THE UNIVERSITY OF RHODE ISLAND

University of Rhode Island [DigitalCommons@URI](https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/)

[Graduate School of Oceanography Faculty](https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/gsofacpubs)

Graduate School of Oceanography

2020

Potential Effect of Bio-Surfactants on Sea Spray Generation in Tropical Cyclone Conditions

Breanna Vanderplow

Alexander V. Soloviev

Cayla W. Dean

Brian K. Haus

Roger Lukas

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/gsofacpubs](https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/gsofacpubs?utm_source=digitalcommons.uri.edu%2Fgsofacpubs%2F735&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Citation/Publisher Attribution

Vanderplow, B., Soloviev, A.V., Dean, C.W. et al. Potential effect of bio-surfactants on sea spray generation in tropical cyclone conditions. Sci Rep 10, 19057 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-76226-8 Available at:<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-76226-8>

This Article is brought to you by the University of Rhode Island. It has been accepted for inclusion in Graduate School of Oceanography Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact digitalcommons-group@uri.edu. For permission to reuse copyrighted content, contact the author directly.

Potential Effect of Bio-Surfactants on Sea Spray Generation in Tropical Cyclone **Conditions**

Creative Commons License

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Authors

Breanna Vanderplow, Alexander V. Soloviev, Cayla W. Dean, Brian K. Haus, Roger Lukas, Muhammad Sami, and Isaac Ginis

This article is available at DigitalCommons@URI:<https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/gsofacpubs/735>

scientific reports

Check for updates

Potential efect of bio‑surfactants OPEN on sea spray generation in tropical cyclone conditions

BreannaVanderplow1***, AlexanderV. Soloviev1*****, Cayla W. Dean1 , Brian K. Haus2 , Roger Lukas3 , Muhammad Sami4 & IsaacGinis5**

Despite signifcant improvement in computational and observational capabilities, predicting intensity and intensifcation of major tropical cyclones remains a challenge. In 2017 Hurricane Maria intensifed to a Category 5 storm within 24 h, devastating Puerto Rico. In 2019 Hurricane Dorian, predicted to remain tropical storm, unexpectedly intensifed into a Category 5 storm and destroyed the Bahamas. The official forecast and computer models were unable to predict rapid intensification of these storms. **One possible reason for this is that key physics, including microscale processes at the air-sea interface, are poorly understood and parameterized in existing forecast models. Here we show that surfactants signifcantly afect the generation of sea spray, which provides some of the fuel for tropical cyclones and their intensifcation, but also provides some of the drag that limits intensity and intensifcation. Using a numerical model verifed with a laboratory experiment, which predicts spray radii distribution starting from a 100 μm radius, we show that surfactants increase spray generation by 20–34%. We anticipate that bio-surfactants afect heat, energy, and momentum exchange through altered size distribution and concentration of sea spray, with consequences for tropical cyclone intensifcation or decline, particularly in areas of algal blooms and near coral reefs, as well as in areas afected by oil spills and dispersants.**

Predicting intensities of tropical cyclones, especially their rapid intensifcation, remains a daunting challenge despite advances in model forecasting through increased computer power and improved observational data systems. While cyclone track and intensity prediction of longer time scales has substantially improved, there has been less improvement in the accuracy of forecasting the rapid intensifcation of tropical cyclones within a 24-h period since the 1990's¹⁻³. Intensity error from the best available model has decreased by only [1–](#page-9-0)2% per year between 1989 and [2](#page-9-2)012². Tropical cyclones Charley 2004, Wilma 2005, Humberto 2007, Maria 2017, and Dorian 2019 all intensifed prior to landfall, devastating unprepared communities due to rapid intensifcation that was missed by tropical cyclone forecast models. Efective tropical cyclone forecasting is highly complex and must account for many processes in both the atmosphere and ocean^{[4](#page-9-3)}. Recent model improvements include focusing on the inner-core structural changes of the storm^{[5](#page-9-4)}, implementing cloud-resolving models^{[6](#page-9-5)}, and increas-ing model resolution to below 1 km^{[7](#page-9-6)}. In addition to improvements to the atmospheric component, concepts of ocean heat content^{[8,](#page-9-7)[9](#page-9-8)}, barrier layer¹⁰, and air-sea interface¹¹ have recently been introduced into the consideration of tropical cyclone physics.

Intensity forecasting remains a challenge in part due to a lack of understanding of key physics that contribute to intensity and intensification of tropical cyclones. This includes cloud microphysics. It has been predicted that aerosols serving as cloud condensation nuclei intensify the tropical cyclone if the they penetrate the central clouds of the storm but weaken it if they penetrate the clouds at the storm periphery¹².

Microscale processes at the air-sea interface still need to be adequately parameterized in existing models. An efort to include bulk parameterization of the air-sea momentum fux, which was found to be of key importance to sea surface wind speeds, enhanced the parameterization of the wind-pressure relationship of major tropical cyclones in prediction models 13 .

Lee et al. ¹⁴ considered the wind-dependent drag coefficient based on the air-sea microphysics^{[11](#page-9-10)}, which led to an improvement in prediction of rapid intensifcation within 24 h by 16%. Overlooking key physics in models ofen leads to inaccurate hurricane intensity predictions, further leading to erroneous warnings and evacuations that may cost lives. Terefore, it is essential to improve representation of air-sea fuxes and their efect on

¹Halmos College of Arts and Sciences, Nova Southeastern University, Dania Beach, FL, USA. ²University of Miami, Miami, FL, USA. ³University of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI, USA. ⁴Ansys, Inc., Houston, TX, USA. ⁵University of Rhode Island, South Kingstown, RI, USA. [⊠]email: bv169@nova.edu; soloviev@nova.edu

tropical cyclone intensity into future prediction models in order to increase forecasting accuracy. Improved tropical cyclone prediction is particularly critical during pandemics, such as the COVID-19 outbreak, where poor prediction could cost lives if unneeded sheltering of large groups occurs. Here we focus on sea spray, which is another potentially important factor in tropical cyclone dynamics.

Under tropical cyclone conditions, spray droplets contribute to the heat and momentum transfer between the ocean and atmosphere^{15–18}. Kepert et al.^{[19](#page-10-0)} and Peng and Richter²⁰ noted the following fundamental issues that relate to spray efects: the sea spray generation function, the feedback by which spray droplets modify the environmental conditions, and parameterization of the thermodynamic efects of sea spray for tropical cyclone models. These problems remain due to the complexity of the air-sea interaction process during tropical cyclones and difculties in direct observations during extreme conditions. Only a few experimental or observational studies attempted to measure the heat fluxes in tropical cyclone conditions (*e.g.*, Drennan et al.²¹, Zhang et al.²²). Tropical cyclone analysis is generally based on indirect measurements^{[24,](#page-10-4)25} or laboratory experiments^{25,26}.

During tropical cyclones, the atmosphere and ocean are strongly coupled. The air-sea interface controls momentum, heat, mass, and energy exchange between the ocean and atmosphere. Tropical cyclones gain heat energy, as well as transfer momentum and kinetic energy to the ocean, through the air-sea interface. In high wind conditions under tropical cyclones, a two-phase environment is created. In this environment, spray droplets are generated by white caps on breaking waves and in the process that resembles the Kelvin–Helmholtz (KH) instability^{11[,27](#page-10-7),28}. In tropical cyclones wave-breaking whitecaps, which are a mixture of bubbles and spray, only cover ~ 4%, while the 'white out' associated with foam and spray streaks covers ~ 96% of the sea surface²⁹ Whitecapping with spray and bubble formation occurs once wind speeds exceed 7–9 m s^{−1}, but the formation of a continuous two-phase environment is only observed when wind speeds are above ~30 m s^{−1}.

Under light winds, the KH instability of the air–water interface contributes to surface wave generation in the gravity‐capillary range[30.](#page-10-10) Under wind speeds above 4–5 m s−1 short wavelets steepen and break internally, causing 'microscale wave breaking', which does not disrupt the air-sea interface enough to eject spray^{31–[34](#page-10-12)}. Under tropical cyclone force winds, the gravity and surface tension forces are overcome by pressure fuctuations due to KH instability in the air fow, which disrupt the air-sea interface leading to sheets, fngers, and intense sea spray generation $28,35$ $28,35$.

In laboratory experiments, radii of sea spray have been observed in the range of less than 1 μm to up to 6 mm^{[36](#page-10-14),[37](#page-10-15)}. Small sea spray particles, which are typically sub-micrometer to tens of micrometers in diameter, are mostly generated by bursting air-bubbles^{38,39}, which produce film and jet spray droplets. Film droplets typically range from 0.5 to 5 μm and jet droplets from 3 to 50 μm. Another mechanism of spray generation is 'bag-breakup' fragmentation[40](#page-10-18), in which bag-like pieces of water infate and then quickly burst into spray droplets.

Larger spray particles, above 20 μ m (spume), are produced by "tearing of water" from wave crests⁴¹. Koga^{[27](#page-10-7)} and Veron et al. 37 found that near the wave crest, where the wind stress is usually the highest, small convoluted projections of the water surface develop and break up to form spume particles. The projections resemble the KH type instability at an interface with a very large density difference, which is characterized by strong asymmetry^{[35](#page-10-13)}. The majority of the action occurs on the air side of the air-sea interface; in fact, the KH instability generates spray and spume in the air but very few bubbles are produced in the water. At the same time, air bubbles are mostly associated with whitecaps produced by longer breaking waves interacting with shorter, steeper gravity waves driven by local wind fluctuations 42 .

The spray particles produced by these mechanisms are either entrained in the turbulent air flow and evapo-rate, or they return to the sea surface^{[36,](#page-10-14)43}. Small spray droplets are typically entrained in the turbulent air flow, eventually evaporate, and thus may not contribute much to the enthalpy fux into a tropical cyclone due to spray negative feedback phenomenon²⁰. Larger spray droplets (spume) are mostly 're-entrant' spray, which significantly contribute to the enthalpy flux to tropical cyclones^{[36](#page-10-14)}.

One of the factors afecting the stability of the air-sea interface are surface-active materials (surfactants). Surfactants are ofen produced by marine organisms such as phytoplankton, zooplankton, zooxanthellae, and bacteria. Surfactants may also appear on the sea surface during oil spills and through the use of dispersants. Surfactants alter surface tension, dampen short gravity-capillary waves, produce slicks, and reduce the air-sea drag coefficient under low wind speed conditions. To our best knowledge the effect of surfactants on spray size distribution and tropical cyclone intensity has not yet been studied.

The coverage, concentration, and composition of slicks vary depending on wind, sea conditions, and time. When wind speeds are above 7 to 10 m s^{−1}, breaking waves disrupt slick formation and overwhelm the effects of surfactants^{[44](#page-10-22)}. The effects of surfactants once again become important under tropical cyclone conditions due to sea spray. Notably, the size distribution of sea spray is expected to depend on the presence of surfactants. During high wind speed conditions surfactants are brought to the surface by turbulence and air-bubbles with increased mixing in the water column, enhanced by upwelling under the tropical cyclone⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷.

Consequently, we show here that surfactants might be a factor in tropical cyclone intensity, including rapid intensifcation and decline, through the altered size distributions of sea spray. Our experiments at a state-of-theart laboratory facility and using a new computational fuid dynamics (CFD) method have resolved spray size distributions starting from a 100 µm radius and help to address this problem. The CFD model has been verified with laboratory experiments in the range of spume size distribution. Using this model, we examine the spume concentration for Category 1 (75–95 mph), Category 3 (111–129 mph), and Category 5 (157–195 mph) tropical cyclone conditions to show substantial increase of spume concentration in the presence of surfactants. We anticipate that bio-surfactants extracted from deeper in the ocean afect heat, energy, and momentum exchange through altered size distribution and abundance of sea spray, with possible consequences for tropical cyclone intensifcation or decline.

The challenge of predicting tropical cyclone intensity and intensification includes multiple factors. Tropical cyclones require certain environmental conditions to develop and intensify. These include warm sea surface

2

Figure 1. Disruptions of the air–water interface under Category 1 (U_{10} =40 m s⁻¹) tropical cyclone conditions from the laboratory and computational data. (**a**) Finger-like structure formed in the absence of surfactants during the laboratory experiment. (**b**) Branch-like structure formed in the presence of surfactants during the laboratory experiment. (**c**) Finger-like structure formed in the absence of surfactants in the VOF-to-DPM. (**d**) Branch-like structure formed in the presence of surfactants in the VOF-to-DPM.

temperature, high ocean heat content, high relative humidity, low vertical wind shear, and an initial vortex at some distance from the equator^{[48–](#page-10-25)[51](#page-10-26)}. Presence of the salt-stratified barrier layer¹⁰ is relatively new, but important factor in the problem of tropical cyclone prediction (see, *e.g*., Grodsky et al[.52](#page-10-27), Kao and Lagerloe[f53](#page-10-28)). Biosurfactants have not been previously considered as a factor in tropical cyclone thermodynamics. In this paper we report our computational and laboratory results of the efect of surfactants on the size distribution of spray that might alter air-sea fuxes of heat and momentum and potentially afect tropical cyclone intensity.

Results and discussion

Laboratory experiments involving tropical cyclone force wind speed conditions were conducted at the University of Miami SUrge STructure Atmosphere INteraction Facility (SUSTAIN). Surfactants, either oleic acid or oleyl alcohol, were diluted in 95% ethanol. Experiments were conducted with and without surfactants. In the experiment including release of surfactants, 60 ml of the surfactant solution was added to the upwind side of the tank using a syringe.

Conspicuously, Brockmann et al.⁵⁴ experimented with artificial release of surfactants (oleyl alcohol) on the ocean surface from a helicopter. They found that the concentration of this surfactant at the surface was 0.02 mol/l. For comparison, our laboratory experiment used an order of magnitude smaller concentration of surfactant, which still had a prominent efect on the spray generation.

The laboratory experiments revealed visible differences of spray generation when surfactants were either absent or present (Fig. [1](#page-4-0)a,b). The Volume of Fluid to Discrete Phase Model (VOF-to-DPM) transition model in ANSYS Fluent CFD code reproduced similar patterns shown as an isosurface (cells of a specifc constant value) of the water surface in Fig. [1c](#page-4-0),d. In the absence of surfactants, the mechanism for spume generation resembles a finger-like structure, caused by the KH instability at an interface with a large density difference^{[11](#page-9-10), [27](#page-10-7),[35](#page-10-13)} (Fig. [1](#page-4-0)a,c). When surfactants are present, altering the sea surface through reduced surface tension, the generation of spume occurs diferently. Figure [1](#page-4-0)b,d show branch-like formation of spray. Tis ultimately leads to a diferent size distribution with a higher concentration of droplets.

We compared the Category 1 tropical cyclone wind-forced VOF-to-DPM results with a 4 Nm⁻² wind stress at the top of the numerical tank to the laboratory experiment with comparable wind stress (extrapolated $U_{10}=40$ m s^{−1} wind speed used at SUSTAIN). The model shows a much higher amount of spray in both surfactant and clean water cases than the laboratory experiments. This is because in the laboratory experiment, the images used for data analysis were taken at one plane of the tank, so a large amount of spray was unaccounted for in these results in comparison to a 3D model that accounts for spray throughout the domain. For comparison, we have normalized laboratory and numerical experiments by the total count of spray particles. In each subplot of

Figure 2. Histograms showing the spray radius distribution under Category 1 tropical cyclone conditions for (a) the laboratory experiment and (b) the VOF-to-DPM. The vertical dashed line shows the lower resolution limit of the model. The y-axis scale for the model is substantially larger than for the laboratory experiment (see explanation in the text). For this reason, in each subplot, the vertical axis has been normalized by the total number of samples for the clean water case. The total number of spray particles before normalization in bins 1–21 for each panel are as follows: laboratory experiment clean water 311, surfactant 491; VOF-to-DPM model clean water 9534, surfactant 13,826.

Fig. [2](#page-5-0), the vertical axis has been normalized by the total number of samples for the clean water case. The total number of spray particles for each panel is given in the fgure caption.

The laboratory experiment was conducted using saltwater $($ \sim 33 psu) while the model fluid was set to fresh-water. The Nayar et al.^{[55](#page-10-30)} parameterization shows the difference in surface tension between sea and fresh water on level of 1.4%. The density difference is on the level of 2.5%, and molecular viscosity about 7.5%. These cannot explain the significant difference in foaming between salt and freshwater observed in nature. Katsir and Marmur^{[56](#page-10-31)} explained that saltwater is foamier than freshwater because of the ionic efect related to diferent coalescence properties of air-bubbles in sea and fresh water. The KH instability produces mostly large spray particles (spume), which is not directly related to bubble dynamics.

The initial mesh resolution in the numerical domain is 2000 μm. Dynamic mesh adaption locally increases mesh resolution allowing the VOF-to-DPM to accurately resolve spray radius distributions starting from~100 μm (Fig. [2](#page-5-0)a). Laser imaging techniques used in the laboratory experiment resolved a spray radius starting from 30 μm (Fig. [2](#page-5-0)b) and were used to estimate spatial resolution of the model.

For Category 1 tropical cyclone conditions, the laboratory experiment revealed a 39% increase in spray concentration in the range of radii from 100 to 500 μm when surfactants were present (Fig. [2a](#page-5-0)). The model indicated a 34% increase in spray between clean water and surfactant presence for the same conditions and the same range of radii (Fig. [2](#page-5-0)b). Spray radius distributions above 500 μm appear to be more intermittent and are less informative in the comparison between laboratory and model results.

Figure [3](#page-6-0) shows validation of the model with the laboratory data using the probability density function (pdf) of spray radii. For both clean water and surfactant cases there is good consistency between the model results and laboratory experiment data.

Using the validated VOF-to-DPM, we calculated spray radii distributions for Category 1, 3, and 5 tropical cyclones (Fig. [4](#page-7-0)), which would be difcult to do in the laboratory experiment. Overall, spray generation increased with wind speed, and in all tropical cyclone categories, surfactants caused a 20–34% increase of spray concentration within the spray radii range from 100 to 500 μm.

Sea spray provides additional fuel for tropical cyclones and their intensifcation. Spume appears to be a signifcant factor in generating the enthalpy fux to tropical cyclones due to its short residence time and is less affected by the spray feedback effect^{20,57}. Due to the spray feedback effect, sub-micrometer and micrometer scale sea spray particles, which have relatively large residence time, may not signifcantly contribute to the air-sea fux of enthalpy into tropical cyclones compared to spume.

The added momentum flux is due to the entire spectrum of spray sizes; however, the larger size droplets (spume) can produce a larger contribution to the added momentum fux because they have a larger mass (proportional to the radius cubed). Spray takes a part of the momentum fux from wind in the near-surface layer of the marine atmosphere, thus increasing drag^{[58](#page-10-33)}. This effect becomes prominent only in Category 3-5 tropical cyclones because spray stress is approximately proportional to wind speed to the fourth power^{[16](#page-9-16)}. The drag increase due to spume can lead to a rapid decline of the major tropical cyclones above 60 m s^{−[11](#page-9-10)1}. The reduction of the drag coefficient in the wind speed range from approximately 35–60 m s⁻¹ observed in laboratory and field experiments can be explained by suppression of short gravity-capillary waves by the KH type instability of the air-sea interface[11](#page-9-10),[27](#page-10-7),[28](#page-10-8). Under certain environmental conditions, a combination of drag reduction from 35 to 60 m s−1 and drag increase above 60 m s−1 due to added spray stress contributes to the development of an aerodynamic drag well around a wind speed of 60 m s−[143.](#page-10-21)

4

Figure 3. Probability density of spray radius distributions for clean water and surfactants in the laboratory experiment (dashed line) and VOF-to-DPM (continuous line) under Category 1 tropical cyclone conditions.

In the presence of surfactants, the spume generation under tropical cyclone conditions is greater than in clean water (see Fig. [4\)](#page-7-0). Therefore, as surfactants increase spray generation, they also increase the enthalpy flux to the atmosphere and consequently may afect tropical cyclone intensity. One problem in evaluating the efect of surfactants on tropical cyclones is that the abundance of bio-surfactants in the ocean is virtually unknown on a global scale. It is, however, well known that a small amount of surfactant can cover a large surface area⁴⁵. Measurements of surfactants in the ocean are extremely rare. King et al.⁵⁹ reported surface tension measurements in the coastal North Sea in the presence of surfactants in the range from 0.053 to 0.0681 N/m. In the model we set the surface tension reduction due to the efect of bio-surfactants to 0.054 N/m compared to the surface tension of clean water 0.072 N/m. This is within the range of the observations by King et al.⁵⁹.

Sea spray influences the enthalpy coefficient (C_k) and the drag coefficient (C_d) , which both depend on the spray in tropical cyclone conditions to some extent. A connection of spray to tropical cyclone intensity is consistent with the concept of maximum potential intensity $60-63$ $60-63$ (MPI), which estimates the upper limit of tropical cyclone intensity as follows:

$$
V^{2} = (k^{*} - k) \frac{(\bar{T} - T_{0})}{T_{0}} \frac{C_{k}}{C_{d}}
$$
\n(1)

where V is wind speed, C_k the enthalpy coefficient, C_d the drag coefficient, k^* the saturation enthalpy at the sea where v is wind speed, C_k the enthalpy coemcient, C_d the drag coemcient, k the saturation enthalpy at the sea
surface, k the enthalpy, T represents sea surface temperature⁶⁰ (which later^{62, [63](#page-10-36)} was proposed to be t the pre-cyclone depth-averaged temperature), and T_0 the outflow temperature at the top of the tropical cyclone. MPI is proportional to the ratio $C_k/\overline{C_d}$ thus controlling the maximum tropical cyclone intensity for given other variables. C_k may not strongly depend on wind speed for winds $U_{10} > 10 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ at 10 m height²⁵. The laboratory result from Jeong et al.²⁵ was limited to the maximum equivalent neutral stratification wind speed of $U_{10} = 40$ m s⁻¹, which was in part corroborated by aircraft-based flux measurements²¹ and extended by Richter and Stern^{[24](#page-10-4)} and Bell et al.²³ to $U_{10} = 70 - 75$ m s⁻¹ using dropsonde data or by utilizing the conservation of azimuthally averaged absolute angular momentum, respectively. The laboratory experiment by Komori et al.²⁶ conducted in the high-speed wind-wave tank at Kyoto University reported substantial increase in C_k above a 35 m s^{−1} wind speed, which could be due to intense spray generation.

The potential for rapid intensification is related to the difference between the current storm intensity and the $MPI¹⁴$. In the absence of external environmental factors that might inhibit intensification (ocean heat availability, large-scale shear, upper troposphere outfow temperature) the storm should intensify as fast as the moisture convergence and subsequent convective diabatic heating can feed back onto the surface circulation and subsequently the moisture convergence. There are both advective time scales (horizontally and vertically), as well as a gravity wave speed time scale. The feedback is positive, and as suggested above, the strength of the feedback

Figure 4. Spray radius distributions for Category 1 (4 Nm−2), 3 (10 Nm−2), and 5 (20 Nm−2) tropical cyclone conditions in the VOF-to-DPM. Scales below 100 μm are not completely resolved (see Fig. [2\)](#page-5-0) and have been removed from graphs. To demonstrate the increase of spray generation with wind due to the presence of surfactants for all tropical cyclone categories, the vertical axis has been normalized by the total number of samples for the Category 1 clean water case. The total number of spray particles before normalization in bins 3–21 for each panel are as follows: Cat. 1 clean water 8701, surfactant 12,073; Cat. 2 clean water 36,942, surfactant 48,074; Cat. 5 clean water 55,193, surfactant 74,104.

is proportional to the thermodynamic imbalance. Since the MPI depends on C_d and C_k , the thermodynamic imbalance is potentially dependent on the state of the air-sea interface and the presence of sea spray.

Several laboratory and field experiments reported the leveling off or decreasing of C_d above 30–35 m s⁻¹ wind speeds^{23,[64](#page-10-39)[–68](#page-11-0)}. Above 60 m s⁻¹, sea spray (spume) could result in the increase of C_d due to entrainment of large amounts of sea spra[y16,](#page-9-16) which was implemented this into the concept of rapid intensifcation and the aerodynamic drag well 11 .

A complete investigation of the efect of bio-surfactants on the tropical cyclone intensity and intensifcation is not feasible at this point due to the complexity of the problem and scarcity of the feld data and is beyond the scope of this paper. The extent of the surfactant effect on tropical cyclones might be qualitatively estimated by correlating the tropical cyclone intensity changes and the presence of algal blooms identifed from color satellite imagery, assuming that algal blooms are favorable for the generation of bio-surfactants. The presence of bio-surfactants, as well as anthropogenic surfactants (oil spills and dispersants), can also be identifed from dual-polarimetric synthetic aperture radar (SAR) imagery using the co-polarized phase difference filter $69-71$ $69-71$, which may contribute to better understanding the potential effect of surfactants on tropical cyclone intensity and intensifcation.

Conclusions

Tis work, through the use of laboratory and computational fuid dynamics (CFD) model experiments, has demonstrated, for the frst time, the increase of the sea spray generation and change in size distribution under tropical cyclone conditions in the presence of surfactants. As surfactants increase spray generation and change the size distribution, they infuence heat and momentum fuxes to the atmosphere, potentially afecting tropical cyclone intensity.

The global distribution of bio-surfactants on the earth is virtually unknown at this point⁵⁹. We imply that it is related to biological primary productivity and chlorophyll concentration^{[72,](#page-11-3)73}. Future considerations should include using satellite imagery to associate primary productivity along tropical cyclone paths, in an efort to further develop the potential relationship between biological surfactants and tropical cyclone intensity. Including evaporation and heat fuxes in our CFD modeling would greatly increase the understanding of these relationships, which are difficult to observe and measure in the field and laboratory. In the ocean under tropical cyclone conditions, surfactants on the sea surface afect heat, energy, and momentum exchange through altered size distributions of sea spray, with possible consequences for tropical cyclone intensifcation or decline, particularly in biologically productive areas and the areas affected by oil spills and dispersants. The approach developed in this work potentially provides an opportunity to improve the sea spray generation function, without and with surfactants. This problem, however, is beyond the scope of this paper.

Methods

Laboratory experiment. In this section the experimental design relevant to this work will be briefly described. A detailed description of the experiment can be found in Soloviev et al.[74](#page-11-5). A laboratory experiment was conducted at the University of Miami Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science (RSMAS), using the Air-Sea Interaction Saltwater Tank (ASIST) at the SUSTAIN facility. The tank is 15 m long by 1 m width by 1 m in height, and has an acrylic glass exterior, which is transparent and allows for equipment to be placed outside the tank rather than within. The water level was set to 0.42 m for this experiment.

The ASIST tank contains various equipment to simulate ocean conditions. The wave generator produces waves with frequencies between 0.25 and 3 Hz, and amplitudes between 0 and 0.1 m. The wind generator is able to produce winds up to 40 m s−1 (recalculated to a 10 m height), while the current generator can produce current speeds up to 0.5 m s−1. For our experiment, we set the wind speed (extrapolated to 10 m height) to 40 m s−1. Temperature is also controlled and can be kept anywhere between 5 and 40° C. For our experiments, the water temperature was 23.2° C and 24.3° C. The air in the tank is circulated with either an open or closed loop. Fresh air from the atmosphere is captured and expelled afer passing through the tank. In the closed loop option, which was used for this experiment, the air is maintained in the tank. Air temperatures were set to 24.5° C and 24.6° C for our experiments. The experiments were done using 10 *μ*m filtered seawater. For all of the experiments, the salinity of the seawater was \sim 33 psu.

A Digital Laser Elevation Gauge (DLEG), consisting of a line-scan camera and laser beam that crossed the tank at the water surface, was set up on the outside of the tank. Additionally, two Argon-ION air-cooled lasers were used, which contained beam splitters and mirrors. Tis allowed for six vertical beams to be focused on any point in the tank, which is crucial as these beams and line-scan cameras detect the water surface. In order to make the beams easier to see, Fluorescein was added to the water in the tank. The line-scan cameras had a 1024-pixel resolution, and a 250 Hz sampling rate was used for the experiment. Using this equipment allowed for an accurate surface elevation measurement, to 0.2 mm resolution. A *Hisense* camera was also synchronized with the lasers to record images. To capture spray droplets, a collimated light beam was used. Tis beam was focused through a diffusing screen to reduce its intensity. The *Dantec* camera was then placed opposite from this difused beam. Images of spray droplets were focused on a single plane, located at the center of the ASIST tank. During the experiment, pairs of images were taken 500 μ m apart^{[75,](#page-11-6)76}.

Surfactant trials were conducted afer clean water experiments, and the water in the tank was switched between each trial. In order to introduce surfactants, either Oleic acid or oleyl alcohol was used, which are both insoluble in water. Both were diluted in 95% ethanol, oleic acid with an 8 mmol/liter ethanol concentration, and oleyl alcohol with a 3 mmol/liter ethanol concentration. During each trial, 60 ml of the solution was added to the tank using a syringe from the upwind side of the tank. The surfactant plume passed by the measurement area with the surface current created by the applied wind stress.

Computational fuid dynamics model. ANSYS FLUENT 19.2 was used to model the efect of surfactants on the generation of sea spray under tropical cyclone conditions. For further consideration of the theory behind FLUENT models, please see the *ANSYS Fluent Theory Guide 19.2*⁷⁷.

The model was run in parallel on 264 processors on a 564 core HPC Linux cluster with 12 compute nodes. We used a pressure-based, transient, 1st order implicit solver and a Large Eddy Simulation to model turbulence. Operating conditions were set to 293.15 K, 9.81 m s−1 gravity, and 101,325 Pa atmospheric pressure. We specified the materials in our domain as water with a bulk temperature T=298 K, density $\rho = 1000$ kg m⁻³, viscosity μ = 0.001003 kg·m⁻¹·s⁻¹, and specific heat *cp* = 4182 J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹, and air with bulk temperature T = 298.15 K, density $\rho = 1.225$ kg m⁻³, viscosity $\mu = 1.7894e^{-05}$ kg·m⁻¹·s⁻¹, and specific heat $\epsilon p = 4182$ J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹.

The Volume of Fluid to Discrete Phase Model (VOF-to-DPM) is an innovative model from Fluent that allowed us to resolve sea spray down to 100 μm of radius through dynamic mesh adaption. The model combines two of Fluent's models: Volume of Fluid and Discrete Phase Model. The DPM tracks particles which are formed through specifed parameters by Lagrangian tracking methods. We set interaction with the continuous phase, unsteady particle tracking, secondary breakup, and track with fuid fow time-step within this model. We injected particles at a very high start and stop time as a placeholder for particles created using the VOF-to-DPM transition. The particle material was set to pure liquid water. The VOF model allows for multiphase modeling, we set two phases (air and water) with explicit formulation and sharp/dispersed interface modeling. Waves with a 0.005 m height and 0.05 m length were set up using the open channel flow feature of this model. The phase interactions were set to a constant surface tension, depending on whether surfactants were introduced, or the water was clean. A

7

sensitivity model experiment with a 50% reduction of surface tension resulted in a stronger efect of surfactants on spray generation than a 25% reduction. The 25% reduction was selected based on the King et al.⁵⁹ report on surface tension measurements in the coastal North Sea in the presence of surfactants. The surface tension to introduce surfactants was therefore set to 0.054 N/m, while the clean water's surface tension was set to 0.072 N/m.

The phase model transitions were set to transfer water parcels tracked by VOF to Lagrangian particles set by DPM. Tis transition was specifed to allow parcels that were within the volume-equivalent sphere diameter range of 0–0.005 m and upper limits of asphericity as calculated by the radius standard deviation of 0.5 and radius surface orthogonality of 0.5. Lumps that exceeded cell volume by a factor of 10 were split into parcels. The mesh was coarsened immediately after lump conversion to save computational power. Mesh adaption is key to the transition process. Mesh adaption was set based on the curvature of the volume fraction of water within each mesh cell. It was applied to coarsen and refne every two time-steps, up to 10 levels per cell. According to Eggers⁷⁸, the timescale for breakup of free surface flows is on the order of 10^{-2} s. The time step in the ANSYS Fluent model was in the range of 10−9–10−6 s, which is several orders of magnitude less than the timescale for breakup of free surface fows. Tis is more than enough to capture any fast transients during the interface breakup.

The model domain was created in ANSYS Workbench and consisted of a 0.1 m (x) by 0.1 m (y) by 0.05 m (z) box with an initial mesh size of 0.002 m. Tis mesh was then adapted, as previously described, allowing for remeshing down to tens of micrometers in order to confdently resolve spray particles starting from a 100 μm radius. Boundary conditions were set to zero shear on lateral sides and bottom of the domain. The domain contained air and water, with the water being initialized with a wavy interface from the inlet. Wind stress was applied at the top of the domain. This was set according to the strength of tropical cyclone conditions being modeled, 4 Nm−2 for Category 1, 10 Nm−2 for Category 3, and 20 Nm−2 for Category 5. Te model was run to allow the initialized waves to set up before setting periodic boundary conditions at the inlet and outlet, which permitted the waves to propagate through the domain.

Data analysis. Images from the University of Miami laboratory experiment were frst processed using the *Dantec Dynamics* shadow imaging sofware package to remove some background noise and focus on the spray droplets taken during the experiment. The images were then analyzed using MATLAB to determine how many pixels each spray droplet image was in the x and y direction. The average diameter was calculated for each spray droplet (1 pixel = 42 μ m) to determine the size distribution (in terms of radius). The diameters of the spray particles produced by the CFD models were exported from ANSYS Fluent as a data fle. Data fles containing CFD model spray diameters and laboratory experiment spray diameters were analyzed in MATLAB using histograms, plots, and normalization to calculate spray radius probability distributions. For model verifcation, probability distribution plots were created in MATLAB from the laboratory data.

Data availability

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Received: 10 June 2020; Accepted: 26 October 2020 Published online: 04 November 2020

References

- 1. Rappaport, E. N. *et al.* Advances and challenges at the National Hurricane Center. *Weather Forecast.* **24**(2), 395–419 (2009).
- 2. DeMaria, M., Sampson, C. R., Knaf, J. A. & Musgrave, K. D. Is tropical cyclone intensity guidance improving?. *Bull. Am. Meteor. Soc.* **95**, 387–398 (2014).
- 3. Emanuel, K. & Zhang, F. On the predictability and error sources of tropical cyclone intensity forecasts. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **73**(9), 3739–3747 (2016).
- 4. Gopalakrishnan, S. G. *et al.* Toward improving high-resolution numerical hurricane forecasting: infuence of model horizontal grid resolution, initialization, and physics. *Weather Forecast.* **27**(3), 647–666 (2012).
- 5. Chen, H., Zhang, D. L., Carton, J. & Atlas, R. On the rapid intensifcation of Hurricane Wilma (2005). Part I: model prediction and structural changes. *Weather Forecast.* **26**(6), 885–901 (2011).
- 6. Yau, M. K., Liu, Y., Zhang, D. L. & Chen, Y. A multiscale numerical study of Hurricane Andrew (1992). Part VI: small-scale innercore structures and wind streaks. *Mon. Weather Rev.* **132**(6), 1410–1433 (2004).
- 7. Davis, C. *et al.* High-resolution hurricane forecasts. *Comput. Sci. Eng.* **13**(1), 22–30 (2011).
- 8. Shay, L. K., Goni, G. J. & Black, P. G. Efects of a warm oceanic feature on Hurricane Opal. *Mon. Wea. Rev.* **128**, 1366–1383 (2000). 9. Lin, I. I., Wu, C. C., Pun, I. F. & Ko, D. S. Upper-ocean thermal structure and the western North Pacifc category 5 typhoons. Part I: ocean features and the category 5 typhoons' intensifcation. *Mon. Weather Rev.* **136**, 3288–3306 (2008).
- 10. Lukas, R. & Lindstrom, E. Te mixed layer of the western equatorial Pacifc Ocean. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans* **96**(S01), 3343–3357 (1991).
- 11. Soloviev, A. V., Lukas, R., Donelan, M. A., Haus, B. K. & Ginis, I. Is the state of the air-sea interface a factor in rapid intensifcation and rapid decline of tropical cyclones?. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans* **122**(12), 10174–10183 (2017).
- 12. Shpund, J., Khain, A. & Rosenfeld, D. Efects of sea spray on the dynamics and microphysics of an idealized tropical cyclone. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **76**(8), 2213–2234 (2019).
- 13. Moon, I. J., Ginis, I., Hara, T. & Tomas, B. A physics-based parameterization of air–sea momentum fux at high wind speeds and its impact on hurricane intensity predictions. *Mon. Weather Rev.* **135**(8), 2869–2878 (2007).
- 14. Lee, W., Kim, S. H., Chu, P. S., Moon, I. J. & Soloviev, A. V. An index to better estimate tropical cyclone intensity change in the western North Pacifc. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **46**(15), 8960–8968 (2019).
- 15. Edson, J. B. & Fairall, C. W. Spray droplet modelling. I: Lagrangian model simulation of the turbulent transport of evaporating droplets. *J. Geophys. Res.* **99**, 25295–25311 (1994).
- 16. Andreas, E. L. & Emanuel, K. A. Efects of sea spray on tropical cyclone intensity. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **58**(24), 3741–3751 (2001).
- 17. Andreas, E., Vlahos, P. & Monahan, E. Spray mediated air-sea gas exchange: the governing time scales. *J. Mar. Sci.* **5**, 60 (2017). 18. Fairall, C. W., Kepert, J. D. & Holland, G. H. The effect of sea spray on surface energy transports over the ocean. *Global Atmos. Ocean Syst.* **2**, 121–142 (1994).
- 19. Kepert, J., Fairall, C. & Bao, J. W. Modelling the interaction between the atmospheric boundary layer and evaporating sea spray droplets. In *Air-Sea Exchange: Physics, Chemistry and Dynamics,* 363–409 (1999).
- 20. Peng, T. & Richter, D. Sea spray and its feedback efects: assessing bulk algorithms of air–sea heat fuxes via direct numerical simulations. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.* **49**(6), 1403–1421 (2019).
- 21. Drennan, W. M., Zhang, J. A., French, J. R., McCormick, C. & Black, P. G. Turbulent fuxes in the hurricane boundary layer. Part II: Latent heat fux. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **64**(4), 1103–1115 (2007).
- 22. Zhang, J. A., Black, P. G., French, J. R. & Drennan, W. M. First direct measurements of enthalpy fux in the hurricane boundary layer: the CBLAST results. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **35**, L14813 (2008).
- 23. Bell, M. M., Montgomery, M. T. & Emanuel, K. A. Air-sea enthalpy and momentum exchange at major hurricane wind speeds observed during CBLAST. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **69**, 3197–3222 (2012).
- 24. Richter, D. H. & Stern, D. P. Evidence of spray-mediated air-sea enthalpy fux within tropical cyclones. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **41**, 2997–3003 (2014).
- 25. Jeong, D., Haus, B. K. & Donelan, M. A. Enthalpy transfer across the air-water interface in high winds including spray. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **69**, 2733–2748 (2012).
- 26. Komori, S. *et al.* Laboratory measurements of heat transfer and drag coefficients at extremely high wind speeds. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.* **48**, 959–974 (2018).
- 27. Koga, M. Direct production of droplets from breaking wind-waves—its observation by a multi-colored overlapping exposure technique. *Tellus* **33**, 552–563 (1981).
- 28. Soloviev, A. V. & Lukas, R. Efects of bubbles and sea spray on air-sea exchange in hurricane conditions. *Bound-Lay Meterol.* **136**, 365–376 (2010).
- 29. Holthuijsen, L. H., Powell, M. D. & Pietrzak, J. D. Wind and waves in extreme hurricanes. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans* **117**(C9), C09003 (2012).
- 30. Miles, J. W. On the generation of surface waves by shear fows. Part 3. Kelvin–Helmholtz instability. *J. Fluid Mech.* **6**, 583–598 (1959).
- 31. Siddiqui, M. K. & Loewen, M. R. Characteristics of the wind drif layer and microscale breaking waves. *J. Fluid Mech.* **573**, 417–456 (2007).
- 32. Banner, M. L. & Phillips, O. M. On the incipient breaking of small scale waves. *J. Fluid Mech.* **65**, 647–656 (1974).
- 33. Siddiqui, M. K., Loewen, M. R., Richardson, C., Asher, W. E. & Jessup, A. T. Simultaneous particle image velocimetry and infrared imagery of microscale breaking waves. *Phys. Fluids* **13**(7), 1891–1903 (2001).
- 34. Jessup, A. T., Zappa, C. J. & Yeh, H. Defning and quantifying microscale wave breaking with infrared imagery. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans* **102**(C10), 23145–23153 (1997).
- 35. Hoepfner, J., Blumenthal, R. & Zaleski, S. Self-similar wave produced by local perturbation of the Kelvin–Helmholtz shear-layer instability. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 104502-1-104502–4 (2011).
- 36. Andreas, E. L. Sea spray and the turbulent air-sea heat fuxes. *J. Geophys. Res.* **97**, 11429–11441 (1992).
- 37. Veron, F., Hopkins, C., Harrison, E. L. & Mueller, J. A. Sea spray spume droplet production in high wind speeds. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **39**, 16 (2012).
- 38. Spiel, D. E. More on the births of jet drops from bubbles bursting on seawater surfaces. *J. Geophys. Res.* **102**, 5815–5821 (1997).
- 39. Spiel, D. E. On the birth of flm drops from bubbles bursting on seawater surfaces. *J. Geophys. Res.* **103**(24), 907–918 (1998).
- 40. Troitskaya, Y. *et al.* Bag-breakup fragmentation as the dominant mechanism of sea-spray production in high winds. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 1614 (2017).
- 41. Monahan, E. C., Fairall, C. W., Davidson, K. L. & Boyle, P. J. Observed inter-relations between 10 m winds, ocean whitecaps and marine aerosols. *Meteorol. Soc.* **109**, 379–392 (1983).
- 42. Thorpe, S. A. Bubble clouds: a review of their detection by sonar, of related models, and of how K_v may be determined. In *Oceanic Whitecaps* (eds Monahan, E. C. & MacNiocaill, G.) 57–68 (Springer, Berlin, 1986).
- 43. Soloviev, A. V., Lukas, R., Donelan, M. A., Haus, B. K. & Ginis, I. The air-sea interface and surface stress under tropical cyclones. *Sci. Rep.* **4**, 5306 (2014).
- 44. Soloviev, A. & Lukas, R. *Te near-surface layer of the ocean: structure, dynamics and applications*, vol. 48 (Springer, Berlin, 2013).
- 45. Alpers, W. & Espedal, H. A. Oils and Surfactants. In *Synthetic Aperture Radar Marine User's Manual* 263–276 (NOAA, 2004).
- 46. Price, J. F. Upper ocean response to a hurricane. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.* **11**, 153–175 (1981).
- 47. Walker, N. D., Leben, R. R. & Balasubramanian, S. Hurricane-forced upwelling and chlorophyll a enhancement within cold-core cyclones in the Gulf of Mexico. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **32**, L18610 (2005).
- 48. Merrill, R. T. Environmental infuences on hurricane intensifcation. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **45**, 1678–1687 (1988).
- 49. Kaplan, J., DeMaria, M. & Knaf, J. A. A revised tropical cyclone rapid intensifcation index for the Atlantic and Eastern North Pacifc basins. *Weather Forecast* **25**, 220–241 (2010).
- 50. Jiang, H. & Ramirez, E. M. Necessary conditions for tropical cyclone rapid intensifcation as derived from 11 years of TRMM data. *J. Clim.* **26**(17), 6459–6470 (2013).
- 51. Fudeyasu, H., Ito, K. & Miyamoto, Y. Characteristics of tropical cyclone rapid intensifcation over the Western North Pacifc. *J. Clim.* **31**(21), 8917–8930 (2018).
- 52. Grodsky, S. *et al.* Haline hurricane wake in the Amazon/Orinoco plume: aQUARIUS/SACD and SMOS observations. *Geophys. Res. Lett*. **39** (2012).
- 53. Kao, H-Y. & Lagerloef, G.S.E. SMAP Sea Surface Salinity Validation and the Observations of the Plume of Hurricane Dorian. Ocean Sciences Meeting, 16–21 February 2020, San Diego, CA, USA. Abstract only (2020).
- 54. Brockmann, U. H., Huhnerfuss, H., Kattner, G., Broecker, H. C. & Hentzschel, G. Artifcial surface flms in the sea area near Sylt 1. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **27**(6), 1050–1058 (1982).
- 55. Nayar, K. G., Panchanathan, D., McKinley, G. H. & Lienhard, J. H. Surface tension of seawater. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **43**(4), 043103 (2014).
- 56. Katsir, Y., Goldstein, G. & Marmur, A. Bubble the wave or waive the bubble: why seawater waves foam and freshwater waves do not?. *Colloids Interface Sci. Commun.* **6**, 9 (2015).
- 57. Price, J. F., Morzel, J. & Niiler, P. P. Warming of SST in the cool wake of a moving hurricane. *J. Geophys. Res.* **113**, C07010 (2008).
- 58. Andreas, E. L., Jones, K. F. & Fairall, C. W. Production velocity of sea spray droplets. *J. Geophys. Res.* **115**, C12065 (2010).
- 59. King, L., Roberts, I. J., Tinel, L. & Carpenter, L. J. Te determination of surfactants at the sea surface. *Ocean Sci. Discuss.* **87**, 1–30 (2019).
- 60. Emanuel, K. A. Sensitivity of tropical cyclones to surface exchange coefficients and a revised steady-state model incorporating eye dynamics. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **52**, 3969–3976 (1995).
- 61. Wing, A. A., Sobel, A. H. & Camargo, S. J. Relationship between the potential and actual intensities of tropical cyclones on interannual time scales. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **34**(8), L08810 (2007).
- 62. Shay, L. K. & Brewster, J. K. Oceanic heat content variability in the eastern Pacifc Ocean for hurricane intensity forecasting. *Mon. Weather Rev.* **138**(6), 2110–2131 (2010).
- 63. Lin, I. I. *et al.* An ocean coupling potential intensity index for tropical cyclones. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **40**(9), 1878–1882 (2013).
- 64. Powell, M. D., Vickery, P. J. & Reinhold, T. A. Reduced drag coefficient for high wind speeds in tropical cyclones. *Nature* 422(6929), 279–283 (2003).
- 65. Donelan, M. A. *et al.* On the limiting aerodynamic roughness of the ocean in very strong winds. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **31**(18), L18306 (2004).
- 66. Black, P. G. *et al.* Air–sea exchange in hurricanes: synthesis of observations from the coupled boundary layer air–sea transfer experiment. *Bull. Amer. Meteor.* **88**(3), 357–374 (2007).
- 67. Jarosz, E., Mitchell, D. A., Wang, D. W. & Teague, W. J. Bottom–up determination of air–sea momentum exchange under a major tropical cyclone. *Science* **315**, 1707–1709 (2007).
- 68. Hsu, J. Y., Lien, R. C., D'Asaro, E. A. & Sanford, T. B. Estimates of surface wind stress and drag coefcients in typhoon Megi. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.* **47**(352), 545–565 (2017).
- 69. Migliaccio, M., Nunziata, F. & Gambardella, A. On the copolarised phase diference for oil spill observation. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* **30**(6), 1587–1602 (2009).
- 70. Soloviev, A. *et al.* Upper ocean fne-scale features in SAR imagery. Part I: simultaneous satellite and in-situ measurements. In *ESA-SOLAS-EGU Joint Conference*, 2 December 2011, ESA ESRIN, Frascati, Italy (2011).
- 71. Velotto, D., Nunziata, F., Migliaccio, M. & Lehner, S. Dual-polarimetric TerraSAR-X SAR data for target at sea observation. *IEEE Geosci. Remote S.* **10**(5), 1114–1118 (2013).
- 72. Zutic, V., Cosovic, B., Marcenko, E., Bihari, N. & Krsinic, F. Surfactant production by marine phytoplankton. Field observations and laboratory culture experiments. *Rapports et Proces-Verbaux des Reunions-Commission Internationale pour l'Exploration Scientifque de la Mer Mediterranee (CIESM)* (1979).
- 73. Tsai, W. T. & Liu, K. K. An assessment of the efect of sea surface surfactant on global atmosphere‐ocean CO2 fux. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*, **108**(C4) (2003).
- 74. Soloviev, A. *et al.* Modifcation of turbulence at the air-sea interface due to the presence of surfactants and implications for gas exchange. Part I: laboratory experiment. In *Gas transfer at water surfaces*, 245–258 (Kyoto University Press, 2011).
- 75. Ortiz-Suslow, D. G., Haus, B. K., Mehta, S. & Laxague, N. J. Sea spray generation in very high winds. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **73**(10), 3975–3995 (2016).
- 76. Mehta, S., Ortiz-Suslow, D. G., Smith, A. W. & Haus, B. K. A laboratory investigation of spume generation in high winds for fresh and seawater. *J. of Geophys. Res. Atmos.* **124**(21), 11297–11312 (2019).
- 77. ANSYS Fluent Theory Guide 19.2. (ANSYS, Inc., 2018).
- 78. Eggers, J. Nonlinear dynamics and breakup of free-surface fows. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **69**(3), 865 (1997).

Acknowledgements

Tis work was made possible by the Nova Southeastern University subcontract to the University of Miami/Gulf of Mexico Research Initiative, Award S120021:"Consortium for Advanced Research on Transport of Hydrocarbon in the Environment (CARTHE)", NOAA Award NA15OAR4310173, and ONR Award N00014-10-1-0938. NSU's graduate student Bryan Hamilton (now with WHOI) helped to quantify the spray size distribution from lab measurements. John Kluge assisted in data analysis and creating fgures in MATLAB.

Author contributions

B.V. and A.S. completed the research and wrote the main manuscript text, including preparing fgures. C.D. organized the setup of the computational fuid dynamics model. B.H. facilitated the laboratory experiment and analysis of data. R.L. developed the research questions that helped to conceive and focus this research. M.S. helped to introduce the VOF to DPM method in the air-sea interface simulation under tropical cyclone conditions. I.G. contributed to ongoing advances of the research questions and analysis. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to B.V. or A.V.S.

Reprints and permissions information is available at [www.nature.com/reprints.](www.nature.com/reprints)

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International \odot License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2020