University of Rhode Island

DigitalCommons@URI

Faculty Senate Bills

Faculty Senate

10-1-1970

ROTC

University of Rhode Island Faculty Senate

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/facsen_bills

Recommended Citation

University of Rhode Island Faculty Senate, "ROTC" (1970). *Faculty Senate Bills*. Paper 363. https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/facsen_bills/363

This Legislation is brought to you by the University of Rhode Island. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Senate Bills by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact digitalcommons-group@uri.edu. For permission to reuse copyrighted content, contact the author directly.

Serial Number #70-71 - 4

UNIVERSITY OF RHODE ISLAND

FACULTY SENATE

BILL

Adopted by the Faculty Senate

					managed to contract	Production of	A British
1		Same Same	Sand - mail	Acres A	15)
177	es W.F	175	e unit	1	pag izii ndilili	P.	10
				4.5			

A part course no agricum

TO: President Werner A. Baum

FROM: Chairman of the Faculty Senate

1. The Attached BILL, titled ROTC

is forwarded for your consideration.

- 2. The original and two copies for your use are included.
- 3. This BILL was adopted by vote of the Faculty Senate on October 1, 1970 (date)
- 4. After considering this bill, will you please indicate your approval or disapproval. Return the original or forward it to the Board of Trustees, completing the appropriate endorsement below.
- 5. In accordance with Section 8, paragraph 2 of the Senate's By-Laws, this bill will become effective on October 22, 1970 (date), three weeks after Senate approval, unless: (1) specific dates for implementation are written into the bill; (2) you return it disapproved; (3) you forward it to the Board of Trustees for their approval; or (4) the University Faculty petitions for a referendum. If the bill is forwarded to the Board of Trustees, it will not become effective until approved by the Board.

October 2, 1970

(date)

Walter Chairman of the Faculty Senate

ENDORSEMENT 1.

TO: Chairman of the Faculty Senate

FROM: President of the University

- 1. Returned.
- 2. Approved Disapproved
- (If approved) In my opinion, transmittal to the Board of Trustees is not necessary.

10 3 70 (date) President

(OVER)

Form approved 11/65

ALTERNATE ENDORSEMENT 1.	PRET TOURS
TO: Chairman of the Board of Trust	ees.
FROM: The University President	
1. Forwarded.	<u>୍ର୍ୟ ପ୍ର</u> ୟୁଷ୍ଟ କର୍ମ୍ୟ ହେଉନ୍ୟର
2. Approved.	Stores Vileari per la proposica de
The second secon	/s/.
(date)	President
ENDORSEMENT 2.	
TO: Chairman of the Faculty Senate	
FROM: Chairman of the Board of Trust 1. Forwarded.	tees, via the University President.
(date)	orig pov (12, 1116. sig. strong /s/
2-35 bonds (baseline)	free market (Office)
Paragraphical (1) showing (1)	் இந்தின் இரு
ENDORS EMENT 3.	
TO: Chairman of the Faculty Senate	No empared were relieve to the consequence to the
FROM: The University President	
1. Forwarded from the Chairman of th	ne Board of Trustees.
*	/s/
(date)	President
Original received and forwarded to the filing in the Archives of the University	ne Secretary of the Senate and Registrar fo
	/s/
(date)	Chairman of the Faculty Senate
re shoreset in pages may be	est samera indicate at a final service)

times, is

- A. That the ROTC program continue at the University of Rhode Island with modifications, if and where necessary, in order to meet the standards of academic quality and freedom of expression currently applicable to all university academic programs; and that ROTC be modified wherever necessary in order to further improve its present courses of study in conjunction with the constant effort to enhance the quality of education available to students in all courses at the University.
- B. That in pursuit of this goal in ROTC courses, President Baum be requested to communicate with other land-grant colleges and universities and with the Department of Defense in order to review the ROTC program to determine whether ROTC still serves a useful purpose. If it does serve a useful purpose, ways should be sought to improve its effectiveness. If it does not serve a useful purpose, then new approaches to officer procurement should be sought.