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Subsurface microbial communities undertake many terminal electron-accepting processes, often simultaneously. Using a tritium-based assay, we measured the potential hydrogen oxidation catalyzed by hydrogenase enzymes in several subsurface sedimentary environments (Lake Van, Barents Sea, Equatorial Pacific, and Gulf of Mexico) with different predominant electron-acceptors. Hydrogenases constitute a diverse family of enzymes expressed by microorganisms that utilize molecular hydrogen as a metabolic substrate, product, or intermediate. The assay reveals the potential for utilizing molecular hydrogen and allows qualitative detection of microbial activity irrespective of the predominant electron-accepting process. Because the method only requires samples frozen immediately after recovery, the assay can be used for identifying microbial activity in subsurface ecosystems without the need to preserve live material.

We measured potential hydrogen oxidation rates in all samples from multiple depths at several sites that collectively span a wide range of environmental conditions and biogeochemical zones. Potential activity normalized to total cell abundance ranges over five orders of magnitude and varies, dependent upon the predominant terminal electron acceptor. Lowest per-cell potential rates characterize the zone of nitrate reduction and highest per-cell potential rates occur in the methanogenic zone. Possible reasons for this relationship to predominant electron acceptor include (i) increasing importance of fermentation in successively deeper biogeochemical zones and (ii) adaptation of H2ases to successively higher concentrations of H2 in successively deeper zones.
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INTRODUCTION

Microorganisms in subsurface environments compete for electron donors and acceptors as they do in most surface environments (D’Hondt et al., 2002). Availability of electron donors and acceptors usually decreases with sediment depth (Froelich et al., 1979; Middelburg, 1989). The predominant terminal electron acceptor is typically inferred to change with sediment depth (e.g., Froelich et al., 1979), with reduction of dissolved O2, NO3−, Mn4+, Fe3+, and SO42− predominating at successively greater depths. After these oxidants are largely exhausted, only fermentation and methanogenesis are left to degrade organic matter (Martens and Berner, 1974; Froelich et al., 1979).
This standard model often provides a good first-order description of the vertical succession of principal terminal electron-accepting activities. However, several studies have shown that multiple organic matter-degrading processes (SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2−} reduction, metal reduction, and methanogenesis) co-exist in the same depth intervals of deep subsurface sediment (e.g., Mitterer et al., 2001; D’Hondt et al., 2002, 2004, 2014; Wang et al., 2008; Holmkvist et al., 2011). Some of these studies show that methanogenesis occurs in zones where sulfate-reduction is the predominant terminal electron acceptor (Mitterer et al., 2001; D’Hondt et al., 2002, 2004, 2014; Wang et al., 2008), while others show that iron reduction occurs deep in the sulfate-reducing zone (Wang et al., 2008; D’Hondt et al., 2014) or that sulfate reduction occurs deep in the “methanogenic” zone (Holmkvist et al., 2011). These deviations from the generally assumed order of electron acceptor utilization represent a significant challenge when trying to quantify microbial activity in subsurface sediment.

These depth-dependent changes in predominant electron acceptor and the overlap of different electron-accepting processes pose challenges for attempts to identify and quantify microbial activity in the sediment column (Wang et al., 2008; Teske, 2012). Currently, there are two general approaches to assess microbial activity across different predominant electron-accepting regimes: (i) separately determine rates of different individual processes and convert them to a common currency (e.g., number of carbon atoms oxidized or electrons transferred; Canfield et al., 1993; D’Hondt et al., 2004) or (ii) measure a parameter that is widely distributed but independent of any specific metabolic process.

The situation is further complicated by the fact that some measurements quantify potential activities (e.g., by measurement of ex situ rates) while others quantify actual in situ activities. Potential rates of some specific processes, e.g., SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2−} reduction and anaerobic oxidation of methane (AOM) can be quantified with high sensitivity through radiotracer experiments (Kallmeyer et al., 2004; Treude et al., 2005). Potential rates of other processes, such as denitrification, can be quantified using stable isotope tracers (review in Bedard-Haughn et al., 2003).

Several approaches have been made in recent years to develop measures of microbial activity that can be used regardless of terminal electron acceptor (references in Adhikari and Kallmeyer, 2010). Promising approaches include measurements of hydrogenase (H\textsubscript{2}ase) enzyme activity (Schink et al., 1983) and adenosine triphosphate (ATP) concentration (Vuillemin et al., 2001, 2003). In a sense, both of these approaches measure potential rates, since they typically rely on measurement of concentration or ex situ activity in recovered samples. Soffientino et al. (2006) developed a method for quantifying H\textsubscript{2}ase activity by using a tritium-based H\textsubscript{2}ase assay. This technique has been successfully applied in several studies of subsurface sediment (Soffientino et al., 2006, 2009; Nunoura et al., 2009).

Hydrogen is a key component in anaerobic metabolism and it plays an important role in many biogeochemical reactions (Hoehler et al., 1998; Jørgensen et al., 2001; Nealson et al., 2005). Molecular H\textsubscript{2} is an important product of fermenters (Laanbroek and Veldkamp, 1982), a byproduct of the nitrogenase reaction by nitrogen-fixing bacteria (Dixon, 1978) as well as a substrate for methanogens (Zeikus, 1977) and sulfate reducers (Jørgensen, 1978b). Several studies have shown that H\textsubscript{2} is a controlling factor for microbial activity in subsurface environments (Stevens and McKinley, 1995; Anderson et al., 2001; Nealson, 2005; Spear et al., 2005; Hinrichs et al., 2006). Despite the importance of molecular H\textsubscript{2} in microbial ecosystems, it is rarely quantified in sediment studies, mainly due to technical challenges associated with sampling and sample preservation, measurement of very low concentrations, and potentially high H\textsubscript{2} turnover rates (Hoehler et al., 2001). In addition to biotic processes, H\textsubscript{2} can be generated in the subsurface by alteration of young basaltic crust (Stevens and McKinley, 1995; Bach and Edwards, 2003) and by radiolysis of water (Holm and Charlou, 2001; Lin et al., 2005; Blair et al., 2007).

Hydrogenases are intracellular enzymes present in a wide range of microbes (Schink et al., 1983; Vignais and Billoud, 2007). Because hydrogenases facilitate H\textsubscript{2} utilization and production regardless of terminal electron acceptor, quantification of H\textsubscript{2}ase activity could provide an estimate of microbial activity regardless of predominant terminal electron-acceptor or co-occurrence of different terminal electron acceptors. During the catalytic conversion of H\textsubscript{2}, protons and electrons are produced or consumed based on thermodynamic constraints. Thereby cellular metabolism is facilitated, for example proton gradient formation in the cell membrane to synthesize ATP (Odom and Peck, 1984). Microbes utilize the available electrons from the oxidation of the electron donors to reduce the electron acceptors. The excess energy of this process is harvested through maintaining the proton gradient required for ATP synthesis by which the energy of the catabolic reaction is finally stored in ATP, representing the energy currency in any living cell.

\[
\text{H}_2 + E \leftrightarrow E : \text{H}_2 \leftrightarrow E + 2\text{H}^+ + 2e^- \quad (1)
\]

where, E is H\textsubscript{2}ase enzyme and E:H\textsubscript{2} is the reduced intermediate H\textsubscript{2}ase enzyme complex.

Other enzymes like ATPases and hydrolases are also involved in the pumping of protons; they can also split water molecules to facilitate hydrolytic reactions, however, they are not able to convert molecular H\textsubscript{2} into protons and electrons. H\textsubscript{2}ase enzymes activate H\textsubscript{2} molecules by heterolytic cleavage, which is the oxidation of hydrogen to protons (Equation 1). When incubating the sample with tritium, the generated \textsuperscript{3}H\textsuperscript{+} will result in the formation of tritiated water (Equation 2).

\[
\text{H}_2 \text{O} + 2\text{H}^+ \leftrightarrow \text{H}^+ + \text{H}^+ + \text{H}^+ \text{H}_2O \text{O} \leftrightarrow 2\text{H}^+ + 3\text{H}_2O \quad (2)
\]

The overall reaction will be as follows (Equation 3)

\[
\text{H}_2 + \text{H}_2O \leftrightarrow 3\text{H}_2O + \text{H}_2 \quad (3)
\]

Measurement of the increase of radioactivity of the water can thus be used to infer the rates of hydrogen oxidation catalyzed by the hydrogenase enzymes. According to the modified Michaelis-Menten equation for the reaction shown in Equation (1), by incubating the sample with an excess of molecular H\textsubscript{2}, the forward reaction is strongly favored (Schink et al., 1983) and the backward reaction becomes essentially negligible for the
Due to the large excess of molecular H₂, neither its concentration nor the specific activity of ³H₂ (activity of the radioactive substance per mole of total reactant) will significantly change during the course of the incubation, which is a prerequisite for any rate measurement with a radiotracer (Fossing, 1995). The increase in ³H₂O over time yields a rate of ³H⁺ generation that is proportional to the total potential activity of all present Hₐse enzymes. Another factor that strongly favors the forward reaction, i.e., the oxidation of ³H₂ to ³H₂O, is the dilution of the produced ³H₂O in the large H₂O pool, thereby effectively removing the product.

Different metabolic processes involve different amounts of H₂ and utilize different types and numbers of Hₐse with different exchange rates. Moreover, different processes occur simultaneously and their respective contributions to total H₂ turnover are not known. Consequently, it is essentially impossible to translate hydrogen oxidation into specific metabolic rates. However, it may be possible to identify broad relationships between hydrogen oxidation rates and predominant terminal electron acceptors by comparing Hₐse activities of sedimentary regimes with different predominant electron acceptors. To test for such relationships, we measured potential H₂ oxidation in sediment cores from different environments in which different electron acceptors were quantitatively most important. Additionally, we calculated the net turnover rate of the quantitatively most important terminal electron-accepting process. We compared the different datasets to evaluate whether the measured potential hydrogen oxidation rates show any relationship to predominant terminal electron acceptors.

**MATERIALS AND SITES DESCRIPTION**

Investigation of different lacustrine and marine sedimentary environments allows testing of the general applicability of the Hₐse assay in various subsurface environments. We therefore obtained a set of samples that cover a wide range of environments and represent different predominant electron acceptor regimes. We chose the four sampling areas based on sample and data availability, because we needed access to (i) suitable samples for hydrogen oxidation measurements, (ii) porewater chemistry data, and (iii) cell abundance data.

**Lake Van, Turkey**

Lake Van, one of the largest soda lakes on Earth (Kadioglu et al., 1997), is located in Eastern Anatolia, Turkey. It covers an area of 3570 km² and has a maximum water depth of 460 m (Litt et al., 2009). Bottom water temperature is ca. 3°C (Litt et al., 2012). The lake water has an alkalinity of 155 mEq L⁻¹ and a pH of ca. 10, which is mainly due to evaporation and to weathering of nearby volcanic rocks (Kempe et al., 1991). The lake consists of two major basins, which are separated by basement highs and ridges (Figure 1). The Northern Ridge separates the smaller and shallower Northern Basin (NB) from the main Tatvan Basin. Ahlat Ridge (AR) is a small ridge bordering the Ahlat Subbasin, which is located between the NB and the Tatvan Basin. We collected sediment cores during the International Continental Scientific Drilling Program (ICDP) PaleoVan Drilling Operation in summer 2010. For our study, we used short gravity cores of ca. 0.8 m length from the Northern Basin and Ahlat Ridge, taken at water depths of 260 and 375 m, respectively.

**Barents Sea**

The Barents Sea is located between the Norwegian Sea to the southwest and the Arctic Ocean to the north. The sampling area is located between the southwestern part of the Loppa High and Ringvassøy-Loppa fault complex (Figure 1). Samples were collected during a 2010 cruise onboard M/S HU Sverdrup II (Nickel et al., 2012). The morphology of the seabed in the sampling area is peculiar as it contains an average of ca. 100 pockmarks per square kilometer. The randomly distributed pockmarks are thought to have been formed by sudden expulsion of fluids or gas from the sediment (Solheim, 1991). The currently inactive pockmarks have a diameter of 10–50 m and an average depth of 1–3 m. Average water depth in the investigated area is around 350 m. Bottom water temperature was around 5°C (Nickel et al., 2012). The samples were retrieved from short gravity cores of ca. 1.5–1.8 m length taken inside the pockmarks at B1 and B9 locations (Nickel et al., 2012).

**Equatorial Pacific**

We retrieved samples during the early 2009 R/V Knorr Cruise 195-III in the eastern and equatorial Pacific upwelling area. This region has high primary productivity of ca. 15 mmol C m⁻² year⁻¹ (Roy et al., 2012). Water depths at sites EQP-05 and EQP-07 are 4394 and 4314 m, respectively (Figure 1). Bottom water temperature is approximately 2°C. Using the long core system from the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution (WHOI), we recovered sediment cores with a maximum length of 35 m.

**Gulf of Mexico**

Sediment cores were retrieved during IODP Expedition 308 using an advanced piston corer (APC) and an extended core barrel (XCB). Samples were collected from two sites (U1319 and U1320) at the Brazos-Trinity (BT) Basin and two sites (U1322 and U1324) at the Mars-Ursa Basin (Figure 1) (Flemings et al., 2006). Temperatures of the samples ranged from 4 to 10°C, depending on sediment depth (Long et al., 2008). Volumetric Hₐse activity and cell abundance data are already published (Nunoura et al., 2009) and we obtained all other geochemical data from the IODP database (http://iodp.tamu.edu/janusweb/general/dtable.cgi). Porewater extraction, sulfate, and CH₄ analyses of IODP Expedition 308 samples were carried out on board according to standard IODP protocols (Flemings et al., 2006).

**METHODS**

**Porewater Extraction**

Porewater was extracted with an IODP-style titanium/PTFE porewater extraction system (Manheim, 1966) in a hydraulic press (2-column bench top laboratory press, 22 ton max load, Carver Inc., USA). The porewater was filtered through syringe filters (pore size: 0.45 µm) and either analyzed immediately or stored frozen until analysis. During previous expeditions,
it was shown that porewater extraction by sediment squeezing generates NO$_3^-$ concentrations on the scale of tens of micromoles (Schrum et al., 2012). Thus, NO$_3^-$ concentrations in the porewater samples obtained during the equatorial Pacific expedition were measured in samples obtained using Rhizon soil moisture samplers (Seeberg-Elverfeldt et al., 2005). This practice allowed us to effectively quantify very low NO$_3^-$ concentrations (<1 µmol L$^{-1}$).

**Porewater Analyses**

**Nitrate**

During the Equatorial Pacific expedition, NO$_3^-$ concentrations were analyzed with a Metrohm 844 UV/VIS Compact IC equipped with a 150 × 4.0 mm Metrosep A SUPP 8 150 column. The column temperature was set to 30°C. The eluent was a 10% NaCl solution filtered through a 0.45 µm pore size filter. Approximately 0.8 ml of interstitial water was injected manually into a 250 µL sample loop. UV absorption was determined at 215 nm.

**Mn and Fe**

We analyzed porewater samples for dissolved Mn$^{4+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$ by inductively coupled plasma emission spectrometry (ICP-ES) at Boston University. We acidified the samples at sea with Fisher Optima HNO$_3$ and stored them in a refrigerator until analysis. The samples were diluted 10-fold in 2% triple distilled HNO$_3$, and calibrated against a seven point calibration curve of matrix matched, spiked International Association for the Physical Sciences of the Ocean standard seawater (IAPSO, S=35, Ocean Scientific International Ltd.) that covered the full concentration range of the unknown samples. We measured Mn$^{4+}$ at 257.609 and 259.373 nm using the ICP-ES monochromator and at 257.61 nm using the ICP-ES polychromator with the Jobin-Yvon Ultima C ICP-ES instrument. Using the ICP-ES monochromator, we measured Fe$^{3+}$ at 238.203 and 259.939 nm, as well as at 259.94 nm using the ICP-ES polychromator. We corrected final concentrations for the 1% acid dilution due to on-board acidification. Analytical precision for both elements is ∼3% of the measured value. Accuracy was confirmed by analysis of spiked IAPSO standards that were not included in the original calibration.

**Sulfate**

We quantified dissolved SO$_4^{2-}$ in porewater samples from Lake Van and Barents Sea samples using a SYKAM IC system (SYKAM, Fürstenfeldbrück, Germany) equipped with an LCA A14 column, a suppressor (SAMS™, SeQuant, Sweden) and a S3115 conductivity detector. The mobile phase was a 6.25 mmol L$^{-1}$ Na$_2$CO$_3$ with 0.1 vol% modifier (1g 4-hydroxybenzonitrile in 50 mL methanol). We eluted the samples at isocratic conditions at 50°C with the eluent flow set to 1 mL min$^{-1}$, injection volume was 30 µL.

During the Equatorial Pacific expedition, we quantified SO$_4^{2-}$ concentrations with a Metrohm 861 Advanced Compact IC. The IC was comprised of an 853 CO$_2$ suppressor, a thermal conductivity detector, a 150 × 4.0 mm Metrosep A SUPP 5 150 column, and a 20 µL sample loop. A Metrohm 837 IC Eluent/Sample Degasser was coupled to the system. We set the column oven to 32°C. The eluent was 3.2 mmol L$^{-1}$ Na$_2$CO$_3$, and 1.0 mmol L$^{-1}$ NaHCO$_3$. Each sample was analyzed as a 1:50 dilution of interstitial water with 18 MOhm cm$^{-1}$ deionized water. If the samples were anoxic, we added 5 µL of 10% Zn-acetate per mL of analyte to precipitate ZnS.

**Cell Enumeration**

We undertook cell counts by either (i) direct cell counting or (ii) in cases where cell counts fell below the minimum detection limit of the direct counts, using the cell extraction protocol of

![FIGURE 1 | Study Sites.](image-url)
Kallmeyer et al. (2008). In cases where cell extraction became necessary, e.g., in deeper sediment layers, we used the two methods with some overlap, to assess the extraction efficiency of the cell separation. In all cases, the two types of counts deviated by less than one standard deviation based on triplicates.

We filtered the sediment slurry or the separated cells onto polycarbonate filters (pore size: 0.2 µm) stained with SYBR Green I according to Morono et al. (2009) and counted the cells using epifluorescence microscopy. Cell counts of samples from IODP Expedition 308 are from Nounou et al. (2009). For Expedition 308, a 1 mL sediment sample was fixed in 9 mL of 3.7% formaldehyde with PBS and stored in 1:1 (v/v) ethanol:PBS solution. Subsamples were filtered on a polycarbonate membrane, stained with both AO and DAPI, and enumerated by epifluorescence microscopy.

**Modeling of Net Turnover Rates Based on Porewater Concentration Profiles**

We modeled net turnover rates of predominant terminal electron acceptors using porewater concentration profiles and the approach of Wang et al. (2008). In brief, we smoothed the porewater concentration profiles of predominant terminal electron acceptors with a 5-point Gaussian filter. We used each smoothed concentration profile as an input parameter to model the reaction rate of the profiled chemical by applying the MATLAB® script of Wang et al. (2008). For these calculations, we used a constant formation factor, as well as constant diffusivity and porosity. In addition, we applied a constant sedimentation rate and constant external flow advection velocity near the sediment-water interface. We used a minimum of three concentration data to determine each reaction zone. Modeling of substrate turnover rates from porewater concentration profiles is generally not applicable for near-surface sediment due to disturbance of the sediment-water interface by various factors (e.g., impact of the coring device, bioturbation, etc.; see Jørgensen, 1978a). Thus, the calculated results for the upper meter should be considered carefully. At Lake Van, data from the shallowest samples should still be useful, as anoxic bottom water precludes bioturbation there, and the small coring device that was used probably had little impact on sediment structure (Glombitza et al., 2013). The results of these calculations include positive and negative turnover rates for different depth intervals, with positive rates indicating production of the respective chemical and negative rates indicating consumption. The script of Wang et al. (2008) fixes the modeled concentration at the top and bottom to the uppermost and lowermost measured values. This forcing may cause arbitrary turnover profiles in cases where the measured values show high scatter.

**Hydrogenase Enzyme Assay**

We used a tritium-based H₂ase assay to measure the potential oxidation rates of hydrogen (Soffientino et al., 2006). We took whole round core pieces or subsamples from the center of each core with cut-off syringes immediately after core retrieval, packed the pieces or subsamples in gas-tight aluminum bags flushed with nitrogen gas, and froze them immediately at −80°C until analysis.

**Headspace Preparation**

We diluted the carrier-free radioactive ³H₂ (American Radiolabeled Chemicals, Inc.) because its specific activity was too high (37 GBq mL⁻¹) to allow for safe and reproducible handling. We prepared the ³H₂ gas by mixing the carrier-free ³H₂ gas with a non-radioactive (20/80%) H₂/N₂ gas according to Soffientino et al. (2009), considering H₂ase half-saturation constants and specific activity of ³H₂ (amount of radioactivity per mole of H₂) to be high enough for sufficient measurement sensitivity when using a slurry to headspace ratio of 1:3. Higher H₂ concentrations would reduce the specific activity of the ³H₂. To store, dilute and dispense the gas, we constructed a manifold (Figure 2) according to Soffientino et al. (2009) with some minor modifications. In brief, the manifold consists of a 1 L stainless steel cylinder (Figure 2A) for storage of 37 GBq of ³H₂ gas in a mixture of non-radiolabeled 20% H₂ and 80% N₂ (Figure 2B). The cylinder is connected to the headspace reservoir bag (Figure 2C) via a stainless steel loop with a volume of 11.4 mL (Figure 2D). To prepare the desired specific activity of ³H₂, we diluted one or several volumes of the loop with known volumes of non-radioactive gas. Unlike Soffientino et al. (2009), we added an O₂ scrubber (Figure 2E) to remove traces of O₂ from the diluent gas before it is mixed with the ³H₂. The O₂ scrubber consists of two graduated cylinders filled with chromous chloride (CrCl₂) solution produced by reacting 1 mol HCl with Zn granules under a stream of N₂ (Zhabina and Volkov, 1978). The top of the first cylinder is connected to a non-radiolabeled H₂/N₂ gas tank and the stainless steel loop via a three-way valve. Gas entering the cylinder from the tank replaces the CrCl₂ solution. Through an outlet at the bottom, the gas flows into the second glass cylinder that is connected to a gas-tight aluminum bag (Figure 2F) filled with N₂ gas to maintain O₂-free conditions and allow for volume changes. In addition to serve as O₂ scrubbers, the graduated cylinders were also used to measure the volume of dilution gas. We then flushed the desired volume of non-radioactive gas through the stainless steel loop into the reservoir bag. Finally, we filled the glass syringes with the diluted ³H₂ gas via a sample port (Figure 2G).

We measured specific activity of the headspace gas as described by Soffientino et al. (2009). In brief, we reacted 500-µL ³H₂/N₂ gas with air in the presence of a heated platinum catalyst to form ³H₂O in a Knallgas-reactor. We trapped the ³H₂O with 5 mL distilled water and quantified radioactivity of the ³H₂O by liquid scintillation counting.

**Sample Preparation**

For the measurement of potential hydrogen oxidation rates, we placed ca. 2 g of frozen sediment into a 50 cm³ glass syringe barrel fitted with a three-way stopcock and mounted vertically on a manifold. The sediment had to be slurried, so we added 10 mL of sterile anoxic NaCl solution at the concentration necessary to maintain in situ salinity in each sample. In order to prevent O₂ contamination, we performed the process under a continuous stream of N₂. We processed each sample in triplicates, along with a negative control treated with 0.5 mL saturated HgCl₂ solution. We incubated all four slurries with 30 cm³ headspace...
Lake Van

At the Ahlat Ridge (AR) site, we found minimum potential hydrogen oxidation rates of $3.60 \pm 1.08 \mu$mol H$_2$ cm$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$ in the uppermost layer (0.03 m below lake floor (mblf)). Potential rates initially increase with sample depth, reaching a maximum of $17.85 \pm 3.07 \mu$mol H$_2$ cm$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$ at a depth of 0.43 mblf, before decreasing again to $11.60 \pm 1.98 \mu$mol H$_2$ cm$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$ at the bottom of the core (0.72 mblf). At the Northern Basin (NB) site, potential hydrogen oxidation rates decreases with depth. In the uppermost sediment layer (0.01 mblf), we observed maximum activity of $2.42 \pm 0.60 \mu$mol H$_2$ cm$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$. Despite some scattering the potential rates at the NB site decreases by an order of magnitude within a few centimeters and remains in the range of 0.20–1.20 $\mu$mol H$_2$ cm$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$ between 0.14 and 0.74 mblf (Figure 3).

At AR, microbial cell numbers are constant around $10^6$ cells cm$^{-3}$ and show little variation with depth. The cell numbers at NB are roughly in the same range but show higher scatter (Figure 3; Kallmeyer et al., 2015). At both sites, pore water SO$_4^{2-}$ concentration in the uppermost sediment layer is ca. 26 mmol L$^{-1}$. While at the NB site, concentrations decrease almost linearly to 8 mmol L$^{-1}$ at 0.65 mblf, they remain constant throughout the core, with some scatter at the AR site. In cores from both sites, Glombitza et al. (2013) measured microbial SO$_4^{2-}$ reduction rates in radiotracer incubations ($^{35}$SO$_4^{2-}$). At AR, the radiotracer-based rates are uniformly around 2 nmol cm$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$, whereas at NB, rates drop from >20 nmol cm$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$, at the surface to 2 nmol cm$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$ at 0.2 mblf.

The correlations ($R^2$) between measured and modeled sulfate concentrations are 0.59 and 0.98 for AR and NB, respectively. The high scatter of the pore water SO$_4^{2-}$ data causes the much lower correlation coefficient for AR, although we excluded a few data points because they fall well outside of the general trend and are probably erroneous measurements. Thus, the calculated rates have to be treated with caution (Figure 6). Still the calculated in situ reaction rates show that sulfate reduction occurs in parts of the sediment cores from both sites, with maximum rates of 2.2 nmol cm$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$ and 4.9 nmol cm$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$ at AR and NB, respectively. For both sites, calculated depth intervals of sulfate production may be an artifact of either high data scatter (AR) or advective exchange of solutes in the uppermost sediment column.

We could not detect nitrate in the porewater. This is not surprising because the bottom water is anoxic (Kaden et al., 2010) and NO$_3^-$ concentrations are below 1 $\mu$mol L$^{-1}$ throughout the water column (Reimer et al., 2009). Methane was below the detection limit of about 0.06 $\mu$mol L$^{-1}$ in all samples.

Barents Sea

Potential hydrogen oxidation rates at both sites in the Barents Sea (B1, B9) vary between 0.12 and 5.65 $\pm$ 2.88 $\mu$mol H$_2$ cm$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$ (Figure 3). Except for the two uppermost samples, where cell counts of site B1 are higher by up to an order of magnitude, they are almost identical at both sites and vary with depth from $10^6$ to $10^7$ cells cm$^{-3}$. At both sites, SO$_4^{2-}$ concentration is around 20 mmol L$^{-1}$ throughout the cores and shows considerable scatter. The SO$_4^{2-}$ profiles indicate that microbial SO$_4^{2-}$ reduction is very low over the depth interval covered by the cores. We
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FIGURE 3 | Distribution of potential hydrogen oxidation rates in sediment samples from Lake Van and Barents Sea. First column shows sampling depth vs. volumetric potential hydrogen oxidation rates. Second, third, and fourth columns show depth vs. per-cell potential hydrogen oxidation rates, total cell counts and porewater SO$_4^{2-}$ concentration, respectively. Error bars represent standard deviation calculated from sample replicates.

Calculated in situ net reaction rates from combined sulfate data from both sites. Correlation between measured and smoothed sulfate concentrations is relatively low ($R^2 = 0.62$). Except for the uppermost 25 cm, where the calculated rate is 4.01 nmol cm$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$ (Figure 6), the rates are around zero or even positive, which is in agreement with in situ rates measured in close vicinity to our sample sites. (Nickel et al., 2012) found very low sulfate reduction rates of < 100 pmol cm$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$. We could not detect nitrate or CH$_4$ in these cores.

Equatorial Pacific Ocean

At both EQP sites, potential hydrogen oxidation rates are relatively low, with values between 0.08 $\pm$ 0.07 and 3.26 $\pm$ 1.13 $\mu$mol H$_2$ cm$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$. We observed a slight increase in rates in the lowermost samples of EQP-05 (Figure 4). Cell abundance is up to $10^9$ cells cm$^{-3}$ in the uppermost sediment layer (two to three orders of magnitude higher than in the other sediment analyzed for this study). Cell numbers decrease exponentially and remain between $10^5$ and $10^6$ cells cm$^{-3}$ at depths >5 m below sea floor (mbsf) at both EQP sites (Figure 4). Porewater SO$_4^{2-}$ concentrations are near seawater values (28 mmol L$^{-1}$) through the entire cored interval. Consequently, the calculated reaction rates are near zero. Only in the uppermost sediment did we observe maximum SO$_4^{2-}$ reduction rates of 4 and 109 pmol cm$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$ for EQP-05 and EQP-07, respectively (Figure 6). At EQP-05, the correlation between measured and smoothed SO$_4^{2-}$ concentrations is very low ($R^2 = 0.38$), whereas at EQP-07 there is a better fit ($R^2 = 0.85$).

Nitrate is detectable with maximum concentrations of ca. 50 $\mu$mol L$^{-1}$ close to the sediment-water interface. Concentrations decrease steadily to the minimum detection limit of ca. 1 $\mu$mol L$^{-1}$ at around 2 and 7 mbsf at Sites EQP-05 and EQP-07, respectively (Figure 4). The strong decrease with depth and the little scatter of the pore water data allowed for good calculation of net nitrate reduction rates at both sites and the smoothed concentration data correlate very well with the measured data ($R^2 = 0.86$ and 0.99 for EQP-05 and EQP-07, respectively). For both sites, the calculation indicates nitrate production in the uppermost layer, followed by a zone of denitrification below. However, for most of the depth profile the calculated rates are very close to zero (Figure 6).

At EQP-05, Mn$^{2+}$ concentration increases steeply over the upper 5 mbsf, from below detection to 67.75 $\mu$mol L$^{-1}$. Manganese concentrations further increase with a lower gradient to a maximum of 98.76 $\mu$mol L$^{-1}$ at 19.58 mbsf, and then steadily decrease with depth. Over the upper 3–4 mbsf of EQP-07, dissolved Mn$^{2+}$ concentrations are almost identical to those at EQP-05. Below this depth they decrease to 11.73 $\mu$mol L$^{-1}$ at 9.73 mbsf before they increase again to a second maximum of 49.97 $\mu$mol L$^{-1}$ at 20.93 mbsf. The smoothed concentration
FIGURE 4 | Distribution of potential hydrogen oxidation rates in sediment samples from Equatorial Pacific Ocean. EQP-05 (open triangles) and EQP-07 (open circles). From top left to bottom right: volumetric potential hydrogen oxidation rates, per-cell potential hydrogen oxidation rates, total cell counts, porewater NO$_3^-$, porewater Mn$^{4+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$, and porewater SO$_4^{2-}$ concentration. Note that the error bars represent one standard deviation calculated from the measurements from sample replicates.

profile correlates very well with the measured data for Mn$^{2+}$ at both sites ($R^2 = 0.91$ and 0.93). For EQP 5 calculated Mn$^{2+}$ production in the uppermost meter is up to 2.5 pmol cm$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$, followed by rates very close to zero at greater depths. For EQP7, the Mn$^{2+}$ concentration profile looks quite different, and consequently also the calculated turnover rates. The strong change from almost $-6$ to 4 pmol cm$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$ over the upper few centimeters is followed by rates very close to zero, changing back and forth between positive and negative values.

Dissolved Fe$^{2+}$ concentrations show a different trend than Mn$^{2+}$. Dissolved Fe$^{2+}$ concentrations at EQP-05 are below the detection limit until a depth of 17.52 mbsf, and then increase to a maximum of 10.90 µmol L$^{-1}$ at 22.64 mbsf. At EQP-07, dissolved Fe$^{2+}$ concentration varies between 5 and 13 µmol L$^{-1}$ over the entire depth of the cored interval. There is a second maximum concentration peak at approximately the same depth at both sites. The smoothed Fe$^{2+}$ concentration data correlate very well with the measured data at site EQP-05 ($R^2 = 0.83$), but poorly at EQP-07 ($r^2 = 0.52$). This poor EQP07 correlation is caused by the high scatter in Fe$^{2+}$ data at EQP-07. Iron reduction rates for EQP-05 and EQP-07 are below 4.7 pmol cm$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$ (Figure 6).

**Gulf of Mexico**

At all sites from IODP Expedition 308 (U1319, U1320, U1322, U1324), potential hydrogen oxidation rates are below 5 µmol H$_2$ cm$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$ at all depths, except for three samples from the upper 30 mbsf at site U1320 (Figure 5). These three samples show potential rates of up to 16 µmol H$_2$ cm$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$.

Cell counts are in the range of $10^6$-$10^7$ cells cm$^{-3}$ close to the sediment-water interface and decrease to $10^4$-$10^4$ cells cm$^{-3}$ at greater depth at all sites. The cell count profiles for sites U1322 and U1324 drop so rapidly below the minimum detection limit around $5 \times 10^3$ cells cm$^{-3}$ that there are no cell count data for depth below 150 and 100 mbsf, respectively. Except for Site U1320, cell concentrations at all sites show high scatter, but decline more or less logarithmically with depth.

At Sites U1319 and U1320, dissolved SO$_4^{2-}$ is completely depleted within the upper 10 mbsf, whereas at Sites U1322 and U1324, SO$_4^{2-}$ concentration remains near seawater concentration in the upper 30 m and 50 m of sediment, respectively before dropping linearly and reaching the detection limit between 80 and 100 mbsf. The SO$_4^{2-}$ profile is mirrored by the CH$_4$ concentration profile, with values between 0.62 and 6.2 mmol L$^{-1}$ in the sulfate-free zone and low concentrations...
(<0.6 μmol L⁻¹) where SO₄²⁻ is present. The sulfate-methane transition zone (SMTZ) can be identified at all four sites, but differs in depth below seafloor and thickness (Figure 5). Measured and smoothed SO₄²⁻ concentrations showed a very good correlation ($R^2 = 0.99$) at all sites (Figure 6). For SO₄²⁻, the calculated turnover rates show similar profiles for U1319 and U1320, with a narrow subsurface maximum sulfate reduction zone of −7 and −1.8 pmol cm⁻³ d⁻¹, respectively, followed by near-zero values for the rest of the core. The calculated SO₄²⁻ turnover rates for U1322 and U1324 look considerably different, with more changes between positive and negative rates and a larger positive subsurface peak. The reason for this difference may partly be that the model fixes the concentration at top and bottom to the measured concentration, which may have led to arbitrary results for U1322. For U1324, there seems to be loss of SO₄²⁻ to the overlying water as concentrations in the upper 50–60 mbsf are higher than in the overlying water; this is rather unusual for marine sediments.

For CH₄, we found reasonably good fits of measured concentrations to smoothed concentrations at Sites U1320A ($R^2 = 0.83$) and U1322B ($R^2 = 0.82$) and low correlations at Sites U1319A ($R^2 = 0.57$) and U1324B ($R^2 = 0.53$). The high scatter in the concentration data from the latter two sites prevents more accurate modeling and the results should be used with great caution. Still, zones of maximum activity can be identified.

**DISCUSSION**

**Evaluation of the Hydrogen Oxidation Measurements**

Our measurements only delivered potential rates as the conditions under which we performed the incubations differ in several ways from *in situ* conditions. Our use of previously frozen samples, slurries, room temperature, and excess headspace H₂ all cause deviation from *in situ* conditions.

We used previously frozen samples for all of our hydrogen oxidation measurements. Use of previously frozen material in incubation experiments is unusual for metabolic rate measurements. However, we are measuring potential hydrogen oxidation rates in the sediments, not metabolic activity. Although freezing samples prior to analysis might have damaged cells due to ice crystal formation, cellular integrity is of minor concern for this study; as long as the H₂ase enzyme remains intact and it finds a redox partner like NAD or ferredoxin, it remains functional. If cells lyse, co-localization of redox partners may be perturbed, which would affect enzymatic rates. Consequently, the enzymes may be less likely to find such a partner in lysed cells than in intact cells. However, extracellular H₂ases have been reported in soils (Häring et al., 1994), indicating that even extracellular enzymes may find such partners.

Little is known about the survival of H₂ases outside of cells, as they have not been reported from aquatic or subsurface environments.
FIGURE 6 | Calculated reaction rates. Y-axis refers to depth in meter. Top and bottom x-axes refer to reaction rates and concentrations, respectively. Open circles are measured concentration data, gray solid lines are modeled concentrations, and dark solid lines are modeled reaction rates. Positive values refer to net production and negative values refer to net consumption. Please note different units on the x-axes. Note that the top and bottom values of the smoothed profiles are fixed to the upper- and lower-most measured values.
environments except soil. We therefore assume that all hydrogen oxidation catalyzed by hydrogenase enzymes is related to cells that were physiologically intact at the time of sampling. The samples were immediately frozen in a nitrogen atmosphere and thawed only inside the nitrogen-filled reaction vessel so degradation of biomolecules was minimized. Given the immediate freezing, storage, and thawing under nitrogen and the short incubation time, we assume that degradation of the enzyme is of minor concern, given the orders of magnitude variability of the normalized potential per-cell rates. The results of Soffientino et al. (2009) point toward the same interpretation. They assessed the effects of freezing and thawing on hydrogen oxidation and found 18% higher hydrogen oxidation in fresh sediment than in previously frozen samples, but also noted that more research would help to better constrain the effects of freezing. Due to the availability of only frozen samples, we could not run separate experiments on frozen and fresh material to evaluate this effect.

We made slurries for all of our incubations. Slurrying obviously disturbs sedimentary structure, which might have an effect on the potential turnover rates. Radiotracer SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2−} reduction-rate measurements done in slurries have resulted in higher rates than measurements made with intact sediment (Jørgensen, 1978b). Similar findings have been reported for AOM experiments (Treude et al., 2003, 2005). We therefore suspect that slurrying may have a similarly positive effect on our measured potential hydrogen oxidation rates.

We carried out our incubations at room temperature (ca. 25°C), which is considerably higher than in situ temperatures. Several studies have shown that increasing temperature has a positive effect on metabolic rates (Arnosti et al., 1998; Sagemann et al., 1998; Thamdrup and Fleischer, 1998; Finke and Jørgensen, 2008), usually leading to an increase by a factor of 3–5. One of the few studies that measured the temperature dependence of enzyme activity in sediments also found a ca. three to fivefold increase in polysaccharide hydrolysis between 5 and 25°C (Arnosti et al., 1998). Given the order-of-magnitude approach of our study we consider these changes to be negligible. Moreover, all samples have a relatively narrow in situ temperature range between 2 and 10°C, so the temperature effect should be similar in all samples.

Our most important deviation from in situ conditions is incubation of our sediment slurries with excess H\textsubscript{2} in the headspace (20%), leading to complete saturation of the samples with H\textsubscript{2} (ca. 16 μmol L\textsuperscript{−1}), which is controlled by its solubility. In natural sedimentary systems, H\textsubscript{2} concentrations can be two to three orders of magnitude lower than in our incubations (e.g., Hoehler et al., 1998). Hoehler et al. (2002) showed that microorganisms can maintain H\textsubscript{2} concentrations at a thermodynamically favorable level, for example, SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2−} reducers maintain H\textsubscript{2} concentration at such a low level that conditions can become thermodynamically unfavorable for CO\textsubscript{2} reducers. By supplying excess H\textsubscript{2}, our experiments over-ride these naturally low concentrations and allow for unlimited H\textsubscript{2} oxidation with negligible back-reaction according to Michaelis-Menten kinetics (Soffientino et al., 2009; Equation 3). In short, our measurements provide rates of H\textsubscript{2} oxidation that are facilitated by H\textsubscript{2}ases regardless of the in situ direction of the process. Because fermenters produce most of the H\textsubscript{2} that is used by other microbes, about half of the measured potential hydrogen oxidation should account for H\textsubscript{2} production under in situ conditions.

The excess of H\textsubscript{2} is necessary to ensure comparable conditions in all samples, because we do not have any information about in situ concentrations. Experiments under in situ temperature and H\textsubscript{2} partial pressure might provide results closer to in situ rates but incubating samples under high hydrostatic pressure and maintaining precise H\textsubscript{2} partial pressure entail additional technical challenges (Sauer et al., 2012) that are beyond the scope of this study. As shown by Soffientino et al. (2006), saturating the slurry with H\textsubscript{2} and constant shaking during the incubation is necessary to achieve a linear increase in the concentration of 3H\textsubscript{2}O (i.e., constant enzyme activity) because the reaction is diffusion-limited. The low solubility of H\textsubscript{2} in water (ca. 16 μmol L\textsuperscript{−1} at 25°C) is partially countered by its high diffusivity (8.69 × 10\textsuperscript{−9} m\textsuperscript{2} s\textsuperscript{−2} at 25°C; Jørgensen, 2000, which is similar to the diffusivity of water ca. 2.5 × 10\textsuperscript{−9} m\textsuperscript{2} s\textsuperscript{−2} at 25°C), leading to a diffusion time of <0.1 ms for a distance of 1 μm. This distance is larger than the diameter of an average microbial cell in subsurface sediment (Kallmeyer et al., 2012). Although intracellular membranes restrict diffusion to some degree, we think that it is safe to assume that all intracellular reactants are in equilibrium with the surrounding water over the time course of the experiment.

Volumetric potential hydrogen oxidation (H\textsubscript{2} turnover per volume sediment) varies by about two orders of magnitude between sites and depths, without any visible correlation with depth, sites, or biogeochemical zones. We interpret this as indicating that H\textsubscript{2}ases are ubiquitously distributed and a potentially active microbial community is present at all sampled depths at all sites in this study (Figures 3–5). Except for disruption of the cells due to freezing and the consequent potential loss of a redox partner (Soffientino et al., 2009), the deviations of our incubations from in situ conditions point toward our measured rates being higher than in situ rates. Because every sample likely contains a mixture of different H\textsubscript{2}ases with different substrate specificities and exchange rates, it seems unlikely that activity will increase linearly with substrate concentration over several orders of magnitude. Still, it might be safe to assume that our measured rates overestimate in situ turnover by at least an order of magnitude, given the higher temperature, slurrying, and saturation with H\textsubscript{2}. With decreasing redox potential, H\textsubscript{2} plays an increasingly important role in electron-acceptor processes, so the level of overestimation may differ between redox zones. Nevertheless, the hydrogen oxidation rate measurements indicate potential for microbial activity regardless of the specific catabolic reaction (e.g., metal, SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2−}, or CO\textsubscript{2} reduction), and thus provide the opportunity to detect potential activity throughout the whole sediment column by a single method.

The ability to detect hydrogen oxidation and thus active microbial metabolism by using frozen samples is an advantage over methods that detect activity by measuring a metabolic rate, which requires metabolically active cells. Such methods usually require fresh, or rather non-frozen, sample material. Because the hydrogen oxidation measurement only targets
the intact H₂ase enzyme, microbial cells do not have to be kept alive until analysis. The only requirement is that the samples be frozen immediately after retrieval and kept under anoxic conditions. H₂ase-catalyzed hydrogen oxidation can thus be detected even after long periods of sample storage. This provides the opportunity to detect microbial activity in sediment retrieved during sampling campaigns where logistical or operational limitations do not allow immediate experimental treatment.

We used porewater chemical concentration profiles and the procedure of Wang et al. (2008) to calculate net turnover rates for relevant substrates at the individual sites. For each site, we separately calculated the turnover rate of the relevant metabolic compound (Figure 6). Perhaps unsurprisingly, we observe no significant correlation between potential volumetric hydrogen oxidation rates and calculated metabolic rates. There are several possible reasons for this lack of correlation. The calculated rates represent only net rates of production or consumption of single compounds, whereas our potential H₂ oxidation measurements provide a qualitative measure of the activity of the entire microbial community. As described by Wang et al. (2008) several metabolic activities can co-occur at the same depth, so any single calculation may fail to account for metabolic diversity. Although the porewater data from the Equatorial Pacific (EQP-05 and EQP-07) allowed calculation of turnover rates for several potentially co-existing metabolic processes, we found no correlation between the ensemble of metabolic rates and measured potential volumetric hydrogen oxidation rates. Due to this absence of correlation between calculated net turnover rates and measured H₂ oxidation rates, only qualitative assumptions about microbial activity can be made.

Although potential volumetric hydrogen oxidation rates cannot be translated directly into specific turnover rates, per-cell potential hydrogen oxidation rates can be compared within biogeochemical zones and across different zones.

Hydrogen Utilization Potential and Biogeochemical Zonation

Because the volumetric potential hydrogen oxidation rate remains relatively constant with depth despite order-of-magnitude changes in cell abundance, we normalized potential hydrogen oxidation rate by dividing volumetric rates by the number of counted microbial cells (Figures 3–5). The counts include all cells that contain double-stranded DNA, irrespective of metabolic status or capability.

A recent study of Peters et al. (2015) estimated that only about 35% of all microorganisms encode for H₂ases, suggesting that H₂ases are not as ubiquitously distributed as previously thought (Soffientino et al., 2006). However, the findings of Peters et al. (2015) may not be fully applicable to subsurface environments as their study covered only 167 (5.7%) archaeal genomes. Archaea and bacteria have recently been reported to occur in roughly equal proportions in subseafloor sediment (Lloyd et al., 2013; Xie et al., 2013). Also, Fe-only H₂ases were not considered by Peters et al. (2015) but are found in many methanogenic archaea (Lyon et al., 2004) that comprise a significant part of lacustrine and marine subsurface microbial communities (Lipp et al., 2008). In short, methanogenic and methanotrophic archaea are important players in subsurface ecosystems, and the data set of Peters et al. (2015) may not be representative of such environments. Rather, in the samples used in our study, the fraction of microbes that contain H₂ases is likely >35%. The fact that 93 (55%) of the 167 archaean genomes covered by the study of Peters et al. (2015) contains [FeFe]- and [NiFe]-H₂ase homologs suggests that a higher percentage of archaea contain H₂ases. Even the most negative assumption, that only one third of all microbial cells contained H₂ases, would introduce a bias of a factor of three. This factor is dwarfed by the variation that we observe per-cell potential hydrogen oxidation rates, which range over four orders of magnitude from site to site (2 × 10⁻⁵ to 2 × 10⁻¹ nmol H₂ cell⁻¹ d⁻¹; Figures 3–5). Given this variation in per-cell potential hydrogen oxidation rates, a potential factor-of-three bias in the assumed fraction of cells that encodes for H₂ases is insignificant.

We selected our sample set to the predominant electron-acceptor zones that typically occur in anoxic sediment (NO₃⁻ reduction, Mn⁴⁺ and Fe³⁺ reduction, SO₄²⁻ reduction, and methanogenesis zones). To identify common patterns in H₂ utilization, we grouped the samples into biogeochemical zones based on porewater composition. The first group contains all samples with detectable NO₃⁻ (NO₃⁻ zone). The second group contains samples where metal reduction predominates (Mn and Fe zone). The third group is defined by the presence of SO₄²⁻ and the absence of CH₄ (SO₄²⁻ zone). We subdivided samples with detectable CH₄ into a low-CH₄ (<0.6 µmol L⁻¹) zone and a high-CH₄ (>0.6 µmol L⁻¹) zone to separate samples with persistent but very low CH₄ even in the presence of dissolved SO₄²⁻ (e.g., IODP Exp. 308, BT Basin) from those with much higher CH₄ concentration and usually no SO₄²⁻. When plotting the per-cell potential hydrogen oxidation rate relative to the biogeochemical zones (Figure 7) the relationship between per-cell potential hydrogen oxidation activity and predominant metabolic process becomes obvious. Despite some scatter, per-cell potential hydrogen oxidation rates increase in the following order: NO₃⁻ zone < Mn⁴⁺ and Fe³⁺ zone < SO₄²⁻ zone < low CH₄ zone < high CH₄ zone. The lowest per-cell potential hydrogen oxidation rates (1.2 × 10⁻⁵–5 × 10⁻⁴ nmol H₂ cell⁻¹ d⁻¹) occur in the NO₃⁻ zone of site EQP-05 and site EQP-07. The metal reduction zone exhibits mean per-cell potential hydrogen oxidation rates that lie between those found in the NO₃⁻ and SO₄²⁻ reduction zones. Per-cell potential hydrogen oxidation rates in the SO₄²⁻ zone samples from Lake Van, Barents Sea, and the Equatorial Pacific mostly range between 5 × 10⁻⁴ and 4 × 10⁻³ nmol H₂ cell⁻¹ d⁻¹. In the low CH₄ zone (<0.6 µmol L⁻¹), they fall in the range of 5 × 10⁻³ to 8 × 10⁻² nmol H₂ cell⁻¹ d⁻¹. In the high CH₄ zone (>0.6 µmol L⁻¹), rates are highest with values between 1 × 10⁻² and 2 × 10⁻¹ nmol H₂ cell⁻¹ d⁻¹.

There are multiple possible cause(s) of these differences in per-cell potential hydrogen oxidation rates between different redox (or predominant electron-acceptor) zones. They may be due to
differences in H$_2$ase enzyme kinetics or they may be related to in situ H$_2$ concentrations. Thus, in the less reducing zones, H$_2$ases need to be adapted to very low H$_2$ concentration resulting in lower k$_m$ (Michaelis constant) values which often means lower V$_{max}$ (maximum turnover rates), whereas in deeper layers, in which fermenters make up a higher proportion of the microbial community, H$_2$ concentration may be higher and the enzymes have a higher k$_m$ and a higher V$_{max}$. As we carried out our incubations at uniformly high H$_2$ concentrations, H$_2$ases from deeper zones might be better adapted and work at a higher turnover rate.

With increasing sediment depth (or more precisely, age), organic matter becomes more recalcitrant. Although breakdown of macromolecular organic matter into biologically available monomers continues with increasing burial depth, the amount, and diversity of the bioavailable material decreases. Even highly complex organic molecules can be degraded by nitrate, metal, and sulfate reducers (Widdel and Rabus, 2001; Foght, 2008). In general, the substrate spectrum of microbes becomes smaller with decreasing redox potential, with methanogens having the smallest spectrum and reliant on fermenters to produce the narrow range of compounds (including H$_2$) that they can utilize. Thus, with increasingly reducing conditions, the fraction of organic matter that is fermented also increases, which is also concomitant to a greater production of H$_2$.

**CONCLUSIONS**

We measured potential hydrogen oxidation in all samples from multiple depths at several sites that collectively span a wide range of environmental conditions and biogeochemical zones. The ubiquity of this potential activity is consistent with ubiquity of H$_2$ utilization in subsurface marine and lacustrine sedimentary environments. Per-cell potential rates increases with increasing sediment depth, from one subsurface redox zone to another.

The tritium-based assay provides information about the microbial community’s potential to utilize molecular H$_2$. It does not provide actual (in situ) rates, because the incubation conditions deviate in many ways from in situ conditions. Most obviously, the experimental setup forces all H$_2$ases to proceed in the net direction of splitting molecular H$_2$ to proton and electrons, irrespective of their role in situ. For example, H$_2$ases utilized by fermenters, which produce most of the H$_2$ in subsurface systems, also contribute to the measured H$_2$ oxidation rates, just like H$_2$ases that are used in situ for H$_2$ turnover by terminal oxidizers.

Nonetheless, this assay has potentially significant advantages. It can be used to detect potential microbial activity in subsurface sedimentary environments, regardless of predominant terminal electron-accepting process. And it can be applied to previously frozen sediment, which cannot usually be used for direct measurements of metabolic rates.

Per-cell potential hydrogen oxidation rates appear to vary with predominant electron acceptor at our sites. It is lowest in sediment where NO$_3^-$ reduction predominates, and successively higher where metal (Mn$^{4+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$) reduction, SO$_4^{2-}$ reduction, and CH$_4$ production (CO$_2$ reduction) predominates. Possible reasons for this relationship to predominant electron acceptor include (i) increasing importance of fermentation in successively deeper biogeochemical zones and (ii) adaptation of H$_2$ases to successively higher concentrations of H$_2$ in successively deeper zones.
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**Figure 7** Relationship of per-cell potential hydrogen oxidation rates to predominant terminal electron acceptor in a set of 93 samples from a subsurface depth range of 0–286 m [Equatorial Pacific, N = 14; Barents Sea, N = 20; Gulf of Mexico, N = 37; Lake Van, Turkey, N = 22; layout adapted after modification from Megonigal et al. (2005)]. Vertical zones indicate predominant terminal acceptor. Horizontal axis is per-cell potential hydrogen oxidation rates. Horizontal bars represent standard deviations calculated from multiple sample measurements at different depths and sites but from the same biogeochemical zone.
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