THE UNIVERSITY OF RHODE ISLAND

University of Rhode Island DigitalCommons@URI

Natural Resources Science Faculty Publications

Natural Resources Science

2013

High population density recruitment and survival of a harvested coral reef fish

Clare L. Wormald University of Rhode Island

Mark A. Steele

Graham E. Forrester University of Rhode Island, gforrester@uri.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/nrs_facpubs

Citation/Publisher Attribution

Wormald, C. L., Steele, M. A., & Forrester, G. E. High population density recruitment and survival of a harvested coral reef fish. *Ecological Applications*, *23*(2), 365-373. https://doi.org/10.1890/12-0790.1 Available at: https://doi.org/10.1890/12-0790.1

This Article is brought to you by the University of Rhode Island. It has been accepted for inclusion in Natural Resources Science Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact digitalcommons-group@uri.edu. For permission to reuse copyrighted content, contact the author directly.

High population density recruitment and survival of a harvested coral reef fish

The University of Rhode Island Faculty have made this article openly available. Please let us know how Open Access to this research benefits you.

This is a pre-publication author manuscript of the final, published article.

Terms of Use

This article is made available under the terms and conditions applicable towards Open Access Policy Articles, as set forth in our Terms of Use.

1	Running head: Inverse density dependence in harvested fish
2	
3	
4	High population density enhances recruitment and survival of a harvested coral reef
5	fish
6	
7	Clare L. Wormald ^{1,2,*} , Mark A. Steele ¹ and Graham E. Forrester ²
8	
9	¹ Department of Biology, California State University, Northridge, 18111 Nordhoff Street,
10	Northridge, CA 91330
11	² Department of Natural Resources Science, University of Rhode Island, Kingston, RI 02881
12	
13	
14	

^{*} Email: cwormald@csun.edu

15 ABSTRACT

16 A negative relationship between population growth and population density (direct density 17 dependence) is necessary for population regulation and is assumed in most models of 18 harvested populations. Experimental tests for density dependence are lacking for large-19 bodied, harvested fish because of the difficulty of manipulating population density over large 20 areas. We studied a harvested coral reef fish, Lutjanus apodus (schoolmaster snapper) using 21 eight large isolated natural reefs (0.4-1.6 ha) in the Bahamas as replicates. An initial 22 observational test for density dependence was followed by a manipulation of population 23 density. The manipulation weakened an association between density and shelter-providing 24 habitat features, and revealed a positive effect of population density on recruitment and 25 survival (inverse density dependence), but no effect of density on somatic growth. The 26 snappers on an individual reef were organized into a few shoals, and we hypothesize that 27 large shoals on high-density reefs were less vulnerable to large piscivores (groupers and 28 barracudas) than the small shoals on low-density reefs. Reductions in predation risk for 29 individuals in large social groups are well documented but, because snapper shoals occupied 30 reefs the size of small marine reserves, these ecological interactions may influence the 31 outcome of management actions. 32

- 33
- 34

35 KEYWORDS: coral reef fish, critical habitat, demographic rate, density dependence, inverse
 36 density dependence, large-scale experiment, marine protected area, recruitment, survival.

37

39 INTRODUCTION

40 Density dependence is central to population dynamics and is required for population 41 regulation (Murdoch 1994). Most models for harvested populations assume that per-capita 42 population growth rates will increase when and where harvesting reduces population density 43 by increases in rates of growth, reproductive output, or survival (Sissenwine 1984, Rose et al. 44 2001). This direct density-dependent feedback is expected because unharvested populations 45 are assumed to be at their carrying capacity set by limited resources (Rose et al. 2001). This 46 assumption may, however, be false in situations where unharvested populations are kept 47 below carrying capacity by predators, disturbance, or changing environmental conditions. 48 Evidence for direct density dependence in harvested marine species is limited because 49 testing is difficult for several reasons. Firstly, many stocks have been so depleted that there 50 are simply no high-density populations to study (Myers and Cadigan 1993). Secondly, it is 51 sometimes difficult to isolate effects of density using observational studies because 52 population density often covaries with other variables (Shima and Osenberg 2003). For 53 example, animals tend to select better quality habitats and so they are often naturally more 54 crowded in better quality habitat. Experimental manipulation of population density can 55 mitigate these difficulties, but it is logistically challenging with harvested species, and so has 56 only been done using small juveniles (reviewed in White et al. 2010). In contrast, density-57 manipulations have been performed many times using small-bodied reef fishes because they 58 are abundant, have small home ranges, and can be stocked on small experimental habitat 59 replicates to create gradients of density (Hixon and Webster 2002, Osenberg et al. 2002). It is 60 hoped that insights into density dependence learned from these "model species" apply to 61 larger harvested species that are more difficult to work with, but there is no empirical basis to 62 conclude that they do.

63 Studies on "model species", like small coral-reef fishes, have revealed that direct 64 density dependence is common (Hixon and Webster 2002), but not universal. Some studies 65 have revealed no effects of density (e.g., Doherty 1983), and others have revealed inverse 66 density dependence (e.g., Booth 1995, Sandin and Pacala 2005, White and Warner 2007). 67 White et al. (2010) hypothesized that inverse density dependence was more likely in 68 schooling species, which benefit from group living, whereas direct density dependence might 69 be more prevalent in solitary species. Extrapolating to harvested fishes, we might expect that 70 species living in schools are more likely to experience inverse density dependence (Clark 71 1974, Murphy 1980).

72 Because density-dependent interactions determine how populations respond to 73 thinning or crowding, a better understanding of their effects is necessary for successful management and conservation. For example, the relative efficacy of marine reserves versus 74 75 more traditional fisheries regulations in maximizing harvest hinges on the form and intensity 76 of density dependence (Hastings and Botsford 1999). The crowding that follows the build-up 77 of harvested fish populations within no-take reserves (Halpern 2003, Lester et al. 2009) is 78 predicted to induce direct density dependence (Sanchez Lizaso et al. 2000), which in turn 79 should decrease the fisheries yield from reserves via the export of young to fished areas 80 (Hastings and Botsford 1999, Gerber et al. 2003). If, instead, the steady build-up inside 81 reserves leads to increased survival or reproductive rates (inverse density dependence) this 82 may increase fisheries production in surrounding areas through reproductive export. With 83 inverse density dependence, a network of marine reserves might produce higher overall 84 yields than more traditional management tools, such as catch limits.

Here we report the results of an observational test for density dependence in a
harvested coral reef fish, followed immediately by a field manipulation of population density.
We used a set of large isolated natural reefs as replicates, each of which was comparable in

size to a small marine reserve. By working in a lightly fished area, we were able to create a gradient of density that spanned most of the natural range for the study species. Specifically, our goals were to (1) perform a correlational test for density dependence under natural conditions, 2) test the relationship between density and habitat attributes, and 3) manipulate density to reduce its correlation with habitat attributes to get a stronger test for density dependence.

94

95 METHODS

96 *Study populations*

97 The study species, Lutjanus apodus Walbaum (the schoolmaster snapper) is one of the 98 most abundant large generalist carnivores on reefs in the Caribbean and subtropical Atlantic. 99 L. apodus is harvested from coral reefs throughout the region (Anderson 2002) and is found 100 in schools of several to hundreds of individuals. We studied populations of L. apodus on 8 101 natural reefs in the Bahamas (23°46' N, 76°10' W) that were spread over a 25-km long area 102 and sufficiently isolated to serve as natural replicates (Appendix A). Each study reef was 103 separated from other suitable habitat for L. apodus by at least hundreds of meters of sand 104 plains or seagrass beds, and from other study reefs by 1 - 6 km (Appendix A). Other small 105 fragments of suitable back-reef habitat existed in the study area, but our study sites contained 106 the largest expanses and the majority of suitable habitat for L. apodus in the area. Individual reefs contained between 0.4 and 1.6 hectares of suitable habitat for our study species, and so 107 108 were comparable in size to small marine reserves (IUCN and UNEP 2010). The study reefs 109 were all back reefs in shallow water (1-10 m), and were primarily composed of coral heads, 110 soft corals and sponges on sand, rubble or pavement substrata. They ranged in shape from 111 rings of reef habitat surrounding small islands, to long, isolated patch reefs, to large expanses

of reef off the shores of islands. The study populations are fished infrequently, because
human population densities are low in this area and fishers still target higher value fisheries
for conch, lobster and Nassau grouper, which are no longer viable in much of the Caribbean
(Chiappone et al. 2000).

116 Study design

117 Our study was conducted in two phases: an observational phase and an experimental 118 phase. The 2-year observational phase (June 2002 – June 2004) mimicked the typical design 119 of tests for density dependence in harvested species. In the third year (July 2004 – August 120 2005), densities of L. apodus were manipulated to provide a stronger test of density-121 dependent feedbacks (detailed below). During both phases, survival and growth were measured by standard mark-recapture methods (described later). Recruitment was measured 122 123 with underwater visual censuses (described below) only during the experimental phase. We 124 tested for density dependence by correlating per-capita vital rates (survival, recruitment and 125 somatic growth) with spatio-temporal fluctuations in snapper density.

126 *Estimating population density of* L. apodus

127 Population density and size structure (standard length (SL)) of L. apodus, were 128 assessed by underwater visual census along 3–7 permanent 50 x 10 m band transects at each 129 site. Transects were counted by a single observer (the first author) on 18 occasions between 130 June 2002 and August 2005. A pilot study showed that underwater visual estimates of fish length were quite accurate. The first author visually estimated the SL of individually 131 132 recognizable (externally tagged) L. apodus during a census and the same fish were then 133 captured and measured. The mean difference between estimated and actual SL was 0.7 cm, and paired measurements were statistically indistinguishable (paired *t*-test, n = 44, t = 1.5, df 134 = 43, *P* = 0.14; Wormald and Steele 2008). 135

136

Quantifying habitat quality

137 Structural attributes of the habitat were quantified to assess the influence of the physical environment on L. apodus density. Preliminary observations revealed that this 138 139 species congregated near large coral heads or limestone boulders (lithified carbonate 140 sediments fallen from adjacent islands). Coral and limestone boulders were distinguished 141 because coral boulders usually include a larger number of internal voids than similarly sized 142 limestone boulders and our observations suggested they provide more shelter space for L. 143 apodus. The height, width and breadth of all coral heads and limestone boulders larger than 144 0.5 m in any dimension was measured on each of the permanent 50 x 10 m band transects 145 surveyed for L. apodus. These habitat features were surveyed only once because they did not 146 change perceptibly over the study period. Since we did not know beforehand which specific 147 features of the coral heads and boulders L. apodus might be responding to, we evaluated a number of metrics: total number of coral and limestone boulders per m², the sum of coral and 148 limestone boulder heights per m², sum of coral and limestone boulder volume per m², total 149 150 number of coral boulders per m², sum of coral boulder heights per m², and the sum of coral 151 boulder volume per m². Models including only limestone boulders and not coral boulders 152 were not evaluated because limestone boulders were relatively rare and present at only 3 of the 8 sites. Each metric was related to the density of L. apodus using linear regression and the 153 best metric was defined as the one that explained most variation in density (highest r^2 value) 154 155 and had the highest model likelihood (lowest corrected Akaike Information Criterion (AICc) 156 value; Burnham and Anderson 2002).

157 *Recruitment to the study reefs*

L. apodus juveniles occupy mangroves and shallow inshore areas nursery areas before
 recruiting to coral reefs. Most recruitment to the study reefs occurred between July and
 November and recruits are between 8-14 cm SL when they first appear (Nagelkerken et al.,

161	2002, Wormald 2007). Operationally, we defined all <i>L. apodus</i> less than 14 cm SL counted
162	during the underwater visual transects as recruits. Our analysis of recruitment used data only
163	from 2004 and 2005 (after the density manipulation), because we did not collect data in
164	autumn of the previous two years.

General linear models were used to test whether recruit density was a function of post-recruit (*L. apodus* \geq 14 cm SL) density, habitat quality (coral boulder volume m⁻²) or differed among years (2004 and 2005). Site means each year were replicates for the analysis (8 sites x 2 years; *n* = 16). Different combinations of independent variables were tested, and the best model was chosen based on relative model likelihood (AICc values) and variance explained (*r*² values).

171

Mark-recapture sampling for growth and survival

172 Capture-mark-recapture (CMR) methods were used to measure growth and estimate 173 survival throughout the study (Lebreton et al. 1992). Twelve CMR sessions were conducted 174 between June 2002 and August 2005, each lasting between 1 and 4 weeks. During each 175 session, fish were sampled using unbaited 2.5-cm square-mesh fish traps with a 24-hour soak 176 time and all L. apodus captured were given unique passive integrated transponder (PIT) tags 177 (12 mm, 125kHz; Allflex USA Inc., Dallas, Texas). Captured L. apodus were first 178 anaesthetized in a 200 mg/L solution of tricane methanesulfonate (MS-222) in seawater 179 buffered with sodium bicarbonate. Once anaesthetized, they were measured (SL) and scanned 180 for a PIT tag. A PIT tag was injected into the abdominal cavity of all untagged fish. In a 181 previous double-tagging study (n = 376), PIT tag loss was < 6% in the first week and 0% in 182 the subsequent year, so we assumed loss of PIT tags was negligible (Wormald and Steele 183 2008). After processing, each fish was placed into a holding tank with fresh seawater for 10 184 to 20 minutes. The fish were then released by placing them in an opened trap near a coral head at the capture site. This method was developed during a 2001 pilot study and, based on 185

our observations, ensured that *L. apodus* were able to safely rejoin their school upon release.
A total of 1,863 *L. apodus* were tagged, ranging in size from 10-40 cm SL. Seven hundred
and sixty nine individuals were recaptured at least once, and the interval between recaptures
ranged from 1-809 days.

190

Experimental phase: density manipulation

In the initial observational phase of the study, *L. apodus* populations were denser at sites with abundant shelter (Fig. 1) so we manipulated population densities to reduce the correlation between fish density and habitat. The 8 study sites were divided into 4 pairs that had similar densities and habitat characteristics. One member of each pair was randomly assigned for reduction of density and the other for density-elevation (Appendix A). We moved fish from reduction to elevation reefs 4 times over a span of 12 months to maintain differences between the treatments (a press manipulation, sensu Bender et al. 1994).

Fish were moved among reefs during trapping sessions. At reduced density sites, a subset of captured *L. apodus* was haphazardly selected for relocation. Selected fish were placed in holding tanks in the boat and driven to the designated density-elevation reef. At the elevation reef, the fish were placed in a closed fish trap near to coral heads harboring other schoolmasters and allowed to acclimate for 20 minutes before being released by a freediver. In a separate analysis, we detected no difference in growth and survival of PIT tagged fish that were relocated from those remaining at their home site (Wormald 2007).

Based on the 18 underwater visual censuses (7 before the manipulation and 11 after it), we succeeded in altering densities at the study sites, as indicated by a significant interaction between treatment (density elevation or reduction) and time period (pre- or postmanipulation) (ANOVA: $F_{1,123} = 19.92$, P < 0.001) (Appendix B); and in weakening the correlation between density and habitat attributes (Fig. 1).

210

Estimating somatic growth rates

211 Growth was estimated using PIT tagged individuals that were recaptured at least once. 212 Daily growth was calculated as the change in SL divided by the number of days at liberty. To 213 test the precision of SL measurements made in the boat, we compared repeated measurements 214 of fish recaptured after less than 7 days at liberty (based on the conservative assumption that, 215 over such as short time, differences reflect only measurement error). Repeated measurements 216 of the same fish differed by 0.14 cm SL on average (n = 61). To minimize the influence of 217 this slight measurement error, we only analyzed growth for individuals at liberty for more 218 than 38 days (mean growth after 38 days = 0.37 cm). Growth estimates were obtained from 219 299 individuals in the pre-manipulation period and 187 in the post-manipulation period. For 220 individuals recaptured more than once, we used a single growth estimate derived from the 221 change in length over the longest at-liberty span. Average growth was 1.66 cm, considerably 222 more than our measurement error.

223 To test the effect of density on growth rate, it was necessary to correct for the effect of 224 a fish's initial size on its daily growth rate because growth declined with size. To remove this 225 effect of size, rather than analyze absolute growth in mm/day, we used residuals from the 226 relationship between daily growth and initial size. These residuals were not normally 227 distributed and so to meet this assumption they were log transformed (after adding 0.002 to 228 each residual to make them all positive). We used linear regression to test for an effect of 229 density and habitat (coral boulder volume m⁻²) on growth rate. Separate regressions were run 230 for the pre- and post-density manipulation periods, and mean growth rates per reef were used 231 as replicates (n = 8 per period). We tested models that included terms for adult density, 232 habitat, and both factors.

233 *Estimating survival rates*

234 Per-capita rates of apparent survival (Φ) were estimated using the recapture histories 235 of the 1863 PIT-tagged fish (Lebreton et al. 1992). We refer to Φ as apparent survival, since 236 the permanent disappearance of tagged fish could represent either mortality or emigration. 237 Because there were 12 recapture sessions and some fish were recaptured more than once 238 (maximum = 9 recaptures), we were able to model both Φ and the probability that a fish 239 would be captured if present (p) using the Cormack-Jolly-Seber (CJS) model (White and 240 Burnham 1999). The time step for the CJS models was one month (i.e., Φ and p are 241 probabilities measured over 30 days).

242 The CJS model assumes p is a function of site and time. Preliminary modeling of 243 recapture histories revealed that L. apodus captured in the preceding trapping session had a slightly higher capture probability in the next session than other individuals (i.e., they were 244 245 "trap-happy") (Wormald and Steele 2008). To account for this bias in capture probability, p 246 was modeled as a function of m (whether a fish was captured in the preceding session) 247 (following Pradel 1993). Tests of model fit showed that p was well described as an additive 248 function of site, time, and m (Wormald 2007); and p was modeled as such in all analyses of 249 factors affecting Φ .

We assessed effects of density and habitat on Φ over the entire study period by constructing a candidate set of plausible CJS models for Φ that incorporated different combinations of covariates (density and habitat) plus relevant grouping variables (site and time). Relative fit of the models to the data was assessed using AICc, which is particularly useful when comparing models with varying numbers of parameters because it reflects both model fit to the data and simplicity (few parameters) (Burnham and Anderson 2002).

256 **RESULTS**

257 During the initial observational phase, the density of *L. apodus* was positively associated with various metrics quantifying the availability of large coral boulders on a reef 258 259 (Fig.1, Appendix C). The volume of coral boulders per m^2 was a slightly better predictor of L. apodus density than either the height or number of boulders per m², suggesting that L. apodus 260 261 responds to the three-dimensional structure of the corals (Appendix C). Coral boulders were 262 common at all 8 sites, whereas terrigenous limestone boulders occurred at only 3 sites and 263 were relatively rare. Adding the availability of limestone boulders to regression models for L. 264 *apodus* density always weakened the prediction (lower r^2 , Appendix C). L. *apodus* was thus 265 more strongly associated with coral boulders, which contain internal voids suitable as shelter, 266 than with terrigenous solid limestone boulders. Our manipulation of density succeeded in 267 weakening the relationship between habitat (coral boulders) and density (Fig. 1), and 268 broadened the range of L. apodus densities on the reefs to span the range reported throughout 269 the Caribbean (Appendix B, Appendix D). The manipulation was thus successful in creating 270 a stronger test of the effect of density, and isolating the relative effects of density and habitat. 271 Recruitment of small L. apodus (< 14 cm SL) after the density manipulation was positively related to the density of larger L. apodus ($F_{1,13} = 7.37$, P = 0.018; Fig. 2), and this 272 relationship was consistent across the two years studied (2004 and 2005) ($F_{1,12} = 2.0, P =$ 273 0.19). There was no compelling evidence that habitat availability (volume of coral boulders) 274 275 affected recruitment (Appendix E). Models to predict recruit density that included a term for 276 the effect of habitat in addition to, or instead of, a term for the density of larger L. apodus 277 were less well supported (model likelihood 0.11 and 0.13, respectively) than the model 278 including only density. In addition, the term for the effect of habitat was not significant in either model (model with habitat only: $F_{1,12} = 0.01$, P = 0.95; model with habitat and density 279 $F_{1,13} = 2.82, P = 0.12$, respectively). 280

Growth of 486 *L. apodus* was measured over intervals from 38-809 days (mean = 214 days) and growth rates ranged from -0.01 to 0.05 cm SL/day (mean = 0.01 cm SL/day). We found no evidence that daily growth was density-dependent. Growth rates in the observational phase of the study, and after the density manipulation, were unrelated to population density, habitat (coral volume per m²) or these two factors combined, (t < 1.12, P> 0.12) (Appendix F).

287 Although we cannot completely rule out the possibility that disappearance of tagged 288 fish from the 8 study reefs was due to emigration, three observations suggest that Φ is 289 primarily a measure of survival. First, none of the 769 recaptured PIT tagged fish moved 290 among the 8 study sites. Second, in a pilot mark-recapture study from 2001-2002, 199 of 376 291 visually tagged L. apodus were resignted, but no resigntings occurred in thorough searches of 292 other reef habitat in the study region. Third, repeated recaptures of PIT tagged individuals at 293 the same site over 4 years, coupled with repeated observations of other recognizable 294 individuals (identified based on scars or other unique visual marks) suggested that site 295 fidelity was high.

296 Comparison of candidate models for Φ revealed the strongest support for a model in 297 which Φ was a simple function of density (Appendix G). The model that included only the 298 covariate density was almost three times better supported than the model that included terms 299 for site and time (to capture unmeasured sources of spatio-temporal variation in Φ); and more 300 than thirty times better supported than a model where Φ was constant (the simplest possible 301 model). Substituting the term for density with a term for habitat (volume of coral boulders per 302 m²) markedly reduced model support (Appendix G). Models including terms for both habitat 303 and density, in additive or multiplicative combinations, produced no improvements in fit over 304 the model just containing density (Appendix G). Reconstituting estimates of Φ across a 305 gradient of L. apodus density revealed that Φ increased at higher densities (i.e., was inversely

density dependent) (Fig. 3). The apparent monthly survival of *L. apodus* was thus higher at
sites and/or during months when their population was dense (Fig. 3).

308

309 **DISCUSSION**

310 We found positive effects of conspecific density on the demography of L. apodus. 311 Our density manipulation weakened the association between L. apodus density and habitat 312 availability (volume of large coral boulders) with the result that, over the entire study period, 313 spatio-temporal fluctuations in apparent survival were better described as a simple function of 314 density than as a function of habitat availability. Model selection analysis, which rewards 315 increased model fit but penalizes increased model complexity, indicated that the 316 improvement in model fit by including a term for the effect of habitat was not sufficient to 317 outweigh the "cost" of increasing model complexity. Although we routinely observed L. 318 apodus congregating near coral boulders, our results suggests that any density-independent 319 effect of this habitat feature on apparent survival is relatively weak compared to the influence 320 of conspecific density. L. apodus is a long-lived fish and small, positive effects of habitat 321 quality on survival of this species might accumulate over several years to generate the 322 correlation between density and coral boulders that we detected.

Although we did not quantify the causes of loss of L. apodus during our study, we 323 324 suggest predation by larger fish as a simple and plausible agent of the inversely density-325 dependent survival we observed. Circumstantial evidence supporting this hypothesis is as 326 follows. Firstly, the fact that apparent survival was density-dependent, whereas somatic 327 growth was not, is consistent with predation as the main agent of mortality rather than factors 328 with an obvious energetic component to their effect, such as food supply or infection with 329 pathogens. Second, although a systematic study of L. apodus behavior was not our intent, 330 observations amassed over more than 3000 hours on SCUBA during the study revealed that

331 L. apodus were grouped into a few schools, which appeared to function as coherent social 332 groups when avoiding or escaping attacks from barracudas and large groupers, which were 333 the predators most frequently observed attacking L. apodus in the area. Larger predatory 334 fishes such as reef sharks (*Carcharhinus perezii*) and lemon sharks (*Negaprion brevirostris*) 335 though occasionally sighted on these reefs were relatively rare, as is the case in most of the 336 Caribbean (Stallings 2009, Ward-Paige et al. 2010). Barracuda and large grouper densities at 337 our sites were relatively lightly impacted by fishing. Although their densities were not as high 338 as found in some marine reserves (Chiappone and Sealey 2000), they were similar to those at 339 other lightly fished sites in the Caribbean (Appendix D), and these predatory fishes should 340 have the potential to control L. apodus populations. The density of these predators was not 341 correlated with density of L. apodus on the study reefs (Appendix D), which is expected 342 because barracuda and large groupers consume a variety of fish species. Lastly, alternate 343 mechanisms known to generate inverse density dependence are not consistent with our 344 results. Cooperative feeding can generate inverse density dependence but is not consistent 345 with the density-independent growth we observed (Courchamp et al. 1999). Demographic 346 stochasticity, genetic inbreeding and reduced heterozygosity can also generate inverse density 347 dependence in very small populations, but are unlikely to be expressed across the wide range of densities we studied (Lande 1998). 348

We also observed a positive association between the densities of new recruits and older individuals. One possible mechanism is recruitment facilitation, a common phenomenon in other group-living reef fishes, in which juveniles select sites with high adult density when they first colonize the reef habitat (reviewed in Hixon and Webster 2002). Alternatively, early survival of recruits (from the time of arrival at a reef to the time of census) might be inversely density-dependent, as shown for larger *L. apodus*.

355 It is well known that some vertebrates forming social groups survive better in large 356 groups because they detect predators earlier, recognize and assess predator intent more 357 effectively, are better able to inhibit predator attacks, or are more confusing to attacking 358 predators than their counterparts in small groups (Pulliam 1973, Bertram 1978, Crawley 359 1992, Pitcher and Parrish 1993). A rich array of these social behaviors have been linked to 360 population level dynamics for small reef fishes and other vertebrates whose behaviors are 361 readily observable (Sutherland 1996). Recent studies have indicated that large, harvested 362 fishes also have complex and varying social organization (Rowe and Hutchings 2003, Egli 363 and Babcock 2004). L. apodus may thus be an example of a harvested species whose 364 antipredator strategies become more effective in large groups.

365 Inverse density dependence expressed only at very low densities, the Allee effect, 366 occurs in many taxa (Courchamp et al. 1999) but is rarely detected in harvested fish (Myers 367 et al. 1995). Models of the Allee effect typically assume that per capita population growth 368 rate is positive below a carrying capacity (set by limited resources) until density drops to a 369 threshold, below which per capita growth becomes negative. An important implication of the 370 Allee effect is that populations dropping below the threshold are less likely to recover, and 371 more likely to go extinct (Dennis 1989, Lande 1998). Inverse density dependence spanning the normal density range, as observed in L. apodus, has been reported relatively infrequently 372 373 (Courchamp et al. 1999) and has different implications for management.

Because many small, no-take reserves are similar in size to our study reefs, our results suggest that a reduction in fishing mortality within reserves should allow *L. apodus* densities to increase, which may in turn produce increased natural survival. This synergistic effect should magnify the build-up of fish within reserves beyond that expected if natural mortality is either density-independent or directly density-dependent (Sanchez Lizaso et al. 2000). Our finding of a strong correlation of density with coral boulder volume, emphasizes the need to

- include high-quality habitat within reserves (e.g., Parnell et al. 2006). Ultimately, of course,
- 381 the benefit to *L. apodus* of being in larger schools within reserves depends on the biological
- 382 mechanism for inverse density dependence. If, as we hypothesize, interactions between *L*.
- 383 *apodus* and their predators are the cause, reserve effects on *L. apodus* will depend on whether
- reserves promote recovery of top predators that consume *L. apodus* (Abrams et al. 2011).
- 385 Although logistically challenging, further experimental and behavioral studies to identify the
- 386 biological mechanisms creating density-dependent feedbacks will thus help predict the
- 387 response of harvested fishes to alternate management actions.
- 388

389 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

390 Research was funded by the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric

- 391 Administration's National Undersea Research Project, the National Science Foundation, the
- 392 University of Rhode Island Graduate School, the Houston Underwater Club SEASPACE
- 393 Scholarship Fund, the PADI Project AWARE Foundation Grant Program, the American
- 394 Society of Ichthyologists and Herpetologists Edward C. Raney Award, and the University of
- 395 Rhode Island Department of Biological Sciences. This research was approved by the
- 396 Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Rhode Island. We thank
- 397 for assistance K. Arkema, M. Briya, W. Holden, C. Juhasz, D. King, T. Konotchick, J. Krug,
- 398 J. Maxfield, K. Nickols, A. Parkin, J. Samhouri, J. Schinske, J. Shapo, G. Simons, K.
- 399 Staniland, A. Stein, J. Stewart, A. Stier, J. Tallman, M. Vasilakos, S. Wells, and A. Yau.
- 400 Comments by S. Cobb, S. McWilliams, P. Paton, C. Recksiek, and P. Dayton and two
- 401 anonymous reviewers improved this manuscript.
- 402

404 LITERATURE CITED

- 405 Abrams, P. A., L. Ruokolainen, B. J. Shuter, and K. S. McCann. 2011. Harvesting creates
- 406 ecological traps: consequences of invisible mortality risks in predator-prey
- 407 metacommunities. Ecology 93: 281-293. http://dx.doi.org/10.1890/11-0011.1
- 408 Anderson Jr, W. D. 2002. Lutjanidae. Pages 1479-1504 in K. E. Carpenter, editor. FAO
- 409 Species Identification Guide For Fishery Purposes. The Living Marine Resources Of The
- 410 Western Central Atlantic. United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization, Rome.
- 411 Bender, E. A., T. J. Case, and M. E. Gilpin. 1984. Perturbation experiments in community
- 412 ecology theory and practice. Ecology 65: 1-13.
- 413 Bertram, B. C. R. 1978. Living in groups: predators and prey. Pages 120-146 in J. R. Krebs
- 414 and N. B. Davies, editors. Behavioural Ecology: An Evolutionary Approach, 3rd Edition.
- 415 Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, UK.
- 416 Booth, D. J. 1995. Juvenile groups in a coral-reef damselfish: Density-dependent effects on
- 417 individual fitness and population demography. Ecology 76: 91-106.
- 418 http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1940634
- 419 Burnham, K. P. and D. R. Anderson. 2002. Model selection and multimodel inference: A
- 420 practical information-theoretic approach. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- 421 Chiappone, M. and K. M. S. Sealey. 2000. Marine reserve design criteria and measures of
- 422 success: Lessons learned from the Exuma Cays Land and Sea Park, Bahamas. Bulletin of
- 423 Marine Science 66: 691-705.
- 424 Chiappone. M., R. Sluka, and K. S. Sealey 2000. Groupers (Pisces: Serranidae) in fished and
- 425 protected areas of the Florida keys, Bahamas and northern Caribbean. Marine Ecology
- 426 Progress Series 198: 261-272. doi:10.3354/meps198261
- 427 Clark, C. W. 1974. Possible effects of schooling on the dynamics of exploited fish
- 428 populations. ICES Journal of Marine Science 36: 7-14. doi: 10.1093/icesjms/36.1.7

- 429 Courchamp, F., T. Clutton-Brock, and B. Grenfell. 1999. Inverse density dependence and the
- 430 Allee effect. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 14: 405-410.
- 431 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(99)01683-3
- 432 Crawley, M. J. 1992. Population dynamics of natural enemies and their prey. Pages 40-89 in
- 433 M. J. Crawley, editor. Natural Enemies: The Population Biology of Predators, Parasites
- 434 and Diseases. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, UK.
- 435 Dennis, B. 1989. Allee effects: population growth, critical density and the chance of
- 436 extinctions. Natural Resource Modelling 3: 481–538.
- 437 Doherty, P. J. 1983. Tropical territorial damselfishes: Is density limited by aggression or
- 438 recruitment? Ecology 64: 176-190. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1937339
- 439 Egli, D. P. and R. C. Babcock. 2004. Ultrasonic tracking reveals multiple behavioural modes
- 440 of snapper (*Pagrus auratus*) in a temperate no-take marine reserve. ICES Journal of
- 441 Marine Science 61: 1137-1143. doi:10.1016/j.icesjms.2004.07.004
- 442 Gerber, L. R, L. W. Botsford, A. Hastings, H. P. Possingham, S. D. Gaines, S. R. Palumbi, et
- 443 al. 2003. Population models for marine reserve design: A retrospective and prospective
- 444 synthesis. Ecological Applications 13: S47-S64. doi:10.1890/1051-
- 445 0761(2003)013[0047:PMFMRD]2.0.CO;2
- 446 Halpern, B. S. 2003. The impact of marine reserves: do reserves work and does reserve size
- 447 matter? Ecological Applications 13: S117-S137. http://dx.doi.org/10.1890/1051-
- 448 0761(2003)013[0117:TIOMRD]2.0.CO;2
- 449 Hastings, A. and L. Botsford. 1999. Equivalence in yield from marine reserves and
- 450 traditional fisheries management. Science 284: 1537-1538.
- 451 doi:10.1126/science.284.5419.1537

- 452 Hixon, M. A. and M. S. Webster. 2002. Density dependence in reef fish populations. Pages
- 453 303-325 in P. F. Sale, editor. Coral Reef Fishes: Dynamics and Diversity in a Complex

454 Ecosystem. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, USA.

- 455 IUCN and UNEP. 2010. The World Database on Protected Areas (WDPA). UNEP-WCMC.
- 456 Cambridge, UK. Available at: http://www.protectedplanet.net. Last accessed April 10,
- 457 2012.
- 458 Lande, R. 1998. Demographic stochasticity and Allee effect on a scale with isotropic noise.
 459 Oikos 83: 353–358.
- 460 Lebreton, J. D., K. P. Burnham, J. Clobert, and D. R. Anderson. 1992. Modeling survival
- 461 and testing biological hypotheses using marked animals a unified approach with case-
- 462 studies. Ecological Monographs. 62: 67-118. doi:10.2307/2937171
- 463 Lester, S. E., B. S. Halpern, K. Grorud-Colvert, J. Lubchenco, B. I. Ruttenberg, and S. D.
- 464 Gaines. 2009. Biological effects within no-take marine reserves: a global synthesis

465 Marine Ecology Progress Series 384: 33-46. doi:10.3354/meps08029

- 466 Murdoch, W. W. 1994. Population regulation in theory and practice. Ecology 75: 271-287.
- 467 doi:10.2307/1939533
- 468 Murphy, G. I. 1980. Schooling and the ecology and management of marine fish. Pages 400-
- 469 414 in J. E. Bardach, J. J. Magnuson, R. C. May, and J. M. Reinhart, editors. Fish
- 470 Behavior and its Use in the Capture and Culture of Fishes. ICLARM Conference
- 471 Proceedings 5. International Center for Living Aquatic Resources Management, Manilla,
 472 Philippines.
- 473 Myers, R. A., N. J. Barrowman, J. A Hutchings, and A. A Rosenberg. 1995. Population-
- 474 dynamics of exploited fish stocks at low population levels. Science 269: 1106-1108.
- 475 doi:10.1126/science.269.5227.1106

- 476 Myers, R.A. and N. G. Cadigan. 1993. Density-dependent juvenile mortality in marine
- 477 demersal fish. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 50: 1576-1590.
- 478 Nagelkerken I., C. M. Roberts, G. van der Velde, M. Dorenbosch, M. C. van Riel, E.
- 479 Cocheret de la Morinière, and P. H. Nienhuis. 2002. How important are mangroves and
- 480 seagrass beds for coral-reef fish? The nursery hypothesis tested on an island scale. Marine
- 481 Ecology Progress Series. 244: 299-305.
- 482 Osenberg, C. W., C. M. St. Mary, R. J. Schmitt, S. J. Holbrook, P. Chesson, P., and B.
- 483 Byrne. 2002. Rethinking ecological inference: density dependence in reef fishes. Ecology

484 Letters 5: 715-721. doi:10.1046/j.1461-0248.2002.00377.x

- 485 Parnell, P. E., P. K. Dayton, C. E. Lennert-Cody, L. L. Rasmussen, and J. J. Leichter. 2006.
- 486 Marine reserve design: optimal size, habitats, species affinities, diversity, and ocean
- 487 microclimate. Ecological Applications 16: 945-962. doi: 10.1890/1051-
- 488 0761(2006)016[0945:MRDOSH]2.0.CO;2
- 489 Pitcher, T.J. and J. K. Parrish. 1993. Functions of shoaling behaviour in teleosts. Pages 363–
- 490 439 in T. J. Pitcher editor. Behaviour of teleost fishes, 2nd Edition. Chapman and Hall,

491 London, UK.

- 492 Pradel, R. 1993. Flexibility in survival analysis from recapture data: handling trap-
- dependence. Pages 29-37 in J.-D. Lebreton and P. M. North editors. Marked individuals
- 494 in the study of bird populations Birkhauser Verlag, Basel.
- 495 Pulliam, H. R. 1973. On the advantages of flocking. Journal of Theoretical Biology 38: 419–
 496 422.
- 497 Rose, K. A., J. H. Cowan, K. O. Winemiller, R. A. Myers, and R. Hilborn. 2001.
- 498 Compensatory density dependence in fish populations: Importance, controversy,
- 499 understanding and prognosis. Fish and Fisheries 2: 293-327. doi:10.1046/j.1467-
- 500 2960.2001.00056.x

- 501 Rowe, S. and J. A Hutchings. 2003. Mating systems and the conservation of commercially
- 502 exploited marine fish. Trends in Ecology and Evolution. 18: 567-572.

503 doi:10.1016/j.tree.2003.09.004

- 504 Sanchez Lizaso, J. L., R. Goni, O. Renones, J. A. Garcia Charton, R. Galzin, J. T. Bayle, P.
- 505 Sanchez Jerez, A. Perez Ruzafa, and A. A. Ramos. 2000. Density dependence in marine
- 506 protected populations: A review. Environmental Conservation 27:144-158.
- 507 Sandin, S. A. and S. W. Pacala. 2005. Fish aggregation results in inversely density
- 508 dependent predation on continuous coral reefs. Ecology 86: 1520-1530.
- 509 http://dx.doi.org/10.1890/03-0654
- 510 Shima, J. S. and C. W. Osenberg. 2003. Cryptic density dependence: effects of covariation
- 511 between density and site quality in reef fish. Ecology 84: 46-52. doi:10.1890/0012-
- 512 9658(2003)084[0046:CDDEOC]2.0.CO;2
- 513 Sissenwine, M. P. 1984. Why do fish populations vary? Pages 59-94 in R. M. May editor.
- 514 Exploitation of Marine Communities. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- 515 Stallings, C. D. 2009. Fishery-independent data reveal negative effect of human population
- 516 density on Caribbean predatory fish communities. PLoS One 4(5): e5333.
- 517 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005333
- 518 Sutherland, W. J. 1996. Population regulation. Pages 103-116. From individual behaviour to
- 519 population ecology. Oxford University Press Inc., New York, NY, USA.
- 520 Ward-Paige C. A., C. Mora, H. K. Lotze, C. Pattengill-Semmens, L. McClenachan, E. Arias-
- 521 Castroet, et al. 2010. Large-scale absence of sharks on reefs in the Greater-Caribbean: A
- 522 footprint of human pressures. PLoS ONE 5(8): e11968.
- 523 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011968
- 524 White, G. C. and K. P. Burnham. 1999. Program MARK: survival estimation from
- 525 populations of marked animals. Bird Study 46: 120-139.

- 526 White, J. W. and R. R. Warner. 2007. Safety in numbers and the spatial scaling of density-
- 527 dependent mortality in a coral reef fish. Ecology 88: 3044-3054.
- 528 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1890/06-1949.1
- 529 White, J. W., J. F. Samhouri, A. C. Stier, C. L. Wormald, S. L. Hamilton, and S. A. Sandin.
- 530 2010. Synthesizing mechanisms of density dependence in reef fishes: behavior, habitat
- 531 configuration, and observational scale. Ecology 91: 1949-1961.
- 532 http://www.esajournals.org/doi/abs/10.1890/09-0298.1
- 533 Wormald, C. L. 2007. Effects of density and habitat structure on growth and survival of
- harvested coral reef fishes. Ph.D. Dissertation. University of Rhode Island, Kingston, RI.
- 535 Wormald, C. L. and M. A. Steele. 2008. Testing assumptions of mark-recapture theory in the
- 536 coral reef fish *Lutjanus apodus*. Journal of Fish Biology 73: 498–509. doi:
- 537 10.1111/j.1095-8649.2008.01942.x
- 538
- 539
- 540

541	APPENDICES
-----	-------------------

542

543 Appendix A

544 A table and a figure showing the location and approximate size of the 8 study reefs in the

545 central Exuma Cays, Bahamas.

546 Appendix B

547 A figure showing that the manipulation of *L. apodus* density succeeded in increasing density

548 at density elevation sites and reducing density at density reduction sites.

549 Appendix C

- 550 A table showing relationships between *L. apodus* density and habitat features used as shelter.
- 551 Appendix D
- 552 A table documenting densities of *L. apodus* and two of their predators at sites throughout the
- 553 Caribbean and tropical Atlantic.

554 Appendix E

- 555 Comparison of general linear models relating recruit density of *L. apodus* to density of older
- 556 conspecifics or habitat features used as shelter.

557 Appendix F

- 558 A figure showing that growth in standard length was unrelated to *L. apodus* density across the
- natural range of densities and across a broader range of experimentally established densities.

560 Appendix G

- 561 A table showing mark-recapture models testing the effects of *L. apodus* density, habitat, site,
- 562 and time on survival probability.

564 **FIGURES**

565

Fig. 1. Relationship between *L. apodus* density and coral boulder volume at n = 8 study sites. 566 Mean densities (number of individuals per $m^2 \pm SE$) of L. apodus and coral boulder volume 567 568 (per m²) are shown for the (a) pre-manipulation period of the study, based on 7 visual censuses between June 2002 and June 2004 ($r^2 = 0.78$, P = 0.002) and the (b) post-569 manipulation period based on 11 visual censuses from August 2004 to August 2005 ($r^2 =$ 570 0.52, P = 0.05). Coral volume (per m²) is based on a single survey (see Methods). The 571 572 manipulation weakened the relationship between L. apodus density and coral volume, allowing isolation of the effects of population density and habitat quality on survival rates. 573 574 575 Fig. 2. Relationship between density of recruit and adult L. apodus (number of individuals per m² ± SE) ($r^2 = 0.34$ and P = 0.02) at n = 8 study sites. There was no significant difference 576 577 in the relationship between the years 2004 (open circles) and 2005 (closed circles) 578 (ANCOVA: $F_{1,12} = 2.0, P = 0.19$). 579 580 Fig. 3. Relationship between survival and density of L. apodus. Survival values (Φ) were 581 reconstituted from parameters estimated by Program MARK, from the model Φ (density), 582 p(site + m + time) fit to the recapture histories of 1863 tagged L. apodus (see Methods). 583 Parameter m represents a short-term trap response in capture probability (p). Survival is the probability of survival over a one-month time period. Broken lines represent the 95% 584 585 confidence interval of the survival estimate.





