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ABSTRACT

Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) are ‘emerged’ contaminants that were produced and used as flame-retardants in numerous consumer and industrial applications for decades until banned. They remain ubiquitously present in the environment today. Here, a unique set of >200 biotic samples from the Antarctic was analyzed for PBDEs, including phytoplankton, krill, fish and fur seal milk, spanning several sampling seasons over 14 years. PBDE-47 and -99 were the most dominant congeners determined in all samples, constituting >60% of total PBDEs. A temporal trend was observed for \( \sum \) PBDE concentrations in fur seal milk, where concentrations significantly increased \( (R^2 = 0.57, p < 0.05) \) over time (2000 to 2014). Results for krill and phytoplankton also suggested increasing PBDE concentrations over time. Trends of PBDEs in fur seal milk of individual seals sampled one or more years apart showed no clear temporal trends. Overall, there was no indication of PBDEs decreasing in Antarctic biota yet, while numerous studies have reported decreasing trends in the northern hemisphere. Similar PBDE concentrations in perinatal versus non-perinatal milk implied the importance of local PBDE sources for bioaccumulation. These results indicate the need for continued assessment of contaminant trends, such as PBDEs, and their replacements, in Antarctica.
INTRODUCTION

Antarctica is one of the most pristine places on the planet. However, even in this remote region, anthropogenic effects are measurable. Scientific exploration in Antarctica has occurred for decades and in the summer season, the continent hosts over 100 active facilities operated by 30 different nations.\(^1\) While pollution in Antarctica has typically been orders of magnitude lower than concentrations reported elsewhere around the globe, organic contaminants, particularly (semi-) volatile ones, have reached the region via long range environmental transport by processes of global fractionation and cold condensation.\(^2\) Legacy contaminants such as polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and organochlorine pesticides (OCPs) have been reported along with more recent contaminants, such as polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and perfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs), in numerous environmental matrices from the region.\(^3\)–\(^9\) Some of this contamination has also been found to originate from research stations themselves.\(^10\),\(^11\)

Polybrominated diphenyl ethers are ”emerged” contaminants that have been used as additive flame-retardants for decades in a wide range of consumer and industrial applications (e.g., upholstery, electronics) and easily leached from these manufactured goods into the environment, foodwebs and ultimately reaching humans.\(^12\) PBDEs, like many legacy POPs (e.g. PCBs), are hydrophobic and lipophilic. The height of PBDE production was dominated by three different commercial mixtures (penta-, octa-, and deca-BDE).\(^13\) In 2009, penta- and octa-PBDE mixtures were listed by the Stockholm Convention.\(^13\) However, production of the deca-BDE has persisted in many countries and
a massive reserve of products that contain PBDEs exists around the globe, and will continue to leach them into the environment.\textsuperscript{5,14–16}

Several studies have reported PBDE concentrations starting to decrease over the last 5-10 years. For example, time-trends of PBDEs in samples collected from Swedish mothers indicate a decreasing trend for most PBDEs, except for BDEs 153 and 209, from 1996 - 2010.\textsuperscript{17} PBDEs also decreased in Baltic herring over the last decade.\textsuperscript{18} Across the Great Lakes in North America, PBDEs in fish started to decline in 1999/2000.\textsuperscript{19} So far, no consistent set of Antarctic samples has been available to document time trends of PBDEs in Antarctic marine biota, although several previous studies have detected PBDEs in the Antarctic environment.\textsuperscript{3,4,9,10,20}

Kelly et al. (2008) presented evidence from a Canadian Arctic marine food web in which many PBDEs appeared to exhibit negligible biomagnification, with the exception of BDE-47, which did demonstrate food web biomagnification, albeit at a much lower level than PCBs\textsuperscript{21}. Yet in the same study, PBDEs in macroalgae were excluded from the TMF calculation, as their concentrations exceeded those from other trophic levels by 5-10 times.

We obtained a unique set of biotic samples from West Antarctica (Figure 1), including phytoplankton, krill, fish and fur seal milk, spanning several years (2000-2014). A previous paper reported generally declining trends of several, but not all PCBs and legacy OCPs in these fur seal milk samples; PBDE concentrations were not measured at the time.\textsuperscript{6} We used these samples to assess the presence and trophic transfer of PBDEs in the West Antarctic food web, and to identify the PBDE temporal trends in this region either from yearly averages, or in the case of repeatedly sampled fur seals, from
individual trends over time. The foodweb structure was assessed using stable isotopes of carbon ($\delta^{13}$C) and nitrogen ($\delta^{15}$N).

Specific goals in this research were to (i) determine which PBDEs are being detected in different Antarctic biota; (ii) establish temporal trends of common PBDE congeners over a time period where global regulations and restrictions on production had been implemented (i.e. 2000s); (iii) contrast trends of PBDEs in Antarctic fur seal milk to those from the Arctic; and (iv) assess the difference of milk sampled before and after fur seals begin foraging locally in waters off the Antarctic Peninsula.

**Materials and Methods**

**Sample Collection**

Milk samples were collected from Antarctic fur seals (*Arctocephalus gazella*) approximately 100 km off the Antarctic Peninsula at Cape Shirreff, Livingston Island (62°28’S, 60°46’W) over the austral summers of 2000/2001, 2001/2002, 2004/2005, 2009/2010, 2010/2011, 2011/2012, 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 (Figure 1, Tables S1-S8). Most seals were multiparous females in their perinatal stage (i.e. the seals had all bred prior to the year of sample collection and milk was collected during the perinatal period, 1-2 days postpartum, prior to initiation of offshore foraging trips), except for the 2011/2012, 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 samples, which consisted of both perinatal and non-perinatal (i.e. after initiation of foraging cycles) milk samples (Tables S6-S8). Seals were assumed to have had at least one pup prior to the breeding season sampled as all seals were age 5 or older, with the majority being over the age of 7. Seal capture was
performed following methods described in Polito and Goebel (2010) and as reported in Brault et al. (2013). In brief, seals were captured with hoop nets, sedated with 5mg midazolam, and anesthetized with isoflurane. Milk was collected after an intramuscular injection of oxytocin (0.25 mL, 10 UI mL⁻¹) in pre-cleaned vials and stored at -20°C until analysis. Temperature loggers sampling every 10 min were kept with samples to confirm storage temperature.

Phytoplankton samples were collected in a region of Antarctica that spans from the West Antarctic Peninsula to the Ross Sea (64.78°S, 64.07°W to 78.64°S, 164.3°W, Figure 1) over the austral summers of 2007/08, 2009/10, and 2010/11 using ring net tows (Table S9). Phytoplankton samples consisted largely of diatoms (Antarctic Peninsula) and Phaeocystis sp (Amundsen and Ross Seas). Further specifics on sample collection can be referenced in Brault et al. All krill and fish samples were collected from within the Palmer Long Term Ecological Research (LTER) Grid Survey Region (approx. 66.99°S, 69.28°W to 61.94°S, 73.78°W, Figure 1) via 700 µm ring net tows (taken at oblique angles, Table S10). Krill samples consisted predominantly of Euphausia superba and were collected during the austral summers of 2007/2008 and 2010/2011 and split into 3 size classes (juveniles, adults (including mature females), and gravid females). Fish samples consisted of either silverfish (Pleuragramma antarcticum) or myctophids (Electrona antarctica) and were collected in the same manner as krill (Table S11).

**Sample extraction**
Fur seal milk extraction was conducted in two batches. The first batch (n=59), which consists of samples from the 5 austral summers spanning from 2000/2001 – 2010/2011, was extracted at the Virginia Institute of Marine Science (VIMS) following previously established POP procedures as reported in Geisz et al. (2008). In short, fur seal milk was freeze-dried, homogenized, sub-sampled (1 g dry-weight), solvent extracted (65:35 DCM: Acetone), and analyzed for several POPs (e.g. DDT, PCBs, and Chlordane) as well as lipid content. Sample extracts were shipped to the University of Rhode Island’s Graduate School of Oceanography (URI-GSO) for PBDE analysis.

The second batch of fur seal milk samples (samples from 2011/2012, 2012/2013 and 2013/2014, n=71) was extracted at URI-GSO as detailed in the SI. Briefly, 2 mL of fur seal milk was spiked with PBDE surrogates, extracted three times with 20mL each of n-hexane/acetone (2:1), treated with concentrated sulfuric acid to denaturate lipids, and cleaned on SPE cartridges. Percent lipid was measured separately.

Phytoplankton, fish, and krill samples were also extracted at VIMS. Samples were manually homogenized with a Virtis “45” tissue homogenizer (Virtis Co. Inc.), freeze-dried at -80°C for approximately 72 hours, and solvent-extracted. Further details on sample preparation can be gathered from Brault. Following analysis at VIMS for several legacy POPs 6, phytoplankton, fish and krill sample extracts were shipped to URI-GSO to be analyzed for PBDEs.

PBDE Analysis
All samples were analyzed for mono- through hepta-brominated congeners (BDE-2, -8, -15, -30, -28, -49, -47, -100, -99, -154, -153, and -183) via gas chromatography (GC) tandem mass spectrometry (MS) on an Agilent 6890N GC coupled to a Waters® Quattro Micro MS/MS under electron ionization/MS/MS in multiple reaction monitoring mode (MRM) using a DB-5MS column (Agilent J&W GC Columns, 122-5532, length 30m, ID 0.250 mm, film 0.25µm) and splitless injection (for more details, see SI). Analysis of BDE-209 was conducted separately and procedures are detailed in the SI.

Sample extracts were spiked with 10µL of a 5ng/µL $^{13}$C$_{12}$-labeled PBDE surrogate ($^{13}$C$_{12}$ BDEs – 28, 47, 99, 153 and 183, Cambridge Isotope Laboratories) for a total concentration of 50 ng, and 5.0 µL of a 5.0 ng/µL injection standard (p-terphenyl-$d_{14}$, AccuStandard) for a total concentration of 25 ng. To the samples originally extracted at VIMS, the surrogate was added post-extraction. These samples were corrected for the average recoveries of previously analyzed POPs (e.g. DDT, PCBs, and chlordane), which were 79 ± 3.7% for phytoplankton, 69 ± 1.8% for krill, and 78 ± 1.8% for fur seal milk. The second batch of fur seal milk samples (2011/2012, 2012/2013 and 2013/2014) were spiked prior to extraction at URI-GSO directly with 20µL of a 2.0ng/µL PBDE surrogate standard in nonane. Results presented below are only for compounds that were detected > 30% of the time.

**Quality Control**

Laboratory blanks of a hydro-matrix material were initially extracted alongside real samples and any blanks included in the vial files for shipment from VIMS to URI-GSO were analyzed for PBDEs. All samples were blank corrected; main detected
congeners were BDE-47 and -99 with concentrations averaging 0.18 ± 0.20 ng (standard deviation) and 0.18 ± 0.23 ng, respectively (SI Table S12). For BDE 209, amounts in blanks were similar to fur seal milk results, so results are not reported here.

At URI-GSO, laboratory blanks, matrix spikes (n=8), blank spikes (n=4) and duplicates of fur seal milk (n=10) were included. Limit of detection (LOD) was calculated as the average detected blank concentrations + 3 times the standard deviation (Table S13). For congeners that were not detected, the noise was used to derive LODs (Table S14); LODs ranged from 0.011 ng/g lipid (BDE-2, 15) to 0.16 ng/g lipid (BDE-99). Recoveries of the surrogate standards ranged from 83 ±2.4 % (BDE-183) to 94 ±3.1 % (BDE-47). Recoveries of the congeners in the matrix and blank spikes generally ranged from 92 % (BDE-2) to 102 % (BDE47) as shown in Table S15. Relative percent difference for the duplicates ranged from 6.2 % (BDE-100) to 21 % (BDE-2).

δ¹³C and δ¹⁵N Analysis

δ¹³C and δ¹⁵N stable isotopes for the majority of samples were determined via an elemental analyzer-isotope ratio mass spectrometer (EA-IRMS) at VIMS as described elsewhere (Figure S1).⁶ Some plankton samples were analyzed at the University of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC) on a Carlo Erba EA 1108 elemental analyzer coupled to a Finnegan Delta-Plus isotope ratio mass spectrometer (EA-IRMS). Average values were -29± 0.40‰ for δ¹³C and 1.6 ± 0.50‰ for δ¹⁵N.

Statistical Analysis
Data were tested for normality using the Shapiro-Wilks test in RStudio, IBM SPSS Statistics 22 and SigmaPlot 12 software packages. Concentrations were natural log transformed to make data have a normal or near-normal distribution. Linear regressions were performed for each congener with >30% detection against fur seal age, breeding season, δ^{13}C, and δ^{15}N. Any difference between PBDE concentrations in perinatal and non-perinatal milk was tested using a student’s two-sample t-test assuming unequal variances. A student’s t-test was used to compare between detected PBDE concentrations in the krill samples of 2007 and 2011. Similarly, the One-Way repeated ANOVA test was used to compare between detected PBDE concentrations in: (i) the different krill samples (juveniles, adults and gravis), (ii) phytoplankton samples collected in 2007 through 2011, and (iii) between the calculated trophic levels for the different seal samples collected in 2000, 2001, 2004, 2009 and 2010.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

PBDE-47 and -99 were the most dominant congeners determined in all samples, generally constituting >60% of total PBDEs. Phytoplankton samples displayed the highest overall concentrations, followed by fur seal milk, krill, and lastly fish, in which no PBDEs were detected (Table 1). The lower brominated congeners (BDE-2, -8, -15, and -30) and BDE 183 were not regularly detected until 2011/2012. These trends could - at least partially - be explained by the switch in extraction procedures between VIMS (2000/01 – 2010/2011) and URI-GSO. To ensure consistency across the various samples,
we focus on the 7 most routinely detected congeners (BDE -28, -49, -47, -100, -99, -154, and -153), which were also summed ($\Sigma_7$BDEs).

**Fur Seal Milk**

Lipids in fur seal milk were high with an average value of 64 ± 9 % (standard deviation, sd; range 14-84%). PBDEs were detected in all fur seal milk samples ($n=130$; Tables S15-S22). The $\Sigma_7$PBDEs in all fur seal milk samples ranged from 0.14 to 17 ng/g lipid with a mean ± sd of 2.1 ± 1.9 ng/g lipid (median of 1.7 ng/g lipid). BDE-47 was the most dominant congener with a range of 0.14 to 12 ng/g lipid, and a mean of 1.3 ± 1.4 ng/g lipid (median of 0.95 ng/g lipid). BDE-99 was the second most dominant congener, but showed less variability with a range from <LOD to 2.7 ng/g lipid, mean of 0.36 ± 0.36 ng/g lipid (median of 0.27 ng/g lipid). Similar PBDE concentrations of around 1.5 - 2.0 ng/g lipid (Table 1) have been reported for Antarctic Weddell seal blubber.25,26 For comparison, ringed seal blubber in the Canadian Arctic contained more elevated $\Sigma_{15}$PBDEs at 11 to 14 ng/g lipid.27

Stable isotope analysis was only available for fur seal milk collected during the first five austral summers (2000/2001 – 2010/2011, $n=59$). Both $\delta^{13}$C and $\delta^{15}$N demonstrated variability: $\delta^{13}$C ranged from -26 to -20‰ with a mean ± sd of -23 ± 1.4‰; $\delta^{15}$N ranged from 8.0 to 14‰, with a mean ± sd of 10 ± 1.2‰.

**Fur Seal Milk Trends**

In fur seal milk, the dominant congeners, BDE-47 and -99 showed no significant correlations with age ($p<0.05$), similar to results for legacy POPs, implying that older
animals did not display greater concentrations. No significant relationships were found between fur seal milk PBDE concentration and $\delta^{13}$C value from regression analysis. Few significant trends were observed between PBDE concentration and $\delta^{15}$N value; most notably in 2000/2001, BDE-47 and $\Sigma_7$PBDEs versus $\delta^{15}$N both demonstrate significantly negative trends (i.e., decreasing concentration with increasing $\delta^{15}$N value or trophic level). We have no explanation for this trend but note that it disappears when looking at all fur seal milk results from 2000/2001-2010/2011 combined.

$\Sigma_7$PBDE concentrations for the 2011/2012 breeding season were significantly greater than for the other breeding seasons, except for 2009/2010 and 2013/2014 (One-way repeated ANOVA, p<0.001). Additionally, a temporal trend was observed for $\Sigma_7$PBDE concentrations (Figure 2), where concentrations significantly increased ($R^2=0.57$, p=0.03) over time (from 2000 to 2014). We note that the (increasing) slope of PBDE concentrations over time was not significantly different when considering either all 8 sampling years, or just the first 5 years (those extracted at the VIMS).

Fur Seal Milk Trends in the same individuals

The previous discussion was based on average values from randomly sampled female fur seals over time. Milk samples from 18 of these individuals were collected twice with at least one year between sampling times. For 11 seals, only perinatal milk was obtained, for three seals only non-perinatal milk, and both perinatal and non-perinatal was collected from four individuals. Ratios for individual BDE congeners were calculated as the more recent concentration derived by the previous concentrations (Figure S3). No clear trends of changes in PBDE concentrations over time were discernible across all paired milk
samples. These results contrast with time trends observed for PBDEs in the northern hemisphere.

In the Great Lakes, PBDE concentrations in fish peaked mostly from 1999 to 2000.\textsuperscript{19} Further away from source regions, PBDE concentrations in ringed seals in East Greenland started to decrease in the early 2000s\textsuperscript{27}, a trend reported for most Arctic biota.\textsuperscript{28} PBDEs also decreased in Canadian seabirds with a significant and rapid decline after 2003.\textsuperscript{29} An analysis of PBDE mass flows in the US and Canada predicted penta and octa-BDEs stocks to peak in their use around 2004, while BDE 209 stock peaked in 2008.\textsuperscript{15} These diverging trends between the northern hemisphere and our results for the Western Antarctic suggest that transport of PBDEs to the remote Southern Hemisphere has been delayed by a decade or so relative to the Arctic region, which is closer to the primary source region (Figure 3). The vast majority of PBDEs were produced and used in the northern hemisphere \textsuperscript{30}; while there are certainly present in the southern hemisphere, it is unclear whether PBDEs in Antarctica are predominantly reflecting southern or northern hemisphere sources.

\textit{Perinatal versus non-perinatal milk}

After breeding, female fur seals from Cape Shirreff spend up to 8 months away at one of three foraging grounds (off the Chilean coast, Patagonian shelf break or around South Georgia) during the austral winter.\textsuperscript{31} Perinatal milk thus represents PBDEs accumulated during the winter migration. In contrast, non-perinatal milk reflects PBDEs accumulated while foraging offshore Cape Shirreff’s breeding beaches, and any mobilization of PBDEs from lipid reserves. Across all fur seal milk samples, there were few significant
differences in PBDE concentrations between perinatal and non-perinatal milk. The exception was for the 2012/2013 sampling period, in which mean ± sd concentrations of BDE-49 (0.33 ± 0.34 ng/g lipid) and BDE-99 (0.28 ± 0.19 ng/g lipid) in non-perinatal milk were significantly higher than perinatal milk (0.19 ± 0.15 and 0.15± 0.10 ng/g lipid respectively; Mann-Whitney Rank Sum Test- p <0.05). This is consistent with expectations based on the maternal body burden of lipid-bound pollutants being passed onto the pup during lactation, particularly in the case of the first pup.32

The previous discussion was based on average values from randomly milked fur seals both perinatally and non-perinatally. Again, milk from several fur seals was sampled twice during the same season (n=10: 9 from 2011/2012, 1 from 2012/2013). We thus compared PBDE concentrations in perinatal and non-perinatal milk collected from the same individuals, typically 6 weeks apart. There was no significant difference in individual PBDE congener concentrations between perinatal and non-perinatal milk (Figure 4). On average, fur seals accumulated similar BDE concentrations during their winter migration and feeding away from Cape Shirreff as they did while foraging offshore Cape Shirreff’s breeding beaches. This implies that within their breeding area and foraging region PBDEs are present in similar concentrations, and/or the importance of mobilizing PBDEs from their lipid reserves while at Cape Shirreff.

The average values mask a wide range of individual changes in PBDE concentrations (note large standard deviations in Figure 4). For two fur seals, PBDEs decreased about two-fold over the course of their foraging trips around Cape Shirreff, while it increased for two other seals about two-fold over this period. Median ratios of
non-perinatal divided by perinatal milk were greatest for BDE-183 and BDE-99 implying preferential accumulation of these congeners off Cape Shirreff, and/or their less efficient biodilution within that time period. Overall, though profiles of PBDEs in perinatal and non-perinatal milk were very similar (Figure 4), suggesting similar uptake/metabolism of these chemicals in fur seals.

In summary, there is a wide range of trends of BDE concentrations in individual fur seal milk sampled approximately nine weeks apart. Contrary to expectations, there was no significant trends of decreasing concentrations once fur seals started feeding off Cape Shirreff (Figure 4). This might indicate that fur seals – in some years - forage locally prior to coming ashore to give birth.

Phytoplankton

Lipid values in phytoplankton were very low ranging from 0.1% to 6.7%; only samples with lipid values >0.5% are presented here to avoid biasing PBDE concentrations high.

Phytoplankton $\Sigma_7$PBDEs ranged from 3.8 to 320 ng/g lipid with a mean ± SD of 53 ± 76 ng/g lipid (median of 26 ng/g lipid, Table S23). BDE-47 and -99 were the two most prevalent congeners (Figure 5), with detection 97% and 91% of the time, respectively. Previously reported PBDE concentrations in plankton in 2005 were two to three times lower (Table 1). Macroalgae in the Canadian Arctic similarly contained $\Sigma_{15}$PBDEs at 320 ng/g lipid.
Stable isotope analysis of $\delta^{15}$N on phytoplankton samples resulted in a wide range of values from -1.1 to 6.1‰. We note that although the dominant composition of the plankton samples were identified as phytoplankton (i.e. diatoms or Phaeocystis sp.), samples may had some microzooplankton present despite efforts to remove any non-phytoplankton species. The $\delta^{13}$C values of phytoplankton also had a large range, spanning from -33 to -19‰, with a mean of -29‰ ± 0.61 (median of -31‰).

Phytoplankton Trends

Few significant correlations were detected between PBDE phytoplankton concentrations and $\delta^{15}$N values except for the 2010/2011 season, where almost all congeners detected showed a negative trend of decreasing concentration with increasing $\delta^{15}$N value. BDE-47, -100, -99, -154, -153, and $\Sigma_7$PBDEs vs. $\delta^{15}$N all had significantly negative trends ($p < 0.05$).

Correlations of phytoplankton PBDE concentrations versus sampling time were only significant for the 2010/11 sampling season, in which all congeners with > 30% detection (BDE-28, -49, -47, -100, -99, -154, -153, $\Sigma_7$PBDEs) have significantly negative trends; i.e., PBDE concentrations decreased towards the end of austral summer ($p<0.05$). The austral summer 2010/2011 sampling season, spanning the period from December to March, was longer than the 2007/2008 or 2009/2010 seasons. The temporal trend in the 2010/2011 austral summer may reflect a spike in concentrations picked up from the snow/ice-melt early in the austral summer, with either a fading signal or dilution occurring as the season progressed. Legacy organic contaminants (e.g. PCBs, DDT, PAHs) have been detected in snow-packs and glacial ice from both Arctic and Antarctic
environments and it has been proposed that in colder regions, where the timing of the melt may be more concentrated as compared to a temperate environment, there is a stronger pulse of organic contaminants released to the surrounding water column.\textsuperscript{33} Chiuchiolo et al. (2004) detected various OCPs and BDEs (-47, -99, and -100) in phytoplankton and suggested that phytoplankton incorporated POPs from snow and ice melt. Furthermore, POPs may be removed from the water column via sedimentation and organic carbon particle export which occurs in a relatively short time following phytoplankton blooms in this region (i.e. December and January). \textsuperscript{5} Additionally, Geisz et al. (2008) present further evidence of glacial meltwater acting as a source of, at least, $\Sigma$DDT to the Antarctic marine food web.\textsuperscript{24}

There was a significant difference ($p<0.05$, one-tailed two-sample t-test assuming unequal variances) between diatoms and \textit{Phaeocystis} for BDE-28, -47, -100, -153, and $\Sigma$\textsubscript{7}PBDEs, with \textit{Phaeocystis} sp. having greater mean concentrations than diatoms. Similarly, the mean PBDE concentrations in phytoplankton ($\delta^{15}$N values $<2\%$) were greater than the mean of phytoplankton with possibly greater microzooplankton contamination ($\delta^{15}$N values $>2\%$), for BDE-153 ($p=0.036$) and with lower significance for $\Sigma$\textsubscript{7}PBDEs ($p=0.059$).

\textit{Krill}

Average lipid \% in krill ranged from 14 to 33\%, much greater than for phytoplankton. PBDEs were detected in all krill samples, with lower concentrations and less variation in contaminant concentrations than was observed with phytoplankton. The average $\Sigma$\textsubscript{7}PBDEs ranged from 0.14 to 3.5 ng/g lipid with a mean ± sd of 0.61 ± 0.57
ng/g lipid (median of 0.49 ng/g lipid). BDE-47 was the dominant congener present in all size classes of krill, averaging around 70% of the total composition (SI Table S24), followed by BDE-28 and -99, respectively. For Σ7PBDEs, juvenile krill (n=9) had the highest concentrations among Euphausia superba age classes with a mean of 0.65 ± 0.27 ng/g lipid, followed by adult krill (n=18) with a mean of 0.51 ± 0.78 ng/g lipid, and gravid krill (n=7) with a mean of 0.35 ± 0.19 ng/g lipid (Figure S2). The two Thysanoessa sp. krill samples displayed fairly high concentrations at Σ7PBDEs of 1.2 and 0.66 ng/g lipid. Previously reported PBDE concentrations in krill in 2005 were at least 10 times greater than what we measured, possibly indicating contamination (Table 1).5

Stable isotope analysis was performed on a subset of each size class of krill, with the exception of Thysanoessa sp. The δ15N values (mean ± sd) were similar among the different size classes: 4.0 ± 0.58 ‰ for juvenile krill, 4.2 ± 0.19 ‰ for adult krill, and 4.1 ± 0.43 ‰ for gravid krill. There was slightly more variability for δ13C values among the krill age classes; δ13C values (mean ± sd) were: -24 ± 1.41 ‰, -25 ± 0.47 ‰, and -23 ± 0.68 ‰ for juvenile, adult, and gravid krill, respectively.

Trends of Krill

No significant relationships were found between krill concentration and δ13C or δ15N value. No significant differences (p<0.05) were found between krill concentration means from the two sampling years of 2007/2008 and 2010/2011 (Figure 5). When comparing different size classes of krill (i.e. juveniles vs. adults, adults vs. gravid, gravid vs. Thysanoessa sp.), BDE-47 and Σ7PBDEs were both found to be significantly higher in juveniles than adults (p<0.05).
Fish

Lipid values in fish ranged from 22 – 52%. PBDEs were not detected in any of the five fish samples. Low masses of BDE-47 and -99 (0.1 to 0.2 ng/sample) were initially determined, however, after blank corrections, all PBDEs in fish samples were < LOD (Table 1). Previous studies have detected PBDEs in Antarctic fish samples, though they have generally been able to extract larger amounts of tissue.\(^{3,4,9,10,34,35}\)

The total ranges of δ\(^{15}\)N and δ\(^{13}\)C values for fish samples were 9.2 to 11‰ and -24 to -21‰, correspondingly. For the myctophid fish species, the mean ± sd of δ\(^{15}\)N values was 9.5 ± 0.42 ‰ and -24 ± 0.24 ‰ for δ\(^{13}\)C. In comparison the mean ± sd of Antarctic silverfish δ\(^{15}\)N and δ\(^{13}\)C values were both higher (11 ± 0.63 ‰ and -21 ± 1.1 ‰, respectively) than those of the myctophid fish species.

Implications

Biota from other parts of the world, primarily regions closer to industrialized areas, have started to see a reduction in PBDE concentrations as a reflection of their phase-out.\(^{17,18,27,29}\) Time-trends of PBDEs in Antarctic biota strongly demonstrate that a decrease of PBDE concentrations in Antarctic biota over the last decade has not (yet) occurred. These surprising results indicate the need for further research to see if and when PBDE concentrations in the Antarctic will start declining as reported in the Arctic. Similarly, the phase-out of PBDEs has led to various novel flame retardants being
detected in the environment. As of yet, it is unclear whether these new flame retardants have been transported to Antarctica and have been accumulating in Antarctic biota. This will be an important research area in the near future.

Comparing trends of PBDEs in milk from randomly selected fur seals over several years were by and large similar to trends of the same fur seals milked years apart. Results show that there are large variations in PBDE trends in individuals over time, highlighting the need for large sample sets to determine representative trends. A better understanding of time-trends in individual seals is warranted, but would require a concerted effort to re-sample the same individuals over several years, ideally collecting both perinatal and non-perinatal milk.

The high concentrations of PBDEs in phytoplankton compared to the upper trophic level Antarctic fur seal were unexpected, but not unprecedented, and illustrate the complexity of the Antarctic food web, and sampling under challenging circumstances (Table S25). For the phytoplankton sample collections on board ship, we cannot rule out the possibility of contamination by PBDEs during sampling. Trends of PBDEs in biota are further complicated by the presence of point sources, such as snow and ice melt, which can release pollutant pulses in the austral summer. Lastly, the differences in geographic sampling of biota (which were logistically constrained) and the migratory nature and diverse diet of Antarctic fur seals further add complexity to understanding PBDE trends in biota. Monitoring emerging pollutants in remote regions such as Antarctica, can highlight important global trends of contaminants of concern and further
our understanding of long-range transport and global response times to pollutant
dynamics.

**Supporting Information**

Additional details related to sample IDs, chemical analysis, and concentrations of PBDEs are available. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.
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Table 1 – Comparison of average PBDE concentrations in Antarctic biota (ng/g lipid) ± 1 standard deviation from this and previous studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ΣPBDEs&lt;sup&gt;k&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>BDE-28</th>
<th>BDE-47</th>
<th>BDE-99</th>
<th>BDE-100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plankton&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>23 ± 3.5</td>
<td>22 ± 3.4</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phytoplankton&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>53 ± 76</td>
<td>1.9 ± 2.7</td>
<td>20 ± 27</td>
<td>19 ± 32</td>
<td>4.8 ± 8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile Krill&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>570 ± 210</td>
<td>620 ± 250</td>
<td>130 ± 51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile Krill&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.27</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.20</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Krill&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Krill&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.78</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.23</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.43</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravid Krill&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.19</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Krill&quot;&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.6 ± 1.1</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult krill&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockcod muscle&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.8 ± 2.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockcod muscle&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antarctic Silverfish&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&lt; LOD</td>
<td>&lt; 1.5</td>
<td>&lt; 1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myctophid&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&lt; LOD</td>
<td>&lt; 0.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weddell seal&lt;sup&gt;h&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>&lt; LOD</td>
<td>&lt; LOD</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>&lt; LOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weddell seal&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>&lt; LOD</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>&lt; LOD</td>
<td>&lt; LOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fur Seal Milk&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.3 ± 1.9</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.3 ± 1.4</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.36</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Chiuchiolo et al. (2004), 64.7°S, 64.0°W
<sup>b</sup> This Study, Σ; BDEs, Ross Sea to Antarctic Peninsula; results were averaged over all sampling seasons
<sup>c</sup> Corsolini et al. (2006), Ross Sea, approx. 74°04′S, 179°06′E
<sup>d</sup> Bengtson Nash et al. (2008)<sup>40</sup>, arithmetic means, ~63-69°S,30-80°E.
<sup>e</sup> Cincinelli et al. (2016)<sup>9</sup>, assuming 1% lipid content
<sup>f</sup> Galban-Malagon et al. (2018)<sup>41</sup>, mean values; around the Antarctic Peninsula
<sup>g</sup> Corsolini et al. (2017)<sup>42</sup>, mean values, Ross Sea, based on 3.6% lipid content
<sup>h</sup> Cipro et al. (2012)<sup>25</sup>, Weddell seal blubber from King George Island (62°050′S, 58°230′W)
<sup>i</sup> Trumble et al (2012)<sup>26</sup>, adult Weddell seal blubber near McMurdo Station, Antarctica (77° 55′S, 166° 39′E).
<sup>k</sup> Note that the number of BDE congeners included in the ΣPBDEs varies between studies.
Figure 1 – Map of sampling locations.

Black squares denote the boundaries of the LTER grid. Created with ArcGIS Explorer.
Figure 2: a. Average Sum of PBDEs per breeding season for the 8 non-consecutive austral summers sampled. Bars represent standard deviation. The first five austral summers (2000/2001 – 2010/2011) have had a recovery correction of 77.86% applied.

Figure 3: Σ7PBDE trends in Arctic fur seals (red dotted line), reported BDE concentrations in Arctic ringed seals (orange dashed line, both left axis) and ratios of BDE concentrations in fur seal milk sampled twice over several years (blue solid line).
Figure 4: Comparison of BDE concentrations in perinatal and non-perinatal milk sampled from fur seals sampled twice during the same season (n=10).

Error bars represent 1 standard deviation.
Figure 5: Average $\Sigma$PBDEs (ng/g lipid) in (a) phytoplankton and (b) krill per sampling season. Note the uneven interval between years.
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