University of Rhode Island DigitalCommons@URI

Faculty Senate Bills

Faculty Senate

11-12-1981

Modification of Faculty Senate Bill #80-81--34 Proposal for a Doctor of Pharmacy Degree

University of Rhode Island Faculty Senate

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/facsen_bills

Recommended Citation

University of Rhode Island Faculty Senate, "Modification of Faculty Senate Bill #80-81--34 Proposal for a Doctor of Pharmacy Degree" (1981). *Faculty Senate Bills*. Paper 935. https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/facsen_bills/935

This Legislation is brought to you by the University of Rhode Island. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Senate Bills by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact digitalcommons-group@uri.edu. For permission to reuse copyrighted content, contact the author directly.

(date)

UNIVERSITY OF RHODE ISLAND Kingston, Rhode Island

FACULTY SENATE

Adopted by the Faculty Senate

TO: President Frank Newman

FROM: Chairperson of the Faculty Senate

1. The attached BILL, titled <u>Modification of Faculty Senate Bill #80-81--34</u>

Proposal for a Doctor of Pharmacy Degree.

is forwarded for your consideration.

2. The original and two copies for your use are included.

- 3. This BILL was adopted by vote of the Faculty Senate on November 12, 1981
- 4. After considering this bill, will you please indicate your approval or disapproval. Return the original or forward it to the Board of Regents, completing the appropriate endorsement below.
- 5. In accordance with Section 10, paragraph 4 of the Senate's By-Laws, this bill will become effective on <u>December 3, 1981</u> (date), three weeks after Senate approval, unless: (1) specific dates for implementation are written into the bill; (2) you return it disapproved; (3) you forward it to the Board of Regents for their approval; or)(4) the University Faculty petitions for a referendum. (If the bill is forwarded to the Board of Regents, it will not become effective until approved by the Board.

November 13, 1981 (date)

Niels West Chairperson of the Faculty Senate

ENDORSEMENT

TO: Chairperson of the Faculty Senate

FROM: President of the University

1. Returned.

2. a. Approved

b. Approved subject to final approval by Board of Regents

c. Disapproved

President

UNIVERSITY OF RHODE ISLAND Kingston, Rhode Island

FACULTY SENATE

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

November 2, 1981

The Faculty Senate Executive Committee recommends that the Faculty Senate approve the following change in the Pharm. D. Program Proposal (Senate Bill #80-81--34):

The Doctor of Pharmacy student will not be guaranteed financial assistance during the second year of the program. The funds originally earmarked for the second year stipends will be transferred to Brown University to cover the costs of the Brown portion of instruction during the first year.

Background:

On May 7, 1981, the Faculty Senate approved the proposal for a Pharm. D. degree as recommended by the New Program Review Committee. The expense of the program was to be considered a program cost to be carried by the University. Normal tuition was to be required of participating students. The tuition was to be paid directly by the students to URI which was to be responsible for the costs charged by Brown University for approximately 60% of the courses to be taken at Brown in the first year. The proposal also provided for five \$4,000 stipends to be given to second year students in the program.

The Pharm. D. program proposal was presented to the Board of Governors at its meeting of September 17. A cover letter was enclosed with the proposal which detailed the manner by which this program would be implemented and which went counter to the Senate legislation passed a few months earlier. Subsequently, after several meetings with members of the administration and the Faculty Senate Executive Committee, a compromise had been proposed:

- Until revised, the Senate legislation (Bill #80-81--34) continues to be in force.
- 2. The program could be modified as follows:
 - a) Funds originally earmarked for student stipends in the second year of the program would be transferred to Brown University to cover the cost of the Brown portion of the instruction during the first year.
 - b) Students would no longer be guaranteed financial assistance during the second year.

 Since the proposed compromise represents significant program changes, the Faculty Senate Executive Committee believes that these changes should be considered by the College of Pharmacy faculty and the Graduate Council before being voted on by the Senate.

The proposed modification of the Pharm. D. program, outlined in item 2 above was forwarded to the Graduate Council by the College of Pharmacy. On October 23, 1981, the Graduate Council reviewed the proposed revisions of the program and forwarded the relevant sections of their Minutes to the Faculty Senate Executive Committee.

The Faculty Senate Executive Committee is recommending that the Faculty Senate now approve the proposed modifications in the Pharm. D. Program.

UNIVERSITY OF RHODE ISLAND Kingston, Rhode Island

FACULTY SENATE

October 20, 1981

Faculty Senate Curricular Affairs Committee One Hundred and Eighty-Second Report

On October 13, 1981 and at its meeting of October 19, 1981, the Curricular Affairs Committee considered the following matters now submitted to the Faculty Senate.

SECTION I

Informational Matters (Including Temporary Courses)

Labor Studies Committee

ADD: The following temporary courses:

- a. LRS 305X United States Trade Union Structure and Administration (<u>I and II,3</u>) An examination of the varying structures and functions of labor unions including: local/national unions, multi-union organizations, union administration and finance, political action, membership participation, organizational growth and change. (<u>Lec. 3</u>) Pre: Prior or concurrent enrollment in <u>348X or permis-</u> <u>ston of instructor</u>. Staff
- b. LRS \$48X (or HIS 348X) American Labor History (<u>I or II,3</u>) Social and economic origins and development of the working classes and trade union movements in the U.S., with particular emphasis on Rhode Island and New England in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries. (<u>Lec. 3</u>) Pre: <u>HIS</u> 141 or 142 or permission of instructor. Staff

Joint Report of the Curricular Affairs Committee and Graduate Council on 400-Level

SECTION II

At the October 19, 1981 meeting of the Curricular Affairs Committee and the October 2, 1981 meeting of the Graduate Council, the following course was considered and is now presented to the Faculty Senate for approval.

College of Human Science and Services

Department of Education

ADD: EDC 402 The Education of Special Needs Students (<u>I and II,3</u>) Legislative, judicial, social, psychological issues related to the assessment, identification and remediation of special needs students' problems in the regular and special education classroom. (<u>Lec. 3</u>) <u>Pre</u>: <u>PSY 232 or HOE 200; EDC 312</u>. Staff

UNIVERSITY OF RHODE ISLAND Kingston, Rhode Island

FACULTY SENATE

MINUTES

- Faculty Senate Executive Committee Meeting #11 October 26, 1981 and October 27, 1981
 - The meeting was called to order at 8:00 a.m. on October 26 in the Faculty Senate Office, Chairperson West presiding. All members were present.
- The Minutes of Executive Committee Meeting #10, October 19, 1981 were approved as corrected.

3. The Agenda for Faculty Senate Meeting #4, October 29, 1981 was reviewed.

It was agreed that Vice Chairperson Rankin would read Vice President Ferrante's letter regarding Senate Bill #80-81--37 and, if possible, recommend the approval of the appointment of an Ombudsperson for 1981-82 and 1982-83 as part of his report under item 3, C on the Agenda.

Ms. Grubman reported on the status of additional committee appointments for 1981-82 to be presented to the Senate for approval under item 3, D on the Amenda.

Chairperson West and Ms. Grubman reported on their discussions with members of the administration and directors of programs regarding the proposed 5% recision plan.

Hs. Grubman circulated a memorandum from Professor Spaulding, Acting Coordinator of Urban Affairs, to the Executive Committee regarding the probable impact of the proposed 5% Recision Plan on the Urban Affairs program.

Following discussion, the Executive Committee agreed to resume its meeting at 9:00 a.m. on October 27 to discuss the 5% Recision Plan and possible ways for dealing with future budget problems.

- The Executive Committee met with Acting Vice President Rainville from 8:30 to 9:10. The reorganization of Student Affairs and its impact on the Student Affairs budget were discussed.
 - . Chairperson West read an October 21 letter from Professor Wilde requesting that the Faculty Senate consider a resolution recommended by the Zoology Department regarding the binding of journals in the biological sciences.

Following discussion, it was agreed to forward the resolution to the Library Committee with a copy to Dean Young and to inform Professor Wilde of the routing of the resolution. It was further agreed to inform Professor Wilde that the resolution could be brought directly to the Senate if an interested Senator wanted to introduce it under New Business.

The Executive Committee discussed an October 19 memorandum from the CBUM committee regarding Appendix G of the University Manual.

Following discussion, Chairperson West was directed to contact Professor Gutchen requesting information about the specific university policies which should be included in Appendix G.

-5-

The meeting was recessed at 9:30 a.m.

1.

A.S.E.C. Minutes #11--81-10-26 & 81-10-27

- 8. The meeting was reconvened at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, October 26 in the Faculty Senate Office, Chairperson West presiding. All members were present. The Executive Committee discussed the fiscal problems being faced by the University and the need for planning to deal with projected cuts. The role of the Senate in regard to short-term de-funding proposals (e.g. the 5% Recision Plan) as compared to proposals to abolish programs was also discussed.
- President Newman, Vice President Ferrante, and Assistant Vice President Swan met with the Executive Committee at 9:30 a.m. The budget problems facing the University and procedures for dealing with them were discussed:
 - A. The 5% Recision Plan Vice President Ferrante reported that the Board of Governors had forwarded the 5% Recision Plan as submitted by the University to the Governor. He stated that the University had made the point that as time passes, the plan becomes more and more difficult to put into effect. He further stated that the plan was, by necessity, prepared in haste and if the University had to put a 5% Recision Plan into effect, modifications to the plan could be made.

President Newman reported that the 5% Plans were "standby plans" in the event that revenues would not be adequate to cover the 81-82 budget. He stated that Chairperson Carlotti would prefer to impose a special thition assessment rather than make cuts in the current budget. President Newman suggested, that a cap of less than 8% for 1982-83 was more likely than a recision in the 81-82 budget.

B. Long-Range Budget Problems - It was agreed that the University is forced to live with a reduced budget and that decisions regarding program cuts and twition increases would have to be made.

In response to the Executive committee's urging that cuts in nonacademic areas should precede academic program curtailments, President Newman stated that since administrative cut backs could be made more rapidly than program cuts, many had already been put into effect. He assured the Executive Committee that this did not mean that there would not be further cuts in non-academic areas.

Following discussion, it was agreed that Vice President Ferrante should prepare a package of recommendations for program cuts to be considered by the appropriate committees and the Faculty Senate in context with one another. The criteria behind the recommendations should be stated.

It was further agreed to establish a timetable for consideration of the proposals which would allow ample time for committee and Senate deliberation.

The meeting was adjourned at 10:40 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Sheila Black Grubman

SBG:DD