University of Rhode Island

DigitalCommons@URI

Faculty Senate Bills

Faculty Senate

5-31-1973

GENERAL EDUCATION COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION FOR LANGUAGE 111-112

University of Rhode Island Faculty Senate

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/facsen_bills

Recommended Citation

University of Rhode Island Faculty Senate, "GENERAL EDUCATION COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION FOR LANGUAGE 111-112" (1973). *Faculty Senate Bills*. Paper 897.

https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/facsen_bills/897

This Legislation is brought to you by the University of Rhode Island. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Senate Bills by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact digitalcommons-group@uri.edu. For permission to reuse copyrighted content, contact the author directly.

72-73--62 Serial Number

UNIVERSITY OF RHODE ISLAND

RECEIVED

JUN 6 1973

UNIVERSITY OF RHODE ISLAND FACULTY SENATE

Form Revised 6/71

FACULTY SENATE

BILL

Adopted by the Faculty Senate

RECEIVED UNIVERSITY OF R. I.

> JUN 4 1973

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

| TO: | President Werner A. Baum | The same |
|------------|--|--|
| FRO | M: Chairman of the Faculty Senate | |
| general o | The Attached BILL, titled GENERAL EDUC | CATION COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION |
| | FOR LANGUAGE 111 - 112 | |
| | | |
| | is forwarded for your consideration. | |
| 2. | The original and two copies for your use | e are included. |
| 3. | This BILL was adopted by vote of the Fac | culty Senate on 5/31/73 |
| 4. | After considering this bill, will you p disapproval. Return the original or for completing the appropriate endorsement by | ward it to the Board of Regents, |
| 5. | In accordance with Section 8, paragraph bill will become effective on after Senate approval, unless: (1) spec written into the bill; (2) you return it to the Board of Regents for their approval petitions for a referendum. If Board of Regents, it will not become effort approved by the second of Regents, it will not become effort approved by the second of Regents, it will not become effort approved by the second of Regents, it will not become effort approved by the second of Regents, it will not become effort approved by the second of Regents, it will not become effort approved by the second of Regents, it will not become effort approved by the second of Regents, it will not become effort approved by the second of Regents approved by the second o | (date), three weeks iffic dates for implementation are it disapproved; (3) you forward oproval; or (4) the University the bill is forwarded to the fective until approved by the Board. |
| | (date) | Chairman of the Faculty Senate |
| END TO: | | . As |
| 1. | Returned. | |
| 2. | Approved Disapprov | ved . |
| 3. | (If approved) In my opinion, transmittanecessary. (date) (OVER) | ACTIVITIES AND E-MAINTENANT CHARACTURES AND CH |

| ALTERNATE ENDORSEMENT 1. | |
|--|-------------------------------|
| TO: Chairman of the Board of Regents. | |
| FROM: The University President | |
| 1. Forwarded. | |
| 2. Approved. | |
| g Navadala www.la sarates.j., i. | /s |
| (date) | President |
| ENDORSEMENT 2. | |
| 70 | |
| TO: Chairman of the Faculty Senate | |
| FROM: Chairman of the Board of Regents, v | via the University President. |
| | |
| FROM: Chairman of the Board of Regents, v | /ie the University President. |
| FROM: Chairman of the Board of Regents, vol. Forwarded. | |
| FROM: Chairman of the Board of Regents, vol. Forwarded. (date) | /s/ |
| FROM: Chairman of the Board of Regents, vol. Forwarded. | /s/ |
| FROM: Chairman of the Board of Regents, volume 1. Forwarded. (date) ENDORSEMENT 3. To: Chairman of the Faculty Senate | /s/ |
| FROM: Chairman of the Board of Regents, volume 1. Forwarded. (date) ENDORSEMENT 3. To: Chairman of the Faculty Senate | (Office) |

Department of Philosophy

April 10, 1973

Professor Stephen B. Wood, Chairman Faculty Senate Roosevelt Hall Campus

Dear Steve:

Enclosed are copies of the final report of the General Education Committee. As I indicated in our phone conversation of last Monday, it is entirely informational. Because of the nature of our charge by the Senate on October 12, 1972, the Committee did not act on requests for the addition of courses to the divisional lists. We did, however, approve a request to prevent some courses from being added automatically. The Department of Languages asked that we exclude their proposed 111 and 112 courses from Division A. After examining course proposals for French 111, 112 and German 111, 112, the Committee voted approval of this request. Its action is as follows:

Committee

Recommendation:

That Language 111-112 courses be excluded from Division A of the General Education requirements. This recommendation is contingent upon approval of these courses by the Curricular Affairs Committee and the Faculty Senate.

Comment:

Languages 111-112 courses are intensified versions of the present 101-102 courses, which are presently excluded from Division A. They stress grammatical structure, pronunciation, intonation, and conversational skills.

Sincerely yours,

John Hanke, Chairman

General Education Committee

CC: General Education Committee
Janet Gilligan, Student Senate
Professor Ruth Kossoff, Dept. of Languages

-18-

FACULTY SENATE GENERAL EDUCATION COMMITTEE FINAL INFORMATIONAL REPORT SPRING 1973

In keeping with its charge from the Faculty Senate in its action of October 12, 1973, the General Education Committee has been making a thorough study of the purposes which general education should serve and evaluating the adequacy of the existing program in terms of these purposes. At the same time a variety of proposals for alternatives to the present program have been examined to determine whether they would better suit the goals which were believed to be essential to general education and to determine what advantages, if any, they would have over the plan now in In this regard the Committee strove to obtain a clear understanding of the possible consequences of the alternatives to the total program of the University. The Committee has been particularly concerned with the consequences of general education structures for students in University College, an agency of the University which did not exist when the general education program now in effect was instituted. The Committee recognized -- as did the Senate when it charged the Committee--that this study must be conducted prior to making further additions of courses to the present framework. Consequently, action on all requests to add courses to the general education divisional lists has been deferred.

Perhaps the most difficult part of the Committee's undertaking was attempting to determine the purposes of general education. Various