

University of Rhode Island

DigitalCommons@URI

Faculty Senate Bills

Faculty Senate

5-31-1973

ROTC LIAISON COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION CONCERNING ADVANCED ROTC CREDIT

University of Rhode Island Faculty Senate

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/facsen_bills

Recommended Citation

University of Rhode Island Faculty Senate, "ROTC LIAISON COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION CONCERNING ADVANCED ROTC CREDIT" (1973). *Faculty Senate Bills*. Paper 898.
https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/facsen_bills/898

This Legislation is brought to you by the University of Rhode Island. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Senate Bills by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact digitalcommons-group@uri.edu. For permission to reuse copyrighted content, contact the author directly.

RECEIVED

Serial Number 72-73--63

UNIVERSITY OF RHODE ISLAND

JUN 6 1973
UNIVERSITY OF RHODE ISLAND
FACULTY SENATE

FACULTY SENATE

BILL

Adopted by the Faculty Senate

RECEIVED
UNIVERSITY OF R. I.
JUN 4 1973
OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

TO: President Werner A. Baum
FROM: Chairman of the Faculty Senate

1. The Attached BILL, titled ROTC LIAISON COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
CONCERNING ADVANCED ROTC CREDIT

is forwarded for your consideration.

- 2. The original and two copies for your use are included.
- 3. This BILL was adopted by vote of the Faculty Senate on 5/31/73
(date)
- 4. After considering this bill, will you please indicate your approval or disapproval. Return the original or forward it to the Board of Regents, completing the appropriate endorsement below.
- 5. In accordance with Section 8, paragraph 2 of the Senate's By-Laws, this bill will become effective on 6/21/73 (date), three weeks after Senate approval, unless: (1) specific dates for implementation are written into the bill; (2) you return it disapproved; (3) you forward it to the Board of Regents for their approval; or (4) the University Faculty petitions for a referendum. If the bill is forwarded to the Board of Regents, it will not become effective until approved by the Board.

June 1, 1973
(date)

Maury Klein /s/
Chairman of the Faculty Senate
Maury Klein

ENDORSEMENT 1.

TO: Chairman of the Faculty Senate
FROM: President of the University

- 1. Returned.
- 2. Approved ✓. Disapproved _____.
- 3. (If approved) In my opinion, transmittal to the Board of Regents is not necessary.

6/4/73
(date)

Werner A. Baum /s/
President

(OVER)

ALTERNATE ENDORSEMENT 1.

TO: Chairman of the Board of Regents.

FROM: The University President

1. Forwarded.

2. Approved.

_____/s/
(date) President

ENDORSEMENT 2.

TO: Chairman of the Faculty Senate

FROM: Chairman of the Board of Regents, via the University President.

1. Forwarded.

_____/s/
(date) _____
(Office)

ENDORSEMENT 3.

TO: Chairman of the Faculty Senate

FROM: The University President

1. Forwarded from the Chairman of the Board of Regents.

_____/s/
(date) President

Original received and forwarded to the Secretary of the Senate and Registrar for filing in the Archives of the University.

_____/s/
(date) Chairman of the Faculty Senate

UNIVERSITY OF RHODE ISLAND
Kingston, Rhode Island

FACULTY SENATE

On May 31, 1973, the Senate passed the following rule which is to appear in the University Catalog and supersedes what appeared previously (1972-73 p.10):

EXCEPTION

If necessary to eliminate academic loads above degree requirements, students enrolled in the Advanced ROTC Program may apply to the appropriate academic dean for permission to substitute a maximum of six hours of advanced ROTC credit for the same number of credits in A, B or C of the Divisional Requirements. Only three credits may be substituted in any one division.

The Committee would further recommend that the Executive Committee of the Senate request that in the future regulations will not be edited in order to reduce the amount of space they occupy in the catalog.

This is also to appear in the University Manual in Section 8.40 10

UNIVERSITY OF RHODE ISLAND
Kingston, Rhode Island

FINAL REPORT OF THE ROTC LIAISON COMMITTEE, 1972-73

Part I

During October-November 1972, it came to the attention of the ROTC Liaison Committee that there were a number of problems connected with a rule published on page 10 of the 1972-73 University Catalog which states:

EXCEPTION

Advanced ROTC students may apply a maximum of six credits of military science to the ~~several~~ ^{general} education requirements. No more than three credits may be applied to any one division (A,B or C).

The original "Exception" included certain provisions and restrictions which, in the course of time, have been eroded away editorially as well as legislatively, apparently in error. How these changes appear to have occurred is contained in a report from this Committee to the Senate Executive Committee dated December 18, 1972

As the rule now stands, any advanced ROTC student may, of his own volition, without administrative approval, reduce the University Divisional Requirements in the manner stated in the rule.

The Committee is recommending that the original intent, suitably modified to meet current conditions, of the rule be re-legislated so that the "Exception" be subject to some limitation. Accordingly the Committee proposes to the Senate that the wording of the present "Exception" be deleted, and that it be replaced with the following provisions:

EXCEPTION

If necessary to eliminate academic loads above degree requirements, students enrolled in the Advanced ROTC Program may apply to the appropriate academic dean for permission to substitute a maximum of six hours of advanced ROTC credit for the same number of credits in A, B or C of the Divisional Requirements. Only three credits may be substituted in any one division.

The Committee would further recommend that the Executive Committee of the Senate request that in the future regulations will not be edited in order to reduce the amount of space they occupy in the catalog.

Part II

During the past two years, there has been considerable discussion on the issue of the reorganization and restructuring of the College of Arts and Sciences. If the College were to be reorganized, the question has been raised as to the organizational location of the Military Science Department.

Military Science Departments in other universities are usually located within a college equivalent to Arts and Sciences or under a Vice President, usually the Vice President for Academic Affairs. The latter location is the most popular.

The advantage of being within a college is that the Military Science Department is inserted in the organization at a point parallel to other departments. Therefore normal

Final Report - ROTC Liaison Committee, '72-73

college procedures for budgets, curriculum, and credits can be followed. The disadvantage is the Military Science becomes involved in intra-college fights on matters that affect all colleges.

The advantage of being under a Vice President is that ROTC is placed in a position that reflects its intercollegiate curriculum and allows Military Science to work directly with each college. The disadvantage is that Military Science is taken out of the normal organization for a department and each administration action (budgets, curriculum, etc.) must be reviewed by a Vice President and considered by the faculty senate without a prior review.

Dr. Ferrante states he does not have time to handle any more organizations. Dr. Lepper does not object to retaining Military Science.

The Committee accordingly recommends:

That the Military Science Department remain in the College of Arts and Sciences or such other college in which the Social Sciences would be located, and the Committee requests that the Executive Committee of the Senate transmit this recommendation to the President and to the Dean of the College of Arts and Sciences.

Respectfully submitted,

Judith Anderson
Gary Carlson
David Carter
John Fisher
Alan Marcus
Ken Morris
Dave Rawson
Richard Wolke
Robert Gutchen, Chairman

April, 1973

The Executive Committee recommends that the Faculty Senate consider the ROTC Liaison Committee's conclusion about the structural location of the Department of Military Science. The Executive Committee does not feel that it can act for the Senate on a recommendation of this kind.