THE UNIVERSITY OF RHODE ISLAND

University of Rhode Island [DigitalCommons@URI](https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/)

[Graduate School of Oceanography Faculty](https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/gsofacpubs)

Graduate School of Oceanography

1990

The geochemical cycling of reactive chlorine through the marine troposphere

William C. Keene

Alexander A. P. Pszenny

Daniel J. Jacob

Robert A. Duce University of Rhode Island

James N. Galloway

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/gsofacpubs](https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/gsofacpubs?utm_source=digitalcommons.uri.edu%2Fgsofacpubs%2F208&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Citation/Publisher Attribution

Keene, W. C., A. A. P. Pszenny, D. J. Jacob, R. A. Duce, J. N. Galloway, J. J. Schultz‐Tokos, H. Sievering, and J. F. Boatman (1990), The geochemical cycling of reactive chlorine through the marine troposphere, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 4(4), 407–430, doi: 10.1029/GB004i004p00407. Available at:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/GB004i004p00407>

This Article is brought to you by the University of Rhode Island. It has been accepted for inclusion in Graduate School of Oceanography Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact digitalcommons-group@uri.edu. For permission to reuse copyrighted content, contact the author directly.

The geochemical cycling of reactive chlorine through the marine troposphere

Authors

William C. Keene, Alexander A. P. Pszenny, Daniel J. Jacob, Robert A. Duce, James N. Galloway, Joseph J. Schultz-Tokos, Herman Sievering, and Joe F. Boatman

Terms of Use

All rights reserved under copyright.

THE GEOCHEMICAL CYCLING OF REACTIVE CHLORINE THROUGH THE MARINE TROPOSPHERE

William C. Keene,1 Alexander A. P. Pszenny, 2 Daniel J. Jacob, 3 Robert A. Duce, 4James N. Galloway, 1 Joseph J. Schultz-Tokos, 4'5 Herman Sievering, 6'7 and Joe F. Boatman 6

Abstract. Heterogeneous reactions involving sea-salt aerosol in the marine troposphere are the major global source for volatile inorganic chlorine. We measured reactant and product species hypothesized to be associated with these chemical transformations as a function of phase, particle size, and altitude over the North Atlantic Ocean during the summer of 1988. Concentrations of HC1 were typically less than 1.0 ppbv near the sea surface and

VAeroso1 Research Section, NOAA Air Resources Laboratory, Boulder, Colorado.

7Also at Center for Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado at Denver.

Paper number 90GB02425. 0886-6236 / 90 / 90GB-02425 •10 . 00

decreased with altitude and with distance from the U.S. east coast. Concentrations of C1 volatilized from aerosols were generally equivalent to the corresponding concentrations of HC1 and ranged from less than detection limits to 125 mmol m^{-3} STP. **Highest absolute and percentage losses of particulate C1 were typically associated with elevated concentrations of anthropogenic combustion p_roducts. Concentrations** of product nss SO₄²⁻ and NO₃⁻ in coarse
aerosol fractions indicate that on average **only 38% of measured C1- deficits could be accounted for by the combined effects of acid-base desorption and reactions involving nonacidic N gases. We hypothesize a mechanism for the C1 loss initiated by** reaction of $0₃$ at sea-salt aerosol surfaces, generating C1₂, followed by rapid photochemical conversion of C1₂ to HC1 via **C1 atoms (C1') and eventual recapture of HC1 by the aerosol. Simulations with a zero-dimension (0-D) photochemical model suggest that oxidation by C1' may be an important tropospheric sink for dimethyl** sulfide and hydrocarbons. Under low-NO_{_} **conditions, the rapid cycling of reactive C1 would provide a catalytic loss mechanism for 03 , which would possibly explain the low 03 concentrations often observed above the world's oceans.**

1. INTRODUCTION

The injection of sea-salt aerosol generated by breaking waves on the ocean's

Thepartment of Environmental Sciences,

University of Virginia, Charlottesville. 2Ocean Chemistry Division, NOAAtlantic Oceanographic and Meteorological Labora-

tory, I/iami, Florida. 3Division of Applied Sciences and Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge,

I/assachusetts. 4Graduate School of Oceanography, Uni-

versity of Rhode Island, Narragansett. 5Now at Institut fuer I/eereskunde an der Un}versitaet Kiel, Kiel, Germany.

Copyright 1990 by the American Geophysical Union.

surface is the major global source for atmospheric C1 [Erickson and Duce, 1988]. Most of this C1 remains in the aerosol and is returned to the ocean surface via dry and wet deposition, but important fractions, ranging from 3 to 20% on average, are released ß from the aerosol as inorganic C1 vapor (Cllg) [e.g., Cicerone, 1981]. Although this particle-to-gas conversion is by far the major source for gaseous C1 in the global troposphere [e.g., Friend, 1989], decades of research have failed to demonstrate conclusively the primary mechanism(s) involved. This is due in part to the fact that few studies have measured principal reactant and product species simultaneously as a function of phase and particle size in marine regions remote from continental influences.

HC1 is generally r. ecognized as the major fraction of C1 l_ in the marine troposphere [Ryan andgMukherjee, 1974; Wofsy and McElroy, 1974]. Thermodynamic considerations coupled with field measurements of aerosol chemistry and Cl¹_c sug**gest that most of the HC1 may originate with direct volatilization from sea-salt** aerosol which is acidified to low pH $(\langle 3)$ by the incorporation of HNO₃ and H_2SO_A **(Eriksson, [1959], Duce, [1969], Martens et al. [1973], Berg and Winchester [1977], Kritz and Rancher [1980], Brimblecombe and Clegg [1988], Legrand and Delmas [1988], Wall et al. [1988], among many others) as follows:**

NNO 3 (g) +NaC1 (p)-- >itC1 (g) +NaNO 3 (p) (1)

$$
H_2SO_{4(p)}+2\ NaCl_{(p)}\to 2\ HCl_{(g)}+Na_2SO_{4(p)}_{(2)}
$$

Although exchange between particula **phase C1- and Cll has been demonstrated clearly by extensive field measurements the importance of the acid-base desorption mechanism as the principal driver for C1 phase change in the marine troposphere** remains open to question [e.g., Cicerone, 1981; Friend, 1989].

Alternative mechanisms involving reactions of various N gases with sea-salt aerosol have also been suggested as sources for chemically active halogen gases in the marine troposphere. Reaction of NO₂ with sea-salt aerosol has been hypothesized [Altshuller, 1958] and demon-
strated to be a source for Cl¹, [Schroeder strated to be a source for $c1^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and Urone, 1974; Finlayson-Pitts, 1983] as **follows:**

$$
2 \text{ NO}_{2(g)} + \text{NaCl}_{(p)} \longrightarrow \text{NOCl}_{(g)} + \text{NaNO}_{3(p)} \tag{3}
$$

NOC1 has short lifetimes against photolysis (5-30 min) and hydrolysis (0.03 s to **45 rain). Photolysis of NOC1 genezates C1 atoms (C1') which may then initiate oxidation of hydrocarbons to produce HC1. Hydrolysis of NOC1 generates HONO_(g), a**
source of OH radical (OH[.]), and HC1. In both cases, the final products of these **rapid reaction sequences are HC1 and NaNO 3, the same products expected from an** acid-base desorption involving HNO₃ (reac**tion (1)). Finlayson-Pitts et al. [1989]** recently reported that $C1NO_3$ and N_2O_5 **react with NaC1 aerosol to generate reac tive Cll as follows'**

$$
C1NO_{3(g)} + NaCl_{(p)} \longrightarrow Cl_{2(g)} + NaNO_{3(p)}
$$
\n(4)

$$
N_2O_{5(g)}
$$
 + NaCl_(p) --> C1NO_{2(g)} + NaNO_{3(p)}
(5)

Rapid photolysis of product C1₂ and C1NO₂ **generates C1' and ultimately HC1 through subsequent reactions. Again, the final** products are HC1 and NaNO₃. Considerable **uncertainties exist in assessing the potential for a significant influence of** reactions (3), (4), and (5) in the remote **marine troposphere, but given the expected and observed concentrations of reactant N** gases [e.g., Levy and Moxim, 1989], it has **been suggested that such transformations** will be an important source for Cl_{α}^{1} only in more polluted regions [e.g., Singh and **/asting, 1988].**

The nature of heterogeneous reactions which generate Cl¹_g have important implications for marine⁸tropospheric chemistry. For instance, transformations involving **NO 2, CINO 3, and N205 generate highly reactive C1 compounds which can initiate photochemical reactions in an analogous manner to 'OH, whereas acid-base desorption generates relatively unreactive HC1. The modeling investigation of Singh and** Kasting [1988] suggests, however, that if **ppbv concentrations of HC1 are generated** by any mechanisms, reaction with 'OH can **produce sufficient CI' to photooxidize a significant fraction (20 to 40%) of nonmethane alkanes in the marine troposphere. It is clearly essential that the compounds** involved, mechanisms of emission, and **rates of reaction be identified unequivocally if we are to understand major processes in the chemical cycling of S, N, C, odd O, odd H, and C1 through this dynamic system.**

The present study was designed to measure major reactant and product species

which are thought to be involved in the heterogeneous generation of Cl^1 from heterogeneous generation of C1² reactions involving sea-salt aerosol in **the marine troposphere. Hypothesized sources are assessed in light of these data.**

2. METNODS

Bulk- and size-segregated samples of atmospheric aerosol, some with simultaneous samples of alkaline reactive C1, N, and S gases (hereinafter referred to as HC1, HNO₃, and SO₂, respectively), were **collected from an aircraft and a ship over the North Atlantic Ocean (NAO) during the summer of 1988 as part of the Global Change Expedition, Coordinated Air-Sea Experiment, and Western Atlantic Oceav Experiment (GCE/CASE/WATOX) [Pszenny et al., 1990a]. Major features of sampling systems which generated data evaluated in this study are summarized in Table 1. The University of Virginia (UVA) and the Air Ouality Group (AQG; now referred to as the Aerosol Research Section) from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) sampled the western NAO boundary layer (BL) and free troposphere (FT) with a high-flow dichotomous filter pack system mounted on the NOAA King Air research aircraft [Bardwell et al., 1990]. Air was sampled within 250 km of the U.S. mid-Atlantic coast and in the vicinity of Bermuda.**

Atmospheric samples were also collected with a variety of systems throughout the NAO from a 10-m bow tower on the NOAA ship Mt. Mitchell. UVA/AQG sampled major particulate- and vapor-phase species with a bulk filter pack system similar in design and operation to the bulk filter pack component of the dichotomous system deployed on the aircraft [Bardwell et al., 1990]. Intercomparison with data from other measurement systems on the ship indicates that the open-face inlet on this shipboard sampler selectively excluded larger particles resulting in an approximate 20% underestimate of sea-salt species.

NOAA's Atlantic Oceanographic and Meteorological Laboratory (AOML) sampled BL air from the ship with a filter pack system, a high-volume aerosol sampler (hive1), and a six-stage cascade impactor [Pszenny et al., 1990b]. In addition, the University of Rhode Island (URI) sampled atmospheric aerosol with a seven-stage cascade impactor [Pszenny et al., 1989]. The AOML filter pack was designed to sam- **pie fine aerosol and alkaline reactive N and S gases preferentially. Intercomparison with other data sets for shipboard collections suggests that the inlet for this sampler selectively excluded approximately two thirds of the sea-salt aerosol mass. Relationships between wind velocity and sea-salt aerosol concentrations measured with the AOML hivol were similar to those observed some years ago in the NAO with an isokinetic sampler [Lovett, 1978] suggesting that the hivol collected representative samples of sea-salt aerosol mass.**

A number of potential artifacts could bias data for size-segregated aerosol generated with cascade impactors in marine regions. These include internal losses on slot throats of 25% to 30% [Willeke, 1975], **significant retention of HC1 by Whatman 41 substrates for contact times longer that about i ms [Fogg, 1986], and inefficient retention of submicron aerosol by Whatman 41 backup filters [Lodge, 1986]. Although internal losses may have introduced modest negative bias for impactor data reported in this paper, other artifacts were probably not important. Calculated contact times for the cascade samplers were typically less than i ms, suggesting that retention of HC1, and by** analogy HNO₃, was probably minimal under **our sampling conditions. In addition, a large body of information indicates that Whatman 41 filters collect representative samples of submicron aerosol Lowenthal and Rahn, 1987: Watts et al., 1987; Kitto and Anderson, 1988].**

The qualities of most data sets evaluated in this study have been assessed by thorough in-house testing and by intercomparison [e.g., Bardwell et al., 1990; Boatman et al., 1990; Pszenny et al., **1990b]. There were, however, no independent measurements of HC1 by other groups to intercompare with measurements by UVA and AQG. Given this lack of independent information, and in light of the importance of these data for the present study, we include in the appendix a brief assessment for the quality of HC1 and non-sea**salt (nss) $C1^-$ data generated during the **experiment. Results indicate that particle-to-particle and gas-to-particle reactions on bulk aerosol prefilters may cause large (factor of 2) positive bias in measurement of HC1 and negative bias in measurement of particulate nss C1-. As such, we recommend that published data for these species which were generated using bulk prefilters be viewed with caution.**

Organi- zation		<u>Sampling</u>	Size	Aerodyna-	
	Technique	Media	Mean Rate, m^3 STP min ⁻¹	$Frac -$ tions	mic Cut Radii, µm
UVA/AQG	dichotomous filter pack	aerosol: 90-mm quartz filter (Pallflex QAT-UP 2500) gas: 90-mm rayon filter (Schleicher and Schuell 8S) impregnated with 0.1 M K_2CO_3 in 10% glycerol	0.29 (bulk) 0.10 (fine)	2 ^b	0.4
	bulk filter pack	same as above	0.30	1	N.A.
AOML	bulk filter pack	aerosol: 47-mm quartz filter (Pallflex QAT-UP 2500) gas: 47-mm rayon filter (Schleicher and Schuell 8S) impregnated with 0.1 M K_2CO_3 in 10% glycerol	0.085	1	N.A.
	hivol bulk aerosol sampler	20×24 -cm cellulose filter (Whatman 41)	1.3	$\mathbf{1}$	N.A.
	hivol cascade impactor (Sierra) Mode1 235)	cellulose filter $(\mathbf{W}$ hatman 41)	1.1	6	3.6, 1.5. 0.75, 0.45, 0.26 , (0.26)
URI	hivol cascade impactor (Modified Sierra Mode1 235)	frosted polycarbonate impaction substrates [Pszenny et al., 1989] final quartz filter (Whatman QMA)	1.1	7	8.4, 4.2, 1.8. 1.0, 0.48, 0.26 , (0.26)

TABLE 1. Sampling

Abbreviations are as follows: UVA, University of Virginia; AQG, NOAA Air Quality Group; AOML, NOAA Atlantic Oceanographic and Meteorological Laboratory; URI, University of Rhode Island; FT, free troposphere; BL, boundary layer; N.A., not applicable.

 a_4^2 , NO₃, C1, CH₃SO₃, HCOO_t, CH₃COO_t, SO₂, and HNO₃ were analyzed by ion chromatography. NH_4 ⁺ was analyzed by automated colorimetry. Na⁺, Mg^{2+} , K^+ , and Ca^{2+} **were analyzed by flame absorption spectrometry.**

bBulk and fine filter packs were deployed in the boundary layer; only bulk filter packs were deployed in the free troposphere.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Distributions of HC1 in the Western NAO Troposphere

The vertical distributions of HC1 measured near the U.S. east coast and in the vicinity of Bermuda are summarized in Figure 1. Given uncertainties in the quality of the various data sets, HC1 estimated from collections for bulk and fine filter packs are depicted separately. Concentrations of sea salt in the FT were below detection limits, and it is therefore unlikely that reactions involving sea salt were a major source of bias for these higher altitude measurements of HC1 using bulk filter pack samplers. In light of the assessment of data quality (see appendix), we believe that distributions of ambient concentrations of HC1 in the lower BL (altitude 152 m) are probably best represented by the lower concentrations measured with the fine filter packs on the aircraft. Near-surface measurements using bulk filter packs aboard the ship may have overestimated ambient concentrations by a factor of approximately 2.

The observed distributions of concentrations (Figure 1) indicate marked vertical and horizontal variability. Concentrations of HC1 were highest near the surface and decreased rapidly with altitude. This distribution parallels the vertical distribution of sea-salt aerosol (Table 2). Concentrations of HC1 near the east coast were approximately 2 times greater at all altitudes relative to corresponding values near Bermuda. With the exception of shipboard measurements on July 22, all concentrations greater than 0.75 ppbv were observed on the first day of sampling when large anthropogenic influences were evident (see companion papers in this issue). The unusually high (greater than I ppbv) HC1 concentrations observed on July 22 were also associated with anthropogenic emissions. Ship observations coupled with_{, t}rajectory analyse and measurements of ²²²Rn and graphitic **carbon indicate that heavy ship traffic in the vicinity of the research vessel significantly impacted the local atmosphere [Hansen et al., 1990]. Like the situation in the immediate vicinity of the east coast, combustion products were associated**

Fig. 1. Distributions of HC1 (a) near the east coast and (b) near Bermuda measured with the UVA/AOG filter pack samplers on the ship (indicated as near surface) and on the aircraft in the boundary layer (BL) (152 m) and in the free troposphere (FT) (1676-2591 m).. Data for fine and bulk filter packs are depicted separately.

01/09 02/09 03/09

1438 b 2058 c 0855 b

52.1+0.6 40.7 \pm 0.6 **37.0** \pm 0.4 **11.5+1.8 6.6+1.5** $9.0 + 1.3$

18 14 2O

TABLE 2. CI^- Loss From the Particulate Phase

	Ship				Aircraft (BL)			
		Particulate			Particulate			
Date (DDMM)	Mid Time, UT	$C1$ ⁻ $+$ SE, nnc1 m^{-3} STP	C1 ⁻ Deficit \pm SE, nmol m ⁻³ STP	Loss. $\frac{q}{20}$	Mid Time, UT	$C1$ ⁻⁺ SE, nmo1 m^{-3} STP	C1 ⁻ Deficit \pm SE, nmol m ⁻³ STP	Loss. %
				Leg 4 (continued)				
05/09	0322 ^c	$40.8 + 1.1$	$-7.8 + 1.1$	-16				
05/09 Average	1524^b	$21.4 + 0.3$ 96.5	$8.6 + 1.1$ 21.3	29 18 ^a				

TABLE 2. (continued)

Unless otherwise noted, shipboard data correspond to samples from the UVA/AOG bulk filter pack. SE, standard error.

aAverage percent losses are calculated from average concentrations of particulate C1 and average C1- deficits for the specified data sets. Individual measurements below detection limits were included in the calculation of average concentrations. bSum of concentrations for stages I through 4 of AOML cascade impactor.

CSum of concentrations for stages 0 through 4 of UR! cascade impactor.

with elevated concentrations of HC1 and proportionate losses of particulate C1 from the sea-salt aerosol (see next section).

3.2. Relationships Between Particulate nss C1 and ItC1

Virtually all concentrations of particulate nss C1-measured from the aircraft in the BL were below system detection limits, thereby precluding a direct comparison with HC1. An initial inspection **of data generated with the UVA/AOG sampler on the ship indicates reasonably good agreement between the paired observations and a 1 to-1 line (Figure 2). Similar** correlations between HC1 and nss C1⁻ have **been reported previously for marine air masses in California [Wall et al., 1988]. Observations from both of these investigations are consistent with the hypotheses that sea-salt aerosol is the primary source for HC1 in the marine BL and that HC1 and sea-salt aerosol have similar** atmospheric lifetimes against deposition, **as was suggested by Kritz and Rancher [1980].**

Distinct patterns become evident when the paired data are partitioned into subgroups based on chemical and meteorological analyses. Four samples were collected on July 17 and 22 in air masses exhibiting significant anthropogenic influences (Figure 2, solid squares). Within analyt-

ical uncertainties, the concentrations of HC1 measured during these periods were directly proportional to the corresponding **C1-deficits for the particulate phase. Similarly, six of eight paired measurements in the vicinity of Bermuda (Figure 2, open circles) indicate direct proportionality between HC1 and C1- deficits. In contrast, eight data pairs for cleaner maritime air sampled near the east coast (Figure 2, solid circles) exhibited consistently higher concentrations of HC1 relative to C1- deficits. The ratio of arithmetic averages is 4.5 to 1.0. We recognize that all of these nss C1- concentrations were below analytical detection limits, but the consistency of the pattern cannot be dismissed on this basis alone. If the data are unbiased, and if real proportionality exists in the ambient BL, these paired measurements would be distributed around the 1 to-1 line. Assuming that HC1 does originate with seasalt aerosol, these data suggest that the atmospheric lifetime of HC1 against deposition may be considerably longer than that of sea-salt aerosol in southeasterly maritime flow near the U.S. east coast during the summer. This is not an unexpected result given the relatively high concentrations of sea-salt aerosol observed during this period (Table 2). Such concentrations would be associated with larger median particle sizes and greater deposition velocities for sea-salt**

Fig. 2. Comparison between HC1 and nss C1⁻ measured simultaneously with the **UVA/AOG bulk filter pack sampler on the ship.**

aerosol relative to most other periods during the cruise.

The analysis presented above suggests that the atmospheric lifetime of HC1 against deposition may be longer than that of sea-salt aerosol in some marine airmasses. The presence of a possible artifact (see appendix) which may have caused overestimates of ambient HC1 and C1⁻ defi**cits does not seriously compromise the above assessment, since both constituents should be affected equally. The absolute magnitudes of the observed relationships would, however, be impacted by such an artifact.**

3.3. Magnitude of C1 Loss From the _ Particulate Phase

The magnitudes of C1 deficits observed for data generated with the UVA/AOG filter packs on the aircraft and the ship and

with the AOML and UR1 cascade impactors on the ship are summarized in Table 2. Data for the AOML filter packs were excluded because the inlet discriminated against large paxticles, resulting in large underestimates of sea-salt aerosol mass (see section 2). Data for the AOML hive1 were also excluded because we expect that larger pressure drops and higher sample loadings contributed to larger artifacts relative to the UVA/AOG filter packs. We emphasize that nss C1⁻ data generated with **the bulk filter packs are likely to be biased and should be considered as upper limits for actual C1 losses.**

Large anthropogenic influences observed on July 17 and 22 were associated with higher absolute and percentage C1⁻ defi**cits relative to other samples collected from the ship near the east coast. Be**tween 54 and 88% of sea-salt C1⁻ was lost **from near-surface aerosol during these**

episodes. From July 19 to 22, relatively clean maritime air was sampled from the ship [Stunder etal., 1990; Hansen etal., 1990]. Some of the highest sea-salt concentrations (Table 2) and lowest CI defi-
cits (average = 6.1 nmol m⁻³ STP; N = 6) **observed during the cruise were associated** with these conditions. Resolution for nss **C1- from the aircraft was limited, but mean values neat the east coast indicate** that the absolute concentrations of C1
(22.2 nmol m⁻³ STP) lost from sea-salt **aerosol at 152 m were similar to those lo•t from near surface aerosol (31.4 nmol m -ø STP). Large differences in the percentages lost result in part from relatively lower absolute concentrations of sea salt at the higher altitude (Table 2). If there is a significant time dependence on the magnitude of C1- release, the older average age of sea-salt aerosols at higher altitude may contribute to larger percentage deficits observed in aircraft samples.**

Relative to the east coast, lower concentrations of sea-salt aerosol and Cidelicits were measured from the ship near Bermuda. Average values for aircraft samples suggest that major features of the vertical distributions near Bermuda were similar to those observed near the east coast. Concentrations of sea-salt aerosol decreased, and percentages of C1- lost from the aerosol increased with altitude.

The highes• average C1 deficits (39.1 nmol m -• STP) and percentage losses (3?%) were observed from the ship during leg 3 between Iceland and the Azores. Trajectory classifications based on the origin of airmasses [Stunder etal., 1990] suggest that anthropogenic sources are probably not related directly to the observed losses of C1-during these sampling periods. Relative to other legs of the cruise, high concentrations of sea salt, low C1⁻ deficits and low percentage **losses were observed during leg 4 (Table** 2). Assuming that the C1⁻ lost from sea**salt aerosol generated equivalent concentrations of HC1 and that the lifetime of HC1 in the BL is at least as long as that** of sea-salt aerosol, the C1⁻ deficits **measured on legs 3 and 4 correspond to minimum mean estimates for HC1 of 0.9 ppbv and 0.5 ppbv, respectively.**

3.4. Assessment of Hypothesized **Mechanisms for C1- Loss From Particles**

The two major hypothesized mechanisms by which $C1$ ⁻ is released from sea-salt aerosol involve acid-base desorption (reac-

ticns (1) and (2)), and reactions between nonacidic N gases and sea-salt aerosol (reactions (3), (4), and (5)). If acidbase reactions were the principal mechanis• of release, C1-deficits in coarse fractions of aerosols would be less than the corresponding sums of anions from H_2SO_d and $\bar{H}NO_3$ because the aerosol, which **is initially alkaline, must first be acidified before desorption can occur [Brimblecombe •nd Clegg, 1988]. Taking account also of acid neutralization by Nlt 3, this relationship can be expressed on an equivalence basis as follows:**

$$
[-nss C1^{\dagger}] \langle ([nss S0_4^{2-}]+[N0_3^{\dagger}]-[NII_4^{\dagger}])
$$
 (6)

If the alternative hypothesis involving nonacidic N gases were the primary mechanism of release, then C1- deficits in coarse fractions would equal those of coarse particulate NO₂⁻ as follows:

$$
[-nss \ C1^{\top}] = [N0_{3}^{\top}] \tag{7}
$$

Each of these relationships represents a maximum estimate for the amount of C1 desorbed by the corresponding mechanism, since neither is mutually exclusive of the **other.**

Relationships (6) and (7) were evaluated with both the AOML and URI data sets **for the cascade impactors (Figure 3a). Eleven of the 12 samples revealed losses of C1- from the particulate phase (i.e., positive Cl-deficits). Results of the Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test indicate that el-deficits in coarse fractions (greater** than 50% aerodynamic cut radius of 0.5 μ m) **were significantly greater (alpha=0.05) than both the concentrations of anions** from unneutralized H_2SO_4 and HNO_3 in the **coarse mode and the concentrations of** coarse NO₂. Acid-base desorption reac**tions could account for maxima of between less than i and 57% of C1 released from the particulate phase. Reactions involv**ing N gases (including HNO₃) and sea-salt **aerosol could account for maxima of** between 4 and 47% of the C1⁻ loss. Minima **of between 43 and 96% of observed C1 deficits could not be accounted for by the combined effect of these major hypothesized mechanisms. We note here that similar relationships among the products of reactions (1) through (5) were observed over the tropical Pacific Ocean between** 85^oW and 180⁰ longitude during a January-**February 1990 shipboard study conducted by the NOAA AOML (A. A. P. Pszenny, unpub-**

Mid Sampling Date (DD/MM)

Fig. 3. (a) Comparisons among the sums of C1-deficits, anions from strong acids (approximated as nss SO_4^2 + NO_3^- - NH_4 ¹), and NO_3 in the coarse stages of AOML (1 through 4) and URI (0 through 4) cascade impactors operated on the ship, and (b) corresponding concentrations of SO₂ and odd N gases measured on the ship during overlapping but not entirely concurrent sampling periods. SO₂ and HNO₃ were measured with the AOML filter pack sampler. NO₂, NO₂, and NO_y data were provided by D. Hastie (personal communication, 1990). (See Hastie **et al. [1990] for methodological details).**

l ished data, 1990). These new observations indicate that the implications of our analysis are not restricted to the NAO but may apply to the marine BL on a global scale.

Concentrations of potential reactant and product gases were averaged over each sampling interval for the cascade impactors and are plotted in Figure 3b. Because of the possibility for artifacts

resulting from gas-to-particle reactions on bulk prefilters (see appendix), SO₂ and **HNO 3 concentrations measured with the AOML filter pack are considered lower esti**wates. The highest concentrations of NO₃⁻ and four of the five highest C1⁻ deficits **measured on coarse impactor stages were observed for the five samples collected between August 21 and 31. The first three of these samples corresponded to the three** highest mean $HNO₃$ concentrations measured **during impactor sampling. The other two samples were collected during the period of highest sea-salt concentrations encountered during the cruise (Table 2). Significant concentrations of Saharan dust were also apparent in conjunction with these two samples. Higher concentrations of sea-salt aerosol would be expected to** scavenge HNO₃ more efficiently from the **ambient BL, causing a shift in partitioning toward the particulate phase. The** decrease in HNO₃ between August 28 and 29
(11.6 nmol m⁻³ STP) is in the range of the **observed increase in coarse** NO_3^- **(4.4 nmo1 m⁻³ STP). By comparison, the C1⁻ deficit in coarse fractions increased by 60.9 nmo1 m -3 STP over- the same period, indicating that only a minor fraction of the volatilized C1 could be explained by increased** scavenging of HNO₃. The average concentrations of NO₂ during impactor sampling
intervals were less variable relative to **HNO 3 and coarse particulate NO 3 [Hastie et al., 1990]. There were no obvious** relationships between NO₂ and C1⁻ deficits in coarse fractions. NO_x and NO_y data were available for only the first cascade **impactor sampling period.**

Mean concentrations of SO₂ for 11 of **the 12 sampling intervals were less than 2.7 nmol m -• STP. These concentrations were similar to those for nss** SO_4^{2-} **which averaged 2.8 nmol m⁻³ STP in the coarse fractions of 411 samples. Considering the short atmospheric lifetime of sea-salt aerosol [e.g., Kritz and Rancher, 1980],** these measurements of SO₂ and coarse-
fraction nss SO₄² suggest that SO₂ has a **short lifetime in the marine BL against scavenging by sea-salt aerosol (also see Sievering et al. [1991]). If sufficient acidity is present to lower the aerosol pH below 3.0, HC1 volatilization would be expected from reaction (2) [Brimblecombe and Clegg, 1988].**

The large C1⁻ deficits observed in the **impactor data were not balanced on an equivalent basis by measured anions. These results suggest the possibility that** Na⁺ was systematically overestimated, or

that C1⁻, NO₃⁻, or SO₄²⁻ were systemati-
cally underestimated, by large factors. **(luality assurance assessments indicate, however, that such large errors were unlikely. Two sets of spike recovery tests for major seawater species were performed in the laboratory at AOML on both Whatman 41 and Pallflex quartz filters. The results of one experiment** indicated an approximate 90% recovery of
SO₄²⁻, and both experiments indicated Mg , and both experiments indicated Mg²⁺ **recoveries of only 80 to 90% from Whatman 41 filters. Otherwise, 411 ions were recovered from both filter types with efficiencies not significantly different** from 100%. Additional experiments are planned to confirm whether SO₄⁴ **recoveries from Whatman 41 are indeed significantly less than 100%, but the magnitude of •ossible bias from incomplete recovery is small relative to the concentrations of missing anions in samples.**

Alternatively, unmeasured anions may be important chemical constituents of coarse marine aerosol fractions. HCO₃ is one possibility, but estimation of [HCO₃⁻] is precluded by lack of reliable H^+ measure**ments for the cascade impactor samples. Few experimental investigations of the acid-base chemistry of coarse sea-salt aerosol have been conducted. On the basis of limited data [e.g., Winkler, 198G, 1986], however, it appears that the pH of** sea-salt aerosol is typically in the range of 7.0 to 9.0. This implies that HCO₃ **may contribute significantly to the ionic strength of sea-salt aerosol and, if so, may represent the missing anions iv our samples. If this is the case, it has important implications for the mechanism** by which C1 is released from the aeroso1. **Essentially no HC1 will volatilize directly from sea-salt aerosol in this pH range. Indeed, neutral or alkaline aerosol would represent a net sink for atmospheric HC1. If sea-salt aerosol does scavenge HC1 from the atmosphere, then the release rates of other volatile C1 compounds from the aerosol would be greater than those inferred from measured Cidelicits, for which a conservative C1 source based on the seawater ratio with** Na^T is assumed. This point is discussed **in section 4.**

In summary, the analysis presented above does not provide clear evidence supporting either of the major hypothe**sized mechanisms by which C1 is released** from sea-salt aerosol, although such reactions are not precluded by our observa**tions. Our measurements of the products**

of reactions (1) through (5) indicate, however, that an average of only 38% of the measured C1- lost from the particles could be accounted for by these mechanisms. This suggests that other processes way be occurring that also lead to C1 loss from sea-salt aerosol.

3.5. A Photochemical C1. Loss Mechanism

Petriconi and Papee [1972] observed the volatilization of C1⁺ and NO₂ from concentrated seawater-Na \mathbb{R}^0 ₃ solutions irradiated with natural sunlight under ambient **air conditions. Ionic strengths and cov**centrations in the solutions were similar **to those expected for natural sea-salt aerosols in the marine BL [Brimble. combe** and Clegg, 1988]. The rate of Cl¹g volat **ilization increased with increasing NaNO3 concentrations, suggesting a radical mech**anism initiated by photolytic reduction of NO₃ [Zatiriou and True, 1979]. Signifi**cant Cl¹ c p c c d p c c d p c s p c s p c s p c s p c s p c s p c s p c p c p c p c p c p c p c p c p c p c p c p** observed in the absence of NaNO₃, however, and was attributed by Petriconi and Papee **[1972] to an alternate C1- oxidation mech**anism initiated by photolysis of O₃ in the **ambient air. Recently, smog chamber experiments by Zetzsch et al. [1988] and Behnke and Zetzsch [1989] have documented** the production of C1₂ from irradiation of **moist artificial NaC1 aerosols in the** presence of 0_3 . The production of $C1_2$ was **also observed in the dark but was slower.**

Behnke and Zetzsch [1989] proposed that the production of C12 in their experiments resulted from oxidation of C1-by 'OH(aq) [Sayson et al., 1973; McElroy, 1990], where 'OH_(aq) can be generated in a number of ways following photolysis of O₃ [Chameides and Davis, 1982; Jacob, 1986]. **For example,**

$$
0_{3(g)} + h \nu \longrightarrow 0_{2(g)} + O(^{1}D)_{(g)}
$$
 (8)

$$
O(^{1}D)_{(g)} + H_2 O_{(g)} \longrightarrow 2 \cdot OH_{(g)}
$$
 (9)

$$
.0H_{(g)} \quad \longrightarrow \quad .0H_{(ag)}
$$
 (10)

$$
^{\cdot}OB_{(aq)} + CI^{-} \longrightarrow \cdot COH^{-} \qquad (11)
$$

$$
C10H^{-} \leftarrow \rightarrow C1 \cdot_{(aq)} + 0H^{-} \tag{12}
$$

$$
Cl^{\bullet}(aq) + Cl^{-} \langle -\rangle \cdot Cl_2^{-}
$$
 (13)

$$
c_{12}^- + c_{12}^- \longrightarrow c_{12(g)} + 2 c_{1}^- \qquad (14)
$$

The recombination of ${c_1}_2$ ⁻ is quenched in dilute solutions because of Cl[.] (aq)

hydrolysis [McElroy, 1990], but it may be efficient in concentrated solutions where the Cl' $(aq)'$ ^{Cl₂ equilibrium (reaction (13)) is shifted toward 'Cl₂. The mecha-} **nism (8)-(14) implies an increase in C12 production with increasing acidity, due to (12), which is c9nsistent with the pH** dependence of C1⁺_g release observed by
Petriconi and Papee [1972].

A photolytic source of 'OH_(aq) cannot,
however, explain the production of C1₂ **observed by Behnke and Zetzsch [1989] in the dark. Possibly, 'OH(_aq) could be produced by reactions of 03 at electron donor sites on the aerosol surface [Heikes, 1984]. Alternatively, direct** surface reaction of O₃ with C1⁻ may occur **[Behnke and Zetzsch, 1989]'**

$$
2 C1^{-} + 0_{3(p)} \xrightarrow{H_2 0} C1_{2(g)} + 2 OH^{-} + 0_{2(g)} \tag{15}
$$

Note that the stoichiometry of (15) is tLe same as that of the ensemble of (8)-(14). Both involve the loss of one O₃ molecule **per C12 molecule produced, and both** involve production of alkalinity. HCO₃
would replace the C1⁻ lost from the sea**salt aerosol, thus accounting for the missing anions in our samples (see section 3.4).**

3.6. Geochemical Cycling of Reactive C1 **in the Marine BL**

Losses of particulate C1⁻ observed during GCE/CASE/WAIOX include typically
10 nmol m⁻³ STP (0.25 ppbv), at minimum, **which could not be attributed to acid displacement reactions. Following section 3.5, we assume as a working hypothesis that these losses reflect the volatiliza**tion of C1₂ by a mechanism with the stoichiometry of (15). Photolysis of Cl₂ in **the daytime takes place on a time scale of minutes and produces C1', which reacts** rapidly to yield HC1 and C1NO₃ (the latter via C10). As was discussed in section **3.4, the low concentrations of coarse** particulate NO_3^- (Figure 3) suggest that reactions involving C1NO₃ are probably of **minor importance. HC1 is scavenged efficiently by aerosol [Watson et al., 1990], closing the C1 cycle (Figure 4). We esti**mate a time scale of the order of 10 min **for scavenging of HC1 by sea-salt aerosol in surface air based on a calculation of gas-to-aerosol fluxes [Fuchs and Sutugin, 1971] and, assuming negligible vapor, a** sticking coefficient of 0.15 [Watson et

al., 1990], and a sea-salt aerosol mass concentration of 10 μ **g m⁻³ [Pszenny et al., 1990b] with typical Junge-size dis**tribution in the 0.1- to 10-um radius range [Parungo et al., 1986]. We note **that the scavenged HC1 would neutralize alkalinity generated via reaction (15), indicating that this sequence of chemical transformations is self-regulating with •espect to the acid-base balance of sea**salt aerosol. Steady state between $C1_2$ **volatilization from the aerosol and scav**enging of HCI by the aerosol imply a Cl₂
source of the order of 1 ppbv h⁻¹ in the **daytime.**

From the mechanism described above, one would expect enhanced C1⁻ deficits in aerosols at night, when Cl₂ is not photo**lyzed. C1- deficits measured using the AOML filter packs were examined for evidence of 0Jel periodicity. Although data quality evaluations indicate that these nss C1- data may be biased (see appendix), there is no reason to expect systematic differences in the relative magnitudes of bias between the daytime and nighttime samples. The data set was partitioned** into subsets that included C1⁻ deficits **measured during three consecutive 12-hour sampling periods (A, B, and C, respectively). The mean deficit for the two nighttime or two daytime samples (A and C) in each subset was then subtracted from the deficit for the middle sample (B) to determine the net difference in desorbed C1-. If there was no diel periodicity in C1- deficits, the mean of these differences would be approximately 0, and**

Clo Hydrocarbons **the lack of significance simply reflect**
the small number of paired observation **approximately equal numbers of positive and negative values would be observed. The net differences for all available data subsets are plotted in Figure 5a for nighttime samples and in Figure 5b for daytime samples. C1-deficits during the night were greater than the corresponding daytime values for 9 of 12 data subsets (Figure 5a). The Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test indicates that differences between these populations of nighttime and daytime C1- deficits were marginally nonsignificant at alpha=0.05. Given the high degree of variability in the data, it is possible** the lack of significance simply reflects On average, the nighttime C1⁻ deficits for these samples were greater than daytime **values by 8.6 nmo1 m -• STP or 50%. Conversely, C1-'deficits for daytime samples were less than the corresponding nighttime values for 10 of 13 data subsets (Figure 5b). These differences were significant** at alpha=0.05. Average daytime C1⁻ defi**cits for these samples were less than** n ighttime values by 16.2 nmol m⁻³ STP or **70•. The observed diel periodicity in the net flux of C] from sea-salt aerosol is** consistent with that expected from the **proposed mechanism. We note that a similar analysis of day and night samples by Rancher and Kz•itz [1980] found no such periodicity.**

> **4. IMPLICATIONS FOR MARINE ATMOSPHERIC CHEMISTRY**

4.1. General Considerations

Our postulated explanation for the observed C1-deficits (i.e., reaction (15) or the stoichiometric equivalent reactions **(8)-(14)) would •rovide a large source of** C1' to the marine atmosphere. Such a source would in turn have major conse**quences for the oxidation of hydrocarbons and dimethyl sulfide (DMS), as oxidation of these compounds is typically 1-3 orders of magnitude faster by CI' than by 'OH. In polluted environments, the increased supply of peroxy radicals from the Cl' + hydrocarbon reactions would promote photo**chemical O₃ production. At the low NO_x **concentrations usually found in marine** atmospheres, however, uptake of O₃ by seasalt aerosol would provide a net sink for **03 because photochemical production is** inefficient [e.g., Liu et al., 1983]. A **challenging hypothesis emerges that the** uptake of O₃ by sea-salt aerosol could

Start Date for Daytime Sample (DD/MM)

Fig. 5. Diel differences in nss C1- measured with the AOML filter pack sampler on the ship. (a) Non-sea-salt C1- for nocturnal samples minus the mean nss C1- for diurnal samples collected during the preceding and following periods. (b) Non-sea-salt Cl⁻ for diurnal samples minus the mean nss Cl⁻ for nocturnal **samples collected during the preceding and following periods.**

explain the low concentrations of 0_3 **observed in many ocean regions [Lio et al., 1983; Newell and Wu, 1985; Piotrowicz et al., 1986; 1990; Fishman et al., 1990] e•:d could possibly pxovide a major global** sirk for tropospheric O₃.

Concentrations of O₃ measured during **GCE/CASE/WATOX are interesting in this**

respect. Surface concentrations averaged 16 ppbv [Piotrowicz et al., 1990] and increased significantly with altitude [Ray et al., 1990]. Corresponding surface
concentrations of NO_x (NO_x + peroxyacyl **nitrates) averaged 0.3 ppbv [Hastie et** al., 1990]. If NO_x accounted for the
major portion of $\sqrt[8]{\mathbf{a}}$, as might be

expected at the high temperatures of surface air, then vigorous 03 production would follow [Lin et al., 1988]. The low 03 concentrations measured from the ship and from the aircraft in the lower BL (150 m) imply, however, that such production did not occur near the ocean surface. As discussed below, C1' chemistry may provide the explanation.

4.2. Model Simulations

The sensitivity of atmospheric chemistry to the reactive C1 source represented by reaction (15) was investigated further using 0-D photochemical model simulations of the marine surface air sampled during GCE/CASE/WATOX. The model includes a **standard scheme for computing UV radiation intensities [Logan et al., 1981] and a** detailed HO_x-NO_y -hydrocarbon chemical **mechanism (Lurmann et al. [198g], with** modifications by Jacob and Wofsy [1990]). **The mechanism was extended to include gas-phase C1 react ions [Atkinson and** Aschmann, 1985; DeMore et al., 1987; Wallington et al., 1988; Nicovich et al., **1990: Nielsen et al., 1990], and surface** reactions of sea-salt aerosol with O₃ (reaction (15)) and $CINO₃$ (reaction (4)). **Rates for the surface reactions are expressed as the gas-to-aerosol fluxes of O₃ and CINO₃, weighted by a ''sticking
coefficient'' representing the reactivi of the gas at the surface. The same seasalt aerosol size distribution is assumed as in section 4.1. An excess surface** concentration of Cl^- is assumed, i.e., the **reaction rates are not limited by C1 availability. A sticking coefficient of** unity for CINO₃ is chosen as an upper **limit [Finlayson-Pitts et al., 1989]. The sticking coefficient for O₃ is chosen in
the range of 10⁻⁴ to 10⁻³ to generate C1⁻ loss rates of the order of I ppbv h -1 (see section 3.?).**

Our first set of simulations considers a closed air parcel in photochemical steady state at noon. Concentrations of N_1 , O_3 , CO , and HC1 are fixed at 0.3 , **lg, 100, and 0.5 ppbv, respectively, on the basis of the GCE/CASE/WATOX data. Concentrations of hydrocarbons, CO, and DMS are fixed on the basis of previous observations in the NAO [Rudolph and Ehhalt, 1981: Marenco and Said, 1989: Andreae et al., 1985]. Concentrations of radicals and secondary hydrocarbons, are assumed to be at chemical steady state and define in particular the partitioning of**

***NO x between NO x and peroxyacyl nitrates. Steady-state conditions are also assumed** for $HOC1$ and $Cl₂$.

Selected results from five simulations are compared in Table 3. Simulation A includes no C1' chemistry and is used as reference. Simulations B-E test the effect of various C1' chemistry schemes. The effect of C1' production from the NC1 + 'OH reaction (simulation B) is limited to a relatively small enhancement in the oxidation rate of alkanes, as was discussed previously by Singh and Kasting $[1988]$. Perturbations to the $HO_x-NO_x-O_3$ budgets are negligible because the photochemistry is NO_x-1 imited. Inclusion of the C1NO₃ surface reaction as a source of **C12 (simulation C) has no significant effect because the production of C10** (precursor of C1NO₃) is inhibited by reac**tions of C1' with hydrocarbons. This result is again in harmony with the model calculations of Singh and Kasting [1988], and with our previous assessment that the low concentrations of coarse particulate** NO₃ measured during the experiment suggest that reactions involving C1NO₃ were **of only minor importance (see section 3.4).**

Inclusion of the O₃ reaction at NaC1 **surfaces (simulations D and E) introduces major perturbations to photochemistry. Oxidation by C1' becomes a major atmospheric sink for DMS and hydrocarbons. The rapid production of C1** promotes CINO₃,
formation, enhancing the oxidation of NO_x to NO_3 ⁻ as well as the removal of O_3 . The **oxidation of alkenes by C1' generates** peroxyacyl radicals, shifting the composi-

tion of the ^{*NO} pool towards peroxyacyl
 nitentes relating to simulations A.C. **nitrates relative to simulations A-C. As a result of these two factors, we find in simulation E that photochemical production**

of 03 is largely suppressed. The short lifetime of *NO x in simulation E (1.4 hours) seems inconsistent with the high *NO x concentrations measured by Hastie et al. [1990] during GCE/CASE/ WATOX. This lifetime is computed for surface air conditions, however, and longer lifetimes would be expected at higher altitudes where sea-salt aerosol concentrations are lower [e.g., Blanchard •1983], Table 2). It is possible that NO_y from aloft was mixed down and con**tributed to the higher concentrations measured from the ship. Photolysis of** aerosol NO₃ could also possibly regenerate NO_v [Petriconi and Papee, 1972; Zafi**riou and True, 1979]. Alternatively, the** higher sticking coefficient for O₃ in

Keene et al.' Geochemical Cycling of Reactive Chlorine 423

TABLE 3. Model Results for a Surface Air Parcel in Photochemical Equilibrium at Noon

Simulations are as follows' A, no C1 chemistry; B, gas-phase C1 chemistry only; C, simulation B with surface reaction CINO 3 + C1 added; D, simulation C with surface reaction 0_3 **+ C1** added (sticking coefficient of 10^{-4}); E, simulation C with surface reaction 0_3^2 + C1⁻ added (sticking coefficient of 10^{-3}).

^aComputed from the photochemical partitioning of 0.3 ppbv N_0 between N_0 and **peroxyacyl nitrates (see text).**

^bIncluding only the uptake at sea-salt aerosol surfaces. Other C1NO₃ loss mechanisms **are thermal decomposition, photolysis, and reaction with 'OH.**

simulation E (Table 3) may have resulted in overestimates for rates of Cl₂ **product ion.**

The die1 cycle of CI' chemistry was examined by integrating the 0-D simulation over 48 hours, starting at midnight.
Concentrations of NO_x, DMS, CO, and pri-
mary hydrocarbons are fixed at the same **levels as before, while concentrations of other species (including BC1) are allowed to evolve as independent variables. Removal of HC1 is regulated by the gas-toaerosol flux, with a sticking coefficient** of 0.15 IWatson et al., 1990]. The stick-
ing coefficient for O₃ is taken as 10⁻³ in
the daytime. At night we either use the **same value (simulation A') or reduce it to 10 -5 (simulation B'). The lower sticking coefficient at night is intended to simu**late the light dependence of Cl₂ produc**tion observed by Behnke and Zetzsch [19891.**

Results for HC1, C1₂, and C1[.] concen**trations are shown in Figure 6. The sum** of HC1 and C1₂ concentrations in the day-

time is 0.3-0.5 ppbv, consistent with the observed aerosol C1- losses. The higher C1- deficits at night (Figure 5) can be explained by nighttime accumulation of C1₂. Simulation A' indicates a C1₂ accu**mulation of 7 ppbv at night, which in reality may be limited by the availability** of C1⁻ aerosol. The accumulation of C1₂ **to high levels at night, as in simulation A', would produce a surge in C1' concentrations at sunrise (Figure 6), which would in turn deplete DMS and biogenic hydrocarbons. However, observed DMS concentrations in surface air [Andreae et al., 1985] show no evidence of rapid depletion at sunrise. It appears that nighttime accumulation of C12 must be limited in some way, by either inefficient production or chemical removal. As shown in simulation B', a decrease in the rate** of nighttime Cl₂ production can effec**tively suppress the sunrise surge in C1'** concentrations. Reaction of C1₂ with DMS **[Nielsen et al., 1990] could reduce night**time C1₂ levels further.

Fig. 6. Simulated concentrations of C12, HC1, and el' in marine surface air, as a function of time of day. Model conditions are based on the GCE/CASE/ WATOX data. A sticking coefficient of 10 ^o is assumed for the 0₃ reaction at **NaC1 aerosol surfaces in the daytime. The sticking coefficient at night is** assumed identical to that during the day (simulation **A'; squares), or is**
reduced to 10⁻⁵ (simulation B'; crosses).

3. SUMbiARY

biajor hypothesized reactant and product species associated with the heterogeneous generation of volatile inorganic C1 from reactions involving sea-salt aerosol in the marine troposphere were measured as a function of phase, particle size, and altitude during the GCE/CASE/WATOX experiment of summer 1988. Air was sampled from the NOAA King Air research aircraft over the western NAO with a dichotomous system which incorporated bulk and fine (50% **aerodynamic-cut radius of 0.4 gin) filter packs. Air was also sampled throughout the NAO with two bulk filter pack samplers, a hive1 aerosol sampler, and two cascade impactors (six and seven stages) mounted on a 10-m bow tower on the NOAA** ship Mt. Mitchell. Data from paired **collections with bulk and size-segregated samplers indicate that particle-toparticle and gas-to-particle reactions on bulk aerosol prefilters may cause large (factor of 2) positive artifacts in the** measurement of HC1 vapor and aerosol C1⁻ **deficits.**

Concentrations of HC1 near the surface were typically less than 1.0 ppbv and decreased with altitude and with distance from the U.S. east coast. Concentrations of C1 volatilized from aerosols were generally equivalent to the corresponding concentrations of HC1. C1⁻ deficits for the particulate phase ranged from less

than detection limits to 125 nmol m⁻³ STP **with the highest absolute and percentage losses of C1 typically associated with elevated concentrations of anthropogenic combustion l•roducts. Concentrations of** product SO₄⁻ and NO₃ in coarse fractions
of aerosol samples indicate that the com**bined effect of acid-base desorption and** reactions involving N gases (NO₂, C1NO₃, **N203, and HNO 3) and sea-salt aerosol could account for maxima of between 4 and 37% of measured C1-deficits. This suggests that other processes may be occurring that lead to C1 loss from sea-salt aerosol.**

We hypothesize a photochemical mechanism for the C1 loss which involves the reaction of O₃ at NaC1 surfaces to generate C1₂. Rapid photolysis of C1₂ during **the day produces C1', which initiates photochemical reactions in an analogous manner to 'OH, yielding HC1. HC1 is then scavenged efficiently by the aerosol, closing the C1 cycle. Alkalinity produced by this mechanism would explain anion deficits measured in coarse-fraction aero**sol. In addition, the accumulation of Cl_2 **during the night that is expected from such a mechanism would explain the significant die1 periodicity in the magnitude of el- deficits measured in aerosols during** the experiment. Steady state between Cl₂ **volatilization from the aerosol and between scavenging of NC1 by the aerosol** implies a C1₂ source of the order of
1 ppbv h⁻¹ during the day. Uptake of O₃ **by sea-salt aerosol may represent an** important global sink for tropospheric O₂, **contributing to the low concentrations observed in many oceanic regions. Simulations with a 0-D photochemical model suggest that oxidation by C1' may be a major atmospheric sink for DMS and hydrocarbons. The geochemical cycling of C1 could thus have a significant influence on the oxidative state of the marine troposphere.**

APPENDIX: ASSESSMENT OF ARTIFACTS IN HCL AND NSS CL⁻ DATA

Potential sources of bias in measurements of HC1 and nss C1⁻ include non**specificity of the sampling media, volatilization resulting from pressure drops, losses of HC1 to inlet or cyclone walls, chemical reactions between different size classes of particles collected in bulk, and chemical reactions between collected particles and gases in the airstream. Alkaline-reactive C1 vapor was sampled quantitatively by the impregnated filters and was measured precisely by our analytical technique [Bardwell et al., 1990]. Previous testing has shown that carbonate impregnated filters selectively exclude organic C1 gases [e.g., Rahn et al., 1976; Berg and Winchester, 1977].** Since HC1 is thought to be the principal component of Clⁱ_{*a*} during the daytime (see, **for example, sections 1 and 4.2), large bias in our reported HC1 data is not expected as a result of the specificity of the sampling media. HC1 was measured only** during the day in conjunction with air**craft sampling. In addition, the low** pressure drop across the UVA/AQG filter **packs [Bardwell et al., 1990] should minimize artifacts resulting from volatilizat ion of particulate C1-. Independent information is not available to assess critically the potential loss of HC1 to inlet and cyclone walls.**

The mixture of coarse (predominantly sea salt) and fine (predominantly sulfur) aerosol on a single filter may generate artifact HC1 and C1- deficits via react ions (1) and (2) [e.g., Berg and Winchester, 1977i Perdue and Beck, 1988]. Two

sets of paired data were inspected for evidence of such artifacts. Excluding two samples collected on Yuly 17 in heavily polluted coastal air, data for the UVA/AQG **dichotomous sampler on the aircraft show a consistent pattern (12 of 12 observations)** of unneutralized acidity (estimated as

[nss SO₄²⁻] + [NO₃⁻] - [NH₄⁺] on an **equivalent basis) in the fine aerosol fraction of BL air. Relative to HC1 measured with fine filter packs, concentrations of HC1 from concurrent bulk filter packs were systematically higher (11 of 12 cases) by an average factor of 2.3. These observations are consistent with the hypothesis that acidic fine aerosol reacted with sea-salt aerosol on bulk prefilters to produce artifact HC1 and C1- deficits.**

Six data pairs for the AOML hive1 and URI cascade impactor, which were generated during overlapping but not entirely concurrent sampling periods, were also inspected for evidence of such artifacts. C1- deficits measured with the AOML hivol were higher relative to those for the impactors in four of six cases by an average factor for all data pairs of 2.1. Unneutralized acidity estimated for fine fraction aerosol (stages 5 and 6 of the impactor) could account for approximately 50% of the observed average difference. This suggests that particle-to-particle reactions, though potentially important, did not generate all of the artifact Cidelicit inferred from differences between the paired observations.

The presence of alkaline material such as sea-salt aerosol on bulk filters could scavenge HNO 3 [e.g., Savoie et al., 1989] and SO₂ [e.g., Coutant, 1977] from the **airstream, resulting in the production of artifact HC1 and C1- deficits via react ions (1) and (2). Paired data from the UVA/AQG dichotomous sampler on the aircraft reveal significantly higher (?% on** average) concentrations of SO₂ downstream **of the fine aerosol prefilter relative to the bulk aerosol prefilter [Bardwell et** al., 1990], suggesting that SO₂ may have **been scavenged by sea-salt on the bulk** prefilter. If the scavenged SO_2 reacted **to produce HC1, the average positive bias in HC1 would be less than 5%. Because HNO 3 was apparently lost on the wall of the cyclone [Bardwell et al., 1990],** paired measurements of HNO₃ could not be **assessed similarly for evidence of artifacts.**

Data from the AOML hivol and the URI cascade impactor were also inspected for evidence of gas-to-particle reactions on

bulk aerosol filters. Concentrations of nss SO₄⁻ and NO₃ were summed for all **stages of each impactor sample and compared with the corresponding sum for each** hivol. Sums for hivol samples were great**er that those for cascades in five of six cases by an average factor for all data of 1.3. Higher C1- deficits were observed in** four of the five cases where hivol sums **exceeded those for cascades. These relationships suggest that alkaline-reactive S and N gases may have been scavenged by sea-salt aerosol on the bulk filter, lead**ing to artifact Cl^- deficits.

The above assessment indicates that particle-to-particle and gas-to-particle reactions on bulk filters may be important sources of bias in measurements of HC1 and CI= deficits. A more detailed evaluation is available upon request from the authors.

Acknowledgments. We thank Captain D. E. Nortrup and the crew of the NOAA ship Mr. Mitchell for their enthusiastic support of the Global Change Expedition; R. Duce for loan of the bow tower on the ship; L. Gunter for operating the sampler on the aircraft and providing the flow data; D. Wellman for assisting in developing the aircraft sampler; T. Gates and R. Morris for piloting the NOAA King Air; B. Cruden for fabricating the UVA/AQO sampler used on the ship; C. Bardwell, C. Brown, I. Hurt, I. Maben, and D. Smith for assisting in developing and testing the measurement systems, collecting the samples, and generating the resulting data; **D. Hastie for providing data for NO**_X and NO_v; R. Artz, J. Harris, and J. Merrill for calculating air-mass tra**jectories and interpreting meteorology; B. Morris for expert clerical assistance; and the Bermuda Biological Station for Research, Inc., for supporting aircraft operations. Comments and suggestions by R. Atkinson, T. Oraedel, O. Harvey, \$. Rudolph, P. Wine, O. Zafiriou, and the anonymous reviewers were very helpful. Financial support was provided by the NOAA Air Resources Laboratory, NOAA AOML, NOAA Radiatively Important Trace Species (RITS) Program, and National Science Foundation (NSF-ATM88-58974). This is a contribution to the Western Atlantic Ocean Experiment (WATOX).**

REFERENCES

Altshuller, A. P., Natural sources of gaseous pollutants in the atmosphere, TellusL 10, 479-492, 1958.

- Andreae, M. O., R. J. Ferek, F. Bermond, **K. P. Byrd, R. Y. Engstrom, S. Hardin, P. D. Houmere, F. LeMarrec, H. Raemdonck, and R. B. Chatfield, Dimethyl** sulfide in the marine atmosphere, **J**. Geophys. Res., 90, 12,891-12,900, 1985.
- **Atkinson, R., and S. M. Aschmann, Kinetics of the gas phase reaction of C1 atoms with a series of organics at 296+2 K and atmospheric pressure, Int. I. Chem.** Kin., 17, 33-41, 1985.
- Bardwell, C. A., J. R. Maben, J. A. Hurt, W. C. Keene, J. N. Galloway, J. F. Boat**man, and D. Wellman, A technique utilizing high-flow, dichotomous filter packs for measuring major atmospheric chemical** constituents, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, **in press, 1990.**
- **Behnke, W., and C. Zetzsch, Smog chamber investigations of the influence of NaC1** aerosol on the concentrations of O₃ in a photosmog system, in Ozone in the Atmosphere, Proceedings of the Quadrennial Ozone Symposium 1988 and Tropospheric Ozone Workshop, edited by B. D. **Bojkov and P. Fabian, pp. 519-523, A. Deepak, Hampton, Va., 1989.**
- **Berg, W. W., and \$. W. Winchester, Organic and inorganic gaseous chlorine in relation to the particle size distribution** of chloride in the marine aerosol, J_+ Geophys. Res., 82, 5945-5953, 1977.
- **Blanchard, D.C., The production, distribution, and bacterial enrichment of the** sea-salt aerosol, in Air-Sea Exchange of **Gases and Particles, edited by P.S. Liss and W. G. N. Slinn, pp. 407-454, D. Reidel, Hingham, Mass., 1983.**
- **Boatman, J. F., W. C. Keene, M. Luria, J. D. Ray, C. A. Bardwell, K. Gorzelska, M. Fennell, D. R. Hastie, A. A. P. Pszenny, and D. Whelpdale, An intercomparison of the chemical and meteorological data generated from aircraft and shipboard sampling during GCE/CASE/ WATOX, NOAA Tech. Memo. ERL ARL-186, Air Resour. Lab., Silver Spring, Md., in press, 1990.**
- **Brimblecombe, P., and S. L. Clegg, The solubility and behaviour of acid gases** in the marine aerosol, J. Atmos. Chem., **7, 1-18, 1988.**
- **Chameides, W. L., and D. D. Davis, The free radical chemistry of cloud droplets and its impact upon the composition of** rain, <u>J. Geophys. Res., 87</u>, 4863-4877, **1982.**
- **Cicerone, R. J., Nalogens in the atmos**phere, Rev. Geophys., 19, 123-139, 1981.
- **Coutant, R. W., Effect of environmental variables on collection of atmospheric**

sulfate, Environ. Sci. Techno1. 11, 873- 878, 1977.

- **DeNote, W. B., M. J. Molina, S. P. Sander, D. M. Golden, R. F. Hampson, M. J. Kurylo, C. J. Howard, and A. R. Ravishankara, Chemical kinetics and photochemical data for use in stratospheric** modeling, JPL Pub1, 87-41, Jet Propul. **Lab., Pasadena, Calif., 1987.**
- **Duce, R. A., On the source of gaseous chlorine in the marine atmosphere, J_•.** Geophys. Res., 74, 4597-4599, 1969.
- **Erickson, D. J., and R. A. Duce, On the** global flux of atmospheric sea salt, **J**. Geophys. Res., 93, 14,079-14,088, 1988.
- **Eriksson, E., The yearly circulation of chlorine and sulfur in nature' Meteorological, geochemical and pedologica1** implications, 1, Tellus, 11, 375-403, **1959.**
- Finlayson-Pitts, B. J., Reaction of NO₂ **with NaC1 and atmospheric implications** of NOC1 formation, Nature, 306, 676-677, **1983.**
- **Finlayson-Pitts, B. J., hi. J. Ezell, and J. N. Pitts, Jr., Formation of chemically active chlorine compounds by reactions of atmospheric NaC1 particles with** gaseous N_2O_5 and $C10NO_2$, Nature, 337, **241- 244, 1989.**
- Fishman, J., C. E. Watson, J. C. Larsen, and J. A. Logan, Distribution of tropo**spheric ozone determined from satellite** data, <u>J. Geophys. Res., 95,</u> 3599-3617, **1990.**
- **Fogg, T. R., Absorption of gases by cellulose fiber cascade impactor surfaces,** Atmos. Environ., 20, 1633-1634, 1986.
- Friend, J. P., Natural chlorine and fluo**rine in the atmosphere, water and precipitation, in Scientific Assessment of Stratospheric Ozone' 1989, vol. II,** Appendix: AFEAS Rep., 1989, Rep. 20, **Global Ozone Res. and Menit. Proj., World Meteorel. Organ., Geneva, 1989.**
- **Fuchs, N. A., and A. G. Sutugin, Highdispersed aerosols, in International** Reviews of Aerosol Physics and Chemis**try, vol. 2, edited by G. M. Hidy and \$. R. Brock, pp. 1-60, Pergamon, New York, 1971.**
- **Hansen, A.D. A., R. S. Artz, A. A. P. Pszenny, and R. E. Larson. Aerosol black carbon and radon as tracers for air mass origin over the North Atlantic** Ocean, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, in **press, 1990.**
- Hastie, D. R., S. Malle, D. L. Toom, D. M. Whelpdale, W. C. Keene, J. N. Galloway, J. R. Maben, and A. A. P. Pszenny, Inor**ganic nitrogen over the western North**

Atlantic Ocean, **Global Biogeochem. Cycles, in press, 1990.**

- Heikes, B. G., Aqueous H₂O₂ production from O₃ in glass impingers, Atmos. Envi**ron.a_ 18, 1433-1445, 1984.**
- Jacob, D. J., Chemistry of OH in remote **clouds and its role in the production of formic acid and peroxymonosulfate,** Geophys. Res., 91, 9807-9826, 1986.
- Jacob, D. J., and S. C. Wofsy, Budgets of **reactive nitrogen, hydrocarbons, and ozone over the Amazon forest during the** wet season, <u>J. Geophys. Res., 95</u>, **16,737-16754, 1990.**
- Jayson, C. G., B. J. Parsons, and A. J. **Swallow, Some simple and highly reactive chloride derivates in aqueous solution,** J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 1, 69, **1597-1607, 1973.**
- **litre, M. E., and D. L. Anderson, Comments on ''The use of Whatman 41 filters for particle collection,'' Atmos. Environ., 22, 2629-2630, 1988.**
- **[ritz, M. l., and J. Rancher, Circulation of Na, C1, and Br in the tropical marine** atmosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 85, 1633-**1639, 1980.**
- **Legrand, M., and R. J. Delmas, Formation of HC1 in the Antarctic atmosphere,** Geophys. Res., 93, 7153-7168, 1988.
- Levy, H., II, and W. J. Moxim, Simulated **global distribution and deposition of reactive nitrogen emitted by fossil fuel combustion, Tel!us, Set. B, 41, 256- 271, 1989.**
- **Lin, X., M. Trainer, and S.C. Liu, On the non-linearity of tropospheric ozone** production, <u>J. Geophys. Res., 93</u>, **15,879-15,888, 1988.**
- **Liu, S.C., M. McFarland, D. Kley, O. Zafiriou, and B. Huebert, Tropospheric NO_x** and O₃ budgets in the equatorial P_{acc} . **Res.** 1360-**1368, 1983.**
- **Lodge, J. P., Editorial: Use of Whatman 41 filter papers in particle sampling, Atmos. Environ__.j 2._•0, 1657, 1986.**
- **Logan, J. A., M. J. Prather, S.C. Wofsy, and M. B. McElroy, Tropospheric chemis**try: A global perspective, J. Geophys. **Res.• 86, 7210-7254, 1981.**
- **Lovett, R. F., Ouantitative measurement of airborne sea-salt in the North Atlantic, Tellus• 30, 358-364, 1978.**
- **Lowenthal, D. H., and K. A. Rahn, Further comments on ''The use of Whatman 41 filter papers for high volume aerosol sampling,'' Atmos. Environ.• 21, 2732-2734 1987.**
- **Lurmann, F. W., A. C. Lloyd, and R. Atkinson, A chemical mechanism for use in**

long-range transport/acid deposition model ing, l__, G__eo_Ehys_. Re s_____•.z 91, 10,905- 10,936, 1986.

- **Marenco, A., and F. Said, Meridional and vertical ozone distribution in the background troposphere (70øN-60øS; 0-12 km altitude) from scientific aircraft measurements during the STRATOZ III experi**ment (June 1984), Atmos. Environ., 23, **201-214, 1989.**
- **Martens, C. S., J. J. Wesolowski, R. C.** Harriss, and R. Kaifer, Chlorine loss **from Puerto Rican and San Francisco Bay** area marine aerosols, <u>J. Geophys. Res..</u> **7__•8, 8778-8792, 1973.**
- **McElroy, W. J., A laser photolysis study** of the reaction of SO₄ with C1 and the **subsequent decay of C12- in aqueous** solution, <u>J. Phys. Chem., 94</u>, 2435-2441, **1990.**
- Newell, R. E., and M. F. Wu, Simultaneous **measurements of carbon monoxide and ozone in the NASA Global Atmospheric** Sampling Program (GASP), in Atmospheric Ozone, Proceedings, Ozone Symposium, **edited by C. S. Zeferos and A. Ghazi, pp. 548-552, D. Reidel, Hingham, Mass., 1985.**
- **Nicovich, J. M., K. D. Kreutter, and P. H. Wine, Kinetics of the reactions of**
 **CI(²P_J) and Br(²P_{3/2}) with 0₃, <u>Int.</u>

<u>Chem. Kinet., 22</u>, 399-414, 1990.**
- **Nielsen, O. J., N. W. Sidebottom, L. Nelson, O. Ratregan, J. J. Treacy, and D. J. O'Farrell, Rate constants for the reaction of OH radicals and C1 atoms with diethyl sulfide, di-n-propyl sulfide, and di-n-butyl sulfide, Int.** Chem. Kinet., 22, 603-612, 1990.
- **Parungo, F. P., C. T. Nagamoto, J. Rosinski, and P. L. Haagenson, A study of marine aerosols over the Pacific Ocean, J. Atmos. Chem., 4, 199-226, 1986.**
- **Perdue, E. M., and K. C. Beck, Chemical consequences of mixing atmospheric drop**lets of varied pH, J. Geophys. Res., 93, **691-698, 1988.**
- **Petriconi, G. L., and H. M. Papee, On the photolytic separation of halogens from sea water concentrates, Water Air Soil Pollut.z 1_, 117-131, 1972.**
- **Piotrowicz, S. R., D. A. Boran, and C. Fisher, Ozone in the boundary layer of the equitorial Pacific Ocean, J. Geo**phys. Res., 91, 13,113-13,119, 1986.
- **Piotrowicz, S. R., C. J. Fisher, and R. S. Artz, Ozone and carbon monoxide over the North Atlantic during a boreal summer, Global Biogeochem. Cyc!es, in press, 1990.**
- **Pszenny, A. A. P., A. J. Castelie, J. N.**

Galloway, and R. A. Duce, A study of the sulfur cycle in the Antarctic marine boundary layer, J. Geophys. Res. 24, **9818-9830, 1989.**

- **Pszenny, A. A. P., Y. N. Galloway, R. S. Artz, and J. F. Boatman, Overview of the 1988 GCE/CASE/WATOX studies of biogeochemical cycles in the North Atlantic** region, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, in **press, 1990a.**
- **Pszenny, A. A. P., G. R. Harvey, C. J. Brown, R. F. Lung, W. C. Keene, J. N. Galloway, and J. T. Merrill, Measurements of dimethyl sufide oxidation products in the summertime North Atlantic marine boundary layer, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, in press, 1990b.**
- **Rahn, K. A., R. D. Borys, and R. A. Duce, Tropospheric halogen gases- Inorganic and organic components, Science, 192, 549-550, 1976.**
- **Rancher, J., and M. A. Kritz, Diurnal fluctuations of Br and I in the marine** atmosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 85, 5581-**5587, 1980.**
- **Ray, J. D., C. C. Van Valin, M. Luria, and J. F. Boatman, Oxidants in the marine** troposphere: H_2O_2 and O_3 over the west**ern Atlantic Ocean, Global Biozeochem. Cycle_s, in press, 1990.**
- **Rudolph, \$., and D. H. Ehhalt, Measurements of C2-C5 hydrocarbons over the** North Atlantic, J. Geophys. Res., 86, **11,959-11,964, 1981.**
- **Ryan, J. A., and N. R. Mukherjee, Estimate of tropospheric HC1 cycle, report, 74 pp., McDonnell-Douglas Co., Huntington Bend, Calif., 1974.**
- **Savoie, D. L., J. M. Prospero, and E. S. Saltzman, Non-sea-salt sulfate and nitrate in trade wind aerosols at Barbados' Evidence for long-range transport,** J. Geophys. Res., 94, 5069-5080, 1989.
- **Schroeder, W. H., and P. Urone, Formation of nitrosy1 chloride from sea particles** in air, **Environ.** Sci. Technol.. 8, 756-**758, 1974.**
- **Sievering, H., J. Boatman, J. Galloway, W. Keene, Y. Kim, M. Luria, and J. Ray, Heterogeneous sulfur conversion in seasalt aerso1 particles' The role of aerosol water content and size distribution, Atmos. Environ., in press, 1991.**
- **Singh, H. B., and J. F. Kasting, Chlorinehydrocarbon photochemistry in the marine troposphere and lower stratosphere, J__._. Atmos. Chem..., •, 261-285, 1988.**
- **Stunder, B. \$. B., R. S. Artz, G. D. Rolph, \$. M. Harris, and J. T. Merrill, Summary of meteorological conditions over the North Atlantic Ocean during**

WATOX 1988, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, **in press, 1990.**

- **Wall, S. M., W. John, and J. I.. Ondo, Measurement of aerosol size distributions for nitrate and major ionic spe**cies, Atmos. Environ., 22, 1649-1656, **1988.**
- **Wallington, T. \$., L. M. Skewes, W. O. Siegl, C. -H. Wu, and S. M. Japar, Gas phase reactions of C1 atoms with a series of oxygenated species at 295 K, Int. J.** Chem. Kinet., 20, 867-875, 1988.
- Watson, L. R., J. M. Van Doren, P. Davido**vits, A. R. Worsnop, M. S. Zahniser, and C. E. Kolb, Uptake of NC1 molecules by aqueous sulfuric acid droplets as a** function of acid concentration, J. Geophys. Res., 95, 5631-5638, 1990.
- **Watts, S. F., R. Yaaqub, and T. Davies, Comments on ''The use of Whatman 41 filter papers for high volume aerosol sampling,'' Atmos. Environ_•.•. 21, 2731-2732, 1987.**
- **Willeke, K., Performance of the slotted impactor, Am. Ind. Hygiene Assoc. 3__•6, 683-691, 1975.**
- **Winklet, P., Observations on acidity in continental and in marine atmospheric aerosols and in precipitation, J. Geo**phys. Res., 85, 4481-4486, 1980.
- **Winkler, P., Relations between aerosol** acidity and ion balance, in Chemistry of **Multiphase Atmospheric Systems, NATO Adv. Study Inst. Ser., Ser. G.,, vol. 6, edited by W. Jaeschke, pp. 269-298, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.**
- Wofsy, S. C., and M. B. McElroy, HO_x , NO_x , and C10_x: Their role in atmospheric photochemistry, Can. J. Chem., 52, 1582-**1591, 1974.**
- **Zafiriou, O. C., and M. B. True, Nitrate photolysis in seawater by sunlight, Mar.** Chem., 8, 33-42, 1979.
- **Zetzsch, C., G. Pfahler, and W. Behnke, Heterogeneous formation of chlorine atoms from NaC1 in a photosmeg system,** J. Aerosol Sci., 19, 1203-1206, 1988.

J. N. Galloway and W. C. Keene, Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22903.

J. F. Boatman, Aerosol Research Section, NOAA Air Resources Laboratory, Boulder, CO 80303.

R. A. Duce, Graduate School of Oceanography, University of Rhode Island, Narragansett, RI 02882.

D. J. Jacob, Division of Applied Scien-

ces, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138.

A. A. P. Pszenny, Ocean Chemistry Division, NOAA Atlantic Oceanographic and Meteorological Laboratory, 4301 Rickenbacker Causeway, Miami, FL 33149.

•. •. Schultz-Tokos, Institut fuer Meereskunde an der Universitaet Kiel, Duesternbrooker Weg 20, D-2300 Kiel, Ge rma ny.

H. Sievering, Center for Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado at Denver, Denver, CO 80204.

(Received February 15, 1990; revised October 31, 1990; accepted November 7, 1990.)