

1977

Dynamical Correlation Functions for Linear Spin Chains

Gerhard Müller

University of Rhode Island, gmuller@uri.edu

Hans Beck

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/phys_facpubs

Terms of Use

All rights reserved under copyright.

Citation/Publisher Attribution

Gerhard Müller and Hans Beck. *Dynamical correlation functions for linear spin chains*. *Physica* 86-88B (1977), 1107-1108.

Available at: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-4363\(77\)90808-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-4363(77)90808-7)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Physics at DigitalCommons@URI. It has been accepted for inclusion in Physics Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@etal.uri.edu.

Dynamical Correlation Functions for Linear Spin Chains

Gerhard Müller and Hans Beck

Institut für Physik, Universität Basel, CH-4056 Basel, Switzerland

Dynamical spin correlation functions are calculated numerically for cyclic linear Heisenberg chains containing up to 10 spins with $S = \frac{1}{2}$ and $S = 1$. We consider ferro- and antiferromagnets including single-site and exchange anisotropies. The results agree well with the neutron scattering cross sections on quasi one-dimensional systems.

The properties of quasi one-dimensional magnetic materials have recently been reviewed [1]. Some prominent examples are: TMMC ($S = \frac{5}{2}$ Heisenberg antiferromagnet (HB AF)), CPC ($S = \frac{1}{2}$ HB AF), CsNiF₃ ($S = 1$ planar HB Ferromagnet (FM)). The dynamics of such weakly coupled spin chains is investigated by neutron scattering. The experimental results show rather well defined spin-wave peaks at low temperatures. Unfortunately, a rigorous theoretical treatment of the dynamics of HB chains is impossible.

Thus besides various analytical approaches (see [1]), some authors have evaluated the dynamical spin correlation functions numerically by diagonalizing the Hamiltonian of finite chains. Richards and Carboni [2] demonstrated the existence of spin-wave peaks at low T for isotropic HB AF $S = \frac{1}{2}$ chains. The purpose of this work is to extend these calculations to various anisotropic systems and to $S > \frac{1}{2}$. We treat the Hamiltonian

$$H = \pm J \sum_{l=1}^N \left\{ \alpha S_z(l) S_z(l+1) + \beta [S_x(l) S_x(l+1) + S_y(l) S_y(l+1)] \right\} + \gamma \sum_{l=1}^N S_z^2(l) \quad (1)$$

for a chain of N sites with periodic boundary conditions. The eigenfunctions of (1) can be classified by S_z^T (z -component of total spin) and a k -vector ($k = n2\pi/N, n = 0, \dots, N-1$). Using the eigenvalues E_λ and eigenvectors $|\lambda\rangle$ we evaluate

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\alpha\alpha}(q, \omega) &= N^{-1} \sum_{l,l'} e^{iq(l-l')} \int dt e^{i\omega t} \langle S_\alpha(l, t) S_\alpha(l', 0) \rangle \\ &= \frac{2\pi}{Z} \sum_{\lambda\lambda'} e^{-\beta E_\lambda} \delta(\omega + E_\lambda - E_{\lambda'}) |\langle \lambda | S_\alpha(q) | \lambda' \rangle|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

For finite systems these functions are best represented, for fixed q , as histograms in frequency space. In the following we describe our main results for various cases:

(i) *Isotropic HB AF*. In agreement with [2] we obtain Gaussian line shapes (spin diffusion) for $T \rightarrow \infty$ and spin-wave peaks for low T . These peaks are predominantly produced by matrix elements between the ground state, which has $K_0 = 0$ or $K_0 = \pi$ depending on N , and the lowest eigenstates with wave vector $q + k_0$. The latter were determined exactly by Des Cloiseaux and Pearson (DP), see [1], for infinite chains. However, even at $T = 0$, states with higher energies also contribute in agreement with theoretical considerations by Hohenberg and Brinkman [3].

(ii) *Isotropic HB FM*. Here, at $T = 0$, the spin-wave peaks are sharp. All nonzero matrix elements, i.e. those between each of the degenerate ground states and the corresponding spin-wave states, contribute to $G_{\alpha\alpha}$ at the same frequency. For finite, but low, T additional contributions arise from spin-wave bound states, which, at least for small q , again contribute at frequencies close to the $T = 0$ spin-wave frequency. Therefore, for low T , the peak is narrower for a FM than for an AF chain.

(iii) *HB FM with anisotropic exchange* ($\alpha < \beta, \gamma = 0$). For $\alpha \neq \beta$ the lowering of the symmetry partially lifts the degeneracies of the isotropic HB chain: the energies depend on $|S_z^T|$, and G_{xx} and G_{zz} are no more identical. Due to selection rules, only states with the same S_z^T are connected for G_{zz} . However, these states are all affected in a similar way by the anisotropy. The matrix elements for G_{xx} are those with $\Delta S_z^T = \pm 1$, i.e. between states that are shifted differently by anisotropy. Thus the peak of G_{zz} is narrower than the one of G_{xx} for $\alpha < \beta$. In the extreme case $\alpha = 0$ (XY-chain) G_{zz} has one sharp peak at $T = 0$ and the smallest q ($= 2\pi/N$), whereas for larger wave-vectors several peaks appear. G_{xx} shows a broad ‘background’ accompanying the main peak, which is due to the one-fermion states in the treatment of Lieb, Schultz and Mattis (LSM), see [1].




Figure 1. In-plane (G_{xx}) and out-of-plane (G_{zz}) correlation function at $q = \pi/3$ for the planar HB FM $S = 1$ chain of 6 particles. The value $\gamma = 0.212J$ for the anisotropy is appropriate for CsNiF₃, [4] and q is close to $q_z = 0.35\pi$ used in neutron scattering [4]. The three temperatures correspond to those of ref. 4: (a) $T = 0.208J$, (b) $T = 0.343J$, (c) $T = 0.5J$.

(iv) *Planar HB FM* ($\alpha = \beta, \gamma > 0$). This model is appropriate for CsNiF₃ [1, 4]. Histograms of G_{xx} and G_{zz} are shown in fig. 1 for $q = \pi/3$ and various T . Our results are in good qualitative agreement with neutron scattering data. The main peak of G_{zz} is narrow and decreases rather rapidly with rising T , without shifting appreciably in energy. In contrast G_{xx} shows a broader shape. Its width and intensity both increase with growing T . The energies of the lowest states connected with the ground state by $S_x(q)$ and $S_z(q)$ follow closely the dispersion relation

$$\omega^2(q) = 4J^2S^2\{(1 - \cos q)(1 - \cos q + \gamma/J)\} \quad (3)$$

given by Villain [1, 4]. The local anisotropy ($\gamma > 0$) splits the degenerate eigenvalues of the isotropic system in a way similar to the case $\alpha \neq \beta$ described before. Thus the rather distinct behaviour of G_{zz} and G_{xx} is again due to the shifts produced by the (single-site) anisotropy and the S_z^T selection rules. More details will be published elsewhere. We have used a modified cmpj.sty style file.

References

1. M. Steiner, J. Villain and C.G. Windsor, *Advances in Physics* **25** (1976) 87.
2. P.M. Richards and F. Carboni, *Phys. Rev. B* **5** (1972) 2014.
3. P.C. Hohenberg and W.F. Brinkman, *Phys. Rev. B* **10** (1974) 128.
4. M. Steiner, B. Dorner and J. Villain, *J. Physics C* **8** (1975) 165.