

2018

## Chronic impacts of invasive herbivores on a foundational forest species: a whole-tree perspective

Claire M. Wilson  
*University of Rhode Island*

Robert N. Schaeffer  
*University of Rhode Island*

Mauri L. Hickin  
*University of Rhode Island*

Chad M. Rigsby  
*University of Rhode Island*

Amanda F. Sommi

*See next page for additional authors*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/bio\\_facpubs](https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/bio_facpubs)

---

### Citation/Publisher Attribution

Wilson, C. M., Schaeffer, R. N., Hickin, M. L., Rigsby, C. M., Sommi, A. F., Thornber, C. S., Orians, C. M. and Preisser, E. L. (2018), Chronic impacts of invasive herbivores on a foundational forest species: a whole-tree perspective. *Ecology*, 99: 1783-1791. doi: 10.1002/ecy.2384 Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.2384>

This Article is brought to you by the University of Rhode Island. It has been accepted for inclusion in Biological Sciences Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact [digitalcommons-group@uri.edu](mailto:digitalcommons-group@uri.edu). For permission to reuse copyrighted content, contact the author directly.

---

## Chronic impacts of invasive herbivores on a foundational forest species: a whole-tree perspective

### Authors

Claire M. Wilson, Robert N. Schaeffer, Mauri L. Hickin, Chad M. Rigsby, Amanda F. Sommi, Carol S. Thornber, Colin M. Orians, and Evan L. Preisser

**The University of Rhode Island Faculty have made this article openly available.  
Please let us know how Open Access to this research benefits you.**

This is a pre-publication author manuscript of the final, published article.

### Terms of Use

This article is made available under the terms and conditions applicable towards Open Access Policy Articles, as set forth in our [Terms of Use](#).

1       **Running head:** Herbivore impacts on tree health

2

3       **Title:** Chronic impacts of invasive herbivores on a foundational forest species: a whole-  
4 tree perspective

5

6       **Authors:**

7       Claire M. Wilson<sup>1\*</sup>, Robert N. Schaeffer<sup>2,5\*</sup>, Mauri L. Hickin<sup>1,3</sup>, Chad M. Rigsby<sup>1</sup>,  
8 Amanda F. Sommi<sup>2</sup>, Carol S. Thornber<sup>1,4</sup>, Colin M. Orians<sup>2</sup>, Evan L. Preisser<sup>1</sup>

9

10       **Author affiliations:**

11       <sup>1</sup>*Department of Biological Sciences, University of Rhode Island, Kingston RI 02881*

12       <sup>2</sup>*Department of Biological Sciences, Tufts University, Medford MA 02155*

13       <sup>3</sup>*USDA-APHIS, Buzzards Bay, MA 02542*

14       <sup>4</sup>*Department of Natural Resources Science, University of Rhode Island, Kingston RI*  
15 *02881*

16       <sup>5</sup>*Department of Entomology, Washington State University, Pullman, WA 99164, USA*

17

18

19       **Author for correspondence:**

20       Evan L. Preisser

21       9 East Alumni Ave.

22       Kingston, RI 02881

23       [preisser@uri.edu](mailto:preisser@uri.edu)

<sup>5</sup> *Current Address*

24           **Abstract**

25           Forests make up a large portion of terrestrial plant biomass, and the long-lived woody  
26 plants that dominate them possess an array of traits that deter consumption by forest pests.  
27 Although often extremely effective against native consumers, invasive species that avoid or  
28 overcome these defenses can wreak havoc on trees and surrounding ecosystems. This is  
29 especially true when multiple invasive species co-occur, since interactions between invasive  
30 herbivores may yield non-additive effects on the host. While the threat posed by invasive forest  
31 pests is well known, long-term field experiments are necessary to explore these consumer-host  
32 interactions at appropriate spatial and temporal scales. Moreover, it is important to measure  
33 multiple variables to get a 'whole-plant' picture of their combined impact. We report the results  
34 of a four-year field experiment addressing the individual and combined impacts of two invasive  
35 herbivores, the hemlock woolly adelgid (*Adelges tsugae*) and elongate hemlock scale (*Fiorinia*  
36 *externa*), on native eastern hemlock (*Tsuga canadensis*) in southern New England. In 2011, we  
37 planted 200 hemlock saplings into a temperate forest understory and experimentally manipulated  
38 the presence/absence of both herbivore species; in 2015, we harvested the 88 remaining saplings  
39 and assessed plant physiology, growth, and resource allocation. Adelgids strongly affected  
40 hemlock growth: infested saplings had lower above/belowground biomass ratios, more needle  
41 loss, and produced fewer new needles than control saplings. Hemlock scale did not alter plant  
42 biomass allocation or growth, and its co-occurrence did not alter the impact of adelgid. While  
43 both adelgid and scale impacted the concentrations of primary metabolites, adelgid effects were  
44 more pronounced. Adelgid feeding simultaneously increased free amino acids local to feeding  
45 sites and a ~30% reduction in starch. The cumulative impact of adelgid-induced needle loss,  
46 manipulation of nitrogen pools, and the loss of stored resources likely accelerates host decline

47 through disruption of homeostatic source-sink dynamics occurring at the whole-plant level. Our  
48 research stresses the importance of considering long-term impacts to predict how plants will  
49 cope with contemporary pressures experienced in disturbed forests.

50

51 *Keywords:* exotic species invasions, forest understory, herbivory, piercing-sucking  
52 insects, plant resource allocation, plant primary metabolism, *Tsuga canadensis*

53

#### 54 **Introduction**

55 Forests make up a large fraction of terrestrial plant biomass and provide a wide variety of  
56 ecologically- and economically-important ecosystem functions. The long-lived woody plants that  
57 dominate these systems possess a formidable array of both constitutive and inducible defenses  
58 against exploitation (Coley et al. 1985). While plant-consumer coevolution selects for defenses  
59 effective against native exploiters, it may not protect against newly-arrived consumers with  
60 novel feeding modes or attack strategies. In such situations, the mismatch in generation time  
61 between long-lived woody plants and their consumers may prove catastrophic: invasive species  
62 have driven multiple tree species to functional extinction (Boyd et al. 2013).

63 The threat posed by invasive species is particularly acute in temperate forests (Lovett et  
64 al. 2006, Gandhi and Herms 2010). These regions have relatively low family-level woody plant  
65 diversity and are dominated by a small number of tree species. In addition, global transportation  
66 networks linking formerly-disjunct temperate regions have sharply increased the potential for,  
67 and number of, species invasions (Lovett et al. 2006, Gandhi and Herms 2010). As a result,  
68 many temperate tree species are now forced to contend with multiple invasive species as well as  
69 their native consumers. The cumulative impact of multiple herbivores is rarely additive, with the

70 outcome often depending on the sequence of attack (Ali and Agrawal 2014) and the feeding  
71 guild of the insect (Zvereva et al. 2010). Such non-additive effects are particularly likely when  
72 early-arriving herbivores induce changes in the host plant (Fournier et al. 2006, Morris et al.  
73 2007, Pieterse and Dicke 2007, Stam et al. 2014) that alter the impact of later-arriving species  
74 (Wallin and Raffa 2001, Soler et al. 2012).

75         There are two key mechanisms by which herbivores impact plants. They can alter  
76 performance traits (growth, reproduction and survival) of the host and/or they can induce local  
77 and systemic changes in plant chemistry. Both mechanisms may affect the susceptibility,  
78 resistance or tolerance of plants to subsequent attack and can mediate subsequent interactions  
79 among herbivores (Denno et al. 1995, van Zandt and Agrawal 2004, Viswanathan et al. 2005).  
80 For example, reductions in foliar nutrients or changes in defensive chemistry following damage  
81 are well known to affect the suitability of hosts for late-arriving herbivores, with consequences  
82 for growth and survival (McClure 1980, Inbar et al. 1999, Soler et al. 2007). These changes may  
83 magnify the impact of one or both herbivores, leading to invasional meltdown (Simberloff and  
84 Von Holle 1999); alternately, they can decrease the cumulative impact and generate invasional  
85 interference (Yang et al. 2011, Rauschert and Shea 2012). Understanding what factors determine  
86 the outcome of herbivore interactions on a shared host is especially important for sap-feeders, a  
87 group whose impact on plant fitness can equal or exceed that of defoliators (Zvereva et al. 2010).  
88 Given these plant-wide effects, a 'whole-plant' analysis of herbivore-induced changes is required.

89         Work addressing forest pest invasions generally takes one of two approaches. Examining  
90 pests at the forest scale provides important data on long-term trends in plant health and pest  
91 densities, but the logistical constraints inherent in such large-scale and long-term research means  
92 that such work is rarely experimental (Preisser et al. 2008). This is important since studies

93 comparing naturally-infested and herbivore-free trees in order to assess herbivore impacts (e.g.,  
94 Domec et al. 2013) conflate cause and effect and cannot be used to quantify non-additive effects  
95 (Nykänen and Koricheva 2004). Conversely, efforts addressing the impact of pests on plant  
96 physiology or chemistry are often short-term (i.e., <1 year in duration) and examine a subset of  
97 plant traits. The latter type of study are also often conducted in relatively controlled settings  
98 (e.g., greenhouses or plantations) whose abiotic conditions may differ markedly from natural  
99 systems (e.g., Miller-Pierce et al. 2010). While great strides have been made using both  
100 approaches, understanding some aspects of forest invasions may require *in situ* field experiments  
101 that are conducted at system-appropriate temporal/spatial scales and measure a wide array of  
102 plant traits in order to produce a 'whole-organism' picture.

103         Regardless of approach, relatively little work on forest pests has addressed their impact  
104 on the ontogenetic stages necessary for stand regeneration and succession. Because seedlings and  
105 saplings can live for decades in the low-light forest understory, their responses to herbivory may  
106 not match those of mature trees (Boege and Marquis 2005, Barton and Koricheva 2010). For  
107 example, understory saplings that rely on early-spring carbon acquisition prior to canopy leaf-out  
108 (Hadley and Schedlbauer 2002, Polgar and Primack 2011) may be especially harmed by  
109 decreased photosynthesis following attack. Such impacts may influence resource allocation  
110 trade-offs and alter plant functional priorities concerning growth, resource acquisition and  
111 herbivore defense (Boege and Marquis 2005).

112         We aim to examine the complex ways in which multiple herbivores impact the  
113 physiology and growth of a long lived woody plant. In order to address this, we utilize a large-  
114 scale and long-term field experiment. This unique design examines the individual and combined  
115 impacts of two invasive herbivores, the hemlock woolly adelgid (*Adelges tsugae*) and elongate

116 hemlock scale (*Fiorina externa*), on the growth, physiology and chemistry of eastern hemlock  
117 (*Tsuga canadensis*, 'hemlock') understory saplings. The two pests co-occur in a portion of their  
118 ranges – especially in southern New England, New York, and Pennsylvania. This co-occurrence  
119 has become more pronounced over the past three decades as the ranges have shifted (see  
120 appendix S1, 'Natural History of the System', for additional details). In 2011, we planted several  
121 hundred hemlocks into a deciduous forest understory in southern New England (USA) and  
122 inoculated them individually, simultaneously, or sequentially with one, both, or neither herbivore  
123 over a four-year period. In 2015, we harvested the hemlocks and quantified multiple aspects of  
124 growth, metabolism, and resource allocation in both above- and below-ground tissue. Our  
125 'whole-tree' results reveal the disparate impact of these two herbivores and the complex ways in  
126 which herbivory alters woody plant growth and physiology.

127

128

## 129 **Materials and Methods**

130 In April 2011, 200 ~0.3 m tall hemlock saplings (Van Pines Nursery, West Olive, MI,  
131 USA) were planted into a hardwood (maple/oak dominated) forest at the Kingston Wildlife  
132 Research Station (Kingston, RI). The trees had not been treated with insecticide. Saplings were  
133 planted in a 10 x 20 grid ~1.25 m from each other; initial heights and basal diameters were  
134 recorded prior to planting. Each sapling was enclosed in a mesh-covered (Agribon-15, Johnny's  
135 Selected Seeds, Waterville, ME, USA; 90% light) wire cage to exclude deer browsing and  
136 prevent cross-treatment contamination. The mesh bags were removed between December and  
137 March, while both insects are immobile, to prevent snow from collapsing the cages.

138 Following planting, each tree was randomly assigned to an herbivore treatment (Table 1).



139 Inter-plant adelgid and scale dispersal is most likely to occur prior to spring leaf-out, when both  
140 sub-canopy wind velocities and crawler densities are high (McClure 1989). Each spring, we  
141 simulated yearly dispersal by inoculating each tree with foliage infested with the appropriate  
142 insect; herbivore-free trees were 'inoculated' with uninfested foliage. Herbivore-infested foliage  
143 was collected from singly-infested stands previously identified in surveys (Gómez et al. 2015).  
144 Inoculations were conducted using a standard protocol (Butin et al. 2007); because adelgid  
145 emerges earlier than EHS, inoculations were conducted in May and June, respectively.

146 Starting in 2011, trees in three treatments were annually inoculated with adelgid ('HWA')  
147 only, scale ('EHS') only, both, or neither (=uninfested foliage) insects for four years (HWA-4,  
148 EHS-4, and Both-4, respectively). Starting in 2013, some adelgid-only and some scale-only trees  
149 were thereafter annually inoculated with both insects, creating two 'priority effect' treatments  
150 (HWA→Both, EHS→Both). In 2013, we also began annual inoculations of previously-  
151 uninfested trees with adelgid-only, scale-only, or both insects for two years (HWA-2, EHS-2,  
152 Both-2). A subset of trees remained herbivore-free throughout (Control; Table 1).

153 Insect densities were assessed twice yearly, in early spring and late fall, throughout the  
154 experiment. Details regarding insect densities from 2011-2014 are presented elsewhere  
155 (Schaeffer et al. 2017). Because our focus is on cumulative treatment impacts, we report  
156 November 2014 insect densities solely as an indicator of whole-tree infestation levels. In fall  
157 2014, insect densities on newly-produced foliage were similar for adelgid ( $2.01 \pm 0.18$  [SE]  
158 insects  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) and scale ( $1.99 \pm 0.26$  insects  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) (Table 1). These infestation levels fall within  
159 those observed in the field and in prior studies where hemlock trees were experimentally  
160 inoculated (Miller-Pierce et al. 2010, Soltis et al. 2015). As in prior work, the densities of both  
161 adelgid and scale were higher in single-species treatments than when they co-occurred (150%

162 higher for adelgid and 50% higher for scale), suggesting plant-mediated interference competition  
163 between these two herbivores (Preisser and Elkinton 2008).

164         Between 2011-2015, we lost replicates to Hurricane Sandy, cross-treatment  
165 contamination, browsing by white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*), and isolated outbreaks of  
166 secondary pests (e.g., *Oligonychus ununguis* mites and *Nucalaspis* sp. scales). There were  
167 several trees in the single-herbivore treatments (i.e., treatments EHS-2, EHS-4, HWA-2, and  
168 HWA-4) whose low insect densities (<0.5 insects/cm; the bottom 15% of fall 2014 densities)  
169 may have obscured the impact of insect damage; we excluded these trees from the harvest. The  
170 88 remaining trees were intensively monitored in early spring prior to the May 2015 harvest.

171         *Spring monitoring and harvest.* In early April 2015, three branches per tree were selected  
172 and marked. Between 15-19 April (prior to bud break and crawler emergence), these branches  
173 were used to quantify herbivore abundance and photosynthetic rates. Because insects were  
174 located on older needles, we calculated insect densities per marked branch by dividing the  
175 number of insects by  $\geq 1$ -year needle biomass (insects  $\text{g}^{-1}$  DW). We chose this metric because (1)  
176 adelgid settles at the needle base while scale settles on the needles; and (2) similarly-sized  
177 branches could vary in needle density (C. Wilson, *personal observation*). As a result, expressing  
178 density on a per-gram basis provided a more ecologically-relevant density metric in this case.

179         Photosynthetic rates were measured between 0800 and 1200 using one-year-old (2014  
180 growth) foliage on the terminal end of each marked branch using a CIRAS-2 portable  
181 photosynthesis system (PP systems, Haverhill, MA, USA) with a  $2.5 \text{ cm}^2$  cuvette and a CIRAS-2  
182 LED light source of  $1,500 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , a  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration of 390 ppm, air flow rate at  $350 \text{ cm}^3$   
183  $\text{s}^{-1}$ , and leaf temperature of  $25^\circ\text{C}$ . After each measurement, the foliage was photographed and  
184 ImageJ 1.44 (Abramoff et al. 2004) used to quantify needle area.

185           The 88 experimental trees were harvested over a 14-day period in May 2015. The time  
186 and effort required for whole-tree excavation required us to split the trees into 22 four-tree  
187 harvest groups, with each treatment represented in at least every third group; 1-3 groups were  
188 harvested daily. Data on the timing of bud break is presented elsewhere, along with similar data  
189 from another multi-year experiment (Whitney et al in preparation); bud break data in this paper  
190 is used solely to calculate new flush production.

191           *Whole-plant biomass distribution.* Immediately prior to harvesting each tree, we recorded  
192 its height and trunk diameter five cm above the root ball. Each marked branch was then clipped  
193 at the base and placed on ice in a plastic bag. To ensure that we obtained a sufficient amount of  
194 plant material for chemical analyses, we collected an additional randomly-selected branch from  
195 each tree; all four branches were immediately transported to the laboratory for processing  
196 (detailed below). The trunk of each tree was then clipped five cm above the root ball and the  
197 aboveground portion dried for 24 hrs at 60° C. We sorted dry material into three classes (new  
198 flush,  $\geq$  1-yr needles, and wood). After the aboveground portion of each tree had been removed,  
199 its root ball was excavated, cleaned of all dirt and foreign objects, dried as above, and weighed.  
200 Belowground harvest and processing protocols are detailed elsewhere (Schaeffer et al. 2017).

201           *Chemical analyses.* In the laboratory, all insects on marked branches were removed using  
202 a dissecting scope to avoid damaging any hemlock tissue. Each branch was separated into five  
203 tissue types (new flush, 1-yr old needles, >1-yr old needles, 1-yr old stems, and >1-yr old stems)  
204 and weighed; the fresh mass of each tissue was converted to dry mass using tissue-type-specific  
205 conversion factors generated in a pilot experiment (Appendix S2: Table S1). Each type was kept  
206 separate for each tree, stored at -20°C before being dried at -55°C for 72 hrs in a lyophilizer, then  
207 ground into powder using a KLECO ball mill (Garcia Machines, Visalia, CA, USA).

208 Carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) content were determined by dry-combusting 2–3 mg of  
209 finely-ground material with a CHNOS analyzer (vario Micro cube, Elementar Americas, Mt.  
210 Laurel, NJ, USA). Starch was quantified using an EnzyChrom™ starch assay kit (BioAssay  
211 Systems, Hayward, CA, USA) as per manufacturer protocols. Briefly, 10 mg of root powder was  
212 boiled in one mL distilled water for five min, then centrifuged at 10,000 x g for two min; the  
213 supernatant containing soluble starch was set aside. The remaining pellet was reconstituted in 0.2  
214 mL dimethyl sulfoxide and boiled for five min to obtain recalcitrant starch. The supernatants  
215 were combined and tissue starch levels ( $\text{mg g}^{-1}$  DW) determined using the kit.

216 We quantified free tissue amino acid levels and relative composition of individual amino  
217 acids following the protocol of Gomez et al. (2012). For each needle tissue type, 0.2 g of sample  
218 material was extracted in one mL 80% ethanol (v:v) at room temperature for 20 min. Samples  
219 were vortexed periodically and then centrifuged at 10,000 x g for ten min at room temperature.  
220 The supernatant was filtered through a 0.45  $\mu\text{m}$  Acrodisk Syringe filter (Pall Gelman Laboratory,  
221 Ann Arbor, MI, USA), and the filtrate used for free amino acid determination using a  
222 commercial EZ: Faast™ kit (Phenomenex, Torrence, CA, USA) and GC-FID (Agilent  
223 Technologies, Waldbron, Germany) as per manufacturer protocols. Briefly, two  $\mu\text{L}$  of sample  
224 was injected (15:1 split) on a Zebron ZB-AAA column (0.25 mm x 10 m; Phenomenex) with the  
225 injector temperature set to 250°C. Helium was used as the carrier gas at a flow rate of 1.5 mL  
226  $\text{min}^{-1}$ . The initial oven temperature was set to 110°C, increased at a linear rate of 32°  $\text{min}^{-1}$  to  
227 320°C, and held at 320°C for three min. This kit identifies and quantifies 22 amino acids;  
228 individual amino acids were identified by comparing retention times to amino acid standard  
229 solutions (norvaline as internal standard) and quantified using ChemStation software (Rev.  
230 B.04.02; Agilent Technologies, Waldbron, Germany).

231 *Statistical analyses.* All analyses were performed using R v. 3.2.2 (RCoreTeam 2014).  
232 Welch's *t*-tests were used to compare insect densities. We fit linear mixed-effects models and  
233 used a backward-model-selection approach to examine how the individual and interactive effects  
234 of adelgid and scale on hemlock. Adelgid and scale were treated as fixed factors, each with three  
235 levels corresponding to the length of infestation (0, 2, or 4 years) and an interactive term  
236 (HWA\*EHS). Full and reduced models were ranked and compared based on Bayesian  
237 Information Criterion (BIC) values, a standard criterion for model selection. Details of each  
238 model, including the random effects used for each, are contained in Appendix S3. The *lme4*  
239 package was used to generate and compare models (Pinheiro et al. 2014). We used this approach  
240 to examine the individual and combined impact of adelgid and scale, as well as how herbivore-  
241 specific priority effects, affected the following: final height, final basal diameter, total biomass,  
242 aboveground biomass, belowground biomass, above-/belowground biomass ratio, needle/woody  
243 biomass ratio, new flush production, and photosynthesis.

244 Because tissue type and age can impact plant chemistry, we analyzed percent C, percent  
245 N, C:N ratio, total amino acids, and total starch using a modified approach. For stem and needle  
246 tissue, tissue age (1-year or >1-year) was included in the models. Because we did not have  
247 enough 1-year tissue to conduct a full suite of chemical analyses on it, our analyses of 1-year  
248 tissue are limited to percent C, percent N, and C:N ratio. We ran linear mixed-effects models  
249 with row and harvest date as random effects.

250 For amino acid analyses, 1-year and > 1-year needles were analyzed separately. To assess  
251 the effect of adelgid on amino acid levels, individual amino acids that were detected in <20% of  
252 all biological replicates and constituted <1% of the total amino acids ( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  DW) were removed  
253 from the datasets in order to prevent their over-influence in the analysis of profiles. The detection

254 of these amino acids followed no pattern with regards to treatment effects (logistic regressions;  $P$   
255  $> 0.05$ ). For 1-year needles, these were: alpha-aminobutyric acid (ABA; detected in 3%), beta-  
256 aminoisobutyric acid (BAiB; detected in 19%), ornithine (ORN; detected in 13%), and sarcosine  
257 (SAR; detected in 2%), and for  $> 1$ -year needles, these amino acids were: alpha-aminobutyric  
258 acid (ABA; detected in 9%), ornithine (ORN; detected in 5%), and sarcosine (SAR; detected in  
259 10%). For the remaining amino acids, tissue levels ( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  DW) were Hellinger-transformed to  
260 normalize data on a total  $\mu\text{g}$  amino acid basis; transformed values were used in profile analyses.

261 Treatment differences in amino acid profiles were visualized with NMDS using the  
262 ‘Bray-Curtis’ dissimilarity index and the ‘vegan’ package (Oksanen et al. 2013) in R. This index  
263 was chosen because it consistently gave the highest rank-order similarity of all possible  
264 dissimilarity indices available in the ‘vegan’ package that account for amino acid abundance, and  
265 fitted the NMDS model with the lowest stress statistic ( $< 0.2$  for all ordinations). The effect of  
266 adelgid, scale, and their interaction on needle amino acid profiles was assessed via  
267 PERMANOVA in the ‘vegan’ package with 10,000 permutations (Lieurance et al. 2015).

268 The effect of adelgid and scale on total amino acids was assessed via ANOVA followed  
269 by a post-hoc Tukey test. The influence of adelgid and scale infestation on individual amino  
270 acids was evaluated by first normalizing  $\mu\text{g}$  amino acid per mg total amino acids per g dry tissue  
271 mass ( $\mu\text{g mg}^{-1} \text{g}^{-1}$  DW), and then fitting an ANOVA model with adelgid infestation as the  
272 predictor; scale and the HWA\*EHS interaction were removed from all regressions because  
273 neither influenced amino acid levels. The Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate-controlling  
274 procedure (Benjamini and Hochberg 1995) was used to correct for multiple comparisons.

## 275 **Results**

276 *Growth and biomass allocation.* Adelgids altered hemlock growth (i.e., final

277 measurements with initial values, when significant, present as a covariate) and biomass  
 278 allocation; scales did not. There were no significant priority effects (i.e., prior colonization by  
 279 one species did not affect the impact of the later-arriving species), and the HWA\*EHS  
 280 interaction was never significant. Because of this, we report only the main insect effects in the  
 281 text (see Appendix S3 for full model outputs). Although neither insect affected total, above-, or  
 282 below-ground plant biomass, adelgid altered plant biomass allocation (Fig. 1; Appendix S3:  
 283 Tables S1 and S2). The above-/below-ground biomass ratio of adelgid-infested trees was 17%  
 284 lower than adelgid-free trees ( $F_{2,79}=6.62, P=0.01$ ; Fig. 1a) and the aboveground needle/woody  
 285 biomass ratio was 16% lower in adelgid-infested trees ( $F_{2,78}=4.53, P=0.01$ ; Fig. 1c). Adelgid-  
 286 infested trees were also 7% shorter than adelgid-free trees ( $F_{2,78}=3.67, P=0.03$ ), but did not  
 287 differ in final basal diameter (Appendix S3: Table S1).

288 *Early spring growth and photosynthesis.* New flush production (grams/day) prior to  
 289 harvest was ~30% lower for adelgid-infested versus adelgid-free trees ( $F_{2,77}=36.54, P<0.001$ ;  
 290 Fig. 2a), but was not reduced by scale ( $F_{2,77}=1.90, P=0.16$ ; Fig. 2b).

291 There were no significant treatment-level effects of adelgid or scale on photosynthetic  
 292 rates of 1-year-old foliage (Fig. 3a; Appendix S3: Table S3). Although there was no relationship  
 293 between adelgid density and photosynthetic rates (Appendix S3: Table S4), scale density was  
 294 negatively correlated with photosynthetic rates in trees infested with scale for two and four years  
 295 (Appendix S3: Table S5).

296 *Foliar chemistry.* While adelgid substantially altered multiple aspects of foliar chemistry,  
 297 scale had no significant impact. The N content of 1-year needles in adelgid-infested trees was  
 298 10% higher than in adelgid-free trees ( $F_{2,166} = 10.80, P < 0.001$ ; Fig. 3b; Appendix S3: Table  
 299 S7). Among trees infested with adelgid for two years, adelgid density was correlated with

300 percent N; this was not, however, the case among trees infested for four years with adelgid  
301 (Appendix S3: Table S4). New-flush needles on adelgid -infested trees also had higher N levels  
302 ( $F_{2,69} = 4.22$ ,  $P = 0.02$ ; Fig. 3b). Although starch concentration in 1-year needles was similar in  
303 adelgid-free trees and trees infested with adelgid for two years, the starch content of trees  
304 infested with adelgid for four was ~30% less than that of the other treatments (Fig. 3c). Scale  
305 feeding increased starch in 1-year needles ( $F_{2,155} = 3.95$ ,  $P = 0.02$ ; Appendix S3: Table S8),  
306 although total starch concentration was correlated with neither adelgid nor scale density  
307 (Appendix S3: Tables S4 and S5).

308 Adelgid infestation altered the amino acid profiles (PERMANOVA;  $P < 0.0001$ ) of both  
309 1- and >1-year needles (Fig. 4a, 1-year needles; Fig. 4b, >1-year needles), while scale did not  
310 (PERMANOVA;  $P > 0.80$  for both). Valine, proline, isoleucine, and tryptophan were the major  
311 drivers for both tissue types (Table 2a and 2b). Total free amino acid levels were greater in  
312 adelgid-infested foliage for both 1-year (ANOVA;  $F_{2,83} = 7.87$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ; Table 2a) and >1-year  
313 needles (ANOVA;  $F_{2,83} = 11.90$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ; Table 2b) vs. non-infested trees, a difference driven  
314 primarily by proline. Two- and four-year infested foliage were not, however, significantly  
315 different (post-hoc Tukey HSD).

## 316 Discussion

317 Exotic insects are an imposing force on native trees and their associated communities  
318 (Lovett et al. 2006). Despite this, and the fact that native hosts often face attack by multiple  
319 exotic herbivores (Gandhi and Herms 2010), *in situ* experimental evidence of the overall  
320 consequences of attack for susceptible native species remains rare (Preisser et al. 2008). Our  
321 multi-year manipulative study on woody plants growing in a natural setting provides a 'whole-  
322 plant' perspective on how multiple invasive herbivores affect the growth and chemistry of a



323 naïve native tree. We found that chronic herbivory by two invasive piercing-sucking herbivores  
324 had divergent impacts on the growth and chemistry of their shared host, a foundational tree  
325 species in the temperate forests of the eastern United States (Ellison et al. 2005).

326         While multiple years of adelgid herbivory altered patterns of biomass allocation and  
327 primary metabolism in understory hemlock saplings, scale had minimal impacts. Although  
328 adelgid densities were lower when they co-occurred with scale ( $t= 46.93$ ,  $P < 0.01$ ; Table 1),  
329 neither prior nor simultaneous inoculation of hemlock saplings with scale altered the impact of  
330 adelgid on these understory plants. In general, dually-infested trees showed changes in allocation  
331 and metabolites typical of adelgid-only treatments. One possible explanation for the subdued  
332 effect of the scale is the presence of native armored scale (*Abgrallaspis ithacae*) on eastern  
333 hemlock. No native adelgid species attacks eastern hemlock. Since the introduction of the  
334 elongate hemlock scale did not present an entirely novel challenge to the host, the host may  
335 already have some existing defenses.

336         *Plant growth and biomass distribution.* Several years of adelgid infestation on hemlock  
337 saplings lowered above-/belowground and needle/woody tissue ratios. This is consistent with  
338 previous work (Soltis et al. 2014, Soltis et al. 2015), and was likely driven by a combination of  
339 reduced new foliar growth and premature needle desiccation/ loss. Our findings contrast with  
340 research on other plant species that respond to aboveground herbivory by shifting resources  
341 away from herbivore feeding sites and into stem and root storage sites (Babst et al. 2005, Babst  
342 et al. 2008). Despite adelgid-infested and adelgid-free trees having similar per-needle  
343 photosynthetic rates, the reduced production of new foliage, likely in combination with the loss  
344 of old needles, clearly hampers resource uptake in a light-limited environment. In turn, this  
345 restriction affected the production and allocation of primary metabolites in stems and needles.

346           *Primary metabolites.* Adelgid impacts on hemlock health were further reflected through  
347 changes in primary metabolites. Herbivore-attacked plants often protect themselves via induced  
348 changes in primary and secondary metabolism (Stam et al. 2014, Zhou et al. 2015), although  
349 research to date has primarily addressed impacts of herbivory on secondary rather than primary  
350 metabolism (Zhou et al. 2015). Our results also confirm previous work (Gómez et al. 2012)  
351 showing that adelgids cause localized increases of N and the amino acid proline at their feeding  
352 sites. Proline accumulation is a common plant response to drought stress (Delauney and Verma  
353 1993); this and other adelgid-induced changes in hemlock physiology (Radville et al. 2011,  
354 Domec et al. 2013) suggest that adelgid likely induces drought-like stress in its native host plant  
355 (Gómez et al. 2012). For instance, paralleling increases in proline, adelgid-infested tissues had  
356 lower levels of the amino acids isoleucine and tryptophan. A similar pattern has been observed in  
357 *Arabidopsis* following aphid feeding. In *Arabidopsis* this pattern is associated with aphid-  
358 induced increases in the hormone abscisic acid (ABA; Hillwig et al. 2016): adelgid also induces  
359 ABA production following attack (Schaeffer et al. 2017). Although ABA induction is often  
360 associated with water stress (Lee and Luan 2012), its induction may benefit piercing-sucking  
361 insects via its antagonistic interactions with jasmonic acid (JA) signaling (Erb et al. 2009, Vos et  
362 al. 2013), a key pathway for anti-herbivore defense. We hypothesize that ABA induction  
363 following adelgid feeding aids its success through prevention of effective JA pathway signaling,  
364 which is known to deter HWA crawlers (Schaeffer et al. 2017)

365           Starch is another key primary metabolite which plays an essential role in plant tolerance  
366 to damage. Following herbivory, stored carbohydrates are frequently broken down and  
367 remobilized to compensate for tissue loss (Appel et al. 2014). The post-attack mobilization of  
368 these resources can benefit the host by fueling repair and regrowth (Trumble et al. 1993). Some

369 herbivores, particularly piercing-sucking insects, exploit hosts and stored resources via extra-oral  
370 digestion of stored carbohydrates like starch. This extra-oral digestion is achieved via  
371 deployment of salivary enzymes like  $\alpha$ -amylase to local feeding sites. Adelgid, a piercing-  
372 sucking herbivore, has been hypothesized to use a similar feeding strategy (Oten et al. 2014).  
373 Our findings support this hypothesis: adelgid feeding for four years led to a ~30% reduction in  
374 starch levels in 1-year needles (Fig. 3c). The loss of stored resources through feeding, combined  
375 with loss of source tissues, likely accelerates host decline through disruption of homeostatic  
376 source-sink dynamics occurring at the whole-plant level.

377 *Perspective on the impacts of multiple invasive herbivores across space and time.* Plant  
378 stresses, especially when experienced during early ontogenetic stages, strongly affect resource  
379 allocation trade-offs concerning growth, resistance, storage, and reproduction (Boege and  
380 Marquis 2005). Understanding such trade-offs requires studies conducted at the appropriate  
381 temporal and spatial scales. Despite the lack of interference between adelgid and scale on any  
382 metric of hemlock health in this experiment, our observation of suppressed adelgid densities  
383 when co-occurring with scale (Table 1; also see Schaeffer et al. 2017), combined with multiple  
384 years of landscape-level observations (Gómez et al. 2015), suggests that the impact of scale on  
385 adelgid may be density-dependent and will likely become more pronounced on the landscape  
386 over time. Prior work in this system has found that higher densities of scale can significantly  
387 reduce adelgid densities and benefit the native host (Preisser and Elkinton 2008). Moreover,  
388 while adelgid densities have generally declined in our region of study over time, scale densities  
389 have steadily increased, effectively making scale the most abundant hemlock herbivore  
390 throughout much of New England (Gomez et al. 2015, Schliep et al. 2018). As scale abundance  
391 continues to increase, we predict that interference competition between these two herbivores

392 could buffer future declines of this foundational forest species in southern New England – where  
393 the ranges of the two pests overlap most prominently. While this may facilitate hemlock  
394 recovery in the northern portion of the invaded range, the impact on hemlock decline in the mid-  
395 Atlantic portion of the United States requires further study. Less certain, however, is how the two  
396 pests will interact with the shifting range of their host (McAvoy et al. 2017, Rogers et al. 2017).

397 In conclusion, we found that two invasive herbivores from the same feeding guild have  
398 disparate effects on biomass allocation, growth, and primary metabolism of an early ontogenetic  
399 stage of a foundational forest species. Our research stresses the importance of considering long-  
400 term impacts for predicting woody plant responses to contemporary pressures experienced in  
401 disturbed forests, especially in the case of life-stages that will dictate their future prosperity.

#### 402 **Acknowledgements**

403 C. Wilson and R. Schaeffer contributed equally to this manuscript. We thank A. Barry, J.  
404 Bozzo, P. Bravo, P. Candelas, L. Green-Gavrielidis, G. Hanson, K. Pieper, E. Miller, E. Roberts,  
405 S. Roitman, C. Schellhas, E. Scott, S. Tostes, and M. Tynan for field and lab assistance. This  
406 project was funded by National Science Foundation grants NSF-DEB 1256826 to C. Orians and  
407 NSF-DEB 1256769 to E. Preisser and C. Thornber, and National Institute of Food and  
408 Agriculture grant no. 2011-67013-30142 to E. Preisser. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions  
409 or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily  
410 reflect the views of the funding agencies.

#### 411 **Literature Cited**

412 Abramoff, M., P. Magelhaes, and S. Ram. 2004. Image processing with ImageJ.

413 *Biophotonics International* **11**:36-42.

414 Ali, J. G., and A. A. Agrawal. 2014. Asymmetry of plant-mediated interactions between

- 415 specialist aphids and caterpillars on two milkweeds. *Functional Ecology* **28**:1404-1412.
- 416 Appel, H. M., H. Fescemyer, J. Ehlting, D. Weston, E. Rehrig, T. Joshi, D. Xu, J.  
417 Bohlmann, and J. Schultz. 2014. Transcriptional responses of *Arabidopsis thaliana* to chewing  
418 and sucking insect herbivores. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **5**:565.
- 419 Babst, B. A., R. A. Ferrieri, D. W. Gray, M. Lerda, D. J. Schlyer, M. Schueller, M. R.  
420 Thorpe, and C. M. Orians. 2005. Jasmonic acid induces rapid changes in carbon transport and  
421 partitioning in *Populus*. *New Phytologist* **167**:63-72.
- 422 Babst, B. A., R. A. Ferrieri, M. R. Thorpe, and C. M. Orians. 2008. *Lymantria dispar*  
423 herbivory induces rapid changes in carbon transport and partitioning in *Populus nigra*.  
424 *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata* **128**:117-125.
- 425 Barton, Kasey E., and J. Koricheva. 2010. The ontogeny of plant defense and herbivory:  
426 characterizing general patterns using meta-analysis. *The American Naturalist* **175**:481-493.
- 427 Benjamini, Y., and Y. Hochberg. 1995. Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical  
428 and powerful approach to multiple testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B*  
429 **57**:289-300.
- 430 Boege, K., and R. Marquis. 2005. Facing herbivory as you grow up: the ontogeny of  
431 resistance in plants. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **20**:441-448.
- 432 Boyd, I. L., P. H. Freer-Smith, C. A. Gilligan, and H. C. J. Godfray. 2013. The  
433 consequence of tree pests and diseases for ecosystem services. *Science* **342**:823-832.
- 434 Butin, E., E. Preisser, and J. Elkinton. 2007. Factors affecting settlement rate of the  
435 hemlock woolly adelgid, *Adelges tsugae*, on eastern hemlock, *Tsuga canadensis*. *Agricultural*  
436 *and Forest Entomology* **9**:215-219.
- 437 Coley, P., J. Bryant, and F. Chapin. 1985. Resource availability and plant antiherbivore

438 defense. *Science* **230**:895-899.

439           Delauney, A. J., and D. P. S. Verma. 1993. Proline biosynthesis and osmoregulation in  
440 plants. *Plant Journal* **4**:215-223.

441           Denno, R., M. McClure, and J. Ott. 1995. Interspecific interactions in phytophagous  
442 insects: competition reexamined and resurrected. *Annual Review of Entomology* **40**:297-331.

443           Domec, J.-C., L. N. Rivera, J. S. King, I. Peszlen, F. Hain, B. Smith, and J. Frampton.  
444 2013. Hemlock woolly adelgid (*Adelges tsugae*) infestation affects water and carbon relations of  
445 eastern hemlock (*Tsuga canadensis*) and Carolina hemlock (*Tsuga caroliniana*). *New*  
446 *Phytologist* **199**:452-463.

447           Ellison, A., M. Bank, B. Clinton, E. Colburn, K. Elliott, C. Ford, D. Foster, B. Kloeppel,  
448 J. Knoepp, G. Lovett, J. Mohan, D. Orwig, N. Rodenhouse, W. Sobczak, K. Stinson, J. Stone, C.  
449 Swan, J. Thompson, B. Von Holle, and J. Webster. 2005. Loss of foundation species:  
450 consequences for the structure and dynamics of forested ecosystems. *Frontiers in Ecology and*  
451 *the Environment* **3**:479-486.

452           Erb, M., V. Flors, D. Karlen, E. de Lange, C. Planchamp, M. D'Alessandro, T. C. J.  
453 Turlings, and J. Ton. 2009. Signal signature of aboveground-induced resistance upon  
454 belowground herbivory in maize. *Plant Journal* **59**:292-302.

455           Fournier, V., J. A. Rosenheim, J. Brodeur, J. M. Diez, and M. W. Johnson. 2006.  
456 Multiple plant exploiters on a shared host: Testing for nonadditive effects on plant performance.  
457 *Ecological Applications* **16**:2382-2398.

458           Gandhi, K., and D. Herms. 2010. Direct and indirect effects of alien insect herbivores on  
459 ecological processes and interactions in forests of eastern North America. *Biological Invasions*  
460 **12**:389-405.

461 Gomez, S., L. Gonda-King, C. M. Orians, D. A. Orwig, R. Panko, L. Radville, N. Soltis,  
462 C. S. Thornber, and E. L. Preisser. 2015. Interactions between invasive herbivores and their  
463 long-term impact on New England hemlock forests. *Biological Invasions* **17**:661-673.

464 Gómez, S., L. Gonda-King, C. M. Orians, D. A. Orwig, R. Panko, L. Radville, N. Soltis,  
465 C. S. Thornber, and E. L. Preisser. 2015. Interactions between invasive herbivores and their  
466 long-term impact on New England hemlock forests. *Biological Invasions* **17**:661-673.

467 Gómez, S., C. Orians, and E. Preisser. 2012. Exotic herbivores on a shared native host:  
468 tissue quality after individual, simultaneous, and sequential attack. *Oecologia* **169**:1015-1024.

469 Hadley, J. L., and J. L. Schedlbauer. 2002. Carbon exchange of an old-growth eastern  
470 hemlock (*Tsuga canadensis*) forest in central New England. *Tree Physiology* **22**:1079-1092.

471 Hillwig, M. S., M. Chiozza, C. L. Casteel, S. T. Lau, J. Hohenstein, E. Hernandez, G.  
472 Jander, and G. C. MacIntosh. 2016. Abscisic acid deficiency increases defence responses against  
473 *Myzus persicae* in *Arabidopsis*. *Molecular Plant Pathology* **17**:225-235.

474 Inbar, M., H. Doostdar, G. Leibe, and R. Mayer. 1999. The role of plant rapidly induced  
475 responses in asymmetric interspecific interactions among insect herbivores. *Journal of Chemical*  
476 *Ecology* **25**:1961-1979.

477 Lee, S. C., and S. Luan. 2012. ABA signal transduction at the crossroad of biotic and  
478 abiotic stress responses. *Plant Cell and Environment* **35**:53-60.

479 Lieurance, D., S. Chakraborty, S. R. Whitehead, J. R. Powell, P. Bonello, M. D. Bowers,  
480 and D. Cipollini. 2015. Comparative herbivory rates and secondary metabolite profiles in the  
481 leaves of native and non-native *Lonicera* species. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* **41**:1069-1079.

482 Lovett, G. M., C. D. Canham, M. A. Arthur, K. C. Weathers, and R. D. Fitzhugh. 2006.  
483 Forest ecosystem responses to exotic pests and pathogens in eastern North America. *Bioscience*

484 **56**:395-405.

485 McAvoy, T. J., J. Régnière, R. St-Amant, N. F. Schneeberger, and S. M. Salom. 2017.

486 Mortality and recovery of hemlock woolly adelgid (*Adelges tsugae*) in response to winter

487 temperatures and predictions for the future. *Forests* **8**: 497.

488 McClure, M. 1980. Competition between exotic species: scale insects on hemlock.

489 *Ecology* **61**:1391-1401.

490 McClure, M. 1989. Importance of weather to the distribution and abundance of

491 introduced adelgid and scale insects. *Agricultural & Forest Meteorology* **47**:291-302.

492 Miller-Pierce, M., D. Orwig, and E. Preisser. 2010. Effects of hemlock woolly adelgid

493 and elongate hemlock scale on eastern hemlock growth and foliar chemistry. *Environmental*

494 *Entomology* **39**:513-519.

495 Morris, W. F., R. A. Hufbauer, A. A. Agrawal, J. D. Bever, V. A. Borowicz, G. S.

496 Gilbert, J. L. Maron, C. E. Mitchell, I. M. Parker, A. G. Power, M. E. Torchin, and D. P.

497 Vazquez. 2007. Direct and interactive effects of enemies and mutualists on plant performance: A

498 meta-analysis. *Ecology* **88**:1021-1029.

499 Nykänen, H., and J. Koricheva. 2004. Damage-induced changes in woody plants and

500 their effects on insect herbivore performance: A meta-analysis. *Oikos* **104**:247-268.

501 Oksanen, J., F. Blanchet, R. Kindt, P. Legendre, P. Minchin, R. O'Hara, G. Simpson, P.

502 Solymos, M. Stevens, and H. Wagner. 2013. *vegan*: Community Ecology Package.

503 Oten, K. L. F., A. C. Cohen, and F. P. Hain. 2014. Stylet bundle morphology and

504 trophically-related enzymes of the hemlock woolly adelgid (Hemiptera: Adelgidae). *Annals of*

505 *the Entomological Society of America* **107**:680-690.

506 Pieterse, C. M. J., and M. Dicke. 2007. Plant interactions with microbes and insects: from



507 molecular mechanisms to ecology. *Trends in Plant Science* **12**:564-569.

508 Pinheiro, J., D. Bates, S. DebRoy, and D. Sarkar. 2014. R Core Team, 2014. nlme: Linear  
509 and nonlinear mixed-effects models. R package version 3.1-118.

510 <<http://cran.rproject.org/package=nlme>>.

511 Polgar, C. A., and R. B. Primack. 2011. Leaf-out phenology of temperate woody plants:  
512 from trees to ecosystems. *New Phytologist* **191**:926-941.

513 Preisser, E., and J. Elkinton. 2008. Exploitative competition between invasive herbivores  
514 benefits a native host plant. *Ecology* **89**:2671-2677.

515 Preisser, E., A. Lodge, D. Orwig, and J. Elkinton. 2008. Range expansion and population  
516 dynamics of co-occurring invasive herbivores. *Biological Invasions* **10**:201-213.

517 Radville, L., A. Chaves, and E. Preisser. 2011. Variation in plant defense against invasive  
518 herbivores: evidence for a hypersensitive response in eastern hemlocks (*Tsuga canadensis*).  
519 *Journal of Chemical Ecology* **37**:592-597.

520 Rauschert, E. S. J., and K. Shea. 2012. Invasional interference due to similar inter- and  
521 intraspecific competition between invaders may affect management. *Ecological Applications*  
522 **22**:1413-1420.

523 RCoreTeam. 2014. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R  
524 Foundation for Statistical Computing.

525 Rogers, B. M., P. Jantz, and S. J. Goetz. 2017. Vulnerability of eastern US tree species to  
526 climate change. *Global Change Biology* **23**:3302-3320.

527 Schaeffer, R. N., C. M. Wilson, L. Radville, M. Barrett, E. Whitney, S. Roitman, E. R.  
528 Miller, B. E. Wolfe, C. S. Thornber, C. M. Orians, and E. L. Preisser. 2017. Individual and non-  
529 additive effects of exotic sap-feeders on root functional and mycorrhizal traits of a shared conifer

- 530 host. *Functional Ecology* **31**:2024-2033.
- 531 Schliep, E. M., N. K. Lany, P. L. Zarnetske, R. N. Schaeffer, C. M. Orians, D. A. Orwig,  
532 and E. L. Preisser. 2018. Joint species distribution modelling for spatio-temporal occurrence and  
533 ordinal abundance data. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **27**:142-155.
- 534 Simberloff, D., and B. Von Holle. 1999. Positive interactions of nonindigenous species:  
535 invasional meltdown? *Biological Invasions* **1**:21-32.
- 536 Soler, R., F. R. Badenes-Perez, C. Broekgaarden, S. J. Zheng, A. David, W. Boland, and  
537 M. Dicke. 2012. Plant-mediated facilitation between a leaf-feeding and a phloem-feeding insect  
538 in a brassicaceous plant: From insect performance to gene transcription. *Functional Ecology*  
539 **26**:156-166.
- 540 Soler, R., T. M. Bezemer, A. M. Cortesero, W. H. Van der Putten, L. E. M. Vet, and J. A.  
541 Harvey. 2007. Impact of foliar herbivory on the development of a root-feeding insect and its  
542 parasitoid. *Oecologia* **152**:257-264.
- 543 Soltis, N. E., S. Gómez, L. Gonda-King, E. L. Preisser, and C. M. Orians. 2015.  
544 Contrasting effects of two exotic invasive hemipterans on whole-plant resource allocation in a  
545 declining conifer. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata* **157**:86-97.
- 546 Soltis, N. E., S. Gomez, G. G. Leisk, P. Sherwood, E. L. Preisser, P. Bonello, and C. M.  
547 Orians. 2014. Failure under stress: the effect of the exotic herbivore *Adelges tsugae* on  
548 biomechanics of *Tsuga canadensis*. *Annals of Botany* **113**:721-730.
- 549 Stam, J. M., A. Kroes, Y. H. Li, R. Gols, J. J. A. van Loon, E. H. Poelman, and M. Dicke.  
550 2014. Plant interactions with multiple insect herbivores: from community to genes. *Annual*  
551 *Review of Plant Biology* **65**:689-713.
- 552 Trumble, J., D. Kolodny-Hirsch, and I. Ting. 1993. Plant compensation for arthropod

- 553 herbivory. Annual Review of Entomology **38**:93-119.
- 554 van Zandt, P., and A. Agrawal. 2004. Community-wide impacts of herbivore-induced  
555 plant responses to milkweed (*Asclepias syriaca*). Ecology **85**:2616-2629.
- 556 Viswanathan, D., A. Narwani, and J. Thaler. 2005. Specificity in induced plant responses  
557 shapes patterns of herbivore occurrence on *Solanum dulcamara*. Ecology **86**:886-896.
- 558 Vos, I. A., A. Verhage, R. C. Schuurink, L. G. Watt, C. M. J. Pieterse, and S. C. M. Van  
559 Wees. 2013. Onset of herbivore-induced resistance in systemic tissue primed for jasmonate-  
560 dependent defenses is activated by abscisic acid. Frontiers in Plant Science **4**:539.
- 561 Wallin, K. F., and K. F. Raffa. 2001. Effects of folivory on subcortical plant defenses:  
562 Can defense theories predict interguild processes? Ecology **82**:1387-1400.
- 563 Yang, S. A., M. J. Ferrari, and K. Shea. 2011. Pollinator behavior mediates negative  
564 interactions between two congeneric invasive plant species. American Naturalist **177**:110-118.
- 565 Zhou, S. Q., Y. R. Lou, V. Tzin, and G. Jander. 2015. Alteration of plant primary  
566 metabolism in response to insect herbivory. Plant Physiology **169**:1488-1498.
- 567 Zvereva, E., V. Lanta, and M. Kozlov. 2010. Effects of sap-feeding insect herbivores on  
568 growth and reproduction of woody plants: a meta-analysis of experimental studies. Oecologia  
569 **163**:949-960.
- 570

571 **Table 1:** Treatments are arranged in a 3 x 3 full-factorial design, with years of infestation  
 572 by both hemlock woolly adelgid (HWA) and elongate hemlock scale (EHS) indicated. Numbers  
 573 in parentheses indicate the number of replicates for each treatment. Insect densities (mean  $\pm$  1 SE  
 574 insects/cm branch) were measured in November 2014.  
 575

### HWA presence

		HWA presence		
		<i>0 years of HWA</i>	<i>2 years of HWA</i>	<i>4 years of HWA</i>
<b>EHS presence</b>	<i>0 years of EHS</i>	(12) <b>Control</b> EHS = 0 HWA = 0	(10) <b>HWA-2</b> EHS = 0 HWA = $2.36 \pm 0.31$	(13) <b>HWA-4</b> EHS = 0 HWA = $1.74 \pm 0.20$
	<i>2 years of EHS</i>	(9) <b>EHS-2</b> EHS = $1.79 \pm 0.37$ HWA = 0	(7) <b>Both-2</b> EHS = $1.64 \pm 0.42$ HWA = $1.11 \pm 0.22$	(9) <b>HWA <math>\rightarrow</math> Both</b> EHS = $0.83 \pm 0.26$ HWA = $1.29 \pm 0.27$
	<i>4 years of EHS</i>	(9) <b>EHS-4</b> EHS = $2.19 \pm 0.37$ HWA = 0	(12) <b>EHS <math>\rightarrow</math> Both</b> EHS = $2.10 \pm 0.72$ HWA = $1.37 \pm 0.33$	(6) <b>Both-4</b> EHS = $1.11 \pm 0.18$ HWA = $1.21 \pm 0.24$

576

577 **Table 2:** A) Mean amino acids ( $\mu\text{g/g}$  dry tissue) from A) one-year needles and B) >1- year  
 578 needles, by treatment and ranked in order of significance.

579 A.

Amino Acid	<i>F</i> -value	Rank	B-H <i>P</i> -value	Amino acid concentrations ( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ DM $\pm$ SE)		
				0 years HWA	2 years HWA	4 years HWA
VAL	34.45	1	0.007	23.8 (1.3)	15.7 (1.7)	10.4 (1.1)
PRO	32.59	2	0.014	234.6 (22.3)	663.1 (71.1)	574.5 (48.5)
ILE	26.46	3	0.020	12.8 (0.8)	9.7 (2.2)	5.2 (0.7)
TRP	19.17	4	0.027	29.1 (1.7)	23.1 (1.5)	19.1 (1.3)
LYS	15.22	5	0.034	0.11 (0.011)	0.08(0.010)	0.05 (0.010)
THR	15.12	6	0.041	15.1 (1.0)	13.9 (0.8)	10.8 (1.0)
SER	13.48	7	0.048	202.1 (20.6)	207.9 (19.6)	143.4 (10.9)

580

581 B.

Amino Acid	<i>F</i> -value	Rank	B-H <i>P</i> -value	Amino acid concentrations ( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ DM $\pm$ SE)		
				0 years HWA	2 years HWA	4 years HWA
PRO	21.27	1	0.007	199.4 (18.8)	417.5 (33.7)	370.1 (32.6)
VAL	14.02	2	0.014	18.5 (1.8)	13.1 (1.7)	9.3 (1.0)
TRP	13.15	3	0.021	18.3 (0.9)	16.1 (0.4)	14.5 (0.8)
ILE	11.28	4	0.029	13.5 (3.3)	7.3 (0.9)	4.7 (0.6)
THR	11.11	5	0.036	10.8 (0.8)	10.3 (1.2)	7.3 (0.8)
SER	5.65	6	0.043	140.8 (14.8)	152.2 (17.1)	120.6 (12.6)
LEU	4.56	7	0.050	3.4 (0.6)	2.6 (0.5)	2.0 (0.3)

582

583

584

585 **Figure Legends**

586 **Figure 1.** Ratio of (A, B) above- to below-ground biomass and (C, D) needle to wood  
587 biomass in response to attack by hemlock woolly adelgid (HWA) (A, C) and elongate hemlock  
588 scale (EHS) (B, D) following zero, two, or four years of infestation. Bars represent means  $\pm$  1  
589 SE. Letters indicate a significant difference among groups based on a post-hoc Tukey HSD test.

590 **Figure 2.** Mean  $\pm$  1 SE rate of new foliage production (grams/day) in early spring  
591 following zero, two, and four years of infestation by (A) hemlock woolly adelgid (HWA) and (B)  
592 elongate hemlock scale (EHS). Letters indicate a significant difference among groups based on a  
593 post-hoc Tukey test.

594 **Figure 3.** Mean  $\pm$  1 SE of (A) photosynthetic rate, (B) percent nitrogen, (C) total starch  
595 concentration, and (D) total amino acids across different tissue types (new flush, 1-yr needles,  
596 >1-yr needles). Length of hemlock woolly adelgid (HWA) infestation spans zero years (light  
597 orange), two years (medium orange), and four years (red). Letters indicate a significant  
598 difference among groups based on post-hoc Tukey tests, while n.d. indicates a lack of data for  
599 that tissue class and/or trait measurement.

600 **Figure 4.** Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) plots of amino acid profiles for  
601 A) 1-year and B) >1-year needles following zero (yellow), two (orange), and four (red) years of  
602 hemlock woolly adelgid (HWA) infestation. Symbols denote mean values; lines through symbols  
603 denote standard errors.

604