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Abstract

We report a multifunctional nanotherapeutic platform based on liposomes loaded with drug and iron oxide nanoparticles (IONs) coated with a gold nanoshell synthesized using a polyelectrolyte (layersome) soft templating technique. IONs and gold nanoshells were used to provide combined hyperthermia and triggered drug release via radio frequency (RF) or near-infrared (NIR) stimulation. IONs and the anti-cancer drug doxorubicin (DOX) were co-encapsulated inside liposomes composed of zwitterionic phosphatidylcholine, anionic phosphatidylglycerol, and cholesterol lipids. Coating the magneto-liposomes with positively charged poly-L-lysine enriched the interface with gold anions to form a dense gold nanoshell and protected the structure against deformation and DOX cargo release during shell formation. After modifying with thiol-terminated polyethylene glycol, intracellular delivery and release of DOX from the nanostructures was examined in A549 human lung cancer cells. The nanostructures retained their DOX cargo and
remained in the cytosol after cellular uptake. Only when triggered by RF or NIR stimuli did the nanostructures release DOX, which then entered the cell nucleus. Compared to the single photothermal therapy or radio frequency treatment, the carriers with combined DOX and RF or NIR stimulation displayed higher therapeutic effect on A549 cells.

INTRODUCTION

An incipient challenge in creating nanostructured theranostics is to design “all-in-one” delivery systems that can achieve multiple functions such as stimuli-triggered controlled release, localized hyperthermia treatment, and medical imaging and diagnosis.\textsuperscript{1–5} Inorganic nanoparticles (NPs) have shown great potential as the functional components of theranostics because of their tunable physicochemical and optical properties.\textsuperscript{6–8} Magnetic and plasmonic properties are two extensively studied properties of inorganic NPs, and when combined they provide a range of therapeutic functionalities.\textsuperscript{8–11} Combining these properties within a single nanostructure can be challenging and there are opportunities, through the controlled assembly and synthesis of NP mixtures, to improve the design of colloidally stable multifunctional nanostructures.\textsuperscript{9} This is the case for magnetic core–shell nanoparticles, which have gained particular interest in biomedical research.\textsuperscript{12}

Magnetic core–shell NPs are composed of a magnetic particle core surrounded by a shell of inorganic or organic matter. These materials have evolved as an important tool in biomedicine with applications in diagnostics, guided drug delivery, cell sorting, and hyperthermia treatment.\textsuperscript{13–15} One such widely studied magnetic core–shell nanostructure uses gold as the shell.\textsuperscript{16} By combining magnetic (iron oxide) and plasmonic (gold) nanoparticles within a single nanostructure, magneto-plasmonic nanoparticles respond to electromagnetic energy spanning frequencies from kHz (radio frequency or RF) to THz (near infra-red or NIR).\textsuperscript{17–21} A challenge to creating such
structures is the ability to control the spatial organization of the materials using biocompatible templates. Liposomes, which are soft nanoscale self-assemblies with hydrophilic and hydrophobic regions, provide a such a template to create these complex colloids. Liposomes have been used extensively for drug encapsulation and controlled release, and there are FDA-approved liposome formulations.

Biodegradable plasmon resonant nanoshells based on liposomes and gold were first developed by Troutman et al. They showed that by incorporating gold on the surface of dipalmitoylphosphatidylcholine (DPPC) liposomes, a discontinuous shell was formed that absorbed light in the near NIR region suitable for photothermal mediated drug release. Leung et al. further showed that upon NIR exposure the lipid membranes were disrupted, allowing the releasing of encapsulated drugs, and the gold shell degraded into 5-10 nm gold nanoparticles. Rengan et al. explored the multifunctional capabilities of their liposome/gold-based nanostructures in a small animal model and demonstrated shell degradation within hepatocytes, and further clearance through the hepato-biliary and renal route. Attempts to control shell structure have included adding glutathione, chitosan, and poly-L-lysine to modify the liposome surface and increase its affinity for gold to form a continuous shell as opposed to discrete nanoparticles. Finally, additional motivation for designing hybrid magneto-plasmonic liposomes has been demonstrated by Tomitaka et al. for image-guided HIV treatment. They reported high drug loading within the liposomes, greater passage through the blood brain barrier (BBB), and precise monitoring of drug distribution using multi-modal imaging.

We have developed a multifunctional nanoplatform composed of an anionic magneto-liposomes [ML] layered with a cationic polyelectrolyte [MLP] to form a gold nanoshell [MLPG] that was conjugated with a 2000 MW polyethylene glycol thiol, PEG-[MLPG] (Scheme 1).
contrast to prior core-shell magneto-plasmonic nanostructures, the PEG-[MLPG] particles are spatially separated within a lipid bilayer/poly-L-lysine layersome that enriches the surface with anionic gold precursor ions. The separation stabilizes the structure by preventing direct contact between the gold nanoshell and the membrane barrier used to entrap cargo. We report herein on the design and characterization of the core-shell nanostructures, the ability to heat and trigger drug release using radiofrequency (RF) or NIR laser stimuli, and the ability to achieve stimuli-responsive intracellular drug delivery to a model cancer cell line, A549 lung cancer cells.

Scheme 1. Liposome templated multifunctional core-shell nanostructures. Magneto-liposomes, [ML] are coated with poly-L-lysine, [MLP] upon which a gold nano-shell is formed, [MLPG]. The nanoshell surface is functionalized with 2000 MW PEG-thiol, PEG-[MLPG]. Additional abbreviations: iron oxide nanoparticle (ION), gold nanoparticle (GNP), 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DOPC), 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-(1'-rac-glycerol) (sodium salt) (DOPG), PEG-thiol (SHPEG2000), and cholesterol (Chol).

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Materials

DOPC (1,2-Dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine) and DOPG (1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-(1'-rac-glycerol) (sodium salt)) were purchased from Avanti Polar lipids (Alabaster, AL
USA). Poly-L-lysine hydrochloride (PLL, MW = 15,000-30,000), tetrachloroauric acid trihydrate (HAuCl₄●3H₂O), poly(ethylene glycol) methyl ether thiol (SH-PEG₂₀₀₀), average MW = 2,000), doxorubicin (DOX), and cholesterol (Chol) were obtained from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, USA). Anionic (carboxyl) superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (SPIONs, 15 nm core diameter) were purchased from Ocean Nanotech, LLC, San Diego, USA. Tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane and ascorbic acid (AA) were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific. A Millipore water system (Direct Q @ UV) provided high purity of water that used for all experiments. A549 human lung adenocarcinoma epithelial cells were obtained and cultured as previously described.

**Preparation of [ML_p] and [ML_p-DOX]**

[ML] were prepared by the reverse-phase evaporation method with slight modification. A mixture of DOPC/DOPG/Chol (50:40:10 molar) was dissolved in 4 mL of chloroform, and 1 mL aqueous dispersion of IONs (5 mg mL⁻¹) was introduced before sonication at room temperature for 10 min to produce a water-in-oil emulsion. Liposomes [L] were also prepared without IONs as a negative control sample for RF heating. For DOX encapsulation [ML-DOX], the aqueous dispersion also contained DOX at 0.2 mg mL⁻¹. The mixtures were immediately transferred to a round-bottom flask and the organic solvent was evaporated using a rotavapor R-215 (Buchi) at 40 °C with pressure ranging from 100 to 450 mbar for 2 h until a gel-like dispersion was formed. Deionized water was added to the gel to replenish what was lost during evaporation. To remove trace solvent, the samples were again subjected to evaporation for 10 min at 50 mbar and 40°C. At this point, the gel completely collapsed and [ML] or [ML-DOX] were formed. Samples were centrifuged at 100g for 3 min to remove any large aggregates, and the supernatant was then
extruded sequentially using 400 nm and 200 nm polycarbonate track-etched membranes. The samples were centrifuged again at 1000g for 25 min to remove unencapsulated DOX and IONs.

[ML] or [ML-DOX] were coated with poly-L-lysine by adding them dropwise to 0.5 mg mL\(^{-1}\) of PLL in deionized water and then stirring and resting for 30 min at room temperature. The [ML\(_P\)] or [ML\(_P\)-DOX] were centrifuged for 25 min at 1000g to remove the excess PLL from solution. The resuspended samples were stored at 4 °C until further use.

**Preparation of PEG-[ML\(_P\)G] and PEG-[ML\(_P\)G-DOX]**

To form nanoshells, 200 µL of HAuCl\(_4\) aqueous solution (25 mM) was added to a [ML\(_P\)] or [ML\(_P\)-DOX] dispersion. Ascorbic acid solution (200 µL, 50 mM) was added and mixed for 5 min to complete the reduction. The resulting [ML\(_P\)G] or [ML\(_P\)G-DOX] structures were added to a solution of SH-PEG\(_{2000}\) (12.5 mg mL\(^{-1}\)), vortex mixed and centrifuged, and washed multiple times at 1000g for 25 min to remove the unbound SH-PEG\(_{2000}\). The final samples were stored at 4 °C. Au and Fe concentrations were determined by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) analysis (Thermo X-Series 2 with a New Wave UP123 Nd-YAG laser ablation system).

**Nanostructure Characterization**

The size and surface charge (zeta potential) were determined by dynamic light scattering measurements using a Malvern Zetasizer Nano-ZS (Worcestershire, United Kingdom) equipped with a backscattering detector angle of 173° and a 4 mW, 633 nm He–Ne laser. The z-averaged hydrodynamic radii and zeta potentials reported are based on triplicate measurements each with 10 scans. The morphology of the nanostructure’s assemblies was examined by cryogenic transmission electron microscopy (Cryo-TEM; JEOL JEM-2100F TEM, Peabody, MA) operating
at 200 kV using a liquid nitrogen cooling stage (Model 915, Gatan Inc., Pleasanton, CA). Specimens were prepared by depositing 10 µL of sample onto a Quantfoil copper grid with a 200 square mesh overlaid with a 2 µm holey carbon layer (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA). The sample grids were vitrified in liquid ethane using a Vitrobot (FEI Company, Hillsboro). For regular TEM samples, specimens were prepared by placing a drop of the sample onto a carbon-coated copper grid with 300 mesh and slow drying under vacuum.

**Radiofrequency Heating**

RF-heating experiments were conducted using an RF generator (Hotshot™, Ameritherm Inc., Scottsville, New York) with an 8-turn copper coil with an inner diameter of 2.54 cm placed around a thermally isolated sample glass vial. Power was supplied at 1 kW with a frequency of 368 kHz and an amplitude of 160 A. Bulk temperature was monitored using an infrared thermal imaging camera (FLIR Ax5 camera). Specific absorbance rates (SAR) were determined from measured heat generation (energy dissipation) after subtracting the effect of RF-heating of water in the absence of nanoparticles, $d(T-T_{water})/dt$, using equation 1\textsuperscript{57}:

$$\text{SAR} \left( \frac{\text{W}}{\text{g}} \right) = \frac{c_p}{m_{MNP}} \times \frac{d(T-T_{water})}{dt}$$

(1)

where $c_p$ is the weighted specific heat capacity of the medium (4.2 J g\(^{-1}\) °C\(^{-1}\)), and $m_{MNP}$ is the concentration (g L\(^{-1}\) of Fe) of magnetic material in solution. The calorimetric measurements were carried out in quasi-adiabatic conditions, and the initial slope of the curve $d(T-T_{water})/dt$ was measured over the first 100 s of stimulation.

**Photothermal Heating**
NIR photothermal heating was conducted by irradiating samples at 785 nm laser (power density 3.5 W cm$^{-2}$) source (BWF1-785-450E/55371) for 10 min. Temperature was monitored using a thermocouple (Personal Daq View) fitted to the side of the vial.

Photothermal conversion efficiency ($\eta$) was determined as previously reported\textsuperscript{58,59}

$$\eta = \frac{hS(T_{max} - T_\infty) - Q_{dis}}{I(1 - 10^{-A_{785}})}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $h$ is the heat transfer coefficient, $S$ is the surface area of the vial, $T_{max}$ is the maximum steady-state temperature of the sample solution (43.8 °C for PEG-[ML\textsubscript{PG}]), $T_\infty$ is the ambient surrounding temperature (25 °C), $Q_{dis}$ is the heat dissipated from the light absorbed by the water and container, $I$ is the laser power, and $A_{785}$ is the absorbance of the sample solution at 785 nm (1.015 for PEG-[ML\textsubscript{PG}]). The same experiment was conducted with water as a control to determine $Q_{dis}$ (mW).

$$Q_{dis} = hS (T_{max} - T_\infty)_{water}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The term $hS$ was calculated based on

$$hS = \frac{mc_p}{\tau_s}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $\tau_s$ is the sample system time constant and $m$ is the mass (0.5 g) and $c_p$ is the heat capacity (4.2 J g$^{-1}$ °C$^{-1}$) of water. $\tau_s$ is related to a dimensionless driving force temperature $\theta$ by

$$t = -\tau_s ln\theta$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $t$ is the cooling time and $\theta$ the dimensionless temperature.

$$\theta = (T - T_\infty)/(T_{max} - T_\infty)$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

The time constant for heat transfer from our system was determined to be $\tau_s = 173.2$ s by applying the linear time data from the cooling period (after 10 min) vs -In$\theta$. Using equation (4), $hS$ was calculated to be 12.1 mW °C$^{-1}$. 

8
Drug Encapsulation and Stimuli Responsive Release

The encapsulation efficiency of DOX was determined by adding 2 wt% Triton X-100 to solubilize [ML-DOX]. DOX concentration was determined by fluorescence spectrophotometer (Perkin-Elmer LS55, Waltham MA, USA) at excitation and emission wavelength of 484 nm and 598 nm, respectively. The encapsulation efficiency was calculated as (weight of loaded drug) / (weight of initially added drug) × 100%.

DOX release was examined with and without RF or NIR stimuli. PEG-[MLPG-DOX] dispersions (1 mL) were transferred to dialysis bags (Float-A-Lyzer G2; MWCO: 8-10 kDa) after preparation and immersed in Tris-HCl buffer dialysate (10 mM NaCl of pH 7.4). Dialysate samples (0.2 mL) were collected and fresh Tris-HCl buffer was added to the dialysate reservoir to maintain a constant volume. The concentration of DOX in the dialysate was measured by fluorescence spectroscopy.

In Vitro Cell Studies

Cell Culture and Cell Cytotoxicity. A549 cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) with 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 1% penicillin-streptomycin, and 10% Fetal bovine serum (FBS) at 37 °C in a humidified incubator. Cytotoxicity studies were carried out using the MTT ((3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide)) assay.60 A549 cells were seeded into 96-well plates at a concentration of 10⁴ cells per well. After 48 h, the media was discarded and free DOX and PEG-[MLPG-DOX] were diluted in fresh media and added (200 µL) to the wells in at 0.67 µg mL⁻¹ to 6.7 µg mL⁻¹ free and encapsulated DOX. Cells were incubated with these treatments for 48 h. Following incubation, the cells were rinsed twice with PBS and
then incubated with 200 µL of MTT (5 mg mL\(^{-1}\)) at 37 °C and 5% CO\(_2\) under light protection. After 1 h, MTT was removed from each well and DMSO (100 µL) was added to dissolve the purple crystals. The plates were gently shaken for 10 min at room temperature and the absorbance was measured using a multi-mode microplate reader (SpectraMax M2) at 540 nm. Relative cell viability was calculated as a percentage compared to untreated control cells (Sample Absorbance/Control Absorbance x 100%). *In vitro* experiments were conducted in triplicate.

For *in vitro* studies combining DOX delivery and photothermal treatment, A549 cells in 96-well plates were irradiated with a 785 nm laser for 10 min at a power of 450 mW after the initial 4 h of incubation. The cells were then incubated for 48 h and the MTT assay was conducted as described above. A parallel set of experiments was carried out under similar conditions without laser irradiation.

*In vitro* studies combining DOX delivery and RF treatment were conducted using 6 well plates seeded with 5\(\times\)10\(^5\) A549 cells per well that were incubated with cell media containing PEG-[ML\(_{PG}\)-DOX]. The wells were washed three times with 2 mL of 1x PBS, and the cells were detached with trypsin (0.5 wt%) and suspended in 2 mL of culture media. The suspension was added to a 5 mL glass vial and placed inside the RF coil prior to exposure to the RF field for 30 min. Following RF treatment, cells were seeded in 96 well plates in 200 µL of cell culture media (approximately 10\(^4\) cells per well) for 48 h. Cells were then washed once with 1x PBS (100 µL per well) and evaluated by the MTT assay.

*Cell uptake.* A549 cells were seeded in a 96-well plate at 10\(^4\) cells per well and incubated over night at 37 °C. The media was discarded, and the cells were incubated with PEG-[ML\(_{PG}\)-DOX] for 2 h and 4 h. The cells were washed three times with PBS to remove unbounded particles, and the cells were trypsinized by adding 100 µL of trypsin-EDTA. The trypsinized cells were then
analyzed by ICP-MS to determine the amount of iron and gold taken up by the cancer cells. In order to visually check particle uptake, confocal microscopy analysis was performed.

Confocal Laser Scanning Microscopy (CLSM). A549 cells were seeded and grown in 35 mm glass bottom Petri dishes (Mattek) at 5 × 10^5 cells/dish overnight at 37 °C and 5% CO₂. DOX and PEG-[MLPG-DOX] were dispersed in cell medium and incubated with cells. After 4 h, DOX and PEG-[MLPG-DOX] were removed, and the cells were washed three times with PBS and then incubated in media containing Cell Mask Deep Red (Invitrogen) at 0.5 µg mL⁻¹ media for 5 min. The cells were then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min, rinsed three times with PBS, and the nuclei were stained with DAPI (Invitrogen). Fresh PBS was added to the samples, and the cells were imaged using a Nikon Eclipse Ti2 inverted confocal fluorescent microscope.

To confirm the localized photothermal and RF effect of PEG-[MLPG-DOX] cells were incubated with nanostructures for 2 h at 37 °C and 5% CO₂ and were then washed with PBS three times before RF or NIR stimuli. The solutions were stimulated by RF for 30 min or by NIR for 10 min. After stimuli was applied, the cells were incubated for 2 h at 37 °C and imaged by confocal fluorescence microscopy as described previously.

Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS). ICP-MS was used for quantitative elemental analysis of the core-shell nanomaterials in bulk and to confirm in vitro internalization of nanostructures. Prior to elemental analysis, samples were digested with a mixture of HCl and HNO₃ (1:3 v/v) overnight and treated with H₂O₂ at 90 °C for 2 h. The sample volume was adjusted with 2% HNO₃ and the elemental content was analyzed using ICP-MS (Thermo X-Series 2 with a New Wave UP123 Nd-YAG laser ablation system).

Statistical Analysis. Experimental results are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation three independent experiments. Unpaired, two-tailed t-tests were used to evaluate significant
differences between a pair of groups. Levels of significance were $p < 0.05$ (*), $p < 0.01$ (**) and $p < 0.001$ (***)}. The statistical analysis was completed using OriginPro 2019 software. Image analysis was performed using Image J software.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Nanostructure Characterization**

PEG-[MLPG] were prepared by (1) encapsulating IONs within liposomes,\textsuperscript{56} (2) coating the resulting magneto-liposomes with cationic poly-L-lysine, (3) reducing the gold to form a gold nanoshell, and (4) modifying the nanoshell with PEG-thiol. The nanostructures were characterized by DLS after steps (1), (2), and (4) (Figure 1A, B). After each step, the hydrodynamic diameter of the structures increased, and the charge inverted, reflecting a change in surface composition. From these measurements, the GNS thickness was $\sim$16 nm and the effective PEG-thiol coating thickness was $\sim$12 nm. PEG-[MLPG] exhibited a broad absorbance peak with a maximum at 696 nm (Figure 1C). In contrast, gold NPs (GNPs) formed at the same condition in the absence of a liposome template exhibited a sharp peak at 521 nm. Collectively, these results confirm that the synthesis process yielded core-shell nanostructures active in the NIR region.
**Figure 1.** Nanostructure characterization. (A) Hydrodynamic diameter; (B) zeta potential of [ML], [MLₚ], [ML_PG], PEG-[ML_PG]; and (C) UV-vis spectra of GNPs and PEG-[ML_PG]. All measurements were performed in deionized water.

Direct evidence of PEG-[ML_PG] formation was obtained by TEM with representative images shown in Figure 2 depicting ION encapsulation (Figure 2A) and GNS formation (Figure 2B, C). The presence of iron oxide (as Fe) and gold at each step of the process, and their co-localization in the final structure, were confirmed by energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDS) (Figure 2D) and ICP-MS analysis. The final compositions of the PEG-[ML_PG] and PEG-[ML_PG] nanostructures are shown in Table 1.

**Figure 2.** Electron microscopy (EM). (A) Cryo-TEM images of magnetoliposomes (ML); (B) TEM images of core-shell (IONs in core and gold on shell) nanostructures [ML_PG]; and (C) TEM
images of SH-PEG\textsubscript{2000} coated core-shell aggregates PEG-[ML\textsubscript{PG}]. (D) Energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDS) spectra for PEG-[ML\textsubscript{PG}].

**Table 1.** PEG-[ML\textsubscript{PG}-DOX] nanostructure composition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total lipid</td>
<td>2 mg mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron oxide nanoparticles (IONs)\textsuperscript{1}</td>
<td>376 µg mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly-L-lysine (PLL)</td>
<td>500 µg mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold\textsuperscript{2}</td>
<td>181 µg mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doxorubicin (DOX)\textsuperscript{1}</td>
<td>6.7 µg mL\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{1}equivalent concentration of encapsulated components based on ICP-MS (Fe) or fluorescence spectroscopy (DOX)

\textsuperscript{2}equivalent amount of gold on the shell based on ICP-MS

**Radio Frequency Heating**

Brownian (viscous) and Néel (dipolar) relaxation mechanism are responsible for heating ION dispersions in a RF field. RF heating was evaluated for nanostructures with and without gold or iron oxide nanoparticles to obtain SAR values\textsuperscript{61} (Figure 3A). Nanostructures without IONs, PEG-[L\textsubscript{PG}], generated heat when compared to the water control sample consistent with reports that gold nanoparticles can be heated in RF fields at similar frequencies.\textsuperscript{62,63} However, only when IONs were encapsulated was significant heat generated. Furthermore, the SAR values for PEG-[ML\textsubscript{PG}] (217.8 W g Fe\textsuperscript{-1}) and [ML\textsubscript{PG}] (204.6 W g Fe\textsuperscript{-1}) were significantly greater than for [ML\textsubscript{P}] (93.8 W g Fe\textsuperscript{-1}), indicating that colocalization of a GNS and IONs increased the SAR values more than two-fold. TEM analysis of PEG-[ML\textsubscript{PG}] before and after RF heating (Figure 3B) showed that RF heating caused the release of IONs and GNPs from the nanostructures.
Figure 3. (A) RF heating for water (open white circle), [MLP] (blue), [MLPG] (purple), PEG-[MLPG] (black), and PEG-[LP] (red) at 368 KHz and 160 A. The samples contained 376 ± 93 µg mL\(^{-1}\) Fe. (B) Electron micrograph of PEG-[MLPG] before and after RF or NIR stimulation. (C) Photothermal NIR heating of PEG-[MLPG] and water at a power density 3.5 W cm\(^{-2}\) and (D) temperature cycle stability of PEG-[MLPG] over 5 ON/OFF NIR cycles. (E) Temperature evolution of PEG-[MLPG] upon NIR laser irradiation for 10 min followed by cooling (Inset: cooling time as a function of -Ln (θ)).

Photothermal Heating

Photothermal heating of PEG-[MLPG] was examined with a 785 nm NIR laser diode source at a power density of 3.5 W cm\(^{-2}\) to determine the energy conversion efficiency (Figure 3C-E). \(\Delta T_{\text{max}}\) was proportional to the gold concentration and, after subtracting the temperature rise due to the blank water sample, reached 15.6 °C after 10 min (Figure 3C). To assess the photostability of
PEG-[MLPG], five ON/OFF cycles of NIR stimuli (10 min ON and 10 min OFF; Figure 3D) were performed. The results (Figure 3C) show a consistent ΔT\text{max}, highlighting the stability of PEG-[MLPG] for achieving successive photothermal treatments. The photothermal conversion efficiency of 46.4% is comparable with other gold nanoshells reported in the literature.\textsuperscript{25,53}

**Drug Encapsulation Efficiency and Release**

The DOX encapsulation efficiency for [ML-DOX] was 43.7%. PEG-No measurable DOX release was observed after polyelectrolyte coating or after gold nanoshell formation (results not shown), confirming that the structures retained their cargo through the assembly process. Cumulative DOX release was examined with or without external RF or NIR stimuli at 37 °C and pH 7.4 (Figure 4). Significant passive release (no RF) was observed for [ML-DOX] over 24 h. Comparatively, passive release for PEG-[MLPG-DOX] was less than 10%, which can be attributed to the additional barrier properties of the GNS. When exposed to an RF field for the first 30 min of the experiment, PEG-[MLPG-DOX] released 25% of the DOX after 5 min and nearly 40% after 24 h.

Increased DOX release was also observed following NIR stimulus. In this case, stimulus was applied periodically in an “ON/OFF” mode with NIR laser irradiation “ON” for 10 min intervals at 1 h, 3 h, 10 h, and 22 h. At each “ON” stimulus interval, there was an immediate release of DOX. When the stimulus was “OFF”, DOX release decreased to a rate similar to the control sample without stimulus.

For both RF and NIR stimulus the increase in DOX release can be attributed to the combination of heat generation and physical disruption of the shell structure, both of which increased the effective shell permeability. As shown in Figures 3B, PEG-[MLPG-DOX] structures were deformed after RF or NIR stimulation, respectively. The cumulative DOX release was limited
to less than 40% in both cases, despite partial shell disruption. This is attributed to the presence of polycation PLL, which likely trapped anionic DOX within the assemblies via electrostatic attraction.

**Figure 4.** DOX release profile for PEG-[MLPG-DOX] (A) with or without RF treatment and (B) with or without laser at 37 °C and pH 7.4. RF stimulus was applied for the first 30 min. NIR stimulus was applied for 10 min at 1 h, 3 h, 10 h, and 22 h (circled increments; Figure 4B).

**Cytotoxicity**

There are few reports concerning the toxicity of magneto-plasmonic nanoparticles, particularly based on liposomal systems for cancer cell treatment.\(^\text{20,64}\) The cytotoxicity towards A549 cells was examined with and without RF or NIR stimulation (Figure 5A), with encapsulated DOX without stimulation (Figure 5B), and with combined stimulation and DOX release (Figure 5C) over 48 h incubation. Without encapsulated DOX or stimulation, [ML\(_\text{PG}\)] (Figure 5A) and PEG-[ML\(_\text{PG}\)] (Figure 5C) were not cytotoxic. However, cytotoxicity was observed with RF or NIR stimulation applied 4 h after the addition of PEG-[ML\(_\text{PG}\)], confirming hypothermia treatment (Figure 5A). RF or NIR treatment alone did not impact cell viability (results not shown).
The cytotoxicity of the PEG-[MLPG-DOX] and free DOX was examined as a function of DOX concentration. PEG-[MLPG-DOX] were toxic in a dose-dependent manner where cell viability decreased to 55.3% for encapsulated DOX at 6.7 µg mL⁻¹. Cytotoxicity of free DOX was also dose-dependent at 48 h (Figure 5B) and greater than that of PEG-[MLPG-DOX] as it can freely diffuse into cells and is more bioavailable than when encapsulated and retained within the liposomes.³⁸,⁴⁸ A passive DOX release from PEG-[MLPG-DOX] of 10% (Figure 4A) would yield an effective DOX dose of 0.67 µg mL⁻¹. There is good agreement in the cell viabilities for free DOX at 0.67 µg mL⁻¹ and the effective DOX dose, confirming that passive DOX release from PEG-[MLPG-DOX] is responsible for the cytotoxicity.

With DOX encapsulation coupled with RF or NIR stimulation, A549 cell viability decreased to 17.8% and 24.6% (p < 0.01 relative to no stimulation) at an equivalent concentration of DOX 6.7 µg mL⁻¹, respectively (Figure 5C). Free DOX at the same concentration led to a cell viability of 16%, suggesting that the mechanism treatment could be the near-complete DOX release from the nanostructures via RF or NIR stimuli. However, if we consider that only a portion of DOX is released with RF or NIR exposure (Figure 4), the mechanism can be attributed to the combined effect of partial DOX release and RF or NIR hyperthermia.

**Figure 5.** Cell viability of A549 cells treated with different formulations. (A) Cell exposed to [MLPG] and PEG-[MLPG] before and after RF or NIR stimulation. (B) Cells exposed to PEG-
[ML$_{PG}$-DOX] and free DOX at different concentration of DOX after 48 h incubation. (C) Cell exposed to PEG-[ML$_{PG}$-DOX] with RF or NIR stimulation.

**Cellular Uptake and Stimuli-triggered Intracellular DOX Release**

Nanoparticle uptake was examined by confocal microscopy. Free DOX was observed in both the cytosol and nucleus (Figure 6A, top). However, DOX was only observed in the cytosol when encapsulated within PEG-[ML$_{PG}$-DOX] (Figure 6A, bottom). Hence, without stimulation DOX remains trapped within the internalized nanostructures. ICP-MS was used to quantify the extent of PEG-[ML$_{PG}$-DOX] uptake at incubation times of 2 h, 4 h, and 6 h. Based on the Au and Fe concentrations, the amount of PEG-[ML$_{PG}$-DOX] internalized by the cells was 42.3%, 67.1%, and 77.8%, respectively.

Direct evidence of triggered intracellular release via RF or NIR stimulation was obtained by microscopy, complimenting the cell viability studies. After RF or NIR stimulation, DOX was co-localized with DAPI (blue) in the nucleus, in addition to being present in the cytosol (Figure 6B). This confirms that stimulation led to the release of DOX, which then entered the nucleus and intercalated within DNA to cause cytotoxicity.
Figure 6. (A) Confocal microscopy images of A549 cells incubated with (A) free DOX and PEG-[MLPG-DOX] and with (B) A549 cells incubated with PEG-[MLPG-DOX] after RF or NIR laser irradiation. Blue, green, and red emissions indicate DAPI, cell mask, and DOX, respectively (scale bar reflects 10 μm and is common to all images).

CONCLUSIONS

We have successfully developed liposomal-based magneto-plasmonic core-shell multifunctional nanostructures capable of triggered, intracellular drug release using self-assembled templates. The
templates were coated with a polycation that served two functions – to facilitate gold nanoshell formation by enriching the interface with gold anion and to strengthen the structure against spontaneous drug leakage. This approach provides a versatile templating technique for creating complex core-shell nanostructures. Without the external stimuli the structures retained their DOX cargo and were capable of delivering it intracellularly. Once inside the cells, both RF and NIR laser stimuli caused the structures to heat, deform, and release the encapsulated DOX. Similar cytotoxicity was observed for intracellularly-triggered DOX release and the free DOX treatment at an equivalent DOX concentration. Additional studies are needed to determine the intracellular fate of the nanostructures before and after RF or NIR stimulation, the effect of simultaneous RF and NIR exposure, and the ability to incorporate the targeting ligands.
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