

2-28-1974

1972-73 Academic Standards Committee Proposal #2 with revision by the 1973-74 Academic Standards and Calendar Committee

University of Rhode Island Faculty Senate

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/facsen_bills

Recommended Citation

University of Rhode Island Faculty Senate, "1972-73 Academic Standards Committee Proposal #2 with revision by the 1973-74 Academic Standards and Calendar Committee" (1974). *Faculty Senate Bills*. Paper 926.

https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/facsen_bills/926

This Legislation is brought to you by the University of Rhode Island. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Senate Bills by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact digitalcommons-group@uri.edu. For permission to reuse copyrighted content, contact the author directly.

April 1, 1974

Dr. William R. Ferrante
Acting President
Administration Building

Dear Bill:

At our meeting of March 28, 1974 the Executive Committee voted to grant your request for an extension on Senate Bill # 73-74-25 Proposal Number Two of the Academic Standards and Calendar Committee. The Executive Committee is requesting that you take action on this matter by April 15, 1974.

Cordially.

Maury Keelin
Chairman, Faculty Senate

MK/tb

UNIVERSITY OF RHODE ISLAND
KINGSTON, R. I. 02881

Office of the President

March 21, 1974

Dr. Maury Klein, Chairman
Faculty Senate
Faculty Senate Office
Roosevelt Hall, Campus

Dear Maury,

This is to request an extension of the time by which I must respond to Faculty Senate Bill Number 73-74-25, Proposal Number Two of the Academic Standards and Calendar Committee. As I explained to you in our telephone conversation, I would like to seek a legal opinion on the implications of the proposal. Specifically, my question is whether or not it is permissible for a college within the University to establish graduation requirements which are higher than University - wide requirements.

I shall seek the opinion as soon as possible. I expect that I shall have a response for you by March 28, 1974.

Sincerely yours,



William R. Ferrante
Acting President

WRF/lp

RECEIVED

MAR 28 1974

UNIVERSITY OF RHODE ISLAND
FACULTY SENATE

EX. to 4-15

FACULTY SENATE

BILL

Adopted by the Faculty Senate

TO: Acting President Ferrante
FROM: Chairman of the Faculty Senate

- The Attached BILL, titled 1972-73 Academic Standards Committee Proposal #2 with revision by the 1973-74 Academic Standards and Calendar Committee.

is forwarded for your consideration.

- The original and two copies for your use are included.
- This BILL was adopted by vote of the Faculty Senate on 2/28/74 (date).
- After considering this bill, will you please indicate your approval or disapproval. Return the original or forward it to the Board of Regents, completing the appropriate endorsement below.
- In accordance with Section 8, paragraph 2 of the Senate's By-Laws, this bill will become effective on 3/1/74 (date), three weeks after Senate approval, unless: (1) specific dates for implementation are written into the bill; (2) you return it disapproved; (3) you forward it to the Board of Regents for their approval; or (4) the University Faculty petitions for a referendum. If the bill is forwarded to the Board of Regents, it will not become effective until approved by the Board.

3/1/74
(date)

Murray Klein /s/
Chairman of the Faculty Senate
Murray Klein

ENDORSEMENT 1.

TO: Chairman of the Faculty Senate
FROM: President of the University

- Returned.
- Approved _____ Disapproved _____.
- (If approved) In my opinion, transmittal to the Board of Regents is not necessary.

(date)

President /s/

(OVER)

ALTERNATE ENDORSEMENT 1.

TO: Chairman of the Board of Regents.

FROM: The University President

1. Forwarded.

2. Approved.

_____/s/
(date) President

ENDORSEMENT 2.

TO: Chairman of the Faculty Senate

FROM: Chairman of the Board of Regents, via the University President.

1. Forwarded.

_____/s/
(date) _____
(Office)

ENDORSEMENT 3.

TO: Chairman of the Faculty Senate

FROM: The University President

1. Forwarded from the Chairman of the Board of Regents.

_____/s/
(date) President

Original received and forwarded to the Secretary of the Senate and Registrar for filing in the Archives of the University.

_____/s/
(date) Chairman of the Faculty Senate

UNIVERSITY OF RHODE ISLAND
Kingston, Rhode Island

TO: Executive Committee, Faculty Senate

FROM: ACADEMIC STANDARDS & CALENDAR COMMITTEE

The Academic Standards and Calendar Committee has met to consider appropriate action to be taken on Proposal No. 2 of the Final Report prepared for the Senate meeting of April 12, 1973. Proposal No. 2 was referred back to committee in its entirety on May 31, 1973 because of certain questions revolving mainly around the right of individual curricula or departmental concentrations to prescribe their own quality point average requirements for graduation.

The Committee has studied the entire Proposal again and has determined that the proposed 8.22.10 through 16 should stand as presented. Question was raised concerning some of the wording of 8.22.15, which remains as it currently appears in the University Manual, with certain Senators expressing a fear that 8.22.15 might somehow lower standards. We feel that our proposed revision of 8.48.10 which follows prevents any problem from arising. The concept in 8.22.15 is that the student returning to school upon being reinstated does not have to be held down by the D and F grades before dismissal insofar as the cumulative qpa is concerned. The grades remain; the points are ignored. However, because the qpa must be built to acceptable level for graduation, all grades after reinstatement apply, including all D and F grades after reinstatement, and of course the student must make up for the prior D's and F's since they are totally eliminated from the final average.

Hence, we propose that Proposal #2* with the following changes be considered by the Senate at its meeting of Thursday, October 25, 1973:

8.48.10 Graduation To graduate, a student must have completed the work for and must have achieved the minimum quality point average established by the curriculum in which he is enrolled and must have earned a total number of quality points equal to at least twice the total number of credits for which he has registered in that curriculum (see 8.40.10).

Respectfully submitted:

Edward Grove
Jean Hyland
Edgar LeDuc
Donald McCreight
Richard Roughton
Richard Updegrove
Jordan Miller, Chairman

* See pp. 16-18 for entire Proposal #2.

Proposal No. 2

CHANGE IN PROCEDURES FOR REINSTATEMENT

Make the following changes in the University Manual:

Present reading:

8.22.10 Reinstatement A student who has been dismissed shall not be reinstated until after a period of one year and then only upon recommendation of the Scholastic Standing Committee for the college or school in which registration is desired. When the student has been reinstated, his scholastic record shall be the same as it was at the time of his dismissal. However, any courses taken by the student during the period of his separation from the University shall be accepted by the Scholastic Standing Committee.

8.22.11 In exceptional circumstances, if the Scholastic Standing Committee of the college feels that conditions which caused the student's poor academic performance no longer exist and that he should now be able to do satisfactory work, the Scholastic Standing Committee may allow reinstatement under the following special procedures (8.22.12-16):

8.22.12 A written request for the special reinstatement shall be made by the student to the Scholastic Standing Committee.

Proposed reading:

8.22.10 Reinstatement A student who has been dismissed shall not be reinstated until after a period of one academic year and then only upon recommendation of the Scholastic Standing Committee for the college or school in which registration is desired.

8.22.11 The student seeking reinstatement shall submit a written request to his college Scholastic Standing Committee. This request may be submitted at any time after the middle of the second semester following his dismissal. All such requests shall be considered by each college Scholastic Standing Committee. If the Committee's considered judgment, incorporating the evidence from any course work taken elsewhere as specified in 8.22.12, determines that the student may reasonably be expected to do satisfactory work, it shall allow reinstatement.

8.22.12 During the period of the student's separation from the University, any course taken at another institution with the ^{PK108} permission of the student's dean in which the student has earned a "C" or its equiva-

8.22.16 Students shall be allowed only one reinstatement under these special provisions. A report shall be made to the Executive Committee of the Faculty Senate by the Scholastic Standing Committee on each student readmitted under this special provision.

8.22.16 Students shall be allowed only one reinstatement under these provisions.

* * * * *

8.48.10 Graduation To graduate, a student must have completed the work for the curriculum in which he is enrolled and must have earned a total number of quality points equal to at least twice the total number of credits for which he has registered in that curriculum (see 8.40.10).

**8.48.10 Graduation To graduate, a student must have completed the work for and must have achieved the minimum quality point average established by the curriculum in which he is enrolled and must have earned a total number of quality points equal to at least twice the total number of credits for which he has registered in that curriculum (see 8.40.10).

** Change made by 1973-1974 Committee

Edward Grove
Jean Hyland
Edgar LeDuc
Donald McCreight
Richard Roughton
Richard Updegrove

Jordan Miller, Chairman

lent or better shall be accepted by the Scholastic Standing Committee of the school or college in which the student is registered and shall be given transfer credit on the student's permanent record if he is reinstated.

8.22.13 The student shall be classified as a conditional student for the first two semesters after readmission.

8.22.13 When a student has been reinstated, the student shall be classified as a conditional student for the first two semesters after readmission under the following procedure (8.22.14-16.)

8.22.14 The student must earn an average grade of at least "C" in each of his two conditional semesters. If the student does not earn the necessary minimum grades for retention in the University during the conditional period, he shall be dismissed at the end of the first semester in which he is deficient.

8.22.14 Same

8.22.15 At the end of the two conditional semesters, if the preceding minimum grade requirements have been met, the Scholastic Standing Committee shall direct the Registrar to examine the student's record prior to his readmission and designate pass credits for those courses for which he received "C" or better. No credit shall be given in courses in which he received "D" or "F". While the permanent record shall continue to show previous grades, the calculations of the minimum number of quality points necessary for graduation shall be based on grades earned after the time of the conditional reinstatement. Should the student not earn the necessary minimum grades for retention in the University during the conditional year, he shall be dismissed.

8.22.15 Same