# **THE UNIVERSITY OF RHODE ISLAND**

University of Rhode Island [DigitalCommons@URI](https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/) 

[Graduate School of Oceanography Faculty](https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/gsofacpubs) 

**Graduate School of Oceanography** 

2002

# Direct measurements of light attenuation by epiphytes on eelgrass Zostera marina

Mark J. Brush University of Rhode Island

Scott W. Nixon University of Rhode Island

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/gsofacpubs](https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/gsofacpubs?utm_source=digitalcommons.uri.edu%2Fgsofacpubs%2F399&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) 

## Citation/Publisher Attribution

Brush, M. J., & Nixon, S. W. (2002). Direct measurements of light attenuation by epiphytes on eelgrass Zostera marina. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 238, 73-79. doi: 10.3354/meps238073 Available at:<http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/meps238073>

This Article is brought to you by the University of Rhode Island. It has been accepted for inclusion in Graduate School of Oceanography Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact [digitalcommons-group@uri.edu](mailto:digitalcommons-group@uri.edu). For permission to reuse copyrighted content, contact the author directly.

# Direct measurements of light attenuation by epiphytes on eelgrass Zostera marina

Terms of Use All rights reserved under copyright.

This article is available at DigitalCommons@URI:<https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/gsofacpubs/399>

# **Direct measurements of light attenuation by epiphytes on eelgrass** *Zostera marina*

### **Mark J. Brush\*, Scott W. Nixon**

**Graduate School of Oceanography, University of Rhode Island, Narragansett, Rhode Island 02882-1197, USA**

ABSTRACT:Declinesinthe seagrass*Zosteramarina*L.inestuaries andlagoonshavebeenattributed in part to reductions in irradiance reaching the seagrass blades. Epiphytes growing on *Z. marina*  have the potential to attenuate a large fraction of the light that would otherwise reach the blades. This problem has previously been studied by measuring light penetration through homogenized epiphytic slurries or through glass slides fouled with epiphytes. However, the latter may not represent the natural succession or species composition found on live *Z. marina* leaves and the former does not preserve the structure of the epiphytic complex. Further, paststudies have not measured attenuation across the full range of epiphytic densities found in the field. In this study, we measured light penetration across a wide range of epiphytic densities by holding scraped and unscraped *Z. marina* blades over a submerged light sensor. Results compared well with past studies at low epiphyte densities, with strong reductions in light penetration as density increased. However, at higher densities, penetration leveled off to a relatively constant value as the epiphytes floated out from the edges of the blade. Studies using slurries did not capture this phenomenon and thus predicted decreasing penetration down to 0%.

KEY WORDS: Epiphytes · Light attenuation · Seagrass · *Zostera marina*

*Resale or republication not permitted without written consent of the publisher*

### **INTRODUCTION**

The well-known and widespread decline of submersed aquatic angiosperms in shallow lakes and coastal marine waters around the world is commonly attributed, at least in part, to shading by excessive growths of epiphytes (e.g. Sand-Jensen 1977, Phillips et al. 1978, Borum & Wium-Andersen 1980, Kemp et al. 1983, Orth & Moore 1983, Twilley et al. 1985, Silberstein et al. 1986, Valiela et al. 1990, Neckles et al. 1993). The epiphytic community found on such plants is a heterogeneous and varying complex of bacteria, micro- and macroalgae, heterotrophic organisms, and organic and inorganic detritus and debris that is usually characterized in terms of total dry weight (DW), ash free dry weight (AFDW), and/or the weight of chlorophyll per unit area of leaf substrate. Because of the obvious potential for shading when the epiphytic

load is high, there have been repeated attempts to develop quantitative relationships between the weight of epiphytic material and the attenuation of photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) before it actually reaches the leaves of submersed plants (Table 1). Such relationships are a critical link in the formulation of numerical models of submersed plant growth (e.g. Wetzel & Neckles 1986, Madden & Kemp 1996, Fong et al. 1997, Buzzelli et al. 1998) and help to evaluate the relative importance of water-column turbidity and epiphyte load in reducing the amount of PAR reaching submersed plants (e.g. Sand-Jensen & Søndergaard 1981).

Virtually all previous studies have used indirect approaches by which light attenuation has been measured as the light passed through an epiphyte matrix grown on artificial transparent substrates, or as the light passed through a suspension prepared from naturally occurring epiphytes removed from the leaves of various submersed plant species (Table 1).

<sup>\*</sup>E-mail[: br](mailto:brush@vims.edu)[ush@vims.edu](mailto:ush@vims.edu)



Table 1. Studies of light attenuation by epiphytes in freshwater and coastal marine systems. A: artificial light; N: natural light; nr: not reported

a Density reported as g DW epiphytes g–1 DW *Z. marina*. Values were converted to mg cm–2 using a regression between *Z. marina* dry weight and surface area derived from an experiment at the lagoon mesocosm facility in RhodeIsland

ties oreater than  $\gamma$ N m o $\Gamma$ W  $\gamma$ m $^{-2}$ (1999) measured penetration at densi-(1999) measured penetration at densi Table 1, only that of Cebrián et al Table 1, only that of Cebrián et al. fact, of the previous studies listed in fact, of the previous studies listed in sities found in the field (Table 1). In sities found in the field (Table 1). In cover the full range of epiphyte dencover the full range of epiphyte den date study, the measurements conducted to study, the Bulthuis & Woelkerling (1983) phyte communities. However, as with the measurements conducted to the Bulthuis & Woelkerling (1983) phyte communities. However, as with light penetration through intact epilight penetration through intact epialsomake al. tive measurements as Mylar strips for light penetration as Mylar strips for light penetration Use of artificial seagrass leaves such Use of artificial seagrass leaves such 1999), greaterthan to with using artificial and possible live such can  $20$ ma $D$ W $cm^{-2}$ seagrass leaves themeasurement be a useful artificial have (Stankelis substrates failed alterna-How- $\overline{\sigma}$ of et

erling merged during the Bulthuis & Woelkmerged during the Bulthuis & leaves and epiphytes were fully subleaves and epiphytes were fully sub-Andersen  $100\,\mathrm{mg}\,\mathrm{DW}\,\mathrm{cm}^{-2}(\mathrm{e}.\mathrm{g}.\mathrm{Bornm}\,\&\,\mathrm{Wium}$ 100mgDWcm–2(e.g.Borum seagrass Z. marina may reach 50 to seagrass older DW cm<sup>-2</sup>, while epiphyte phyte cover of only a little over 2 mg phyte cover of only a little over 2 mg leaves they used had a maximum epileaves they used had a maximum epi Woelkerling (1983) study is that the Woelkerling (1983) study is that the important limitation of the Bulthuis & dow of high transmittance.' A more<br>important limitation of the Bulthuis & dow of high transmittance.' across the range of PAR with no winacross the range of lengths, "... epiphytes attenuate light lengths, '... epiphytes attenuate light attenuated faster than longer waveattenuated faster than longer wave although shorter wavelengths were although shorter wavelengths were from through slurries of epiphytic material through slurries of epiphytic material attenuation of 10 nm bands of PAR attenuation of 10 nm bands of PAR first appears. Neckles (1993) measured and phyte-attenuation studies (Table 1), phyte-attenuation studies (Table 1), artificial on a microscope platform. Use of an on a microscope platform. Use scraped and unscraped leaves placed scraped and unscraped leaves placed tungsten source as it passed through tungsten source as it passed through involved measurements of light from a ers) den Hartog in the Port Phillip ers) den Hartog in the Port Phillip ers)<br>Bay area of Australia. Their method Bay area of Australia. Their method ers) den Hartog in the Port Phillip zostera tasmanica (Marteus ex Asch*zostera tasmanica* phytes Bulthuis & Woelkerling (1983) for epi-Bulthuis leaves appear to be those reported by leaves appear to be those reported by phyte light attenuation by light attenuation by an intact epi-The only direct measurements of The only direct measurements of it appears.Neckles(1993)measured  $cm^{-2}$ , while epiphyte cover on *Zostera* leaves community may measurements. growing lightsource &Woelkerling *Z. marina* 1980).It be of *marin a* L. less the on growing of a problem the is common (Marteus ex Asch-PAR with no winmay reach 50 to is also seagrass  $\mathfrak{m}$ common and (1983)for unclearifthe intact epion cover on found temperate &Wium- $\mathbf A$  more seagrass *Hetero-*Woelkthan in that epiit

formed on epiphytic material which was collected on glass-fiber filters and thus, like the studies which used suspensions, did not reflect light penetration by an intact community. Further, Cebrián et al. (1999) measured transmission through epiphytic pigments only using a spectrophotometer, thereby excluding the effect of the inorganic fraction.

The issues of submersion and use of an intact epiphyte community are important because, as Losee and Wetzel (1983) so nicely put it, epiphytes form 'a complex optical system'. While relatively homogeneous slurries or suspensions of epiphyte material may conform to the Lambert-Beer relationship, the intact epiphyte community as it develops on natural leaves has an irregular and patchy architecture in which some light is absorbed, some is reflected, and some passes through. This structure is only manifest in intact communities under conditions of submersion, when the buoyancy of the various epiphytes can lift them away from the leaf surface. Our purpose here is to report the results of direct measurements of the attenuation of natural PAR as it passes through submersed, intact epiphyte communities across a wide range of densities that have developed on leaves of the eelgrass *Zostera marina*.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

We measured the attenuation of natural PAR by epiphytes using a  $2 \Box$  underwater quantum sensor in an outdoorseawater bath, a similar quantum sensor in air, and a datalogger (LICOR LI-192SA, LI-190SA, and LI-1000, respectively). Blades of eelgrass with varying epiphytic loads were collected from plants growing in large tanks  $(4 \text{ m}^2 \cdot 1.1 \text{ m}$  deep) at the Lagoon Mesocosm Facility at the University of Rhode Island (USA) and from Quonochontaug Pond, a nearby coastal lagoon, during August 1996, September 1997, and September 2000. Maximum development of epiphyte cover on eelgrass has taken place by late summer in thisarea(Linetal.1996).Descriptionsofthemesocosm facility are given in Taylor et al. (1995) and Lin et al. (1996).

The epiphytes used in this study were dominated by 3 different communities. We did not obtain a precise taxonomic description of the epiphytes from 1996/97, but they were dominated by a green filamentous alga which we believe to be *Ulothrix* sp., with small amounts of *Enteromorpha* sp. and/or *Cladophora* sp. mixed in. This epiphytic community will subsequently be referred to as 'unidentified green'. We did obtain precise identifications of the epiphytes used in 2000, with one of the communities being dominated by *Cladophora* sp. and the other by *Polysiphonia* sp.

To measure light penetration, we scraped 1 side of each blade free of epiphytes and discarded the scraped material. Individual blades were then submersed over the underwater sensor with the scraped side facing down, so that the remaining epiphytes remained suspended away from the blade. We then recorded the fraction of natural incident irradiance (measured by the sensor in air) passing through both the blade and the remaining epiphytes at 1 to 3 places along the blade. The epiphytes on the other (upper) side of the blade were then scraped from an area of approximately 1 to  $2.5 \text{ cm}^2$  at each location of measurement along the blade, and the scraped material was saved for determination of dry weight per unit area. We replaced the epiphyte-free blade over the underwater sensor and measured the fraction of incident irradiance passing through the blade. Epiphyte-free blades attenuated 83  $\pm$  3% of incident light (mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 69). The effect of scraping on blade optics was determined on 10 epiphyte-free blades by comparing percent transmission before and after scraping. Scraped blades transmitted an average of 0.93% less incident light than unscraped blades. Since our methods involved scraping the blade between measuring transmission through epiphytes intact on the blade and measuring transmission through the blade alone, all the latter data were increased by 0.93% to correct for the effect of scraping on bladeoptics.

We calculated the attenuation of light through the epiphytic material alone by normalizing the fraction of incident light passing through the epiphytes and blade to that passing through the epiphyte-free blade. Since transmission measurements were expressed relative to the incident irradiance through use of the quantum sensor in air, our method accounts for variability in irradiance between the unscraped and scraped readings. Further, both readings for a given plant were measured under the same type of light (i.e. direct or diffuse) to eliminate any error introduced by changes in light quality between readings (e.g. by passing clouds). Epiphyte density was determined by collecting the saved material onto pre-weighed Gelman A/E filters, drying at 60°C, and dividing the dry weight by the area scraped (area of 1 side of the blade only).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

We obtained a total of 87 direct measurements of the attenuation of PAR by 3 epiphytic communities weighing as much as 99 mg DW cm–2 . This is a much wider range than previously examined (Table 1). Our results suggest that the attenuation of PAR as a function of intact epiphyte dry weight is well described by a negative hyperbolic equation (Fig. 1) of the form:

$$
y = 100 - A \frac{x}{\left(\frac{x}{B} + x\right)}
$$

where *y* is the transmission (%) of PAR incident on the epiphyte complex,  $x$  is the DW density of epiphyte cover (mg DW cm–2 ), and A and B are constants.

Past studies have frequently used an exponential decay function to describe epiphyte transmission. In the present study however, exponential decay functions, forced through 100% transmission at zero epiphyte biomass and fit to our observations, all gave lower r<sup>2</sup> values, produced visibly inferior fits, and seriously underestimated attenuation at various levels of epiphyte biomass.

While the relationship between biomass and transmission was remarkably similar in *Polysiphonia* sp. and the unidentified species, *Cladophora* sp. transmitted substantially more light for a given biomass (Figs. 1 & 2). This is consistent with the *Cladophora*dominated epiphytes being lighter in color and less densely arranged on the blades than the other 2 species.

With the exception of *Cladophora* sp., our observations agree with other models at very low epiphyte densities in showing a rapid increase in PAR attenuation with increasing epiphyte load (Fig. 2). An interesting exception is the study of Glazer (1999), who reported markedly greater PAR transmission for a given biomass and a linear rather than exponential relationship between the 2 variables. However, Glazer's epiphytic community was primarily composed of bryozoan colonies (B. Glazer pers. comm.), which are likely to have different optical properties than the algaldominated epiphytes surveyed in this and most of the previous studies. As with *Cladophora* sp. in the present study, it appears that the composition of the epiphytic community alters the relationship between biomass and attenuation.

Our negative hyperbolic function quickly diverges from most previous formulations as density increases (Fig. 2). As noted earlier, the only previous study using an intact epiphyte community on seagrass leaves made observations over a small range in density, and the linear regression provided for those data by Bulthuis & Woelkerling (1983) diverges markedly from our observations above  $\sim$  5 mg DW cm<sup>-2</sup>. While past studies generally predict PAR transmission as decreasing to 0% with increasing epiphytic cover, we found transmission to level off to a fairly constant percent of incident PAR as density increased. This limit to attenuation was dependent on the epiphytic community under study, with attenuation due to *Cladophora* sp. leveling off at approximately 70% of incident light and attenuation due to the other 2 communities leveling off at approximately 85 to 90% of incident light.



Fig. 1. Light transmission through epiphytes as a function of epiphytic density. Transmission expressed as percent irradiance incident to the epiphytic mass  $(I_0)$  which passes through the bottom of the mass  $(I)$ ; density expressed as epiphyte mass on 1 side of the blade per unit surface area of that side of the

blade. Data points are individual measurements

The regressions of Murray (1983) and Stankelis et al. (1999) show a similar leveling off of transmission, but measurements were not made above a biomass of 9.4 and 15 mg DW cm<sup>-2</sup>, respectively. Closer examination of the Stankelis et al. data (their Fig. 4-13b, p. 106) shows that their observations with diatom crusts actually tended towards 0% transmittance as epiphyte load increased, similar to the other exponential decay models in our Fig. 2 (R. M. Stankelis pers. comm.). The apparent leveling off at 15% transmission is an artifact of curve fitting, whereby the regression is heavily weighted by data at lower epiphytedensities.

At low epiphyte densities, the scatter in the observations in Fig. 2a,c is sufficiently great that any of the previously published models besides that of Glazer (1999) would adequately describe the data. However,



Fig. 2. Comparison of relationships between light transmssion and epiphyte density in this and previous studies. Regression lines for present study are black, and denoted as follows: a, unidentified green; b, *Cladophora* sp.; c, *Polysiphornia* sp. Regression lines for past studies are gray, and are denoted as follows: d, Bulthuis & Woelkerling (1983); e, Murray (1983); f, Sand-Jensen & Borum (1983); g, Twilley et al. (1985); h, Kemp et al. (1988); i, van Dijk (1993); j, Glazer (1990); k, Stankelis et al. (1999); l, Neckles (unpubl. data). Sand-Jensen & Borum (1983) used the data of Broum & Wium-Andersen (1980). Equationsfor Murray (1983) and Kemp et al. (1988) were estimated from graphs of their results. Regressions were plotted only across the range of epiphytic densities used in each study (see Table 1)

exact predictions of percent transmission by the various regressions at low epiphytic densities are markedly different (Table 2). The important result of this study is that above 5 to 10 mg DW  $\text{cm}^{-2}$ , our observations show that PAR attenuation increases much more slowly with increasing epiphyte load (Fig. 2). We believe that this is due to a progression in the structural complexity of the epiphyte community. Over 25 yr ago, a detailed microscopic study of 'fouling' on eelgrass in Rhode Island (Sieburth & Thomas 1973) showed that the leaves are first colonized by a 'crust' composed of the assortment of other organisms. Brown (1962), Hardiatom *Cocconeis scutellum* (Meunier) and assorted detrital particles. Once the crust is formed, the leaves are further colonized by a heterogeneous and varied graves (1965), and Lin (1995) have provided detailed descriptions for Rhode Island and the lagoon mesocosm facility. These organisms are attached in various ways to the *C. scutellum* crust (e.g. diatoms, bacteria)

or directly to the leaves (e.g. *Cladophora* sp., *Polysiphonia* sp.), and as these larger algal species grow, many can be seen to float out from the leaf. As epi-

rial therefore makes little if any further contribution to phyte mass increases, much of this additional material either floats or grows out to the sides rather than accumulating directly above the leaf. This additional mate-

PAR attenuation. As a result, attenuation levels off with increasing density, as found in this study (Figs.  $1 \& 2$ ).

We observed this pattern of growth in the 3 epiphytic communities used in this study (Fig. 3). Our measurements were made on leaves of varying age developed over 3 summers under a range of nutrient loading conditions. The results are sufficiently consistent to suggest that epiphytic attenuation cannot simply be modeled with a biomass-specific attenuation coefficient, because the architecture of the epiphytes plays a role in determining the shape of the biomass-transmission relationship. Our hyperbolic expression which levels off with increasing density therefore provides a better fit to our data than an exponential decay function.

Our results further illustrate that the shape of the biomass-transmission relationship is dependent on the type of epiphytes present, with transmission through *Cladophora* sp. being much greater for a given biomass than in our 2 more densely arranged epiphyte communities (Figs. 1 & 2). This is further evidenced by the results of Glazer (1999), who found much higher transmission through epiphyte communi-

ties dominated by bryozoans (Fig. 2). Furthermore, Cebrián et al. (1999) found that light was absorbed more quickly by red encrusting epiphytes than by brown erect algae, which would presumably be able to float out to the sides of the blades athigher densities.

While our negative hyperbolic relationship should generally apply to filamentous epiphytes, it is possible

Table 2. Range in predicted percent transmission  $(I/I_0, %)$ at low epiphytic densities by regression models in Figs. 1 & 2

Source	Epiphyte density (mg DW cm <sup>-2</sup> )				
	1	$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}$	3	5	10
Bulthuis & Woelkerling (1983)	59	26	$\Omega^a$	O <sup>a</sup>	$\Omega^a$
Murray (1983)	73	55	44	33	26 <sup>a</sup>
Sand-Jensen & Borum (1983)	55	30	17	5	$\mathcal{O}^{\rm a}$
Twilley et al. (1985)	90	59	39	17	2
Nemp et al. (1900)	76	57	42	24	8
والمحاجاتها للمويد فتقالمتما	52	33	23	12	2
Glazer (1999)	99	95	91	83	62
Stankelis et al. (1999)	48	28	20	16	15
Neckles (unpubl. data)	80	64	51	33	11
mo staty, universities given	78	64	55	42	28
This study, <i>Cladophora</i> sp.	95	91	87	80	67
This study, <i>Polysiphonia</i> sp.	71	56	46	36	24
<sup>a</sup> Regression was extrapolated outside the range of epi- phyte densities from which it was derived					



Fig. 3. Photographs of the 3 epiphytes used in this study. (a) Unidentified green; (b) *Cladophora* sp.; (c) *Polysiphonia*  sp. Epiphytes were photographed while intact on *Zostera marina*, and can be seen floating or growing out to the sides of the blades

that linear or exponential decaymodels would be more appropriate for epiphytes that do not float out from seagrass blades, such as diatoms, bryozoans, or encrusting coralline red algae. It should also be noted that our technique of using intact epiphyte communities growing on natural seagrass blades may not be readily applicable in studies of encrusting epiphytes such as bryozoans or coralline algae. It may be impossible to remove these epiphytes from natural seagrass blades by scraping. In these cases, use of fouled and controlmylarstripsorsimilarartificialseagrassmimics may be a useful alternative to natural blades. Further, such artificial mimics transmit more light than natural blades, and thus would be a useful alternative for species which attenuate substantially more light than *Zostera marina*.

Some (perhaps much) attenuation through the understory must also be due to the highly variable inorganic fraction of the epiphyte matrix (Lin 1995), although Losee & Wetzel (1983) reported that diatom frustules are more important in light-scattering than absorption. Late-summer epiphytic material from the lagoon mesocosms used in this work commonly contains 20 to 35% organic matter (AFDW), a range similar to the material found on *Zostera marina* in Chesapeake Bay (H. Neckles pers. comm.).

Our results confirm the importance of capturing the submerged structure of the epiphyte community as closely as possible when obtaining measures of light attenuation, as well as measuring attenuation across the full range of epiphyte densities found in the field. Our results also show that the most dramatic impact of epiphyte cover in reducing the amount of PAR reaching seagrass leaves may come at relatively low epiphyte densities. It is not necessary for the epiphyte cover to be conspicuous before it has a major impact on light reduction in a macrophyte canopy. Nevertheless, there appears to be an upper limit to epiphytic light attenuation beyond which little additional light is absorbed despite increases in epiphytic load, at least in filamentous growth forms. Such an upper limit could result in high seagrass productivity despite high epiphytic loading.

*Acknowledgements.* Funding for this research was provided by the Rhode Island Sea Grant College Program, NOAA. We thank H. Neckles, R. Stankelis, B. Glazer, J. Vermaat, and E. Bailey for providing data, published materials, and helpful comments. We are grateful to P. Hargraves and M. Villalard-Bohnsack for assistance with epiphyte identification.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Borum J, Wium-Andersen S (1980) Biomass and production of epiphytes on eelgrass (*Zostera marina* L.) in the Øresund, Denmark. Ophelia (Suppl 1):57–64
- Brown CL (1962) On the ecology of Aufwuchs of *Zostera marina* in Charlestown Pond, RI. MS thesis, University of Rhode Island, Kingston, RI
- Bulthuis DA, Woelkerling WJ (1983) Biomass accumulation and shading effects of epiphytes on leaves of the seagrass, *Heterozostera tasmanica*, in Victoria, Australia. Aquat Bot 16:137–148
- Burt JS, Kendrick GA, Masini RJ, Simpson CJ (1995) Light and *Posidonia sinuosa* seagrass meadows in the temperate coastal waters of Western Australia. II. Effect of epiphyte species assemblage and biomass on attenuating light to the leaf surface. Contribution to the Southern Metropolitan Coastal Waters Study (1991–1994), Department of Environmental Protection, Perth, Western Australia
- Buzzelli CP, Wetzel RL, Meyers MB (1998) Dynamic simulation of littoral zone habitats in lower Chesapeake Bay. II. Seagrass habitat primary production and water quality relationships. Estuaries 21(4B):673–689
- Cebrián J, Enríquez S, Fortes M, Agawin N, Vermaat JE,

Duarte CM (1999) Epiphyte accrual on *Posidonia oceanica*  (L.) Delile leaves: implications for light absorption. Bot Mar 42:123–128

- Fong P,Jacobson ME, Mescher MC, Lirman D, Harwell MC (1997) Investigating the management potential of a seagrass model through sensitivity analysis and experiments. Ecol Appl 7:300–315
- Glazer BT (1999) Analysis of physical, chemical, and biological factors inhibiting growth and restoration of submerged vascular plants in Delaware's Indian River and Rehoboth Bays. MS thesis, University of Delaware, Lewes, DE
- Hargraves PE (1965) On the seasonal changes in plant periphyton in a salinity gradient. MS thesis, University of Rhode Island, Kingston, RI
- Hootsmans MJM, Vermaat JE, Beijer JAJ (1993) Periphyton density and shading in relation to tidal depth and fiddler crab activity in intertidal seagrass beds of the Banc d'Arguin (Mauritania). Hydrobiologia 258:73–80
- Kemp WM, Twilley RR, Stevenson JC, Boynton WR, Means JC (1983) The decline of submerged vascular plants in upper Chesapeake Bay: summary of results concerning possible causes. Mar Technol Soc J 17:78–89
- Kemp WM, Boynton WR, Murray L, Madden CJ, Wetzel RL, Vera Herrera F (1988) Light relations for the seagrass *Thalassia testudinum*, and its epiphytic algae in a tropical estuarine environment. In: Yanez-Arancibia A, Day JW Jr (eds) Ecology of coastal ecosystems in the southern Gulf of Mexico: the Terminos Lagoon Region. Instituto Ciencias del Mar y Limnología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Mexico, Coastal Ecology Institute, Louisiana State University, Editorial Universitaria, México DF, p 193–206
- Lin HJ (1995) Responses of epiphytes on eelgrass (*Zostera marina* L.) to nutrient enrichment. PhD thesis, University of Rhode Island, Kingston, RI
- Lin HJ, Nixon SW, Taylor DI, Granger SL, Buckley BA (1996) Responses of epiphytes on eelgrass, *Zostera marina* L., to separate and combined nitrogen and phosphorus enrichment. Aquat Bot 52:243–258
- Losee RF, Wetzel RG (1983) Selective light attenuation by the periphyton complex.In: WetzelRG (ed) Periphyton of fresh water ecosystems. Dr W. Junk Publishers, The Hague, p 89–96
- Madden CJ, Kemp WM (1996) Ecosystem model of an estuarine submersed plant community: calibration and simulation of eutrophication responses. Estuaries 19(2B): 457–474
- Murray L (1983) Metabolic and structural studies of several temperate seagrass communities, with emphasis on microalgal components. PhD thesis, College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, VA
- Neckles HA (1993) The role of epiphytes in seagrass production and survival: microcosm studies and simulation modeling. In: Morris LJ, Tomasko DA (eds) Proceedings and conclusions of workshops on submerged aquatic vegetation initiative and photosynthetically active radiation. Special publication SJ93-SP13, Indian River Lagoon National Estuary Program, Melbourne, Florida and St. Johns River Water Management District, Palatka, FL, p 91–105
- Neckles HA, Wetzel RL, Orth RJ (1993) Relative effects of nutrient enrichment and grazing on epiphyte-macrophyte (*Zostera marina* L.) dynamics. Oecologia 93:285–295

Orth RJ, Moore KA (1983) Chesapeake Bay: an unprece-

*Editorial responsibility: Kenneth Heck (Contributing Editor), Dauphin Island, Alabama, USA*

dented decline in submerged aquatic vegetation. Science 222:51–53

- Phillips GL, Eminson D, Moss B (1978) A mechanism to account for macrophyte decline in progressively eutrophicated freshwaters. Aquat Bot 4:103–126
- Sand-Jensen K (1977) Effect of epiphytes on eelgrass photosynthesis. Aquat Bot 3:55–63
- Sand-Jensen K (1990) Epiphyte shading: its role in resulting depth distribution of submerged aquatic macrophytes. Folia Geobot Phytotaxon 25:315–320
- Sand-Jensen K, Borum J (1983) Regulation of growth of eelgrass (*Zostera marina* L.) in Danish coastal waters. Mar Technol Soc J 17:15–21
- Sand-Jensen K, Borum J (1984) Epiphyte shading and its effect on photosynthesis and diel metabolism of *Lobelia dortmanna* L. during the spring bloom in a Danish lake. Aquat Bot 20:109–119
- Sand-Jensen K, Søndergaard M (1981) Phytoplankton and epiphyte development and their shading effect on submerged macrophytes in lakes of different nutrient status. Int Rev Gesamten Hydrobiol 66:529–552
- Sieburth JMcN, Thomas CD (1973) Fouling on eelgrass (*Zostera marina* L.). J Phycol 9:46–50
- Silberstein K, Chiffings AW, McComb AJ (1986) The loss of seagrass in Cockburn Sound, Western Australia. III. The effect of epiphytes on productivity of *Posidonia australis*  Hook. F. Aquat Bot 24:355–371
- Stankelis RM, Boynton WR, Frank JM (1999) Submerged aquatic vegetation (SAV) habitat evaluation. In: Ecosystems processes component level 1 interpretive report no 16. Chesapeake Biological Laboratory, University of Maryland System, Solomons, MD, p 77–118
- Taylor DI, Nixon SW, Granger SL, Buckley BA, McMahon JP, Lin HJ (1995) Responses of coastal lagoon plant communities to different forms of nutrient enrichment—a mesocosm experiment. Aquat Bot 52:19–34
- Twilley RR, Kemp WM, Staver KW, Stevenson JC, Boynton WR (1985) Nutrient enrichment of estuarine submersed vascular plant communities. I. Algal growth and effects on production of plants and associated communities. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 23:179–191
- Valiela I, Costa J, Foreman K, Teal JM, Howes B, Aubrey D (1990) Transport of groundwater-borne nutrients from watersheds and their effects on coastal waters. Biogeochemistry 10:177–197
- van Dijk GM (1993) Dynamics and attenuation characteristics of periphyton upon artificial substratum under various light conditions and some additional observations on periphyton upon *Potamogeton pectinatus* L. Hydrobiologia 252:143–161
- Vermaat JE, de Bruyne RJ (1993) Factors limiting the distribution of submerged waterplants in the lowland River Vecht (The Netherlands). Freshw Biol30:147–157
- Vermaat JE, Hootsmans MJM (1991) Periphyton dynamics in a temperature-light gradient. In: Hootsmans MJM, Vermaat JE (eds) Macrophytes, a key to understanding changes caused by eutrophication in shallow freshwater ecosystems. International Institute for Infrastructural Hydraulic and Environmental Engineering (IHE) Report Series 21, IHE, Delft, p 159–187
- Wetzel RL, Neckles HA (1986) A model of *Zostera marina* L. photosynthesis and growth: simulated effects of selected physical-chemical variables and biological interactions. Aquat Bot 26:307–323

*Submitted: October 1, 2000; Accepted: May 14, 2001 Proofs received from author(s): July 23, 2002*