2015

Characterizing Solid Electrolyte Interphase on Sn Anode in Lithium Ion Battery

Daniel M. Seo
University of Rhode Island

Cao Cuong Nguyen
University of Rhode Island

Benjamin T. Young
University of Rhode Island

David R. Heskett
University of Rhode Island, dheskett@uri.edu

Joseph C. Woicik

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/chm_facpubs

Creative Commons License

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License](#).

Citation/Publisher Attribution

Seo, D. M., Nguyen, C. C., Young, B. T., Heskett, D. R., Woicik, J. C., & Lucht, B. L. (2015). Characterizing Solid Electrolyte Interphase on Sn Anode in Lithium Ion Battery. *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 162(13), A7091-7095. doi: 10.1149/2.0121513jes
Available at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1149/2.0121513jes>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Chemistry at DigitalCommons@URI. It has been accepted for inclusion in Chemistry Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact digitalcommons-group@uri.edu.

Authors

Daniel M. Seo, Cao Cuong Nguyen, Benjamin T. Young, David R. Heskett, Joseph C. Woicik, and Brett L. Lucht

Characterizing Solid Electrolyte Interphase on Sn Anode in Lithium Ion Battery

Daniel M. Seo,^a Cao Cuong Nguyen,^{a,*} Benjamin T. Young,^b David R. Heskett,^b Joseph C. Woicik,^c and Brett L. Lucht^{a,**,z}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of Rhode Island, Kingston, Rhode Island 02881, USA

^bDepartment of Physics, University of Rhode Island, Kingston, Rhode Island 02881, USA

^cNational Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899, USA

Tin (Sn) nanoparticle electrodes have been prepared and battery cycling performance has been investigated with 1.2 M LiPF₆ in ethylene carbonate (EC) / diethyl carbonate (DEC) electrolyte (1:1, w/w) with and without added vinylene carbonate (VC) or fluoroethylene carbonate (FEC). Incorporation of either VC or FEC improves the capacity retention of Sn nanoparticle electrodes although incorporation of VC also results in a significant increase in cell impedance. The best electrochemical performance was observed with electrolyte containing 10% of added FEC. In order to develop a better understanding of the role of the electrolyte in capacity retention and solid electrolyte interface (SEI) structure, ex-situ surface analysis has been performed on cycled electrodes with infrared (IR) spectroscopy, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), and Hard XPS (HAXPES). The ex-situ analysis reveals a correlation between electrochemical performance, electrolyte composition, and SEI structure.

© The Author(s) 2015. Published by ECS. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License (CC BY, <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted reuse of the work in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. [DOI: 10.1149/2.0121513jes] All rights reserved.

Manuscript submitted June 19, 2015; revised manuscript received July 28, 2015. Published August 26, 2015. *This paper is part of the JES Focus Issue on Electrochemical Interfaces in Energy Storage Systems.*

Graphite has been widely used as an anode material in lithium ion batteries. However, there is significant interest in increasing the energy density of lithium ion batteries for electric vehicle applications.¹ One method of current interest for increasing the energy density of lithium ion batteries includes the use of high capacity metal alloy anode materials, such as silicon (Si) and tin (Sn).^{2–6} Sn has almost three times more capacity (944 mAh/g) than graphite (372 mAh/g). However, a major challenge for the use Sn as an anode material is the large volume expansion/contraction during lithium insertion and extraction. The large surface area changes result in damage to the anode solid electrolyte interface (SEI) and continuous decomposition of the electrolyte. There have been many investigations of novel fabrication methods for Sn-based anodes to mitigate the problems with the SEI due to the volume changes.^{2,7–9} However, few investigations have focused on developing a better understanding of SEI formation on Sn anodes.^{10–12} In order to develop Sn anodes for lithium ion batteries, a better understanding of the structure and function of the SEI on Sn is required.

In this investigation, Sn nanoparticle electrodes were prepared and tested with different electrolytes. A standard electrolyte composed of 1.2 M LiPF₆ in EC/DEC with and without 5 or 10% of the SEI film forming additives FEC or VC has been investigated for optimization of an electrolyte formulation for Sn electrodes.¹³ The cells have been analyzed via electrochemical cycling and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy. In order to develop a better understanding of the role of the electrolyte in SEI formation and stability, the Sn nanoparticle electrodes were extracted from cells and ex-situ surface analysis with infrared with attenuated total reflectance (IR-ATR), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and Hard XPS (HAXPES) was conducted. While IR-ATR and XPS are frequently utilized analytical techniques for the investigation of the SEI,¹⁴ HAXPES has been less utilized due to the need for synchrotron radiation.^{15,16}

HAXPES can be used to develop a better understanding of the role of different solvents in SEI structure and composition. The high photon energies available at synchrotrons (compared with lab sources) significantly increase the probing depth of core level photoemission. As the kinetic energy of the outgoing photoelectrons increases, the

mean free path increases as well. Therefore with the HAXPES technique the elemental composition of thin films such as SEI layers can be probed to greater depths than are available with conventional XPS systems.

The cells with the best electrochemical performance contain electrolyte with 10% FEC. The ex-situ analysis of the SEI suggests that the improved performance is due to an increased stabilization of the SEI by a combination of polymer and inorganic lithium salts.

Experimental

Sn nanoparticles (60–80 nm, 99.99%) were obtained from a commercial supplier. Sn nanoparticles were mixed with super C, poly(acrylic acid) (PAA, 450,000 MW) and sodium carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC, 700,000 MW) in distilled water with a weight ratio of 70 : 15 : 7.5 : 7.5. The well-mixed slurry was coated on a copper foil and dried in the air at room temperature for 1 hour and then dried under vacuum overnight. Electrodes were punched into 12.7 mm diameter disks and dried at 150 °C for 24 hours in a vacuum oven. The total mass of active material (Sn) is ~0.8 mg/cm².

Battery grade ethylene carbonate (EC), diethyl carbonate (DEC), fluoroethylene carbonate (FEC), vinylene carbonate (VC) and lithium hexafluorophosphate (LiPF₆) were used as received. The electrolyte formulations, combinations of salt, solvent, and additives, were prepared in an Ar-filled glove box. The concentrations of additives are presented as weight percentages. 2032 coin cells were prepared in an Ar filled glove box for electrochemical performance evaluation and ex-situ surface analyses of the electrodes. These cells consist of a composite Sn anode as the working electrode, lithium metal disk as the counter/reference electrode, one polyolefin and one GF/D glass fiber as separators, and 100 µl of electrolyte. Cells were cycled between 0.05 and 1.50 V at a rate of C/20 for first 3 cycles and C/3 for additional 50 cycles at 25 °C using commercial battery cyclers. All cells were built in triplicate. Representative data is presented. After the 50th de-lithiation, cells were rested for 16 hrs and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was recorded using a potentiostat with an amplitude of 10 mV and frequency range of 300 kHz–20 mHz.

The electrodes were collected after cycling and rinsed with DMC three times to remove residual electrolyte. The infrared spectra with attenuated total reflectance (IR-ATR) were collected with a

*Electrochemical Society Student Member.

**Electrochemical Society Active Member.

^zE-mail: blucht@chm.uri.edu

commercial instrument equipped with LaDTG detector in a N₂ glove box. Spectra were acquired with 512 scans and the spectral resolution was 4 cm⁻¹. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed using a commercial spectrometer with an Al K_α X-ray source and spot size of 400 μm. Flood gun was turned on to compensate charging effect during measurement. Samples were transferred from the glove box to XPS analysis chamber using a special designed vacuum-sealed-transfer unit without exposure to the air at any time. The binding energy was corrected using the C 1s peak for hydrocarbon at 285 eV.

The HAXPES measurements were carried out at the NIST beamline X24A at the National Synchrotron Light Source at Brookhaven National Laboratory. The beamline contains a double Si(111) crystal monochromator with a photon energy range of ~2.1–6.0 keV. The electron energy analyzer is a hemispherical analyzer, which was operated in transmission mode at a pass energy of 500 eV, yielding an overall energy resolution of 0.45 eV at 2200 eV and 0.55 eV at 5000 eV. Photoemitted 2p electrons from an Ag foil were used to calibrate the photon energy. The samples were positioned near grazing incidence with respect to the photon beam and near normal to the analyzer axis.

The electrodes were rinsed in an argon glove box, attached to a sample holder and sealed in Ar for transportation to Brookhaven National Lab. The sample bar containing all samples was then installed in the experimental chamber through an N₂ glove bag. The HAXPES measurements consist of a series of core levels at incident photon energies of 2200 and 5000 eV. The binding energy for all spectra were also corrected using the C 1s peak for hydrocarbon at 285 eV. All spectra for each single core level and photon energy were also normalized to the background and offset for display and comparison purposes.

Results and Discussion

Electrochemical behavior.— The electrochemical cycling performance of Sn nanoparticle/Li coin cells with different electrolytes is presented in Figure 1. With the standard electrolyte (1.2 M LiPF₆ in EC/DEC, 1:1, w:w) a significant decrease in capacity is observed between cycles 5 and 20. Only 25% of the initial capacity was retained after the first 20 cycles. Related poor coulombic efficiency is observed during the first 20 cycles. The low efficiency probably is due to severe electrolyte decomposition and electrode structure disintegration. Incorporation of 5 or 10% of the anode SEI film forming additive, FEC, results in a significant improvement in both capacity retention and coulombic efficiency. The first cycle efficiencies for cells cycled with added FEC or VC are slightly lower than the standard electrolyte due to the reduction of FEC or VC to form a protective SEI on the tin electrodes. Cells containing 5% of VC had better performance than the standard electrolyte but poorer performance than the electrolyte with added FEC, while cells containing 10% VC had very poor capacity retention.

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy.— Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy has been conducted on Sn nanoparticle/Li cells at the end of de-lithiation after 50 cycles with different electrolytes (Figure 2). The electrochemical impedance is very similar for the cells cycled with the standard electrolyte and electrolyte containing both 5 and 10% FEC. The cells containing electrolyte with 5% added VC have significantly higher impedance than the cells with standard electrolyte, consistent with the observation on graphite and silicon nanoparticle anodes.^{13,17} Thus, while incorporation of 5% VC improves capacity retention, it also results in much greater cell resistance and therefore FEC is the preferable additive for Sn nanoparticle electrodes.

IR-ATR spectroscopy.— IR-ATR spectra have been collected for the fresh Sn nanoparticle electrode and Sn nanoparticle electrodes after the 50th cycle with different electrolytes (Fig. 3). The IR spectrum of the fresh Sn nanoparticle electrode is dominated by the carboxylate

Figure 1. Plot of de-lithiation capacity (top, mAh/g of Sn) and coulombic efficiency (bottom) vs. cycle number of Sn anode with different electrolytes.

Figure 2. Electrochemical impedance spectra after 50th cycle in different electrolytes.

Figure 3. IR-ATR spectra of Sn anodes after 50th cycle in different electrolytes.

absorption of the binder at 1560 cm^{-1} . Upon cycling with the standard electrolyte significant changes are observed by IR spectroscopy consistent with the generation of a thick SEI on the surface of the

Sn nanoparticle electrodes. The IR spectrum of the Sn electrode cycled with the standard electrolyte has two primary components, absorptions are observed at 1650, 1315, 1050 and 823 cm^{-1} consistent with the presence of lithium alkyl carbonates (ROCO_2Li)^{18–20} and at 1485 and 1416 cm^{-1} consistent with the presence of lithium carbonate (Li_2CO_3).^{11,17} The electrodes cycled with electrolyte containing added VC or FEC also contain absorptions characteristic of ROCO_2Li and Li_2CO_3 although the ratio of ROCO_2Li to Li_2CO_3 varies as a function of additive. In addition, a significant new absorption is observed at 1805 cm^{-1} . The new absorption is characteristic of poly(VC)^{17,21} or poly(FEC),^{13,20,22} respectively. The intensity of the peak at 1805 cm^{-1} is greatest for the electrode cycled with 5% VC which is consistent with a thick layer of poly(VC) on the surface of the Sn nanoparticle electrode and correlates with the high observed impedance as discussed above. For the Sn electrode cycled in electrolyte containing FEC, the poly(FEC) peak increases with increasing concentration of FEC as expected. In addition, the intensity of the absorptions at 1485 and 1416 cm^{-1} increase with increasing concentration of FEC suggesting that the reduction of FEC generates additional Li_2CO_3 . This agrees with previous investigations which suggest that the primary reduction products of EC and DEC are lithium alkyl carbonates, and reduction of VC and FEC generate poly(VC) and poly(FEC), respectively, along with Li_2CO_3 .^{17,19–23}

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS).— High resolution XPS spectra are presented in Figure 4 for Sn nanoparticle electrodes cycled with standard electrolyte and electrolyte containing 10% FEC after different numbers of cycles (Figure 4). The C 1s spectrum of the fresh Sn electrode, has a peak at 284 eV for the conductive carbon and peaks at 286 and 288 eV for C-O and C=O, respectively, from the PAA and

Figure 4. XPS spectra of Sn anode in different number of cycle with standard (top) and 10% FEC containing electrolyte (bottom).

Table I. Elemental concentration on surface of fresh and cycled Sn anode with standard and 10% FEC containing electrolyte obtained from commercial XPS (1487 eV).

std	fresh	1 st	3 rd	10 th	20 th	50 th
C	50	42	41	36	42	39
O	44	48	55	59	43	42
F	-	10	3	4	14	18
P	-	0	1	1	1	1
Sn	6	0	0	0	0	0
10% FEC	fresh	1 st	3 rd	10 th	20 th	50 th
C	50	38	46	41	42	47
O	44	33	46	53	38	37
F	-	28	7	5	19	15
P	-	1	1	1	1	1
Sn	6	0	0	0	0	0

CMC binders. The O1s spectrum contains a single broad peak at 533 eV from SnO_x and the C-O and C=O species in the CMC and PAA binders.¹⁵ After one cycle with both electrolytes, the C 1s and O 1s spectra are changed due to the formation of an SEI. The electrodes extracted from the cells after the first cycle with the standard electrolyte contain new peaks at 290 eV for C1s and at 532 eV for O1s characteristic of the -CO₃ in lithium alkyl carbonates and Li₂CO₃ from the reduction of the carbonate solvents.^{19,20,14} Only small changes are observed to the C 1s and O 1s spectra with continued cycling. A new peak is also observed in the F 1s spectrum at 685 eV characteristic of LiF from the decomposition of LiPF₆. Interestingly, the concentration of F is high after the first cycle, then decreases for cycles 3–10, followed by an increase upon additional cycling. The changes in elemental concentrations of the SEI suggest that the SEI continues to evolve during the first 10 cycles while significant capacity fade is observed (Table I).

The XPS spectra of the electrodes extracted from cells cycled with electrolyte containing 10% FEC are similar to those with the standard electrolyte. The C1s and O1s spectra contain new peaks characteristic of lithium alkyl carbonates and lithium carbonate. Surprisingly, the high energy shoulder typically observed for poly(FEC) at ~291.5 eV is not present. The lack of an observed peak for poly(FEC) by XPS and the observation of a weak absorption by IR spectroscopy may be due to high intensity of the C=O absorption in IR spectroscopy. The F 1s spectra are dominated by LiF at 685 eV, and the concentration of F is higher than observed for electrodes cycled with the standard electrolyte. As observed with the standard electrolyte, only small changes occur to the C 1s and O 1s spectra with increased cycling while the F concentration is lower for cycles 3–10 and then becomes greater when the capacity retention stabilizes during cycles 20–50. The presence of higher concentrations of F for cells cycled with electrolytes containing FEC is likely due to the reduction of FEC generating additional LiF in the SEI on the surface of the Sn electrode.^{22–26} The SEI for cells cycled with electrolyte containing FEC has a similar evolution to the SEI for the cells cycled with the standard electrolyte, although the evolution results in significantly less capacity fade and related electrolyte decomposition (Table I).²⁷

Hard XPS (HAXPES).— The C 1s core level HAXPES spectra of the cycled tin anode taken with photon energies of 1487 eV (lab XPS), 2200 eV, and 5000 eV are provided in Figure 5. The higher photon energies results in a greater depth of penetration and can provide depth dependent information of the composition of the SEI. The XPS spectra for both the standard electrolyte and the electrolyte with 10% FEC are similar for all photon energies. The spectra are dominated by -CO₃, C-O, and C-H peaks characteristic of lithium alkyl carbonates, Li₂CO₃ and the universal carbon contamination. The similarity of the spectra at all photon energies suggests that the composition of the organic components of SEI is homogeneous as a function of depth. This is similar to what has been reported for the initial SEI generated

Figure 5. Hard X-Ray Photoelectron Spectra of Sn nanoparticle electrodes cycled with standard electrolyte (top) and electrolyte with 10% of FEC (bottom).

on graphite,²⁰ but different than that observed on Si where there is an inner and outer SEI which differ in composition.^{15,16} There are no Sn 3d peaks observed (not shown) for cycled electrodes at all photon energies and for both electrolytes suggesting the SEI is sufficiently thick to prevent the observation of low energy generated electrons from the underlying Sn surface.

Conclusions

The cycling performance of Sn nanoparticle electrodes in the presence of electrolytes with and without added VC or FEC has been conducted. Cells containing either 5 or 10% FEC have the best capacity retention and lowest electrochemical impedance. Ex-situ surface analysis of the Sn nanoparticle electrodes after cycling with different electrolytes reveals that modification of the electrolyte formulation changes the anode SEI. A schematic depiction of the SEI and tin nanoparticles is provided in Figure 6. Cells cycled with all electrolytes investigated contain lithium alkyl carbonates, Li₂CO₃, and LiF in the SEI. However, incorporation of VC results in an SEI which also has a high concentration of poly(VC) and the cell has high impedance. The SEI generated on the Sn nanoparticle electrode cycled with electrolyte containing FEC, contains low concentrations of poly(FEC), but higher concentrations of Li₂CO₃ and LiF than is observed for the standard electrolyte. The combination of a low concentration of polymer and high concentrations of inorganic lithium salts, LiF and Li₂CO₃, is likely responsible for the improved capacity retention, efficiency, and low impedance for cells cycled with electrolytes containing added FEC.

Figure 6. Schematic depiction of SEI on tin nanoparticles with different electrolytes.

Acknowledgment

The authors gratefully acknowledge funding from Department of Energy Office of Basic Energy Sciences EPSCoR Implementation award (DE-SC0007074). Use of the National Synchrotron Light Source, Brookhaven National Laboratory, was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, under Contract No. DE-AC02-98CH10886.

References

1. J. L. Tirado, *Mater. Sci. Eng. R Rep.*, **40**, 103 (2003).
2. M. Winter and J. O. Besenhard, *Electrochimica Acta*, **45**, 31 (1999).
3. S.-C. Chao, Y.-C. Yen, Y.-F. Song, Y.-M. Chen, H.-C. Wu, and N.-L. Wu, *Electrochem. Commun.*, **12**, 234 (2010).
4. E. Capacitors, M. Winter, and R. J. Brodd, *Chem. Rev.*, **104**, 4245 (2004).
5. R. A. Huggins, *J. Power Sources*, **81**, 13 (1999).
6. C. J. Wen and R. A. Huggins, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **128**, 1181 (1981).
7. W.-J. Zhang, *J. Power Sources*, **196**, 13 (2011).
8. M. Wachtler, M. R. Wagner, M. Schmied, M. Winter, and J. O. Besenhard, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, **510**, 12 (2001).
9. Z. Chen, L. Christensen, and J. R. Dahn, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **150**, A1073 (2003).
10. S. Park, J. Heon Ryu, S. M. Oh, and J. H. Ryu, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **158**, A498 (2011).
11. S.-W. Song and S.-W. Baek, *Electrochimica Acta*, **54**, 1312 (2009).
12. R. Qiao, I. T. Lucas, A. Karim, J. Syzdek, X. Liu, W. Chen, K. Persson, R. Kostecki, and W. Yang, *Adv. Mater. Interfaces*, **1**, 1300115 (2014).
13. C. C. Nguyen and B. L. Lucht, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **161**, A1933 (2014).
14. P. Verma, P. Maire, and P. Novák, *Electrochimica Acta*, **55**, 6332 (2010).
15. B. Philippe, R. Dedryvère, J. Allouche, F. Lindgren, M. Gorgoi, H. Rensmo, D. Gonbeau, and K. Edström, *Chem. Mater.*, **24**, 1107 (2012).
16. B. Philippe, R. Dedryvère, M. Gorgoi, H. kan Rensmo, D. Gonbeau, K. Edström, and K. Edstro, *Chem. Mater.*, **25**, 394 (2013).
17. D. Aurbach, K. Gamolsky, B. Markovsky, Y. Gofer, M. Schmidt, and U. Heider, *Electrochimica Acta*, **47**, 1423 (2002).
18. K. Xu, G. V. Zhuang, J. L. Allen, U. Lee, S. S. Zhang, P. N. Ross, and T. R. Jow, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, **110**, 7708 (2006).
19. D. M. Seo, D. Chalasani, B. S. Parimalam, R. Kadam, M. Nie, and B. L. Lucht, *ECS Electrochem. Lett.*, **3**, A91 (2014).
20. M. Nie, D. P. Abraham, D. M. Seo, Y. Chen, A. Bose, B. L. Lucht, and D. Chalasani, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **117**, 13403 (2013).
21. H. Ota, Y. Sakata, A. Inoue, and S. Yamaguchi, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **151**, A1659 (2004).
22. V. Etacheri, O. Haik, Y. Goffer, G. A. Roberts, I. C. Stefan, R. Fasching, and D. Aurbach, *Langmuir*, **28**, 965 (2011).
23. H. Nakai, T. Kubota, A. Kita, and A. Kawashima, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **158**, A798 (2011).
24. K. Leung, S. B. Rempe, M. E. Foster, Y. Ma, J. M. M. del la Hoz, N. Sai, and P. B. Balbuena, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **161**, A213 (2014).
25. R. Elazari, G. Salitra, G. Gershtinsky, A. Garsuch, A. Panchenko, and D. Aurbach, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **159**, A1440 (2012).
26. I. A. Profatlova, C. Stock, A. Schmitz, S. Passerini, and M. Winter, *J. Power Sources*, **222**, 140 (2013).
27. S. P. V. Nadimpalli, V. A. Sethuraman, S. Dalavi, B. Lucht, M. J. Chon, V. B. Shenoy, and P. R. Guduru, *J. Power Sources*, **215**, 145 (2012).