1991

Westward-propagating SST Anomalies and Baroclinic Eddies in the Sargasso Sea

George R. Halliwell Jr.

Young Jae Ro

Peter C. Cornillon

University of Rhode Island, pcornillon@uri.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/gsofacpubs>

Citation/Publisher Attribution

Halliwell, G.R., Y.J. Ro, and P. Cornillon, 1991: Westward-propagating SST Anomalies and Baroclinic Eddies in the Sargasso Sea. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 21, 1664–1680, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485\(1991\)0212.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(1991)0212.0.CO;2)
Available at: [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485\(1991\)021<1664:WPSAAB>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(1991)021<1664:WPSAAB>2.0.CO;2)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Graduate School of Oceanography at DigitalCommons@URI. It has been accepted for inclusion in Graduate School of Oceanography Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact digitalcommons-group@uri.edu.

Westward-propagating SST Anomalies and Baroclinic Eddies in the Sargasso Sea[@]

GEORGE R. HALLIWELL, JR.,* YOUNG JAE RO,** AND PETER CORNILLON[‡]

*MPO/RSMAS, University of Miami, Miami, Florida,

**Department of Oceanography, College of Natural Sciences,
Chung Nam National University, Kung-dong, Dae Jeon, Korea,

[‡]Graduate School of Oceanography, University of Rhode Island, Narragansett, Rhode Island

(Manuscript received 23 July 1990, in final form 10 January 1991)

ABSTRACT

Previous studies have documented the existence of a zonal band of strong, persistent, westward-propagating sea surface temperature (T_s) anomalies with zonal wavelengths of ≈ 800 km and periods of ≈ 200 days that are confined to the subtropical convergence zone (STCZ, roughly 26° – 32° N). Two years of satellite-derived sea surface temperature (T_s) and sea surface elevation anomaly (η) maps of the Sargasso Sea (22.5° – 33.5° N, 71.5° – 59.5° W) are analyzed to determine how these anomalies are forced and why they are confined to the STCZ. A simple anomaly model forced by horizontal eddy currents and damped by a linear feedback mechanism explains many properties of the anomaly response. At wavelengths exceeding several hundred kilometers, forcing by horizontal eddy currents becomes less important relative to atmospheric forcing with increasing wavelength. The anomalies are confined to the STCZ partly because the large mean T_s gradient there enables the horizontal eddy currents to be relatively effective at forcing anomalies. Also, the eddies that force these anomalies, wavelike features with wavelengths of ~ 800 km and periods of ~ 200 days, are themselves confined to the STCZ. These wavelike eddies were not detected during earlier experiments such as MODE because the domains within which they were conducted were too small. Within the STCZ, zonal dispersion properties of the eddy field are consistent with baroclinic Rossby wave variability. To the north and south of the STCZ, however, zonal dispersion properties differ substantially from the properties observed within the STCZ. The eddy dispersion properties change abruptly across transition zones 1–2 degrees wide centered at 32.5° and 25.5° N. A simple linearized reduced-gravity model is used to demonstrate that interaction between eddies and zonal mean currents can qualitatively account for the change in dispersion properties south of the STCZ, but not to the north within the Gulf Stream recirculation region.

1. Introduction

By analyzing a seven-year set of five-day composite sea surface temperature (T_s) maps filtered to resolve wavelengths ≥ 220 km and periods ≥ 50 days, Halliwell et al. (1990a) documented the properties of westward-propagating T_s anomaly features confined to the Sargasso Sea subtropical convergence zone (STCZ, roughly 26° – 32° N) from 1982 to 1988. Between 71° and 59.5° W, these features occasionally exceeded $\pm 1^\circ$ C in magnitude, had zonal wavelengths of ~ 800 km, periods of ~ 200 days, and propagated westward at 3 – 4 km day⁻¹. They were evident from fall to spring, with their magnitude, structure, and temporal persistence varying substantially from year to year. Individual

anomaly features could often be followed for several months. Their influence on measurements made during the Frontal Air–Sea Interaction Experiment (FASINEX; Weller 1991) is summarized in Halliwell and Cornillon (1990) and Halliwell et al. (1991b). Evidence suggested that the anomalies were driven by the baroclinic eddy field (Halliwell and Cornillon 1990; Halliwell 1991; Halliwell et al. 1991a).

Geosat altimetry data were obtained to determine how these anomaly features are forced by the baroclinic eddy field. We first describe the generation of the five-day sea surface elevation anomaly (η) maps. We show that the westward-propagating T_s anomalies in the STCZ are strongly coupled to baroclinic eddies. We then use a simple model of T_s anomaly variability to demonstrate that forcing by horizontal eddy currents dominates atmospheric forcing. Reasons why the westward-propagating T_s anomalies are confined to the STCZ are identified. Eddy properties change dramatically with latitude, and the possible role of eddy–mean current interaction in producing this meridional variability is evaluated.

[@] FASINEX Contribution Number 105.

Corresponding author address: Dr. George R. Halliwell, Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science, Division of Meteorology and Physical Oceanography, 4600 Rickenbacker Causeway, Miami, FL 33149-1098.

2. Creation of the η maps

Maps of η were created for the same five-day intervals used for the T_s maps (Halliwell et al. 1991a). The time interval for the present study is 19 November 1986 (the beginning of the Geosat Exact-Repeat Mission) to 14 October 1988, with both dates representing the central day of a five-day interval. Basic processing of the Geosat altimeter passes is described in Ro and Cornillon (1991); the resulting dataset consists of sea surface elevation anomaly along 42 sets of ascending tracks only, with each complete set spanning a period of about 17 days. These data were smoothed to reduce noise using a Hanning window about 75 km wide, then sub-sampled to a resolution of about 40 km. Objective analysis (Carter and Robinson 1987) was then used to grid elevation anomaly maps on the same grid used for the T_s maps (Halliwell et al. 1991a), except that the western edge was set at 71.5°W instead of 75.5°W because insufficient Geosat data were available farther west. The resulting grid has a resolution of 0.5° latitude and longitude and spans the region from 22.5° to 33.5°N and 71.5° to 59.5°W (Fig. 1).

For the purpose of generating the η maps, it was assumed that all data within each 17-day repeat cycle were sampled at the same time, namely, the middle of the time interval. This did not significantly degrade the analysis results presented here due to the low frequencies of the variability being investigated. The irregularly distributed input data in each 17-day interval were first spatially averaged within 0.5° latitude/longitude boxes, then the resulting 42 gridded fields were used to estimate the three-dimensional autocorrelation function

FIG. 1. The analysis domain (solid box), bounded by 22.5° and 33.5°N, 71.5° and 59.5°W.

FIG. 2. Three-dimensional autocorrelation function estimated for the objective analysis of sea surface elevation. The function is graphed as a function of zonal and meridional lag distance in degrees longitude and latitude for three lag times: 0, 34, and 68 days. The contour interval is 0.05. In this and other contour plots, dashed contours indicate negative values.

(Fig. 2) required for the objective analysis. The spatial resolution of this estimated correlation function was reduced to 0.05° using bicubic spline interpolation, then it was stored as a lookup table for the correlation values required for the objective analysis based on zonal, meridional, and temporal lags. To interpolate

elevation anomaly to a given grid point at a given time, a search was first conducted for all input data within $\pm 0.5^\circ$ (± 50 km) in both x and y , and within ± 1 set of tracks (± 17 days) in time. If fewer than eight data points were found, the box was then alternately expanded by $\pm 0.5^\circ$ in both space dimensions and by ± 17 days in time until at least eight points were found. The number of box expansions was limited because input data values eventually become insignificantly correlated with variability at the interpolation point as the space/time separation increases. More than one input data value was always found by this search procedure. When more than eight data values were found, they were ranked according to the correlation index described in Halliwell et al. (1991a) and the eight "best" selected.

The same two-dimensional Shapiro filter (Shapiro 1970) used to spatially smooth the T_s maps (Halliwell et al. 1991a), which produced a gain of 0.5 at a wavelength of about 220 km, was used to smooth the elevation anomaly maps. A temporal cubic-spline interpolation was then performed at each grid point to generate the five-day maps of elevation. The same temporal Shapiro filter used for T_s , which produced a gain of 0.5 at a period of about 50 days, was used to low pass the five-day time series of elevation at each grid point. The resulting dataset consists of 140 five-day elevation maps. A small residual mean existed in the data processed by Ro and Cornillon (1991); it was removed to create the set of η maps for analysis. To remove the variability of η with wavelengths too large to be statistically resolved, a two-dimensional linear trend was removed from each η map to generate a set of η' maps. Analogously, T_s anomaly (T_{sa}) maps were calculated by removing the mean seven-year annual cycle of T_s , then T'_{sa} maps were calculated by removing two-dimensional linear trends (Halliwell et al. 1991a).

Because of the relatively small spatial correlation scales, especially in the east-west direction (Fig. 2), along with the absence of descending tracks that could have provided data between the ascending tracks, errors in the objective analysis reached the same order of magnitude as the rms amplitude of the elevation field at some grid points located midway between tracks. Fortunately, the spatial filtering of the elevation maps smoothed the error field so that errors at all grid points in the η maps were sufficiently small to allow the detection of eddies forcing the T_s anomalies. Other sources of error did not seriously degrade the η maps. Bisagni (1989) has analyzed atmospheric corrections to the Geosat altimeter, especially the water vapor correction, and has concluded that eddies with the wavelengths and periods of the T_s anomaly features can be resolved with reasonably good accuracy. Also, since variability with periods < 50 days has been filtered out, the influence of water vapor structure associated with synoptic atmospheric systems on η has been largely averaged out (Jourdan et al. 1990).

3. Coupling between eddies and anomalies in the STCZ

The coupling is illustrated using zonal-time correlation analysis. If a zonal-time data matrix is dominated by a periodic propagating signal, then the zonal-time autocorrelation function will oscillate along the zonal and temporal lag axes with the zonal wavelength and period of the signal, respectively. The phase velocity of this signal can be estimated from the slope of the ridges and troughs of this function.

The T'_{sa} field is coupled to the η' field within the STCZ, and the strongest coupling is observed at 28.5°N . At this latitude, the nearly identical structure of the zonal-time autocorrelation functions of both fields (Fig. 3) suggests that they are dominated by fluctuations with the same wavelength (~ 800 km), period (~ 200 days), and zonal propagation velocity ($3\text{--}4$ km day $^{-1}$). The cross-correlation function between the fields has the same structure as the two autocorrelation functions, but is phase shifted such that T'_{sa} leads η' both temporally by about one month and zonally by about 100 km. This phase shift is qualitatively consistent with forcing by horizontal eddy currents since northward geostrophic current (warm heat advection) exists to the west of η' maxima. The maximum positive cross-correlation coefficient is 0.49 which, since it was calculated over ten zonal and six temporal correlation scales, is statistically significant at the 95% confidence level. The corresponding correlation functions calculated at 22.5° and 33.5°N show that coupling is very weak north and south of the STCZ (Fig. 3). Also, the characteristic westward propagation speeds in the η' field are about twice as large outside of the STCZ. To examine the seasonal cycle of this coupling, zonal-time cross-correlation functions at 28.5°N between η' and T'_{sa} are calculated for winter 1986/87 and summer 1987 (Fig. 4). Strong coupling exists during winter, with a maximum positive cross-correlation of 0.70. Coupling is weak during summer since the maximum cross correlation is much smaller and the sloping ridge-trough pattern suggestive of westward phase propagation is gone. Westward propagation is present in the η' field, but not in the T'_{sa} field, during summer (not shown).

To determine if the interannual variability in T_s anomaly structure (Halliwell et al. 1991a) is related to interannual variability in the structure of the underlying eddies, zonal-meridional autocorrelation functions at zero lag time were calculated for η' and T'_{sa} between 26° and 32°N (Fig. 5). The anomaly features were nearly isotropic during 1986/87, and they were anisotropic during 1987/88 with the major axis oriented southwest-northeast. The characteristic structures of the T'_{sa} and η' fields are very similar during both years, so the interannual variability in T_s anomaly structure primarily reflects interannual variability in the structure of the associated baroclinic eddies.

FIG. 3. Zonal-time autocorrelation functions of η' (top), T'_{sa} (middle), and cross-correlation functions between η' and T'_{sa} (bottom), at 33.5°N (left), 28.5°N (center), and 22.5°N (bottom), calculated over the 2-year interval.

Since earlier eddy experiments in the Sargasso Sea STCZ, such as MODE (MODE Group 1978), the POLYMODE Synoptic Experiment (PSE) (Harrison and Heinmiller 1983), and the POLYMODE Local Dynamics Experiment (PLDE) (Taft et al. 1986), were conducted within substantially smaller domains, the coupled eddies and anomalies resolved here were poorly resolved in these earlier experiments. For example, in geostrophic streamfunction maps calculated from MODE data within a 465-km square box centered

near 28°N, 70°W, peak-to-peak (and trough-to-trough) separation distances typically ranged between 250 and 400 km (McWilliams 1976). Similar separation distances dominated in PLDE dynamic height maps within a 250-km square box centered about 3° to the north of MODE (Shen et al. 1986), where in this case peak-to-peak separation was estimated as twice the distance between adjacent highs and lows due to the small domain size. The spatial lag of the first zero crossing in correlation functions calculated from

FIG. 4. Zonal-time cross-correlation functions between η' and T'_{sa} at 28.5°N for winter 1986/87 (mid-November 1986 through mid-May 1987) and summer 1987 (June through September).

MODE and PLDE data was typically about 120 km (e.g., McWilliams and Owens 1976; Carter and Robinson 1987), while it is about 200 km for both the T'_{sa} and η' fields in the present study. Eddy variability resolved in these earlier experiments was therefore dominated by wavelengths about half as long as those of the coupled eddies and anomalies described here. Some hint that larger-wavelength variability was present in the Sargasso Sea STCZ was obtained by Harrison and Heinmiller (1983) in upper-ocean temperature maps from the PSE due to the larger domain (about 600 km E-W by 900 km N-S), although this variability was still rather poorly resolved. Analyses of eddy field properties presented here thus extend results obtained in these earlier experiments to larger wavelengths and

allow an assessment of how eddy properties vary as a function of wavelength to be made (section 6).

4. Anomaly forcing in the STCZ

a. Anomaly heat balance model

To analyze anomaly forcing, variables in the heat balance equation for a slab mixed layer are decomposed into a "mean" component (denoted by an overbar) and an anomaly component (denoted by subscript a) following Frankignoul (1985). The resulting anomaly heat balance is

$$\frac{\partial T'_{sa}}{\partial t} = F, \quad (1)$$

FIG. 5. Zonal-meridional autocorrelation functions at zero lag time of η' (top) and T'_{sa} (bottom) for the two winter (mid-November through mid-May) seasons.

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\bar{h} + h_a)F = & -\frac{Q_a}{\rho c} - (h\mathbf{v}_g)_a \cdot \nabla(\bar{T}_s + T_{sa}) \\
 & - \frac{(\bar{\tau}_a \times \mathbf{k})}{\rho f} \cdot \nabla(\bar{T}_s + T_{sa}) \\
 & - h_a \frac{\partial \bar{T}_s}{\partial t} - \Lambda(\bar{w}_e + w_{ea})(T_{sa} - T_{+a}) \\
 & - \Lambda w_{ea}(\bar{T}_s - \bar{T}_+), \quad (2)
 \end{aligned}$$

and where h represents mixed-layer depth, Q net surface heat flux, ρ water density, c specific heat at constant pressure, $\mathbf{v}_g$ horizontal geostrophic current, $\bar{\tau}$ wind stress, f Coriolis parameter, $\mathbf{k}$ vertical normal vector, w_e vertical entrainment velocity at the mixed-layer base given by

$$w_e = \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (h\mathbf{v}), \quad (3)$$

and subscript $+$ a depth just beneath the mixed-layer base. The parameter Λ in (2) is 0 for $w_e < 0$ and 1 otherwise since detrainment does not affect T_s . It has been assumed that T_s equals the bulk mixed-layer temperature. The effects of mean current and horizontal diffusion have been neglected. Term 1 on the right side of (2) represents the influence of net surface vertical heat flux, term 2 horizontal eddy currents, term 3 Ekman transport, term 4 a modification of $\partial T_{sa}/\partial t$ due to changes of $\bar{T}_s$ in the presence of anomalous mixed-layer thickness, and terms 5 and 6 net vertical heat flux at the mixed-layer base.

Two time scales of anomaly variability must be considered in (1) and (2): the low-frequency variability of the anomalies and eddies resolved here, and the higher-frequency (2-day to 2-week period) synoptic atmospheric variability. The eddies can directly force low-frequency anomalies by producing low-frequency variability of h_a , $(h\mathbf{v}_g)_a$, w_{ea} , and T_{+a} in (2). Since the present dataset can only be used to estimate $(h\mathbf{v}_g)_a$, we consider only the forcing by horizontal eddy currents in the present study. The atmospheric contribution to the forcing function F in (2) through its influence on Q_a , $\bar{\tau}_a$, h_a , and w_{ea} is also dominated by synoptic-scale variability. The atmospheric contribution to F can therefore be considered a stochastic random variable at the low frequencies of the anomalies. Hasselmann (1976) has shown that in undamped linear models, stochastic forcing produces a low-frequency response that always increases in amplitude with time. Synoptic atmospheric forcing is assumed to generate low-frequency T_s anomalies by this process (e.g., Frankignoul and Hasselmann 1977). The weaker low-frequency variability in atmospheric forcing is assumed to dampen the forced anomaly variability, primarily through the net vertical heat flux term in (2), by tend-

ing to return the mixed layer to an equilibrium temperature that would exist in the absence of forcing (Frankignoul 1985).

If we assume that forcing by horizontal eddy currents can be simplified using

$$\frac{(h\mathbf{v}_g)_a}{(\bar{h} + h_a)} \cdot \nabla(\bar{T}_s + T_{sa}) \approx v_g \bar{T}_{sy}, \quad (4)$$

then F can be decomposed into stochastic atmospheric forcing (F_A), eddy forcing (due to horizontal heat advection only), and linear feedback components as follows:

$$F = F_A - v_g \bar{T}_{sy} - \lambda T_{sa}, \quad (5)$$

where λ is the damping coefficient.

b. Atmospheric forcing of anomalies in the STCZ

Neglecting the eddy-forcing term, the model (1) and (5) can successfully predict many properties of large-scale, low-frequency T_s anomalies in open-ocean regions where the influence of eddies and mean currents on the mixed-layer heat balance are relatively unimportant (e.g., Frankignoul and Hasselmann 1977; Reynolds 1979; Frankignoul and Reynolds 1983). However, when such tests are conducted in regions where eddy forcing is important, anomaly variance is usually several times larger than the variance forced by the atmosphere alone. Frankignoul (1981) demonstrated that this was the case for anomaly variability between periods of about 2 months and 1 year by analyzing the Panulirus hydrographic data near Bermuda. He also demonstrated that eddy forcing by horizontal heat advection could account for the additional anomaly variance. Halliwell et al. (1991a) verified that stochastic atmospheric forcing in the Sargasso Sea STCZ is far too small to generate the westward-propagating T_s anomaly features observed there. This observation, combined with the observed coupling between anomalies and eddies, allows us to neglect the influence of stochastic atmospheric forcing and analyze the anomaly response to horizontal eddy heat advection alone.

c. Eddy forcing of anomalies in the STCZ

The following heat balance model will be analyzed:

$$\frac{\partial T'_{sa}}{\partial t} + \lambda T'_{sa} = -\frac{g\bar{T}_{sy}}{f} \eta'_x, \quad (6)$$

where it has been assumed that v_g is in geostrophic balance with elevation anomaly slope. Here T'_{sa} and η' are used to focus on eddy variability that can be statistically resolved within the analysis domain.

Zonal-time Fourier transformation of the model (6) yields the following equations for the predicted zonal-

time autospectrum of T'_{sa} , and for the gain and phase of the transfer function of the T'_{sa} response:

$$S_{TT}(k, \omega) = \left(\frac{k^2}{\lambda^2 + \omega^2} \right) \alpha^2 S_{\eta\eta}(k, \omega), \quad (7)$$

$$G_{\eta T}(k, \omega) = \frac{\alpha |k|}{(\lambda^2 + \omega^2)^{1/2}}, \quad (8)$$

and

$$\Theta_{\eta T} = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\omega} \right), \quad (9)$$

where S_{TT} and $S_{\eta\eta}$ are the zonal-time autospectra of T'_{sa} and η' , k and ω are zonal wavenumber and frequency, and

$$\alpha = \frac{g \bar{T}_{sy}}{f}. \quad (10)$$

In the following tests, we use $\bar{T}_{sy} = 6 \times 10^{-6} \text{ } ^\circ\text{C m}^{-1}$ and $\lambda = 42 \text{ yr}^{-1}$. The estimate of $\bar{T}_{sy}$ is representative of the winter mean meridional gradient at 28.5°N zonally averaged across the analysis domain. The method used to estimate λ will be discussed later.

The model is tested using zonal-time spectrum analysis (Pratt 1976) at 28.5°N (Fig. 6). Raw spectrum estimates were band averaged over two adjacent k bands and three adjacent ω bands to produce smoothed estimates for bands centered at wavelengths of ± 1960 , ± 660 , ± 390 , and ± 280 km and at periods of 350, 233, 175, 140, 117, 100, and 88 days. Band averaging was performed over only two adjacent k bands to preserve resolution and to center one of the resulting k bands (wavelength of 660 km) as close as possible to the dominant ~ 800 -km wavelength of the eddy-forced anomalies. The resolution of the smoothed spectra is rather poor, with every second k band and every third ω band statistically independent. Prewhitening was not required in the zonal wavenumber domain because large-scale trends have been removed from T'_{sa} and η' .

Before proceeding with the analysis, limitations on the space scales that can be resolved in the η' maps imposed by the Geosat sampling pattern must be discussed. Variance density in the η' autospectrum in Fig. 6 falls off more rapidly with increasing $|k|$ than expected near the largest $|k|$ resolved. Wavenumber autospectra of elevation anomaly along Geosat tracks in the domain from 20° – 30°N , 70° – 60°W estimated by Le Traon et al. (1990) show that a sharp bend in the spectra occurs near a wavelength of 500 km, with a relatively white spectrum existing at larger wavelengths and a -3 slope in the log-log plots existing at smaller wavelengths. However, the rate of decrease of η' variance density between wavelengths of 390 and 280 km observed here is about three times larger than expected for a -3 slope. Since ascending tracks only were used,

FIG. 6. Observed zonal-time autospectra of η' (top) and T'_{sa} (middle), and predicted zonal-time autospectrum of T'_{sa} (bottom), at 28.5°N . The base 10 logarithm of the energy density is contoured. Observed autospectra were calculated from two-dimensional Fourier transforms of the zonal-time data matrices. Band averaging was performed over three adjacent frequency bands and two adjacent wavenumber bands as described in the text. Given 12 degrees of freedom, the 95% confidence limits at each (k, ω) range from the estimate minus 0.29 to the estimate plus 0.44. The predicted autospectrum was calculated from (7). A dispersion curve for the first baroclinic Rossby wave mode is shown in each panel. The solid squares along the curve in this and subsequent figures mark the center of wavenumber bands resolved in the observed autospectra.

the effective zonal Nyquist wavelength is about 260 km at 28.5°N . The spectrum estimates at a wavelength of 280 km represent an average over bands centered at wavelengths of 330 and 240 km, the latter smaller than the Nyquist wavelength. Since the amplitude of eddies with wavelengths close to the Nyquist is apparently underestimated in our elevation anomaly maps, we will primarily emphasize eddy properties in the wavenumber bands centered at wavelengths of 1960, 660, and 390 km in the ensuing discussion.

A ridge of large η' variance density exists on the $-k$ side of the zonal-time autospectrum (Fig. 6), roughly following the dispersion curve of the first baroclinic Rossby wave mode calculated for meridional wave-

number $l = 0$ and a radius of deformation estimate obtained from Emery et al. (1984). The use of $l = 0$ is justified because meridional propagation of η' variability could not be detected in meridional-time autocorrelation functions (not shown). The resolution of the η' autospectrum is far too coarse to detect whether multiple baroclinic modes are present. We now consider whether barotropic Rossby wave energy could be influencing the η' autospectrum. Unless the phase propagation of barotropic Rossby waves has a substantial meridional component, the frequency of barotropic waves with wavelengths of several hundred kilometers will substantially exceed the largest frequency

resolved in Fig. 6. Since meridional propagation of η' variability could not be detected, it is therefore unlikely that barotropic Rossby wave energy is being detected in the η' autospectrum in Fig. 6. However, barotropic Rossby wave energy has been detected in a larger region of the western North Atlantic using Geosat data in a study designed specifically to detect those waves (Gaspar and Wunsch 1989).

In the observed autospectrum of T'_{sa} at 28.5°N (Fig. 6), variance density is again relatively large within a ridge that tends to follow the first-mode baroclinic Rossby wave dispersion curve, indicative of the coupling that exists between η' and T'_{sa} at this latitude. The predicted autospectrum of T'_{sa} (Fig. 6) was calculated from the observed autospectrum of η' using (7). For wavelengths exceeding several hundred kilometers, predicted variance density decreases with increasing wavelength while observed variance density does not. The predicted decrease occurs primarily because the effectiveness of forcing by horizontal geostrophic currents in the model (6) decreases with increasing wavelength given a constant magnitude of η' fluctuations. The predicted anomaly response theoretically goes to zero as $|k|$ goes to zero from (8), although the predicted autospectrum graphed in Fig. 6 does not reach zero because $k = 0$ is not a resolved wavenumber. Since the observed variance density does not decrease with increasing wavelength, atmospheric forcing is probably dominant at large wavelengths.

Propagating autospectra were calculated from the three autospectra in Fig. 6 by subtracting the variance density at negative k from the variance density at positive k , and they therefore represent the excess of westward-propagating variance over eastward-propagating variance (Pratt 1976). The three propagating autospectra are graphed in Fig. 7 as a function of $-k$ and ω so that the functions can be compared to the Rossby wave dispersion curves. Variance density due to standing waves and white noise do not contribute to propagating autospectra. In the present case, propagating autospectra are especially useful because at the low frequencies of the eddies and anomalies, atmospheric variability does not have a preferred zonal propagation direction (Willebrand 1978) and neither does the anomaly field forced by the atmosphere (Halliwell et al. 1991a). Consequently, the observed propagating autospectrum of T'_{sa} should be dominated by eddy-forced anomalies. This is confirmed by the propagating autospectra in Fig. 7. A large excess of westward-propagating η' variance density roughly follows the first-mode baroclinic Rossby wave dispersion curve as expected. The observed and predicted propagating autospectra of T'_{sa} are much more similar in structure than the corresponding autospectra in Fig. 6. In both functions, westward-propagating variance density is largest for wavelengths of 660 and 390 km at frequencies near the dispersion curve, and decreases with in-

FIG. 7. Zonal-time propagating autospectra at 28.5°N calculated from the autospectra graphed in Fig. 6 by subtracting the positive wavenumber side from the negative wavenumber side. Positive values indicate an excess of westward-propagating variance. Shown are the observed propagating autospectra of η' (top) and T'_{sa} (middle), and the predicted propagating autospectrum of T'_{sa} (bottom). Both T'_{sa} spectra have been divided by 100. A dispersion curve for the first baroclinic Rossby wave mode is shown in each panel.

creasingly large wavelength as the eddy influence diminishes. The most noticeable difference between the two functions is that the observed excess of westward-propagating variance density decreases less rapidly with increasing frequency than the predicted excess for frequencies above the dispersion curve.

The squared coherence between the η' and T'_{sa} fields at 28.5°N (Fig. 8) exceeds 0.8 in the $-k$ side of (k, ω) space at wavelengths of 390 and 660 km near the first-mode baroclinic Rossby wave dispersion curve. This high coherence is remarkable considering that the dataset spans two summer intervals when the T_s anomaly response is relatively weak. To quantify the degree to which eddy forcing dominates atmospheric forcing, we include the atmospheric forcing term F_a in the model (6), neglect the influence of data noise, then derive the following expression for the squared coherence between η' and T'_{sa} in the presence of both eddy and atmospheric forcing:

$$\gamma_{\eta T}^2(k, \omega) = 1 / \left(1 + \gamma \frac{S_{FF}(k, \omega)}{S_{\eta\eta}(k, \omega)} \right), \quad (11)$$

where S_{FF} is the autospectrum of atmospheric forcing. Since noise in the data will tend to reduce the value of observed γ^2 between η' and T'_{sa} , it will lead to an overestimate of the ratio $S_{FF}/S_{\eta\eta}$. Consequently, observed γ^2 cannot be used in (11) to accurately estimate this ratio, but it can be used to estimate an upper bound on this ratio as a function of $|k|$ and ω . In the region of (k, ω) space where $\gamma^2 > 0.8$, $S_{\eta\eta}$ must exceed S_{FF} by at least a factor of four. At wavelengths of 390 km and periods of 175 days, where the maximum γ^2 of 0.90 is observed, $S_{\eta\eta}$ must exceed S_{FF} by at least a factor of nine. The dominance of eddy forcing over atmospheric forcing in this region of (k, ω) space is thus confirmed. The rapid decrease in γ^2 with increasing wavelength at large wavelengths presumably results largely because $S_{FF}/S_{\eta\eta}$ increases as the effectiveness of eddy forcing decreases relative to atmospheric forcing. Here γ^2 also decreases with decreasing wavelength for wavelengths > 390 km, but this is caused at least in part by the Geosat sampling limitations discussed previously. Evidence from MODE indicates that strong coherence between T_s anomalies and horizontal eddy currents does extend to smaller wavelengths than resolved here (Voorhis et al. 1976).

The gain and phase of the observed transfer function between η' and T'_{sa} at 28.5°N are graphed in Fig. 8 within that region of (k, ω) space where both fields are relatively energetic and highly coherent (near the dispersion curve). The gain and phase of the predicted transfer function were first calculated from (8) and (9) using $\lambda = 7 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ obtained from Reynolds (1979), a magnitude that has proven to be realistic for describing properties of T_s anomalies driven solely by stochastic atmospheric forcing (e.g., Frankignoul and Hasselmann 1977; Reynolds 1979; Frankignoul and Reynolds 1983). However, a much larger value of λ (42 yr^{-1}) was required to make the predicted gain and phase (Fig. 9) resemble the observed functions (Fig. 8) in the present eddy-forced model. The predicted increase of gain with decreasing wavelength exists in the observed gain function except near the largest $|k|$ resolved, where Geosat sampling limitations probably degrade the results. Predicted phase decreases slowly with frequency, but this small decrease cannot be detected in the observed phase. The large value of λ that was required indicates that the dominant balance in the model (6) is between the eddy forcing and damping terms at the scales resolved in the present study. Perhaps the large λ is compensating for neglected processes such as horizontal eddy diffusion.

There are several factors that act to degrade the quality of the results presented here, including the assumption of constant h and the neglect of vertical heat flux anomalies at the mixed-layer base driven by the

FIG. 9. Gain and phase of the transfer function (middle and bottom) at 28.5°N predicted by the model (6) calculated using (8) and (9). A dispersion curve for the first baroclinic Rossby wave mode is shown in each panel.

eddies (e.g., Stevenson 1983) through terms involving w_e in (2). Another potential limitation is the fact that the AVHRR measures a skin temperature at the ocean surface, not the desired bulk mixed-layer temperature. The algorithm used to calculate T_s from AVHRR measurements (e.g., McClain et al. 1985) has been tuned to represent bulk temperature, but this algorithm does not account for spatial, temporal, or regional variability in the skin–bulk temperature difference. Fortunately, a recent study (Schluessel et al. 1990) has determined that skin and bulk temperature is highly coherent over the range of wavelengths resolved in the present study, so this problem probably did not seriously degrade the analysis results. Thus, we conclude that horizontal eddy currents are the dominant forcing mechanism for the westward-propagating T_s anomalies observed in the STCZ.

5. Meridional variability

a. Zonal eddy dispersion

Zonal–time propagating autospectra of η' at five latitudes are used to illustrate the meridional variability

of zonal eddy dispersion properties (Fig. 10). The propagating autospectrum at 29.5°N , the latitude that has the largest total excess of westward-propagating variance, represents zonal dispersion properties in the STCZ. The structure of this propagating autospectrum is very similar to the one at 28.5°N (Fig. 7), with positive (westward-propagating) variance density concentrated near the first-mode Rossby wave dispersion curve. The percentage of variance density propagating westward (Fig. 11) exceeds 70% throughout this region of (k, ω) space, and it is close to or exceeds 90% for wavelengths of 390 and 660 km at periods > 200 days.

Propagating autospectra north and south of the STCZ differ substantially from this pattern (Fig. 10). In the propagating autospectrum south of the STCZ (23.5°N), the ridge of large positive variance density roughly follows a straight line instead of bending to follow the Rossby wave dispersion curve. This pattern is suggestive of a field of nondispersive eddies propagating westward at about twice the speed observed in the STCZ, in agreement with the increased speed revealed by the slope of the zonal–time autocorrelation function at 22.5°N (Fig. 3). Both within and south of

FIG. 10. Observed zonal-time propagating autospectra of η' at five different latitudes. Dispersion curves for the first baroclinic Rossby wave mode are shown in each panel.

the STCZ, a large excess of westward-propagating variance density is concentrated near the dispersion curve at larger wavelengths (660 and 1960 km), suggesting that substantial long-wave energy is present. To the north of the STCZ, however, there is no preferred

propagation direction (the propagating autospectrum is not significantly different from zero) in this long-wave region of (k, ω) space. Long-wavelength vari-

FIG. 11. Percentage of variance density propagating westward in the observed zonal-time autospectra of η' at the five latitudes corresponding to the propagating autospectra in Fig. 10. In regions where this percentage exceeds 73%, westward-propagating is significantly greater than eastward-propagating variance density to a 90% level of confidence. Dispersion curves for the first baroclinic Rossby wave mode are shown in each panel.

ability does exist, but at frequencies 2–3 times larger (at periods near 100 days) than expected for long Rossby waves. The resulting larger westward propagation speed is also suggested by the slope of the zonal-time autocorrelation function at 33.5°N (Fig. 3). Both north and south of the STCZ, typically between 70% and 85% of the variance density is westward propagating in those regions of (k, ω) space where a strong westward-propagating signal exists (Fig. 11). Narrow transition zones 1–2 degrees wide centered at 25.5° and 32.5°N separate these three regions. Propagating autospectra at these latitudes do not resemble the autospectra within the three regions they separate (Figs. 10 and 11).

The meridional structure of eddy dispersion properties is also illustrated by graphing both the total variance density (the sum of the $+k$ and $-k$ autospectrum estimates) and the percentage of this total variance density that is westward propagation as a function of latitude. These two functions are graphed for three $(|k|, \omega)$ bands located near the first-mode baroclinic Rossby wave dispersion curve (Fig. 12). Focusing first on the 660-km, 233-day band, which corresponds closely to the dominant wavelengths and periods of the T_s anomalies and the eddies that force them, westward-propagating eddy variability is strongly trapped within the STCZ. Over 90% of the variance is westward propagating near 29.5°N, and this decreases to about 50% (no preferred propagation direction) as the transition latitudes are approached (Fig. 12e). This eddy variability is trapped in the same zonal band where the winter mean meridional T_s gradient associated with the STCZ is large (Fig. 12a), suggesting a possible connection between the existence and location of the climatological mean subtropical frontal zone and dynamical processes confining this eddy variability to the STCZ. Westward-propagating eddy variability is also observed to the south of the STCZ, with 83% of vari-

ance density propagating westward at 23.5°N (Fig. 12e). The meridional trapping is not clearly evident, however, in the graph of total variance density (Fig. 12d). This function is smallest at the southern transition latitude, has a relative maximum at 30°N near the central latitude of the STCZ, a relative minimum at the northern transition latitude, and a sharp increase to the north of the STCZ. A sharp increase in eddy energy to the north of 32°N was also reported by Ebbesmeyer and Taft (1979) from analyses of historical data.

Similar meridional structure is observed in the 1960-km, 350-day band in both total variance density and the percentage propagating westward (Figs. 12b,c). However, the percentage of westward-propagating variance (Fig. 12c) is generally smaller, suggesting that westward-propagating eddies are not as dominant in this $(|k|, \omega)$ band. Eddy variability in the 390-km, 175-day band differs from the variability at larger wavelengths because it displays much less meridional variability. The percentage variance propagating westward ranges from 74%–88% north of 26°N, and decreases to 60%–70% south of the southern transition latitude (Fig. 12g). The total variance density generally decreases in magnitude toward the south to a relative minimum at about 24°N (Fig. 12f). A relative minimum in the variance density also exists at the northern transition latitude, but this is not associated with a minimum in the percentage of variance density propagating westward.

b. Anomaly forcing

The obvious reason for the meridional confinement of strong, persistent westward-propagating T_s anomaly features to the STCZ is that the mean meridional T_s gradient is relatively large there from fall to spring, making horizontal eddy currents relatively effective at forcing anomalies. However, the meridional confine-

FIG. 12. Mean winter meridional gradient of zonally averaged temperature averaged over the two mid-November through mid-May intervals (A); the total variance density and percentage of variance density propagating westward graphed as a function of latitude in three wavenumber-frequency bands centered at wavelengths and periods of 1960 km and 350 days (B and C), 660 km and 233 days (D and E), and 390 km and 175 days (F and G).

FIG. 13. Squared coherence between η' and T'_{sa} graphed as a function of latitude in the wavenumber–frequency bands centered at wavelengths and periods of 1960 km and 350 days (A), 660 km and 233 days (B), and 390 km and 175 days (C).

ment to the STCZ of the eddies with wavelengths of ~ 800 km and periods of ~ 200 days that forces these persistent anomalies also contributes to the anomaly confinement. These points are illustrated by examining γ^2 between η' and T'_{sa} as a function of latitude (Fig. 13) in the same three wavenumber–frequency bands used in Fig. 12. In the longest wavelength band, the fields are not coherent due to the relative unimportance of eddy forcing. In both the 390-km, 175-day and 660-km, 233-day bands, however, coherence is relatively large within the STCZ. Since eddy variability in the 390-km, 175-day band is not strongly confined to the STCZ, the coherence maximum results primarily from the relative effectiveness of anomaly forcing where mean meridional T_s gradient is large. In the 660-km, 233-day band, both the eddy confinement and the presence of large mean gradient probably contribute to the STCZ coherence maximum. Relatively large coherence is also observed south of the southern transition latitude in this band (Fig. 13), but the anomalies forced there are weak (not shown).

One reason that STCZ anomalies with wavelengths ~ 800 and periods ~ 200 days stand out above background variability is their long temporal persistence (Halliwell et al. 1991a). They are persistent because the eddies that force them are persistent. In the zonal–time contour plot of η' at 29.5°N (Fig. 14), temporally persistent eddies with wavelengths of ~ 800 km and periods of ~ 200 days stand out and visually resemble a propagating wave train. These wavelike features are not as clearly evident during the first six months of the two-year interval at 29.5°N , but wavelike features with similar dominant wavelengths and periods were evident then at 28°N (Fig. 15). Persistent, wavelike eddies were thus present during the entire two-year interval, but interannual variability apparently exists in the latitude where this signal is centered. The persistence of these eddies and the anomalies they force contributes to the

dominance of the 800-km wavelength, 200-day period in the zonal–time correlation functions at 28.5°N (Fig. 3). Eddy variability with wavelengths near 390 km was also very coherent with T'_{sa} fluctuations (Fig. 8), but the large persistence of the 800-km wavelength variability helped produce the dominance of these larger scales in the correlation functions. The tendency for correlation functions to emphasize larger-wavelength, longer-period variability also contributed to this dominance.

6. Eddy dynamics

We now consider dynamical processes responsible for the observed spatial variability of zonal eddy dispersion properties. We first perform a simple test to determine if the interaction between the baroclinic eddy and mean current fields can explain some of this spatial variability. We then briefly speculate on dynamical processes that may be responsible for the existence and

FIG. 14. Zonal–time contour plot of η' at 29.5°N .

Kessler (1986). Although the shift in the dispersion curve at 29.5°N is too small to be resolved by the coarse-resolution propagating autospectrum of η' , the large change in zonal dispersion properties between the STCZ and the region to the south can be qualitatively explained by this model. If a westward mean current of 0.05 m s^{-1} is assumed to exist at 23.5°N , the dispersion curve predicted from (14) becomes a nearly straight line that follows the ridge of large variance density in the propagating autospectrum (Fig. 10). However, this model cannot account for the zonal dispersion properties north of the STCZ. Assuming a rather large mean current of 0.1 m s^{-1} westward at 33.5°N , the predicted zonal dispersion curve is not sufficiently displaced toward higher frequencies to account for the energy density maximum (Fig. 10). Other dynamical processes must be important there, such as instability mechanisms or radiation of wave energy from the Gulf Stream and its rings.

Although this test does not prove the validity of the model (13), it is capable of explaining some, but not all, of the observed meridional variability of zonal eddy dispersion properties. This model is far too simple, however, to capture all of the essential physics responsible for this observed meridional variability. For example, the single-layer reduced-gravity model cannot reproduce the influence of the complex mean three-dimensional density structure that actually exists in the STCZ, where the main thermocline tends to deepen and the seasonal thermocline tends to shoal toward the north. This tendency for the two thermoclines to separate is related to the thickening of the Subtropical Mode Water layer toward the north. Consequently, multilayered or continuously stratified models, such as the quasigeostrophic continuously stratified model described by Gill et al. (1974) and Kang et al. (1982), should be investigated.

We now consider the existence of the wavelike eddies and their confinement to the STCZ. Their relatively wavelike appearance may simply result because nonlinear effects are less important for these eddies than for smaller-wavelength eddies. However, processes responsible for their generation and maintenance, for the dominance of wavelengths near 800 km and periods near 200 days, and for their confinement to the STCZ will require further study and is beyond the scope of the present paper. Processes that must be considered include instability of the mean current and the refraction of eddy (Rossby wave) variability. If eddy variability arises due to an instability mechanism, it is possible that the energy cascade toward larger wavelengths is arrested by the increasing dominance of wave dynamics at wavelengths of several hundred kilometers (e.g., Rhines 1975; Killworth 1979), thus generating the wavelike features at these wavelengths. Alternatively, the existence of mean currents modifies the refraction of Rossby wave rays, which can concentrate

wave energy in discrete latitude bands bounded by turning latitudes and by critical latitudes where wave energy is absorbed (Chang 1990; Chang and Philander 1990).

7. Summary and conclusions

Persistent, relatively wavelike baroclinic eddies with a wavelength of $\sim 800 \text{ km}$, period of ~ 200 days, and westward propagation speed of $3\text{--}4 \text{ km day}^{-1}$ are responsible for the existence of the energetic, persistent westward-propagating T_s anomaly features observed in the Sargasso Sea by Halliwell and Cornillon (1989) and Halliwell et al. (1991a). The anomaly features were coupled to the eddies in zonal-time space with a phase lag qualitatively consistent with forcing by horizontal eddy currents. Tests of a simple anomaly heat balance model forced by horizontal eddy currents and damped by a linear feedback mechanism confirmed that eddy forcing by horizontal currents could account for the magnitude and negative temporal phase lag of the anomaly response. This simple model did not capture all of the physics of anomaly forcing since many simplifications, including the neglect of forcing by the influence of eddies on vertical heat flux at the mixed-layer base, were made. An unexpectedly large damping coefficient had to be used, possibly compensating in part for the neglect of horizontal diffusion.

The westward-propagating T_s anomalies were confined between about 26° and 32°N (Halliwell et al. 1991a), the approximate latitude band within which the enhanced meridional T_s gradients associated with the mean subtropical frontal zone were confined. Thus, a primary reason for anomaly confinement was that horizontal eddy currents forced relatively strong anomalies due to the large mean T_s gradients within the frontal zone. However, meridional variability in properties of the baroclinic eddy field also contributed to anomaly confinement. Baroclinic eddy variability with the zonal wavelengths and periods of the T_s anomalies was also confined to the STCZ, and eddies with these zonal wavelengths and periods had much longer temporal persistence scales than eddy variability both outside of the STCZ and within the STCZ at different wavelengths and periods. The long temporal persistence of the anomaly features forced by these eddies made them stand out visually and statistically above the noise.

An attempt was made to determine dynamical processes responsible for the observed meridional variability of eddy properties. Although simple models of subtropical gyres predict predominantly westward mean flow in the latitude range of the Sargasso Sea STCZ, a zonal band of mean eastward current shear in the upper ocean is known to exist in the Sargasso Sea near these latitudes that separates regions where westward mean flow dominates. A simple reduced-

gravity model could qualitatively account for the observed change in zonal eddy dispersion between the STCZ and the region to the south under the assumption that the upper ocean current is eastward in the STCZ and westward to the south. However, this model could not explain zonal dispersion properties north of the STCZ in the relatively strong, predominantly westward flow in Gulf Stream recirculation region, possibly because other physical processes such as instabilities or wave radiation from the Gulf Stream or its rings may be important there.

At large scales, subtropical gyres have been characterized as smooth features within which horizontal variability in mesoscale eddy properties is relatively small. For example, a broad relative minimum in both eddy potential and eddy kinetic energy exists throughout the central regions of the North Atlantic subtropical gyre (e.g., Dantzler 1977; Ebbesmeyer and Taft 1979; Emery 1983; Richardson 1983). However, a rich meridional structure in eddy properties was found in the present study to exist within this supposedly smooth region, with large changes in properties occurring over meridional distances of 1–2 degrees of latitude. This structure was also not detected in recent studies of eddy/Rossby wave properties conducted by analyzing Geosat data within 10° by 10° regions (Le Traon et al. 1990; Jacobs et al. 1990). At least within oceanic frontal zones, studies should be designed to detect changes in eddy properties in the cross-front direction, and also should be designed with the realization that eddies can be significantly anisotropic in these regions. Interannual variability of eddy properties may also be significant in frontal zones. For example, it is possible that the differences in the statistical properties of time series of currents measured at the MODE site between the 1970s and 1980s (Schmitz 1978, 1989) could be partly explained by interannual variability in the strength and latitude of the subtropical frontal zone (and the resulting changes in the mean current pattern) and the resulting changes in eddy properties.

Acknowledgments. This research was performed with the support of the Office of Naval Research (No. N00014-87-KT0235) as part of the Frontal Air–Sea Interaction Experiment, and of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (No. 957627). All figures were generated using the interactive graphics package PLOT5, developed by Dr. Donald Denbo. The image processing software was developed by R. Evans, O. Brown, J. Brown, and A. Li at the University of Miami under Office of Naval Research funding. The continuing support of the Miami group is gratefully acknowledged. The manuscript was extensively revised at RSMAS, and the author gratefully acknowledges transitional support provided under grants from NASA (NAGW-273, NAGW-678, and NASS-30557) and ONR (N00014-89-J-1144).

REFERENCES

- Bisagni, J. J., 1989: Wet tropospheric range corrections for satellite altimeter-derived dynamic topographies in the western North Atlantic. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **94**, 3247–3254.
- Brink, K. H., 1989: Evidence for wind-driven current fluctuations in the western North Atlantic. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **94**, 2029–2044.
- Carter, E. F., and A. R. Robinson, 1987: Analysis models for the estimation of oceanic fields. *J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol.*, **4**, 49–74.
- Chang, P., 1990: Oceanic adjustment in the presence of mean currents on an equatorial β plane. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **95**, 15 975–15 996.
- , and S. G. H. Philander, 1990: Rossby wave packets in baroclinic mean currents. *Deep-Sea Res.*, **36**, 17–37.
- Dantzler, H. L., Jr., 1977: Potential energy maxima in the tropical and subtropical North Atlantic. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **7**, 512–519.
- Ebbesmeyer, C. C., and B. A. Taft, 1979: Variability of potential energy, dynamic height, and salinity in the main pycnocline of the western North Atlantic. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **9**, 1073–1089.
- Emery, W. J., 1983: On the geographical variability of the upper level mean and eddy fields in the North Atlantic and North Pacific. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **13**, 269–291.
- , W. G. Lee and L. Magaard, 1984: Geographic and seasonal distributions of Brunt–Väisälä frequency and Rossby radii in the North Pacific and North Atlantic. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **14**, 294–317.
- Frankignoul, C., 1981: Low-frequency temperature fluctuations off Bermuda. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **86**, 6522–6528.
- , 1985: Sea-surface temperature anomalies, planetary waves, and air–sea feedback in the middle latitudes. *Rev. Geophys.*, **23**, 357–390.
- , and K. Hasselmann, 1977: Stochastic climate models II, application to sea surface temperature variability and thermocline variability. *Tellus*, **29**, 284–305.
- , and R. W. Reynolds, 1983: Testing a dynamical model for mid-latitude sea-surface temperature anomalies. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **13**, 1131–1145.
- Gaspar, P., and C. Wunsch, 1989: Estimates from altimeter data of barotropic Rossby waves in the northwestern Atlantic Ocean. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **19**, 1821–1844.
- Gill, A. E., J. S. A. Green, and A. J. Simmons, 1974: Energy partition in the large-scale ocean circulation and the production of mid-ocean eddies. *Deep-Sea Res.*, **21**, 499–528.
- Haidvogel, D. B., 1983: Periodic and regional models. In *Eddies in Marine Science*, A. R. Robinson, Ed., Springer-Verlag, 404–438.
- Halliwel, G. R., Jr., and P. Cornillon, 1989: Large-scale SST anomalies associated with subtropical fronts in the western North Atlantic during FASINEX. *J. Mar. Res.*, **47**, 757–775.
- , and —, 1990: Large-scale SST variability in the western North Atlantic subtropical convergence zone during FASINEX. Part II: Upper ocean heat balance and frontogenesis. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **20**, 223–234.
- , —, and D. A. Byrne, 1991a: Westward-propagating SST anomaly features in the Sargasso Sea, 1982–88. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **21**, 635–649.
- , —, K. H. Brink, R. T. Pollard, D. L. Evans, L. A. Regier, J. M. Toole and R. W. Schmitt, 1991b: Descriptive oceanography during FASINEX: Medium to large-scale variability. *J. Geophys. Res.*, (submitted).
- Harrison, D. E., and R. H. Heinmiller, 1983: Upper ocean thermal variability in the Sargasso Sea July 1977–July 1978: The POLY-MODE XBT program. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **13**, 859–872.
- Hasselmann, K., 1976: Stochastic climate models. Part I: Theory. *Tellus*, **28**, 473–485.
- Jacobs, G. A., W. Emery and G. H. Born, 1990: Least-squares fitting of barotropic and first-mode baroclinic Rossby waves to Geosat data over the Pacific Ocean. *Eos*, **71**(43), p. 1374.
- Jourdan, D., C. Boissier, A. Braun and J. F. Minster, 1990: Influence

- of wet tropospheric correction on mesoscale dynamic topography as derived from satellite altimetry. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **95**, 17 993–18 004.
- Kang, Y. Q., and L. Magaard, 1982: Annual temperature fluctuations at the subtropical and subarctic fronts in the central North Pacific. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **87**, 5663–5666.
- Kessler, W. S., 1990: Observations of long Rossby waves in the northern tropical Pacific. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **95**, 5183–5218.
- Killworth, P., 1979: On the propagation of stable baroclinic Rossby waves through mean shear flow. *Deep-Sea Res.*, **26A**, 997–1031.
- Le Traon, P. Y., M. C. Rouquet and C. Boissier, 1990: Spatial scales of mesoscale variability in the North Atlantic as deduced from Geosat data. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **95**, 20 267–20 286.
- McClain, E. P., W. G. Pichel and C. C. Walton, 1985: Comparative performance of AVHRR-based multichannel sea surface temperatures. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **90**, 11 587–11 601.
- McWilliams, J. C., 1976: Maps from the Midocean Dynamics Experiment. Part I: Geostrophic streamfunction. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **6**, 810–827.
- , and W. B. Owens, 1976: Estimation of spatial covariances from the Midocean Dynamics Experiment. Tech. Note 115, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO, 25 pp.
- MODE Group, 1978: The Midocean Dynamics Experiment. *Deep-Sea Res.*, **25**, 859–910.
- Olson, D. B., F. A. Schott, R. J. Zantopp and K. D. Leaman, 1984: The mean circulation east of the Bahamas as determined by a recent measurement program and historical XBT data. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **14**, 1470–1487.
- Pratt, R. W., 1976: The interpretation of space–time spectral qualities. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **33**, 1060–1066.
- Reid, J. L., 1978: On the middepth circulation and salinity field in the North Atlantic Ocean. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **83**, 5063–5067.
- Reynolds, R. W., 1979: A stochastic forcing model of sea surface temperature anomalies in the North Pacific and North Atlantic. Rep. 8, Climate Research Institute, Oregon State University, Corvallis.
- Rhines, P. B., 1975: Waves and turbulence on a β plane. *J. Fluid Mech.*, **69**(3), 417–443.
- Richardson, P. L., 1983: Eddy kinetic energy in the North Atlantic from surface drifters. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **88**, 4355–4367.
- Richman, J. G., C. Wunsch and N. G. Hogg, 1977: Space and time scales of mesoscale motion in the sea. *Rev. Geophys. Space Phys.*, **15**, 385–420.
- Ro, Y. J., and P. Cornillon, 1990: Processing of Geosat data by the EOF technique.
- Rossby, H. T., S. C. Riser and A. J. Mariano, 1983: The western North Atlantic—a Lagrangian viewpoint. *Eddies in Marine Science*, A. R. Robinson, Ed., Springer-Verlag, 66–91.
- Schluessel, P., W. J. Emery, H. Grassl, and T. Mammen, 1990: On the bulk–skin temperature difference and its impact on satellite remote sensing of sea surface temperature. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **95**, 13 341–13 356.
- Schmitz, W. J., Jr., 1978: Observations of the vertical distribution of low-frequency kinetic energy in the western North Atlantic. *J. Mar. Res.*, **36**, 295–310.
- , 1989: The MODE site revisited. *J. Mar. Res.*, **47**, 131–151.
- Shapiro, R., 1970: Smoothing, filtering, and boundary effects. *Rev. Geophys. Space Phys.*, **8**, 359–387.
- Shen, C. Y., J. C. McWilliams, B. A. Taft, C. C. Ebbesmeyer and E. J. Lindstrom, 1986: The mesoscale spatial structure and evolution of dynamical and scalar properties observed in the northwestern Atlantic Ocean during the POLYMODE Local Dynamics Experiment. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **16**, 454–482.
- Stevenson, J. W., 1983: The seasonal variation of the surface mixed layer response to the vertical motion of linear Rossby waves. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **13**, 1255–1268.
- Stommel, H., P. Niiler and D. Anati, 1978: Dynamic topography and recirculation in the North Atlantic. *J. Mar. Res.*, **36**, 449–468.
- Taft, B. A., E. J. Lindstrom, C. C. Ebbesmeyer, C. Y. Shen and J. C. McWilliams, 1986: Water mass structure during the POLYMODE Local Dynamics Experiment. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **16**, 403–426.
- Uda, M., and K. Hasunuma, 1969: The eastward subtropical countercurrent in the western North Pacific Ocean. *J. Oceanogr. Soc. Japan*, **25**, 201–210.
- Voorhis, A. D., E. H. Schroeder and A. Leetmaa, 1976: The influence of deep mesoscale eddies on surface temperature in the North Atlantic subtropical convergence. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **6**, 953–961.
- Weller, R. A., 1991: FASINEX, a study of air–sea interaction in a region of strong oceanic gradients. *J. Geophys. Res.*, (submitted.)
- Willebrand, J., 1978: Temporal and spatial scales of the wind field over the North Pacific and North Atlantic. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **8**, 1080–1094.
- Williams, E. J., and D. B. Olson, 1982: Mean circulation in the North Atlantic from historical XBT data. *Eos*, **63**(3), p. 108.