University of Rhode Island DigitalCommons@URI

Senior Honors Projects

Honors Program at the University of Rhode Island

5-2014

Cold War, Chilly Memories: The Role of Political Socialization on International Perceptions

Allison Shea University of Rhode Island, arshea92@gmail.com

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/srhonorsprog

Recommended Citation

Shea, Allison, "Cold War, Chilly Memories: The Role of Political Socialization on International Perceptions" (2014). *Senior Honors Projects*. Paper 364. https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/srhonorsprog/364

This Article is brought to you by the University of Rhode Island. It has been accepted for inclusion in Senior Honors Projects by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact digitalcommons-group@uri.edu. For permission to reuse copyrighted content, contact the author directly.

Cold War, chilly memories: The role of political socialization on international perceptions Allison Shea

Introduction:

Political socialization is the inheritance of political attitudes, beliefs, and values that explain one's interaction with the political world. The effect of parents, here meaning the primary caregivers, on their children's political socialization is both profound and lasting. Kenneth Langton found about 80% of his respondents shared their parents' political party preference (Langton, 1969, p53). In fact, a child is more likely to "inherit" the party preference of their parents than "any other social predisposition except" religion" (Riccards, 1973, p40). In the United States party identification typically results in lifetime attachment with children adopting and adhering to their parents' party identification (Ventura, 2001, p667). Until now research has focused on political socialization regarding domestic politics. My work explores parental political socialization influence on international politics. Parents should have the same level of influence over international political perceptions as they do domestically. This study is modeled after others used by political socialization theorists, with additional questions focused on international relations.

Hypothesis:

Parental political socialization will have the same level of influence on their children's international political perceptions as on domestic policies.

Research Design:


A survey was designed to discover whether parental political socialization impacts international political thinking at the same level previous research has determined it does for domestic politics. The first part of the survey probed respondent feeling toward a variety of international political issues including threats to the United States and the United States involvement in other countries. Other questions were designed to help gain an understanding of respondent feeling on domestic issues. The next part of the survey gathered demographic data including gender, age, race, religious and political alignment. A third portion of the survey asked respondents to reflect on their perceptions of their parents and provide information on their age, education level, and political affiliation etc. Finally, respondents described themselves, and their parents as one of the following "Isolationist", "Internationalist", "Accomodationist" or "Hard-liner." This survey was distributed to 231 students in an introductory political science course at the University of Rhode Island.

University of Rhode Island

Valid

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Per		Cumulative Percent					
	Republican	52	22.5		25.7	25.7					
	Democrat	66	28.6	:	32.7	58.4					
	Independent	84	36.4	·	41.6	100.0					
	Total	202	87.4	10	0.00						
ng	System	29	12.6								
		231	100.0								
	Correlations										
			individual political party id	mother's political party id	father's political party id						
	individual political party	id Pearson Correlatio)n 1	.555**	.523	x					
		Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.00						
		Ν	202	173	16:	2					
	mother's political party	id Pearson Correlatio	on .555"	1	.493	*					
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.00	D					
		Ν	173	185	16	0					
	father's political party id	Pearson Correlation	n .523 ^{**}	.493**		1					
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000							
		N	162	160	17:	2					

	Coefficients ^a								
		Unstandardize	d Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients					
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.			
1	(Constant)	.311	.085		3.643	.000			
	mother's political party id	.331	.063	.379	5.270	.000			
	father's political party id	.320	.063	.364	5.068	.000			
a De	pendent Variable: individual p								




Father's Foreign Policy Orientation

Father's Foreign Policy Orientation

Internationalist

Accomodationist




		Unstandardize	d Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients		
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Si
1	(Constant)	1.184	.270		4.388	
	father's foreign policy orientation	.166	.059	.210	2.808	
	mother's foreign policy orientation	.183	.068	.202	2.692	
	individual political party id	.062	.074	.078	.848	
	individual political id	.040	.056	.067	.722	
	gender: male 0-1	223	.098	179	-2.269	
	age of respondent	.054	.051	.084	1.070	
	race of respondent	.094	.039	.183	2.384	
	hours spent reading or watching news in day	.035	.053	.053	.663	
	frequency of voting	027	.035	063	782	
	how often do you discuss politics?	.105	.056	.157	1.883	
	area where you primarily grew up: urban to rural	064	.063	076	-1.017	

		individual foreign policy orientation	father's foreign policy orientation	mother's foreign policy orientation
individual foreign policy	Pearson Correlation	1	.268**	.289**
orientation	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000
	N	229	220	225
father's foreign policy	Pearson Correlation	.268**	1	.266**
orientation	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000
	N	220	220	220
mother's foreign policy	Pearson Correlation	.289 ^{**}	.266**	1
orientation	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	
	N	225	220	225

Coefficients

Model		Unstandardize B	d Coefficients Std. Error	Standardized Coefficients Beta	t	Sig.		
1	(Constant)	1.432	.148		9.655	.000		
	father's foreign policy orientation	.163	.051	.212	3.200	.002		
	mother's foreign policy orientation	.183	.058	.208	3.132	.002		
a. Dependent Variable: individual foreign policy orientation								



Discussion:

Indicators show a statistically significant correlation between youth's domestic political affiliation and their parents' domestic political affiliation. A child's international political tendencies are correlated with slightly less statistical significance (still at the .01 level) to how they perceive their parents' views on international politics. Using regression analysis, I find statistically significant relationships between individual's foreign policy attitudes and both parents' foreign policy attitudes.

Even after controlling for other predictors of foreign policy attitudes, the statistically significant relationships between parents' attitudes and individual attitudes remain. Parental foreign policy orientations strongly shape an individual's international policy views later in life. My analyses reveal that mothers have the single greatest impact on policy orientations both domestically and internationally. While race and gender play a role in foreign policy orientation, they are not as influential as parents' orientations. Shockingly, individual political party identification has no statistically significant impact on foreign policy orientation.

After running linear regressions the null hypothesis can be rejected. The t-values are above the absolute value of 2 for both parents in both regressions. With confidence we can say the observations seen are not simply chance.

While we were not able to procure where the parents of the respondents actually consider themselves politically either domestically or internationally, this does not invalidate the findings. Political socialization is the internalization of another's attitudes, beliefs, and values; therefore, the way a child perceives their parents is as important as the parent's real feelings, for it is the perceived attitudes the child will internalize.

There is a great deal of work to be done to validate this research. For example, a larger and more diverse sample size would be preferred.

References Dawson, R., & Prewitt, K. (1969). Political socialization. Boston, MA: Little Brown and Company Langton, K., (1969). Political socialization. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Riccards, M. P. (1973). The making of the American citizenry: An introduction to political socialization. New York, NY: Chandler Publishing

Ventura, R. (2001). Family political socialization in multiparty systems. Comparative Political Studies, 34(6), 666-691.

Accomodationis

