2. For note on Pryor see Letter 81, n4.

3. Tinkham did not raise this issue in Congress.
Personal

February 14, 1940

My dear Ezra:

I thought you would be interested in the enclosed page from the February 13 issue of THE WASHINGTON POST with the three articles, "Unhappy Chameleon" by Mark Sullivan, 1 "The Republican Nomination For President" and one in relation to Mexico.

There is plenty to hear, and a great deal of froth and foolishness, framed in by the greatest uncertainty in every direction with a psychopathic hysteric presiding over all.

With cordial good wishes, I remain

Sincerely yours,

[signed] GEORGE HOLDEN TINKHAM

Mr. Ezra Pound
Via Marsala 12-5
Rapallo, Italy

encl.
Letter 85 Note

1. Mark Sullivan, an American journalist with isolationist views, was a frequent critic of the Roosevelt Administration ("Mark Sullivan").
February 29, 1940

My dear Ezra:

Last Sunday I read the enclosed article in the New York Times Book Review in which you will note your name appears. I thought it would interest you.¹

With cordial good wishes, I remain

Sincerely yours,

[signed] GEORGE HOLDEN TINKHAM

Ezra Pound, Esquire
Via Marsala 12-5
Rapallo, Italy

Encl.

Letter 86 Note

1. The article must have been from a different issue of the New York Times Book Review or from a different newspaper of the same date. I find no reference to Pound in the Book Review Tinkham refers to.
Via Marsala I2-5 Rapallo 22 March 1940

Dear Uncle George

It seems that Rothschild in time of Napoleon ran the Austrian mailservice and opened letters to get financial etc/information. If you are lookin' fer readin' matter try McNair Wilson's "Mind of Napoleon" (omitting his religious kinks the story is good). Giornale di Genova this a/m mentions yr remarks. I dont know whether I have any news that you haven't seen. Finland equals anglo/canad/ nickel. Mond (dirty Frankfort yitt family alias Lord Melchett, Imperial Chemicals, Manchester Guardian. Believe the nickel co/ banks with Hambros, whereof is Astor a director, and unless something has shifted Astor equals the London "Times".

French papers in state of diliquescence? Dear friend who has been thinking me crazy for 15 years because I insisted france was on the down, finally convinced yesterday by being shown copy of Le Journal (back page of six page paper) full of weak jokes, colour pictures french and english about "tommis". but WEAK, compare it with Le Rire of 30 years go. HELL.// I think I said last spring that the internal french show looked to me like a shindy between the yidd (late en[­t]rants) and the old original gang of Necker's swine in the Banque. Very clear article few days ago said the sham reform under Blum was only the finance gang ousting the armaments gang. Renaud, I think, mere pimp for London. Both countries governed by national enemies aim to crush all the decent life of gentry, or anyone with income from 400
to 2000 sterling/ and leave whole thing in jew hands\(^3\) ...continental press, outside france, more and more open in publication views coinciding with what mine have been. By the way, have you seen the nice preamble to young Voorhis H.R. 8080.\(^4\) Could anybody hook it OUT of committee with a boat hook?

yrz

emendment ought to remove the words which follow "immediately" on p. 5. 9 to 12 million unemployed ought to be enough emergency without waiting for external complications.

Letter 87 Notes

1. For note on McNair Wilson see Letter 12, n7.
2. For note on Mond see Letter 41, n8. Waldorf Astor, second Viscount Astor (1879-1952), was proprietor of The Observer but not of The Times. He was, however, a close friend of the editor of The Times, Geoffrey Dawson ("Astor"). Apart from his newspaper and financial interests, Astor would have incurred Pound's contempt for being a strong supporter of the League of Nations.
3. Jacques Necker (1732-1804) was a French statesman and international financier who was director general of finances in pre-revolutionary France ("Necker"). For note on Blum see Letter 8, n5. Jean Renaud was a French communist party deputy ("Beat French Red Deputy" 4).
4. Congressman Voorhis' H.R. 8080, the National Credit for Defense Act, was intended to create credit "in a non-interest-bearing
debt-free form" so that war could be financed without incurring a huge debt. In his "preamble" to the bill he said that wars are traditionally financed through loans from the private banking system—a claim that was one of Pound's favorite themes (Cong. Rec. 22 Jan. 1940, App.: 292)
23 March [1940]

Dear Unkle George

Here is the clipping. I hope you get it.
Letter takes 3 weeks from the U.S. and apparently 2 to get there. I spose they lie round on the decks at Gibralter proclaimin the freedom of the seas and the power of the American navy. And nobody but us old enough to remember Decatur etc.¹
I enc/ also General Grant's picture as he mightn't have expected to find it. Miss H.² might let me know if it reaches you.

I dunno if it is LEGAL for congressmen to read poetry
(I know they get funny stuff printed in the "leave to print" parts of the record, on the occasions of monuments to confederate veterans etc.) and I dont in the least care whether you consider it as poetry or as telegraphic notes, but I am sending you Cantos 52/71

A little tenderness shown on P/II/I2 where I had alluded to ye olde bankinge firme may catch yr/ eye. Well Faber is a game sport to have published it, anyhow.³

Members used to quote LATIN authors, I spose because there was something IN THEM, apart from birds and flowyers.
And I have been quoted in the Belgian chamber, but that wasn't my poetry, only an essay on Les Wallonais.
If you can stand the choppy delivery (and damn it IF one is gettin the gist of I2 folios onto I00 pages, one has to cut something) I am free to doubt if there is a quicker way of Meetin Mr J. Adams. 62/7I The Chinese Cantos 52/6I at least show it didn't all start last tuesday morning. Banzai Ouan Soui, may you live for ten thousand years.

[No closing]

Letter 88 Notes

1. Stephen Decatur (1779-1820) was an American naval officer who, in 1815, exacted agreements with Algiers and other Barbary states to protect American commercial shipping from harrassment and tribute ("Decatur").


3. Cantos 52-71 were published by Faber on 28 January 1940 (Stock, Life 375) The "tenderness" to which Pound refers is five lines in Canto 52 that Faber had edited out, replacing them with black bars (Cantos LII-LXXI 12-13).
Damn it all,

I have just wasted 40 cents on an overweight air mail and I keep on thinkin'.

I think you ought to RUN. I don't believe it wd. kill you. You'd spend less wrath matter and nervous energy making a sane decision now and again, than in losin yr/ temper over the idiotic decisions (or indecisions) of others.

All right, Vandendewey and all the rest of 'em. Frankie will roll 'em up like he roll up ole "frozen Jack" Garner.¹

They "aint GOT IT."

yrz

Letter 89 Note

5 April [1940]

Dear Uncle George

I have at last got round to readin' an
ORTHODOX eee or eel=economist (D.R. Dewey Financial History of
the U.S.) and as a study of the unconscious he sure is a
corker. Standard text book since I903/ I2th edtn. I939

And wot he LEAVES OUT is a marvel.

However on p/ 377 he sez; legal tender circulation (of
greenbacks) is STILL only 346,681,000 as WUZ in (I875).
I878
Is this possible, or does it merely mean that he hasn't
revised that page since the edtn. of I903.

"volume of legal-tender circulation is still current"

Wot is yr/ CANDID opinion of John Sherman of Ohio?
M/C. from I855/ senator and Sec/ of Treasury under Hayes.
and so far as Dewey records never jailed.

cordially yrs

Thanks for clipping/ az matr/ of fact. It was not me, but
Joyce's megalomania forbids him admit that a mere hebe doctor
from N.Y. led him to the dental chair.
Letter 90 Notes

1. Davis Rich Dewey (1858-1942), the brother of John Dewey, was an economist ("Dewey, Davis Rich").

7 April [1940]

G.H. Tinkham M.C.

Dear Uncle George

EF the guvvyment dont know wot to do with its money, I suggest the appropriation of a million to erect a column of INFAMY to John Sherman

I note his actions from 1863/
as they say here "poco chiro", a bit shadowy.
The timing of some of the silver activities very queer.

Of course silver just another damn special commodity, a special interest inserted for end of a group, and obfuscating all thought about honest money for at least 20 years/

Do you know any published work giving the dirt on Sherman, or any way of getting the profs/ and stewd/dents to investigatin it. Did I say I had jined the Acad/ of Political or Polecatical and Social Science/ dunno if there is any human material there. Old Sine Butler is fallin foul of Damrosch inside the supposedly intellectual tea=pots of the "Ac(bloody and godsave) CAD(you bet)amy and the Insteroot.¹ (Which latter if not propter at least post my incivilies has at last pubd/ a statement of its resources. Butler being illegal, I gather.

²76
Waal that all vurry small peas.

devotedly yrs

INSufficient use being made, for campaign purposes, of the TRADITION of the Republican party as friend of working man and defence of purchasing power of his wage.

ANY party that goes fer to create or enlarge debt, is a Usurers' party. and HOW.

Letter 91 Note

1. Walter Johannes Damrosch (1862-1950) was an American composer and conductor, and was president of the National Institute of Arts and Letters ("Damrosch"). For note on Butler see Letter 1, n2.
I8 April [1940]

Dear Uncle George

Is there any printed, or unprinted or whatsoever or wheretofore available or unavailable LIST of the people from whom "the admisitration" has BOUGHT its goddam gold at fancy prices?

As fer your doing that job you promised. If you don't start soon it will be tardy. But in any case send me whatever available tables tablets or jibblets exist. FROM WHOM wuz the damn stuff purr/chased? Over the period from 1933 or 34 till the NOW.

[No closing]

Letter 92 Note

1. The "job" Tinkham had "promised" may be the same one Pound mentions obscurely in Letter 82 ("In Venice you said 'I'm goin' to do a job on that feller.'").
May 16, 1940

My dear Mr. Pound:

With reference to your letter of April 20, I take pleasure in enclosing a self-explanatory communication which has just been received from the Treasury Department. The publications mentioned therein are being mailed to you today under separate cover.¹

With all good wishes, I remain

Sincerely yours,

[signed] G. C. Hamelin

Secretary to Mr. Tinkham

Ezra Pound, Esquire
Via Marsala 12-5
Rapallo, Italy

Letter 93 Note

1. If the "April 20" reference is accurate, the letter itself has been lost. However, it must have contained a request similar to that of 18 April 1940 (see Letter 92). The "self-explanatory communication" Ms. Hamelin refers to is a letter to Mr. Tinkham from the Director of the Mint. The "publications mentioned therein" are reports of the Secretary of the Treasury for fiscal years 1932-39, and annual reports of the Director of the Mint for corresponding years.
2I May [1940]

Dear Uncle George

I suppose France and England may wake up some day to the nature of back-seat driving from the U.S.

In the mean time IS the Rep/ party gaga? or is it only foreign press reports. Frankie's LAST cinema act, the "coalition party or cabinet!!!!

Certain subsequent to my suggestion for a republican campaign the possibly megalomania on my part to suppose he has heard either of me or my suggestion.

ANYhow if the republicans are bought off by the inclusion [of] two of the worst idiots that ever got into the republican party, it is or OUGHT (of a right etc.) to be the END of pea time.¹

I see also that Mr. Lindbergh etc///²

Thank God there are still five months before the peopul go to the poles. lets hope it wont be with a capital P.

yrz
Letter 94 Notes

1. In the interest of national defense and to ensure a smooth transition between administrations, should the Republicans win in November, Roosevelt had decided to create a "coalition" or bipartisan cabinet, including Republican Secretaries of the Navy and War. Such a cabinet, according to Arthur Krock, writing in the New York Times on May 21, would "isolate national defense measures from politics and...coordinate procurement and production in a wholly nonpartisan way." The two "worst idiots that ever got into the republican party" were Alfred Landon (War) and Frank Knox (Navy), the 1936 Republican running-mates. Landon, however, would not consider the post unless Roosevelt publicly renounced a third term. The President, unwilling to make the disclaimer, named Henry L. Stimson instead (Burns 424). As a former member of the Taft and Hoover cabinets, and as a staunch internationalist, Stimson was probably no more acceptable to Pound than Landon was.

2. In his reference to Charles A. Lindbergh (b. 1902), the world famous aviator, Pound is probably alluding to current speculations about his running for vice-president on the Republican ticket, along with Wendell Willkie. Father Coughlin's Social Justice had done him "the unsolicited honor" of backing him for the office ("Lindbergh").
Dear Uncle George: Thanks for yrs/ of 24th May. arrived
I know not via Portugal or Japan, but NO thanks to Hull's
diplomacy, which has, I take it reached a new low without even
a Paraguay, Uruguay or Bolivia to provide him a Benes or Tafari.¹

Re/ the sheeny, his reeport is what I had assumed.² The public
curiosity is not invited, and IF any information were available it wd/
be unrevealing. a start for investigation, not a statement of
pregnant fact.

The NEXT step to see whether "if not available FOR DISTRIBUTION"
the record is there at all. Payne or one of Stoddard's friends ought
to go down to the treasury, with introd from you or some authority
and ask to see the books. In the mean time, as Miss Hamelin has
a sense of humour I suggest you send her over there, she shd/ enter
AS IF prepared for steady research, and ask to see the record.
Not for what shd/ cd/ get from the ledgers in half an hour, but
for her perception of facial expression of those asked for the
facts.³

Have had good letter from D.R. Dewey (not master Tommy) but Americ.
Econ. Review (Financial History of U. S.)⁴ also confirming my
suppositions re/ lacunae or rather lack of ANY serious history of the
U.S. financial and econ. affairs.
/// as to Willkie, subject to yr/ correction, he is (?) the man who
slept for 20 years/ I shd/ not welcome the Atlantic Monthly in the
White House, and as it has run "crap for the utilities" I dont suppose
Rip van Wendle's articles were tempered to please that dirty piece of
work Sedgwick, but rather that Willkie is the papa of my NON=cousin
Arthur P. etc. in short the fount of blah.5

I shd/ favour the dems/ on hope that the good gawd wd/ take Franklin
to that fitting receptacle, Abraham's bosom SOON, where F. wd. be
racially at home, with his old cronies and their 24 elders, and the
cow that laid the golden calf...that wd. leave Wallace6...wonder
wd/ he have the guts to sack Morgenthaustein?? I wonder in fact
what Wheeler's monetary views are.7 Did you get my article on the
gold purchases?8 and did you show it to Wheeler?

Sounds as if the worst dems/ had been deloused. However, I shall hold
off till I get more news/ Attack on the four billion boodle shd/
be intensified/ in ANY case/ whichever way the mule jumps. If Willkie
WONT use the facts of my article, damn him. If Wallace can't???
in any case lets hope there may be a very small majority for either
undesirable candidate. Frankie dont look like a FOURTH term. Willkie's
chance only on people voting against not FOR anything. (or do I err?)

I am not set in my mind, as haven't had enough data. But the gold
wheeze is clear. More people know of that; and more they know of
Sherman's treason in 1862, the better.9 Came on interesting clippings
of 1878 re/ my grand dad trying to get rider onto silver remonitiza-
tion bill, that wd/ at least keep SOME of the non-interest-bearing
debt in circulation as money. Here's how. Hope to git over in the
spring.

[No closing]

Letter 95 Notes

1. Eduard Benes (1884-1948) had been president of Czechoslovakia
(1935-38), but had resigned in protest over the German occupation of
the Sudetenland. He headed the Czech government-in-exile from London
(1940-45), promoting Czech independence during the war ("Benes").
Tafari (1892-1975) was Haile Selassi, Emperor of Ethiopia (1930-74),
who headed the government-in-exile during the Italian occupation
(1936-41) ("Haile Selassie"). Pound's reference to Hull's diplomacy
is probably to suggest that, unlike Germany and Italy in their
respective spheres of influence, American hegemony was experiencing no
resistance in Latin America. Within a week of this letter, Hull was
to bring off "a brilliant coup at the Havana Conference...by wangling
conference approval of his program for opposing transfer of European
possessions in the New World"—a threatening prospect in light of
recent German successes in Europe (Burns 436).

2. The "sheeny" refers to Secretary of the Treasury Morgenthau,
whose report on gold purchases Pound had requested of Tinkham in his
letter of 18 April (see Letter 92). Later in this letter Pound calls
him "Morgenthaustein."

3. I have found no information on "Payne." For note on Grace
Hamelin see Letter 88, n2.

4. For notes on D. R. Dewey and Thomas Dewey, see Letter 90, n1, and Letter 38, n2.

5. Ellery Sedgwick had been editor of The Atlantic Monthly for 30 years, leaving in 1938. Arthur Pound was Sedgwick's successor. Wendell Willkie (1892-1944) was the Republican presidential nominee in 1940. His pro-business and, especially, pro-utilities views were consistent with those of Arthur Pound, who, in addition to being the new editor and frequent contributor to the magazine, was also a noted economist, historian, and novelist ("Dr. Arthur Pound").

6. Henry Wallace was Roosevelt's running mate in 1940. The "24 elders" refers to The Protocols of the Elders of Zion, a 19th century forgery that "proved" that the Jews were plotting to take over the world (Parkes 45-56).

7. Judging from his remarks recorded in the Congressional Record, Senator Wheeler had little, if anything, to say about monetary policy per se. In a broader sense, however, he was a proponent of what he called "Economic Democracy" (not to be confused with Major Douglas' book by that name), which meant public rather than private ownership of natural resources and utilities ("Wheeler," Current Biog.).

8. Pound's article on the gold purchases may have been either "Gold Brokers," published in Action, 8 April 1939; or "Ezra Pound on Gold, War, and National Money," which is the Capitol Daily article of 9 May 1939, mentioned in Letter 81, n14 (Gallup, C1506, C1509).


10. In "A Visiting Card," written two years later, Pound says he came upon these clippings in 1937. This letter indicates that he actually
found them in 1940. In any case, his point is that his grandfather had been advocating "the same essentials of monetary and statal economics" that he himself has been writing about ("A Visiting Card" 325).
Dear Unkle George

There bein no known deputato Deng or Tenka

/ and judgin from the internal evidence of the communication

I reckon the radio was onto you yester morn.¹

Remeditatin on yrs of whenever May 24 and last to reach me from you/ strikes me that the time wd/ now be timely for duputato M/C and/or Senator to rise and suggest that henceforth the Treasury KEEP and have for ready reference a LIST of persons from whom they buy gold/ itemized/ and in case of known and suspected crooks, that the said bastids be required to say WHERE they got it/ and whether it had been passed thru a string of N.Y. agents during the past 24 hours, or days.

Of course YOU and Hamilton and Vandebung² MAY have been sellin it to the govt. fer all I know, but unless you got a terrapin farm (and/or aviary) or some other concealed asset beyond the figger of my cal'lations, you cant (not even the three of you) have sold 'em ALL ten billyum.

Of course IF the administration shd/ enlighten to point of puttin me in charge (which they WONT) of the Treasury I wd/ cease purchasin the d/n stuff altogether and lay in a stock of zinc or some useful commodity.
SECOND/ as Rip van Wendle seems to be wakin from his 20 year sleep/ cd/ you suggest to him with my compliments that he lay off tellin the world what he dont know about europe under impression that he knows it. OR in parliamentary language, that he needn't accept my opinions (good as they doubtless are) but that he might exercise caution in accepting unverified information re/ YOURUP. with my comps/ and that I hope to call on him the spring time at the residence round the corner from Buddha's head. with my compliments.

yrz deevotedly

I mean if Rip van W.W. is lookin forward/ all he needs for campaign purposes is mind our own business/ if he gets in there will be time for him to think about the etceteras.

Letter 96 Notes

1. I have found no information on either "Denga" or "Tenka."
2. The references are to J. D. M. Hamilton, the Republican National Chair, and Arthur Hendrick Vandenberg, the Senator from Michigan.
Dear Miss Hamelin

This is to say that mail via Japan Siberia takes about a month and is NOT censored by the British at Bermudah.

I don't know whether Mr Tinkham has sent me anything since May 24. That was the date of the last that has arrived (containing treasury letters)

since then
If he has written me anything I wish you cd/ find time to send me copies via Japan/

I shd/ like any news possible. Whether the acquisition of bases in Bermudah is planned to be ineffective against censorship/ i.e: that all European news NOT controlled by Reuter, Havas etc\(^1\) was to be kept OUT at least until too late to be useful...

I shd/ also like a line on Willkie/ as seen by G.H.T.
The little news I get looks as if W/ was waking from long sleep.

I wish he cd/ be persuaded NOT to believe any European news, esp/ Reuter and Havas; in fact ANYTHING he had taken for granted about Europe during the past 20 years/
UNTIL he has had it verified.

cordiali saluti.

Letter 97 Note

1. Reuters and Havas were the British and French news agencies. The "acquisition of bases" refers to the British-American deal whereby British military bases in Bermuda and Newfoundland were leased to the United States "as an outright gift," and other British bases in the Americas were traded to the United States for fifty reconditioned destroyers (Burns 437-41). Though he does not mention it in his letters to Pound, Tinkham considered the destroyer deal treasonous ("Two Out" 21).
October 25, 1940

My dear Mr. Pound:

Your letter of September 12, "via Siberia, Japan, Giappone", has been received in the absence of Congressman Tinkham. He is now in the mountains of Virginia (Hot Springs) for a brief respite. His mail is not being forwarded to him. Your letter will be placed before him as soon as he returns to Washington, which I understand will be the day before the election on November 5, on his way to Boston.

It is my understanding that arrangements are being made for the acquisition of a base in Bermuda, as well as for the acquisition of other bases on islands northeast of the United States and in the Caribbean.

In the same mail with your letter there was received an envelope from Hot Springs containing, among other things, a copy of a communication which Congressman Tinkham wrote on October 22 to a close friend of his in Boston. In that letter he had the following to say about Willkie's chances for election:

"So far as the campaign is concerned, let me state the following facts for what they are worth. Mr. Roosevelt during the last eight years has raided the Treasury of twenty-five billions of dollars and most of this money has been expended under political direction. If those who have been bought stay bought, Willkie does not have a chance. The question is, will they? My reports from New York State are uniformly good. Willkie must have New York State, and
even with it he could lose. His chances seem to be improving, which may only mean, however, that the election will be close. Johnson has helped in California, and I understand Lewis is coming out for Willkie, which will help in Pennsylvania and West Virginia particularly. Superficially, it looks like Roosevelt, but underneath, with the third-term issue and the question of war and peace, which Mr. Willkie has exploited slightly (agreeing with Roosevelt on foreign policy may have explosive force), we do not know. The Irish-American vote is going to be very important, particularly in Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut and New York, and there is some evidence that it is veering away from the radical elements.

"When the election is over we shall know more about the American people than we have ever known; perhaps we are too pessimistic. We shall have our answer then."

Mr. Tinkham has been much concerned over the position taken by Mr. Willkie in relation to foreign affairs but I have heard him express the hope that he can be made "to see the light". I have also heard him say repeatedly that he believed Mr. Willkie to be "intellectually honest" and to possess "distinct ability".

Mr. Tinkham has taken the communications which you wrote him earlier this year to his apartment. According to my office records, his last letter to you was the one you mentioned in your communication, dated May 24, 1940.

Sincerely yours,

[signed] G. C. Hamelin

Secretary to Mr. Tinkham
Via Marsala I2/5 Rapallo 7 Nov. 1940

Dear Unkle George: Badly want some paper or report that will let [me] gauge the election. Especially want to know if you, Wheeler and Bridges are still in (if they were up for election and you haven't giv way to that wild fantasy for retirement that you sprung on me last year). Rip van Wendle, despite boom and learning a little, WAS 20 years behind the times. My first impression was from lousy article reprint from Atlantic Monthly //Sedgwick smear WONT go down. Pennsylvania, aptly summarized in italia report by simple parenthesis (Pittsburg Harrisburg) and the Conn/ dem/ congmen, must be a joke on Sam Pryor? or do I err?

Vandenberg, as you said, is able/ BUT anchored, petrified in dead wheezes, that ALL the rest of the world is onto. Japan relations Manchuria, central China; Australia/ Brazil.... all ONTO the old wheezes. Bridges wd/ have been my pick as you weren't running. BUT they ALL read the same damn papers. Worst of the war is the interruption of ANY proper communications service. quite needlessly as both sides wd/ have let American ships pass. If tackled proper way. Wasn't there a french loan to Manchuria a while back when others were boycotting Manchu? Or is my memory twisted?

I packed up at beginning of Oct. to come home, but in Rome found NO clipper places till Dec. 15. (that wuz NO use if I was to find out about the late elections... Nothing in europ press to show Willkie wasn't kussing ALL Frankie's good deeds and backing him up

293
in all his errors... however I had NO ADEQUATE data on which to form an opinion... otherwise I might have got on the air, but was afraid of doing more harm than good.... Anyhow prefer Wallace to McWhoosis... NO one will send me ANY news of Wallace stamp (i.e.; paper money) measures. 4

As you may have noticed both Funk and Ricoardi are now quoting me without being aware of it.... or at any rate I am orthodox for 1940 instead of being a lone voice. 5 Believe this LITA air service is all that works. They said Clipper so behind with mails that od/take no more passengers till Dec. 15... not that I necessarily believe that yarn. Eddie Windsor headin for the ole Baltimore boarding house.

Did the republicans attack Morgenthau AT ALL? If not, they damn well deserve a lickin'.

Last real american papers I saw were dated June and came via Siberia.

I shd/ like to come over in the spring if you will kindly stop the horsetillities and put on a proper boat service.

[No closing]
Letter 99 Notes

1. All three men—Tinkham, Wheeler, and Bridges—were "still in."

While Senator Bridges did not have to run for reelection in 1940, Representative Tinkham and Senator Wheeler did. Tinkham won with 59% of the vote, and Wheeler won with 79% (Congressional Quarterly's Guide 622, 948).

2. The article Pound refers to is probably Willkie's "Political Power," published in the Atlantic Monthly in August 1937, while Ellery Sedgwick was still editor. In his article, Willkie, unlike Pound, is clearly an apologist for the utilities companies. I do not know what Pound means by "Sedgwick smear" (cf. Letter 95, n5).

3. For note on Sam Pryor see Letter 81, n4.

4. "McWhoosis" refers to Charles Linza McNary (1874–1944), the U.S. Senator from Oregon (1917–44) who was Willkie's running mate in 1940 ("McNary").

5. Walther Funk (1890–1960), a German journalist, economist, and former Minister of Economics, was the Reichsbank president (1939–40). He would be sentenced to life imprisonment for war crimes after the war. Raffaele Riccardi was the Italian Minister of Trade and Exchange from 1939. With Funk, he planned for a post-war European economy that would be corporate and autocratic. In this economy, national working power, by providing the backing for the mark and the lire, would stabilize the currency, thereby relegating gold to the periphery of the system ("Axis pushes Plans"; "Reich Acts to Buoy Mark").

Commenting in the Fascist press, Riccardi declared there would be "no pity for gold, which was used by the plutocratic nations as a means of political enslavement of the poorer countries... gold will cease to be
the arbiter of the policy and economy and of the very existence of nations" ("Rome Gives Design"). This conception of the post-war economy, along with its rationale, was consistent with Pound's way of thinking.
And a Happy Xmas

Dear Unkle George

Waaaal they say the peepul votes AGAINST not for
and they voted against the Atlantic Monthly; thank god and I hope they
always will.¹

Willkie's ignorance of europe equal to Ickes'/ and brain??
even looser than I thought from original articles by him fed to me in N.Y.

NOT one mention of the defects of the administration/ at least not in
all the stuff that has reached me up to now. Roosevelt played
all round in the field of verbal manifestation/ quite apart [from]
any funds employed.

Big Business (and Willkie is it) is the damnedest ass on earth/
still thinks a rotten monetary system is GOOD for business/ and that
a decent system is bad for business/ despite ALL history, from
Pa colony before I750/ on thru.

Waaaal Europe has pretty well taken on the monetary ideas I have
before now mentioned/

as to politics/ if you see the sacred S. Pryor²: repeat to him my
conviction that when the public is TIRED of something, you can 
ONLY beat it by something DIFFERENT, not a weak imitation.

Willkie in photo is a cross between Franklin D. and Bro/ Lower 
the false alarm parson of Wyncote, Pa. as was in I900.3

I still think the reps/ had ONCE chance of winning the election 
and they scrupulously omitted taking it. Do we place a 
tomb stone on the party or wait for the second advent?

yers

Can I have a congressional directory when the new ones come out?

Letter 100 Notes

1. The *Atlantic Monthly* was a supporter of Willkie. Cf. Letters 95 and 99.

2. For note on Sam Pryor see Letter 81, n4.

3. Rev. William Barnes Lower, who had become minister of the Calvary Presbyterian Church in Pound's childhood hometown of Wyncote, Pennsylvania, had lived with the Pound family for about 10 months in 1901-02. A writer of poetry himself, Rev. Lower may have influenced Pound's early interest in poetry, even though Pound did not especially admire him as a minister (Stock, *Pennsylvania* 14).
APPENDIX A: INDEX

This index includes the names of all persons referred to in the Pound/Tinkham correspondence and the letter-numbers of the letters in which the references appear. Where the persons are referred to by epithet or in some idiosyncratic way, I have included the reference itself in parentheses. If a person is referred to in both normal and unusual fashion in the same letter, I have preceded the idiosyncratic reference by the symbol "&"; for example, since Pound refers to Benito Mussolini in Letter 16 as "Mussolini" and as "Boss," I have indicated this dual reference as follows: 16 (& Boss).
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AGRESTI, OLIVIA ROSSETTI. 45.
ALEXANDER, JOHN WHITE. 58.
ANGELL, NORMAN. 8, 82.
ASQUITH CABINET. 14.
ASTOR, WALDORF. 87.
AUSLANDER, JOSEPH. 53 (Mister AUSSlander).
AYDELOTTE, FRANK. 1.
BACON, FRANCIS S. 74.
BALDWIN, STANLEY. 35 (BALDWING).
BANKHEAD, JOHN HOLLIS. 15, 43, 48, 78.
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CHAMBERLAIN, ARTHUR NEVILLE. 66, 81 (Neville).
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EGAN, MAURICE FRANCIS. 58.
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KRUTCH, JOSEPH WOOD. 18.

LA FOLLETTE, ROBERT MARION, JR. 59.

LANDON, ALFRED MOSSMAN. 12, 26, 29 (stuffed non-entity) 31, 33, 94
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LOWER, WILLIAM BARNES. 100.
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MENCIUS. 50, 51, 53, 56.
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(Morgenstein), 95 (sheeny, Morgenthaustein), 99.
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POUND, THADDEUS COLEMAN (T. C.). 59, 95 (grand dad).
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SCHUYLER, PHILIP. 38 (pa-in-law).
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STEDMAN, EDMUND CLARENCE. 58.
STEED, HENRY WICKHAM. 82.
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STODDARD, THEODORE LATHROP. 81, 95.
STONE, HARLAN FISKE. 44.
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SULLIVAN, MARK. 85.
TAFARI. 95.
TAFT, ROBERT ALPHONSO. 79, 81.
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THOMAS, AUGUSTUS. 58.
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TREMAINE, MORRIS SAWYER. 81, 82.
TROTSKY, LEON. 65.
TUGWELL, REXFORD GUY. 12, 15, 30, 31 (& T/), 67.
TWEDDELL, FRANCIS. 50, 54.
VAN BUREN, MARTIN. 16 (Van B), 38, 53, 55.
VANDENBERG, ARTHUR HENDRICK. 15, 38, 79, 81, 89 (Vandendewey), 96 (Vandebug), 99.
VANDERLIP, FRANK ARTHUR. 35.
VAN DYKE, HENRY. 58.
VAN DYKE, JOHN. 58.
VILLARD, OSWALD GARRISON. 18.
VIVALDI, ANTONIO. 78.
VOLPE, GIUSEPPE. 45.
VOORHIS, HORACE JERRY. 44, 65 (Jerry), 67, 87.
WALLACE, HENRY AGARD. 31, 95, 99.
WARBURG, JAMES PAUL. 15 (Warburgs).
WARNER, CHARLES DUDLEY. 58.
WARREN, GEORGE FREDERICK. 15 (Warrens), 67.
WASHINGTON, GEORGE. 16.
WENDEL, FRANCOIS de. 18
WHEELER, BURTON KENDALL. 38, 78 (Senator W.), 95, 99.
WHITNEY, RICHARD W. 68.
WIGRAM, CLIVE. 35.
WILLKIE, WENDELL LEWIS. 95 (& Rip van Wendle), 96 (Rip van Wendle, Rip van W. W.), 97 (& W/), 98, 99 (Rip van Wendle), 100.
WINDSOR, EDWARD. See EDWARD VIII.
WOODWARD, E. S. 43.
WOODWARD, WILLIAM E. 34, 43 (Bill W/).
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APPENDIX B: A CROSS-REFERENCE LIST OF PERSONS MENTIONED IN POUND'S LETTERS TO TINKHAM AND THE CANTOS.

This list includes the names of all persons that Pound mentions in both his letters to Tinkham and his Cantos. The numbers are Canto numbers. Where Pound refers to a person by some other name in the Cantos, I have supplied his actual mode of reference parenthetically. For example, in Canto 22 he refers to John Maynard Keynes as "BUKOS," so in the list the Keynes entry is as follows: Keynes, John Maynard. 22 (BUKOS). In compiling the list I consulted Edwards and Vasse's Annotated Index to the Cantos of Ezra Pound and Carroll F. Terrell's A Companion to the Cantos of Ezra Pound, both of which are included in the bibliography at the end of this volume.

Adams Brooks. 97.
Adams, John. 31, 32, 33, 37, 48, 50, 52, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 74, 76, 81, 84, 88, 89, 94, 95, 97, 100.
Agresti, Olivia Rossetti. 76.
Asquith, Herbert Henry. 77.
Bacon, Francis S. 12 (Baldy).
Bankhead, John Hollis. 84.
Biddle, Nicholas. 34, 37, 88, 89, 94, 103.
Blum, Leon. 80.
Borah, William Edgar. 84, 89.
Bottai, Giuseppe. 92.
Bunting, Basil. 74, 110.
Cato. 86.
Confucius (or Kung). 13, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 67, 76, 77, 80.

Douglas, (Major) Clifford Hugh. 22, 38, 41, 46, 87, 97, 100.

Edward VIII (Windsor). 74, 80, 89, 95, 100, 109.
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Ford, Henry. 74.

Franco, Francisco. 81.

Franklin, Benjamin. 31, 33, 34, 52, 62, 63, 64, 65, 67, 68, 69, 71.

Gesell, Silvio. 74, 80.
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Hamilton, Alexander. 37, 62, 63, 66, 69, 70, 71, 88, 89.
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Hitler, Adolph. 62, 74 (H.), 76 (Fuhrer), 104.

Hollis, Christopher. 104.
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Jackson, Andrew. 34, 37, 80, 88, 89, 100.
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Jefferson, Thomas. 21, 31, 32, 33, 34, 37, 41, 46, 60, 62, 63, 65, 66, 68, 69, 70, 71, 81, 89.

Joyce, James. 38, 74, 76, 77.

Keynes, John Maynard. 22 (BUKOS).

Kimball, Dexter. 38.

Knitel (Knittl). 89, 97.

Landon, Alfred Mossman. 77.
Laval, Pierre. 84.
Lenin, Vladimir Illyich (Nikolai). 74.
Lewis, Percy Wyndham. 78, 80, 115.
Lincoln, Abraham. 88.
Liveright, Horace. 80.
Louis XVI. 32, 41, 65, 68, 69.
Macdonald, James Ramsay. 79.
Martin, Joseph William Jr. 77.
Marx, Karl. 19, 46, 48, 71, 91.
McNair Wilson, Robert. 101, 104.
Mellon/Mellonites. 38, 101.
Mencius. 54, 78, 86, 97, 99.
Mencken, Henry Lewis. 81, 87, 97, 99.
Mensdorff, Alvert von. 19, 103.
Mond, Alfred Moritz. 104.
Morgan, John Pierpont. 40.
Morgenthau, Henry. 74.
Morgenthau, Henry Jr. 74.
Mussolini, Benito. 41, 52, 78, 80, 81, 84, 89, 98, 103, 105, 116.
Napoleon Bonaparte. 18, 24, 31, 33, 34, 41, 44, 50, 51, 62, 71, 78, 80, 89, 100, 103, 105, 121.
Norman, Montagu Collet. 77.
Orage, Alfred Richard. 46, 80, 89, 98, 104, 121.
Paterson, William. 46.
Por, Odon. 78.
Pound, Thaddeus Coleman. 21, 22.
Roosevelt, Franklin Delano. 46, 85, 87, 97.
Rossoni, Edmondo. 101.
Rothschild (family). 40, 46, 48, 80.
Rothschild, Mayer Amschel. 74.
Schacht, Hjalmar Horace Greeley. 52.
Schuyler, Philip. 69.
Sieff, Israel Moses. 74.
Stalin, Josef. 52, 74, 84.
Steffens, Lincoln. 19, 84.
Tafari (Haile Selassi). 80.
Tinkham, George Holden (Uncle George). 74, 76, 78, 80, 89, 97, 110.
Tremaine, Morris Sawyer. 104.
Trotsky, Leon. 16.
Tweddell, Francis. 113.
Van Buren, Martin. 34, 37, 46, 48, 88, 89, 95, 100.
Vandenberg, Arthur Hendrick. 84.
Vivaldi, Antonio. 92.
Volpe, Giuseppe. 76, 80.
Wendel, Francois de. 38.
Wheeler, Burton Kendall. 100.
Whitney, Richard W. 38.
Willkie, Wendell Lewis. 77.
Woodward, William E. 86.
Zaharoff, Basil. 18, 38, 93.
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