THE UNIVERSITY OF RHODE ISLAND

University of Rhode Island DigitalCommons@URI

Biological Sciences Faculty Publications

Biological Sciences

2019

Reduced *Compsilura concinnata* parasitism of New England saturniid larvae

Alex K. Baranowski University of Rhode Island

Catherine Conroy University of Rhode Island

Goerge H. Boettner

Joseph S. Elkinton

Evan L. Preisser University of Rhode Island, preisser@uri.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/bio_facpubs

Citation/Publisher Attribution

Baranowski, A.K., Conroy, C., Boettner, G., Elkinton, J.S., and E.L. Preisser. 2019. Reduced Compsilura concinnata parasitism of New England saturniid larvae. Agricultural and Forest Entomology 21(3): 346-349.

Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/afe.12329

This Article is brought to you by the University of Rhode Island. It has been accepted for inclusion in Biological Sciences Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact digitalcommons-group@uri.edu. For permission to reuse copyrighted content, contact the author directly.

Reduced Compsilura concinnata parasitism of New England saturniid larvae

The University of Rhode Island Faculty have made this article openly available. Please let us know how Open Access to this research benefits you.

This is a pre-publication author manuscript of the final, published article.

Terms of Use

This article is made available under the terms and conditions applicable towards Open Access Policy Articles, as set forth in our Terms of Use.

1	Running head: Silkmoth parasitism by Compsilura						
2							
3							
4	Reduced Compsilura concinnata parasitism of New England saturniid larvae						
5							
6	Alex K. Baranowski ¹ , Catherine Conroy ¹ , George H. Boettner ² , Joseph S. Elkinton ^{2,3} , and						
7	Evan L. Preisser ^{1*}						
8							
9	¹ Department of Biological Sciences, University of Rhode Island, Kingston, RI 02881						
10	² Department of Environmental Conservation, University of Massachusetts- Amherst,						
11	Amherst, MA 01003						
12	³ Department of Organismal and Evolutionary Biology, University of Massachusetts-						
13	Amherst, Amherst, MA 01003						
14							
15	*Author to whom correspondence should be addressed:						
16	Department of Biological Sciences,						
17	University of Rhode Island, Kingston RI 02881						
18	e-mail: preisser@uri.edu; phone: (401) 874-2120						
19							
20	ABSTRACT WORD COUNT: 141						
21	MANUSCRIPT WORD COUNT (excluding abstract & references): 2,505						
22	REFERENCES: 21						
23	TABLES: 1						

Abstract

25	1. In the northeastern United States, the non-native generalist parasitoid Compsilura					
26	concinnata, introduced in the early 20 th century to control forest pests, has been linked to the					
27	decline of giant silk moths (Lepidoptera: Saturniidae).					
28	2. Field research conducted in New England in the late 1990s on two saturniid species,					
29	Hyalophora cecropia and Callosamia promethea, found C. concinnata parasitized 81% and 68%,					
30	respectively, when larvae were reared outdoors and replaced weekly. These parasitism rates,					
31	extrapolated over the larval period, would prevent any larvae from reaching pupation.					
32	3. In 2017 and 2018, we repeated this field experiment using these same two saturniid					
33	species for the same duration, and at the same site, location, and time of year. In 2017, C.					
34	concinnata parasitized only 19% of H. cecropia larvae and 1% of C. promethea larvae; in 2018,					
35	parasitism rates were 3% and 0%, respectively.					
36	Key Words					
36 37	Key Words saturniid, parasitoid, invasive species, community ecology					
363738	Key Words saturniid, parasitoid, invasive species, community ecology Introduction					
36 37 38 39	Key Words saturniid, parasitoid, invasive species, community ecology Introduction Populations of silk moths (Lepidoptera: Saturniidae) in the northeastern United States					
 36 37 38 39 40 	Key Words saturniid, parasitoid, invasive species, community ecology Introduction Populations of silk moths (Lepidoptera: Saturniidae) in the northeastern United States have declined precipitously over the past half-century (Boettner et al. 2000, Wagner 2012,					
 36 37 38 39 40 41 	Key Wordssaturniid, parasitoid, invasive species, community ecologyIntroductionPopulations of silk moths (Lepidoptera: Saturniidae) in the northeastern United Stateshave declined precipitously over the past half-century (Boettner et al. 2000, Wagner 2012,Goldstein et al. 2015). All saturniids have been affected, and the regal moth (<i>Citheronia regalis</i>)					
 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 	Key Wordssaturniid, parasitoid, invasive species, community ecologyIntroductionPopulations of silk moths (Lepidoptera: Saturniidae) in the northeastern United Stateshave declined precipitously over the past half-century (Boettner et al. 2000, Wagner 2012,Goldstein et al. 2015). All saturniids have been affected, and the regal moth (<i>Citheronia regalis</i>)has been extirpated from the New England mainland, the imperial moth (<i>Eacles imperialis</i>) is a					
 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 	Key Wordssaturniid, parasitoid, invasive species, community ecologyIntroductionPopulations of silk moths (Lepidoptera: Saturniidae) in the northeastern United Stateshave declined precipitously over the past half-century (Boettner et al. 2000, Wagner 2012,Goldstein et al. 2015). All saturniids have been affected, and the regal moth (<i>Citheronia regalis</i>)has been extirpated from the New England mainland, the imperial moth (<i>Eacles imperialis</i>) is aMassachusetts Division of Fish and Wildlife Threatened Species, and the barrens buck moth					
 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 	Key Wordssaturniid, parasitoid, invasive species, community ecologyIntroductionPopulations of silk moths (Lepidoptera: Saturniidae) in the northeastern United Stateshave declined precipitously over the past half-century (Boettner et al. 2000, Wagner 2012,Goldstein et al. 2015). All saturniids have been affected, and the regal moth (<i>Citheronia regalis</i>)has been extirpated from the New England mainland, the imperial moth (<i>Eacles imperialis</i>) is aMassachusetts Division of Fish and Wildlife Threatened Species, and the barrens buck moth(<i>Hemileuca lucina</i>) is a Species of Special Concern in Massachusetts (Wagner 2012, Goldstein et al. 2015)					
 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 	Key Wordssaturniid, parasitoid, invasive species, community ecologyIntroductionPopulations of silk moths (Lepidoptera: Saturniidae) in the northeastern United Stateshave declined precipitously over the past half-century (Boettner et al. 2000, Wagner 2012,Goldstein et al. 2015). All saturniids have been affected, and the regal moth (<i>Citheronia regalis</i>)has been extirpated from the New England mainland, the imperial moth (<i>Eacles imperialis</i>) is aMassachusetts Division of Fish and Wildlife Threatened Species, and the barrens buck moth(<i>Hemileuca lucina</i>) is a Species of Special Concern in Massachusetts (Wagner 2012, Goldstein etal. 2015). Several anthropogenic causes for this decline have been hypothesized: these include					
 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 	 Key Words saturniid, parasitoid, invasive species, community ecology Introduction Populations of silk moths (Lepidoptera: Saturniidae) in the northeastern United States have declined precipitously over the past half-century (Boettner et al. 2000, Wagner 2012, Goldstein et al. 2015). All saturniids have been affected, and the regal moth (<i>Citheronia regal</i> has been extirpated from the New England mainland, the imperial moth (<i>Eacles imperialis</i>) is Massachusetts Division of Fish and Wildlife Threatened Species, and the barrens buck moth (<i>Hemileuca lucina</i>) is a Species of Special Concern in Massachusetts (Wagner 2012, Goldstein) 					
 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 44 	 Key Words saturniid, parasitoid, invasive species, community ecology Introduction Populations of silk moths (Lepidoptera: Saturniidae) in the northeastern United States have declined precipitously over the past half-century (Boettner et al. 2000, Wagner 2012, Goldstein et al. 2015). All saturniids have been affected, and the regal moth (<i>Citheronia regalis</i>) has been extirpated from the New England mainland, the imperial moth (<i>Eacles imperialis</i>) is a Massachusetts Division of Fish and Wildlife Threatened Species, and the barrens buck moth (<i>Hemileuca lucina</i>) is a Species of Special Concern in Massachusetts (Wagner 2012, Goldstein et al. 2015). Several anthropogenic causes for this decline have been hypothesized: these include Introduction Introduction					

47 (Lymantria dispar) and other forest pests (Fitzgerald 1995, Wagner 2012). The latter threat 48 reflects the fact that since saturniids and gypsy moth larvae overlap in host usage, pesticide use 49 on deciduous trees should affect both groups. Because most pesticide use was localized and 50 heavier in the 1940's and 1950's, however, silk moths should have recovered in the past 70 years. 51 The increasing abundance of deer and birds in the wake of increased human development is 52 another potential cause of decline, since deer browse plants containing moth eggs and larvae and 53 birds prey heavily upon larvae and pupae (Wagner 2012). While each factor is likely important, 54 they cannot explain why saturniids outside of New England appear largely unaffected. 55 Researchers have suggested that the parasitoid *Compsilura concinnata* (Meigen 1824) 56 (Diptera: Tachinidae; hereafter *Compsilura*) might help explain saturniid declines (Boettner et al. 57 2000, Kellogg et al. 2003, Wagner 2012, Goldstein et al. 2015). This multivoltine tachinid was 58 first introduced to New England in 1906 (and repeatedly over the next 80 years) for control of L. dispar and 12 other pests (reviewed in Elkinton et al. 2006). Compsilura established quickly in 59 North America, where it can attack and kill over 180 native insect species (Arnaud 1978). 60 61 Compsilura was even used against one native saturniid moth, the range caterpillar Hemileuca 62 oliviae, but without any apparent success (Boettner et al. 2000). Stamp and Bowers (1990) 63 documented high Compsilura-induced mortality on the saturniid Hemileuca lucina, although a 64 study of the closely related *H. maia* found lower parasitism rates (Selfridge et al. 2007). Between 65 1995-1998, Boettner et al. (2000) assessed the impact of *Compsilura* on the saturniids 66 Hyalophora cecropia and Callosamia promethea. They found 60-80% of larvae were parasitized over a three-instar period, a rate high enough (if extrapolated over the larval period) to kill the 67 68 entire experimental larval cohort (n=965). Their results suggested that Compsilura may have 69 played a major role in saturniid decline and disappearance in the Northeast. In the Appalachian

70 region of Virginia, saturniids remain common (Kellogg et al. 2003) despite the presence of 71 Compsilura. Actias luna larvae deployed in the region were frequently (16-60% of cases) hyperparasitised by trigonalid wasps after Compsilura parasitism, a degree of top-down control 72 73 that may dampen the suppression of moth populations (Kellogg et al., 2003). More recently, 74 surveys failed to detect *Compsilura* on New England islands with high saturniid densities 75 (Goldstein et al. 2015). While the fly's effect on saturniids is now accepted (Wagner and Van 76 Driesche 2010), how Compsilura populations are affected by fluctuations in the densities of L. dispar or other hosts (Hajek et al. 2015) is unknown. 77 78 We report the results of surveys conducted in 2017 and 2018 that repeated work 79 described in Boettner et al (2000). To investigate whether parasitism rate of saturniids by 80 *Compsilura* have changed over the past 20 years, we deployed *C. promethea* and *H. cecropia* 81 larvae in conditions replicating those of the previous study, then assayed parasitism rates. We 82 found that parasitism was substantially lower on *H. cecropia* larvae than in the previous study and virtually nonexistent on C promethea larvae. Our results, although only a two-year snapshot, 83 84 suggest that the ecological importance of this parasitoid has changed. 85 Methods

Eggs of both *H. cecropia* and *C. promethea* were obtained by pairing unrelated captive
individuals from New England (RI and CT) populations collected the prior year. Eggs were
incubated in 240mL polypropylene cups (Pactiv, Lake Forest, Illinois). As per Boettner et al.
(2000), the emerging *H. cecropia* and *C. promethea* larvae were reared on *Prunus serotina* and *Sassafras albidum*, respectively. Larvae were reared outdoors on live hosts within one-meterlong agribon (Berry Plastics, Evansville, Indiana) bags to exclude predators and parasitoids. Pilot

92 experiments found these bags effective at predator/parasitoid exclusion, and larvae reared
93 outdoors within them experienced minimal mortality (A. Baranowski, *unpublished data*).

94 Once larvae had reached the 3rd-5th instar, they were deployed on naturally growing 95 understory P. serotina and S. albidum saplings, respectively, along transects in Cadwell 96 Memorial Forest (Pelham, Massachusetts). Both this site, and the location of the transects within it, were the same as reported in Boettner et al. (2000). As per the prior studies, three larvae (3rd-97 5th instar) were placed on each plant, which were spaced 1-3 m apart and marked with flagging 98 99 tape; different transects were used for the two saturniid species. The 'stocking density' of three 100 larvae/plant follows Boettner et al. (2000), who found no evidence for density-dependent 101 parasitism. We also conducted our surveys at the same time of the year that the previous study 102 did; Boettner et al. deployed C. promethea larvae on July 22-24 1995, while H. cecropia larvae 103 were deployed on June 19-24 and July 2 1997.

The survey began on 2 July when we deployed 89 *C. promethea* larvae. We retrieved these larvae on 8 July; if all three larvae were not found, we searched the surrounding vegetation for five minutes. Following retrieval, we deployed an additional 104 *C. promethea* larvae. We retrieved these larvae on 15 July. That same day, we deployed 72 *H. cecropia* larvae; these were retrieved on 22 July. The final survey took place when we deployed 102 *C. promethea* larvae on 12 August (retrieved 19 August).

110 Retrieved larvae were reared individually in the lab in 473mL polystyrene cups (Pactiv, 111 Lake Forest, Illinois) on fresh leaves from the appropriate host; wilted leaves were replaced with 112 fresh foliage. Rearing cups were covered with cheesecloth held in place with a rubber band, and 113 cups were placed on a bench over a moist section of absorbent liner to maintain humidity. Indoor 114 rearing temperatures were 21-28° C during both years. Host sprigs were kept fresh by means of

115 moist floral foam (Smithers-Oasis brand, Kent, OH). During 2018 rearing, cut host branches 116 were briefly immersed in a 1% beach solution and shaken dry before placement into rearing 117 cups; this was done to reduce the spread of larval pathogens. Larvae were inspected and waste 118 removed from cups daily, and their status (live/dead/pupated) recorded. Dead larvae were held 119 individually for ten days to check for parasitoid emergence, then frozen for dissection to 120 determine if they contained larval parasitoids. Cocoons were inspected for parasitoid emergence, 121 then held outdoors in a mesh cage until moth emergence the following spring. Parasitoids were 122 identified to species whenever possible.

123 In 2018, we repeated the above procedures for both moth species. We deployed *H*.

124 *cecropia* and *C. promethea* larvae on 28 June, 5 July, 12 July, and 20 July; they were collected on

125 5 July, 12 July, 20 July, and 27 July, respectively. Numbers of *H. cecropia* larvae deployed per

day were 63, 87, 71, and 16, respectively. Numbers of C. promethea larvae deployed per day

127 were 89, 104, and 102 (we did not deploy this species on 20 July), respectively.

We analyzed data on the number of *Compsilura*-parasitized larvae in 2017 versus 2018, and in both years of our study versus the data reported in Boettner et al. (2000), using likelihood ratio χ^2 tests. All data were analyzed in JMP 9.0.0 (SAS 2010).

131

Results and Discussion

In 2017, we deployed 72 *H. cecropia* larvae and recovered 31 (Table 1); seven of the 31 (22%) survived to pupation. Six dead larvae had been parasitized by *Compsilura* (19.3%): these produced a total of ten *Compsilura* pupae. One prepupal larva was killed by the *H. cecropia* tachinid specialist *Lespesia samiae*; this single cecropia larva produced 22 adult parasitoids. None of the pupated *H. cecropia* had *Compsilura* emerge from them. In 2018, we deployed 198 larvae and recovered 32; only one survived to pupation. *Compsilura* killed one prepupal larva

138 (3.1%) and produced a single parasitoid pupa; no other parasitoid species emerged. Parasitism rates were slightly higher in 2017 than in 2018 ($\chi^2_{1 \text{ df}}$ = 4.59, p=0.032), but both years of our 139 study differed greatly from the results reported in Boettner et al. (2000) ($\chi^2_{2 \text{ df}} = 98.5$, p<0.001). 140 141 In 2017, we deployed 295 C. promethea larvae and recovered 190 (64.4%) (Table 1); 142 approximately 40% (n=77) of the retrieved larvae pupated. No *Compsilura* emerged from the 143 dead larvae; a single C. promethea larvae was parasitized and killed by an unknown 144 ichneumonid. Two Compsilura emerged in spring 2018 from C. promethea cocoons, having 145 evidently overwintered inside them. This is the first record of how *Compsilura* overwinters we 146 can find in the literature. No other cocoons contained either *Compsilura* pupae or adult flies. A 147 subsequent examination revealed two cocoons that each had one hole in its side, indicative of 148 parasitoid escape. Since no other parasitoids emerged from these cocoons, we suspect the two 149 *Compsilura* each emerged from a unique host larva. In 2018, we deployed 155 larvae and 150 recovered 48. Thirty (55%) of the recovered larvae pupated; no parasitoids emerged from dead larvae. There was no difference in *Compsilura* parasitism rates between 2017 and 2018 ($\chi^2_{1 df}$ = 151 0.45, p=0.5); again, however, there was a highly significant difference between our results and 152 those of the previous study ($\chi^2_{2 df} = 223$, p<0.001). We dissected all larvae of both moth species 153 154 that died prior to pupation to ascertain cause of death and count any immature larval parasitoids. 155 Despite lower rates of *Compsilura* parasitism, the *H. cecropia* larvae we recovered from 156 the field experienced high rates of pathogen-related mortality in both 2017 (55%) and 2018 157 (94%). This also affected C. promethea larvae (58% and 45% in 2017 and 2018, respectively). 158 Pathogen-killed larvae went from apparently healthy to dead, with their integument turning a 159 distinctive black color, in a short (1-2 day) period. Pathogen presence was confirmed via 160 dissection and microscopy (G.H. Boettner, *unpublished data*); the dark fluid that we found

161 oozing from the dead larvae is typical of viral epizootics in captive stocks of other saturniid 162 moths (Skowron et al. 2015). While larvae occasionally died in the field sleeves, none exhibited 163 the same symptoms as those dying post-retrieval. The >10-day lag between retrieval and the 164 death of pathogen-killed larvae, in combination with the rapid decline and death of 'healthy' 165 individuals, further suggests that the mortality resulted from a lab-associated pathogen, the stress 166 of multiple moves (from field cages to survey trees to the lab over a 7-9 day period), or some 167 combination of the two factors.

168 We found no larval parasitoids in the dissected larvae. While this might have resulted 169 from the high rates of pathogen-induced mortality, the time from retrieval to death of pathogen-170 killed H. cecropia larvae was 10.8+1.5 days, versus 6.2+0.9 days for Compsilura-killed H. 171 cecropia larvae. Because Compsilura develops quickly within its host, this difference in time 172 suggests that any *Compsilura* larvae present should have grown to sizes detectable by dissection. 173 The fact that Compsilura inserts its hatchlings into, and lurks within, the immune system-174 neglected peritrophic membrane of its host (Caron et al. 2008), also makes it unlikely that 175 immune activity of sick larvae could reduce survival of this parasitoid.

While care must be taken when extrapolating from a two-year survey, the decline in *Compsilura* parasitism suggests that important changes in the ecological impact of this tachinid may be occurring. There are many possible explanations for our results; we discuss below several of the more compelling hypotheses for the apparent decline.

One possible explanation for our results involves climatic differences: extreme temperatures can alter or disrupt host-parasitoid interactions (Hance et al. 2007), and changes in precipitation can impact caterpillar abundance (e.g., Karban et al. 2017). An analysis of daily weather data from the periods when caterpillars were deployed in 1995 (22-31 July) and 1997 184 (19-24 June and 2-9 July) revealed that temperatures averaged $22.4^{\circ} \pm 3.1^{\circ}$ (SE) C and weekly 185 rainfall averaged 2.4 ± 1.13 cm (NOAA 2018). During our work in 2017 and 2018, temperatures 186 averaged $23.0^{\circ} \pm 1.5^{\circ}$ (SE) C and weekly rainfall averaged 4.0 ± 1.1 cm (NOAA 2018). Although 187 rainfall values are higher in our study, our means include one extremely wet week (28 June - 4 188 July 2018) in which 8.1 cm of rain fell; excluding this week reduces our weekly rainfall means to 189 3.25 ± 0.86 cm.

190 The reduction in parasitism may also have resulted from increased predation on 191 Compsilura itself. Kellogg et al. (2003) found that ~50% of Compsilura pupae collected in 192 Virginia were hyperparasitized by trigonalid wasps and hypothesized that this might explain the 193 continued local abundance of silk moths. We assessed hyperparasitism by rearing the 14 194 *Compsilura* pupae we collected: 13 emerged as adults and one died without producing any 195 hyperparasitoids. In addition, no hyperparasitoids emerged from dead saturniid larvae. 196 A third possibility is that Compsilura-saturniid interactions are influenced by the 197 interplay between L. dispar and its fungal pathogen Entomophaga maimaiga. Compsilura was 198 introduced to control L. dispar, and Culver (1919) found lower C. promethea densities at 199 tachinid release sites. Compsilura parasitizes early season L. dispar caterpillars (Gould et al. 200 1990), and the ensuing generations of parasitoids attack both early and late season saturniids 201 (Wagner and Van Driesche 2010). Starting in the late-1980s, the establishment of *E. maimaiga* in 202 the northeastern U.S. reduced both the frequency and magnitude of L. dispar outbreaks (Hajek 203 2007, Hajek et al. 2015). This pathogen also kills L. dispar larvae so quickly that co-infecting 204 parasitoids like Compsilura are unable to complete their development (Hajek and van Nouhuys 205 2016). Compsilura also tends to attack L. dispar during early instars, while Entomophaga kills 206 later instar larvae. If early-season hosts determine mid and late season *Compsilura* densities, the

207 impact of *E. maimaiga* on *L. dispar* could affect the ability of *Compsilura* to suppress saturniids,
208 however, there is little evidence to confirm this.

209	In summary, we consistently found lower rates of saturniid parasitism by Compsilura						
210	than previously reported (Boettner et al. 2000) from the same location. If Compsilura is not						
211	presently the primary driver and sustainer of saturniid decline, then the reasons for it, particularly						
212	in the Northeast region, remain indeterminate. In addition to surveying for parasitoid presence or						
213	absence, future studies should explore interactions between Compsilura and other lepidopteran						
214	hosts. Specifically, it seems important to investigate the phenology of both L. dispar and its						
215	natural enemies for possible overlap in pathogen and parasitoid activity. The factors responsible						
216	for wild mortality in other life stages of these declining moths, biotic and abiotic, are also						
217	relatively unexplored. While much remains to be done, our results highlight the importance of						
218	longitudinal survey work capable of detecting cryptic but important changes in community						
219	structure.						
220	Acknowledgements						
221	A.E. Hajek, D.L. Wagner, and two anonymous reviewers made helpful comments on						
222	earlier drafts of this manuscript.						
223	Literature Cited						
224 225	Arnaud, P. H., Jr. 1978. A host-parasite catalog of North American Tachinidae (Diptera). 1319, U.S. Science and Education Administration, Washington D.C.						
226 227 228	control introduction on three nontarget native species of Saturniid moths. Conservation Biology 14 :1798-1806.						
229 230	Caron, V., A. F. Janmaat, J. D. Ericsson, and J. H. Myers. 2008. Avoidance of the host immune response by a generalist parasitoid, Compsilura concinnata Meigen.						
231 232 222	Ecological Entomology 33 :517-522. Culver, J. J. 1919. A study of <i>Compsilura concinnata</i> , an imported tachinid						
233 234 235	Elkinton, J., D. Parry, and G. Boettner. 2006. Implicating an introduced generalist parasitoid in the invasive browntail moth's enigmatic demise. Ecology 87 :2664-2672.						

236	Fitzgerald T D 1995 The Tent Caternillars Cornell University Press Ithaca
237	NY
238	Goldstein, P. Z., S. Morita, and G. Capshaw, 2015. Stasis and flux among
239	Saturniidae and Sphingidae (Lepidoptera) on Massachusetts' offshore islands and the
240	possible role of <i>Compsilura concinnata</i> (Meigen) (Diptera: Tachinidae) as an agent of
241	mainland New England moth declines. Proceedings of the Entomological Society of
241	Washington 117:347-366
242	Gould L R L S Elkinton and W E Wallner 1990 Density-dependent
243	suppression of experimentally created gypsy moth <i>Lymantria dispar</i> (Lenidontera)
244	Lymantriidae), nonulations by natural enemies, Journal of Animal Ecology 50 :213,223
245	Hoick A. E. 2007. Introduction of the entomonothogonic fungue Entomonhaga
240	Hajek, A. E. 2007. Introduction of the entomopathogenic fungus <i>Entomophaga</i>
247	maimaiga into North America. Pages 55-02 <i>m</i> C. vincent, M. Goettel, and G. Lazarovits,
240	Uniols A E D C Tahin and K J Harman 2015 Deplacement of a dominant
249	Hajek, A. E., P. C. 100in, and K. J. Haynes. 2015. Replacement of a dominant
250	viral pathogen by a rungal pathogen does not alter the collapse of a regional forest insect
251	outbreak. Oecologia 177:785-797.
252	Hajek, A. E., and S. van Nouhuys. 2016. Fatal diseases and parasitoids: from
253	competition to facilitation in a shared host. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London,
254	Series B: Biological Sciences 283.
255	Hance, T., J. van Baaren, P. Vernon, and G. Boivin. 2007. Impact of extreme
256	temperatures on parasitoids in a climate change perspective. Annual Review of
257	Entomology 52 :107-126.
258	Karban, R., P. Grof-Tisza, and M. Holyoak. 2017. Wet years have more
259	caterpillars: interacting roles of plant litter and predation by ants. Ecology 98 :2370-2378.
260	Kellogg, S. K., L. S. Fink, and L. P. Brower. 2003. Parasitism of native luna
261	moths, Actias luna (L.) (Lepidoptera : Saturniidae) by the introduced Compsilura
262	concinnata (Meigen) (Diptera : Tachinidae) in central Virginia, and their hyperparasitism
263	by trigonalid wasps (Hymenoptera : Trigonalidae). Environmental Entomology 32:1019-
264	1027.
265	NOAA. 2018. Climate Data Online Search. Pages
266	https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/cdo-web/search. National Climatic Data Center.
267	SAS. 2010. JMP user's guide, version 9.0. SAS Institute Inc., Cary NC.
268	Selfridge, J. A., D. Parry, and G. H. Boettner. 2007. Parasitism of barrens buck
269	moth Hemilueca maia Drury in early and late successional pine barrens habitats. Journal
270	of the Lepidopterists' Society 61:213-221.
271	Skowron, M. A., B. Guzow-Krzeminska, S. Baranska, P. Jedrak, and G. Wegrzyn.
272	2015. A rapidly progressing, deadly disease of Actias selene (Indian moon moth) larvae
273	associated with a mixed bacterial and baculoviral infection. Journal of Biosciences
274	40 :487-495.
275	Stamp, N. E., and M. D. Bowers. 1990. Parasitism of New England buckmoth
276	caterpillars (Hemileuca lucina: Saturniidae) by tachinid flies. Journal of the
277	Lepidopterists' Society 44:199-200.
278	Wagner, D. L. 2012. Moth decline in the northeastern United States. News of the
279	Lepidopterists' Society 54:52-56.
280	Wagner, D. L., and R. G. Van Driesche. 2010. Threats posed to rare or endangered
281	insects by invasions of nonnative species. Annual Review of Entomology 55:547-568.

- **Table 1.** Larval retrieval and parasitoid-related mortality for *Hyalophora cecropia* and
- *Callosamia promethea* in 2017 and 2018 and as reported in Boettner et al (2000) for the same
- 284 location and site in the late 1990s.

		Larvae	Days in	Larvae	Parasitoid-related	d rearing mortality
Saturniid species	Data set	deployed	field	retrieved (%)	C. concinnata	Other parasitoids
Huglophorg	Boettner et al. 2000	300	5-7	134 (45%)	81%	2%
nyulophoru	This survey (2017)	72	7	31 (43%)	19%	3%
ceciopia	This survey (2018)	198	6-7	32 (16%)	3%	0%
Callosamia	Boettner et al. 2000	665	6-8	117 (18%)	68%	21%
cuilosullilu	This survey (2017)	295	6-7	190 (65%)	1%	1%
prometneu	This survey (2018)	155	6-7	48 (31%)	0%	0%