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In an in vitro pharmacodynamic model, linezolid attenuated the activity of aztreonam and ceftazidime against *Escherichia coli*. Conversely, synergy was detected at 24 and 48 h when daptomycin or vancomycin was added to aztreonam and ceftazidime. We conclude that significant yet underappreciated interactions may occur between gram-positive-spectrum and gram-negative-spectrum antibacterials.

In 2007, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration issued a safety alert regarding the use of linezolid for presumed catheter-related bloodstream infections, noting higher mortality rates in patients treated with linezolid who were infected with gram-negative organisms during phase 3 trials (26; K. J. Tack, M. H. Wilcox, E. Bouza, D. H. Herr, M. M. Ijzerman, R. V. Cross-Dabrera, and C. Knirsch, presented at the 47th Annual Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy [ICAAC], Chicago, IL, 2007). Linezolid demonstrates minimal activity against gram-negative bacteria, so an explanation for worse outcomes in patients receiving linezolid is not obvious (22). However, there are cases of documented antagonism between beta-lactam agents and chloramphenicol, a drug similar to linezolid, by mechanisms of action (binding to domain V of the 50S subunit of rRNA) and resistance mechanisms (1, 12, 17, 19, 27, 28). Therefore, it is practical to hypothesize that beta-lactam agents may be antagonized in the presence of linezolid or other protein synthesis inhibitors. The aim of this study was to evaluate the in vitro activities of aztreonam and ceftazidime in the presence or absence of linezolid, daptomycin, and vancomycin against two *Escherichia coli* strains.

(This work was presented in part at the 48th Annual Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy [ICAAC], Washington, DC, 24 to 28 October 2008 [15].)

*Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922 and a clinical sputum isolate (L1035) were tested (13). Stock solutions of linezolid (lot no. 08E14Z99 [purchased commercially]), aztreonam (lot no. 12K1448), ceftazidime (lot no. 117K1286), vancomycin (lot no. 048K1457; Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO), and daptomycin (lot no. CDCX01; Cubist Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Lexington, MA) were freshly prepared and kept frozen at −20°C. All in vitro experiments were performed with cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton broth (Difco Laboratories, Sparks, MD), except for daptomycin, where the calcium concentration was adjusted to 50 mg/liter. MICs were determined by the Etest methodology and broth microdilution according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (6, 7).

*E. coli* was tested against linezolid, aztreonam, and ceftazidime alone and in combination by using in vitro modeling, time kill analyses, and two-dimensional or checkerboard screening (mean fractional inhibitory concentration [FIC] index [ΣFIC]) (5, 9).

Drug concentrations for time kill analyses were equal to one (0.19 mg/ml for aztreonam and 0.19 mg/ml for ceftazidime) and two (0.38 mg/ml for aztreonam and 0.38 mg/ml for ceftazidime) times the MIC of each drug. Linezolid (16 μg/ml) was used to simulate clinically achievable peak serum concentrations in patients administered 600 mg every 12 h (q12h) (10).

Additionally, a previously described one-compartment in vitro pharmacodynamic model (IVPM) provided continuous exposure of bacteria to changing concentrations of antibiotics (3, 29). All model simulations were conducted over 48 h and were performed at minimum in triplicate. Calculated free-drug concentrations were simulated from the following total pharmacokinetic concentrations: for aztreonam, 1 g q8h (half-life [t1/2], 2 h; maximum concentration of drug in serum [Cmax], 75 μg/ml; protein binding, 55%; free maximum concentration ([FC]max), 34 μg/ml) (25); for ceftazidime, 1 g q8h (t1/2, 2.3 h; Cmax, 60 μg/ml; protein binding, 10%; [FC]max, 54 μg/ml) (4); for daptomycin, 6 mg/kg of body weight q24h (t1/2, 8 h; Cmax, 98.6 μg/ml; protein binding, 92%; [FC]max, 7.9 μg/ml) (8); for linezolid, 600 mg q12h (t1/2, 6 h; Cmax, 18 μg/ml; protein binding, 31%; [FC]max, 12.4 μg/ml) (23); and for vancomycin, 1.25 g q12h (t1/2, 6 h; Cmax, 45 μg/ml; minimum concentration of drug in serum, 15 to 20 μg/ml; protein binding, 55%; [FC]max, 20.3 μg/ml) (18). A peristaltic pump (Masterflex; Cole-Parmer Instrument Company, Chicago, IL) was used to continually replace antibiotic-containing medium with fresh Mueller-Hinton broth supplemented with 25 μg/ml calcium and 12.5 μg/ml.
magnesium (at a rate to simulate the $t_{1/2}$ of the respective antibiotic). For combination regimens, the elimination rate was set for the drug with the shortest $t_{1/2}$, and the drug with the longer $t_{1/2}$ was supplemented (3). All samples were diluted 10-fold before plating in order to minimize antibiotic carryover. High-performance liquid chromatography and bioassays were conducted as previously described (2, 14, 21).

Synergy was defined as a $\geq 2\log_{10}$ decrease in number of CFU per milliliter between the combination and its most active constituent and the point at which the number of surviving organisms in the presence of the combination was $\geq 2 \log_{10}$ CFU/ml below the level for the starting inoculum (11). Indifference was defined as a 1- to 2-$\log_{10}$ CFU/ml increase in kill in comparison to the level for the most active single agent. Combinations that resulted

FIG. 1. In vitro activity of daptomycin alone and combined with aztreonam or ceftazidime against *E. coli* ATCC 25922 (a) and a clinical isolate (b). Results show log$_{10}$ numbers of CFU/ml of activity ± standard deviations.
in >2-log_{10} CFU bacterial growth in comparison to the level for the most active single agent were considered antagonistic (11).

Samples taken from the model were also immediately plated onto tryptic soy agar, and susceptibility was determined using an Etest. In addition, a population analysis was conducted with aztreonam and ceftazidime against *E. coli* (>10^9 CFU/ml) as previously described (20).

Changes in number of CFU/ml at 24 and 48 h and time to 99.9% kill were compared by two-way analysis of variance with Tukey’s post hoc test, using SPSS Statistical Software (release 14; SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL). A *P* value of ≤0.05 was considered significant.

Both *E. coli* strains were susceptible to aztreonam and ceftazidime, with MICs of <0.25 μg/ml and 0.125 μg/ml, respec-
However, daptomycin and vancomycin enhanced the activ-
cant activity against ATCC 25922. Indifference was noted at 24 h. Daptomycin, vanco-
mycin, and ceftazidime, with a population analysis profile MIC of 64 g/ml. Subpopulations of linezolid plus aztreonam or ceftazidime, respectively, in comparison to the level for the most active single agent, thus demonstrating in-
difference.

In an IVPM at clinically free therapeutic concentrations, aztreonam and ceftazidime alone and combined with daptomycin (Fig. 1) or vancomycin (Fig. 2) demonstrated significant (P ≤ 0.05) activity at 24 and 48 h. However, the combination of linezolid with aztreonam (Table 1 and Fig. 3a) or ceftazidime (Fig. 3b) resulted in decreased activity at 24 and 48 h and represented antagonism against ATCC 25922. Indifference was noted at 24 h. Daptomycin, vanco-
mycin, and linezolid monotherapy demonstrated no significant activity against E. coli ATCC 25922 at any time point. However, daptomycin and vancomycin enhanced the activ-
ities of aztreonam and ceftazidime at 24 and 48 h. All concentrations achieved within the IVPM were within 15% of the targeted level.

In one of the eight experiments evaluating linezolid and aztreonam in the IVPM, aztreonam-resistant subpopulations of E. coli (MIC of 64 µg/ml) were detected at 4 and 24 h. This resistant phenotype was unstable, with three serial passages on antibiotic-free tryptic soy agar yielding E. coli with aztreonam MICs decreasing from 64 to 12 to 6 µg/ml. Subpopulations of E. coli with increased ceftazidime MICs were detected in one ceftazidime in vitro model experiment at 24 and 48 h (MICs of 0.125 to 2.0 µg/ml). This MIC shift was also unstable but accounts for the larger standard deviations observed in these experiments.

The 2007 Food and Drug Administration MedWatch alert and a recent publication highlighted that patients with catheter-related bloodstream infection treated with linezolid had an 84-day mortality rate of 21.5% (78/363), versus the comparator group rate of 16% (28/176) (26; K. J. Tack et al., presented at the 47th Annual ICAAC, Chicago, IL, 2007). It was found that baseline bacteremia caused by gram-negative organisms was a predictor of death (K. J. Tack et al., presented at the 47th Annual ICAAC, Chicago, IL, 2007).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic</th>
<th>Bacterial strain</th>
<th>Change in no. of CFU/ml relative to 0-h levela at:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth control</td>
<td>ATCC 25922</td>
<td>+3.33 ± 0.18 (inhibited by 1.48 CFU/ml)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L1035</td>
<td>+3.03 ± 0.05 (inhibited by 0.80 CFU/ml)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aztreonam</td>
<td>ATCC 25922</td>
<td>−1.59 ± 0.50 (inhibited by 1.71 CFU/ml)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L1035</td>
<td>−1.76 ± 0.50 (inhibited by 0.16 CFU/ml)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceftazidime</td>
<td>ATCC 25922</td>
<td>−2.83 ± 0.29 (inhibited by 2.1 CFU/ml; synergy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L1035</td>
<td>−3.80 ± 0.13 (inhibited by 1.92 CFU/ml; synergy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linezolid</td>
<td>ATCC 25922</td>
<td>+0.86 ± 0.47 (enhanced by 1.80 CFU/ml)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L1035</td>
<td>+2.64 ± 0.16 (enhanced by 0.39 CFU/ml)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daptomycin</td>
<td>ATCC 25922</td>
<td>+2.31 ± 0.12 (enhanced by 0.84 CFU/ml)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L1035</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vancomycin</td>
<td>ATCC 25922</td>
<td>+2.70 ± 0.15 (enhanced by 1.24 CFU/ml; synergy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L1035</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a NA, not applicable.
Using three different methods, we examined the effects of daptomycin, vancomycin, and linezolid on the activities of aztreonam and ceftazidime against *E. coli* in vitro and found attenuation of the activities of aztreonam and ceftazidime against *E. coli* by linezolid and enhancement of aztreonam activity against *E. coli* by daptomycin and vancomycin. The reasons for these observations are not immediately clear. A partial explanation may lie in the well-accepted notion that bacteriostatic agents inhibit the activities of bactericidal agents. For example, one well-known clinical study (16) demonstrated that the addition of chlortetracycline attenuated the activity of penicillin in the treatment of patients with pneumo-
coccal meningitis. Patients receiving combination penicillin-
tetracycline had twofold-increased mortality compared with
patients who received the same penicillin dose as mono-

Antagonism has been observed with antibiotics that inhibit
cell wall synthesis (e.g., beta-lactam) in combination with
agents that inhibit protein synthesis. In the case of linezolid,
the cell wall agent may be increasing the permeability of the
cell wall, allowing more linezolid to enter and accumulate
intracellularly in the E. coli, inhibiting protein synthesis appre-
ciably and consequently antagonizing the beta-lactam agent.
Previous studies demonstrate linezolid’s activity via protein
synthesis inhibition against gram-negative bacteria, including
E. coli, when there is inhibition of RND-type efflux pumps
(22).

While intriguing, the findings of this study must be inter-
preted with caution and viewed as exploratory. Other Enter-
obacteriaceae spp. and other antimicrobial classes must also be
evaluated. It is noteworthy that in the study by Wilcox et al.
(26), there was no apparent difference in microbiological out-
come between treatment arms and there were no documented
microbiological failures in either arm due to infection with
gram-negative bacteria, raising the question of whether or not
the different outcomes were related to the treatment issues
which generated the hypothesis of this study.

Nevertheless, despite these limitations, the findings of this
study have potential profound clinical implications. The use of
combination therapy in empirical regimens or those targeting
poly microbial infections would require greater scrutiny and
point toward potential pitfalls of the “more is better” approach
that is sometimes employed clinically without supporting data.
These findings also demonstrate inconsistencies in vitro
evaluations of the pharmacodynamic effects of antibiotic com-
binations. Finally, these data show the limitations of extrapo-
lating results obtained from traditional in vitro methods, like
checkerboards and simple time kill studies using broth, to
antimicrobial pharmacodynamics in vivo.

In summary, this study provides preliminary evidence that
antibiotics with spectra of activity limited to gram-positive bac-
teria may influence the bactericidal activities of aztreonam and
ceftazidime against E. coli. Specifically, linezolid may attenuate
the activities of cell wall agents against E. coli and may enhance
the development of resistance to these agents. Further investi-
gation of the effect of linezolid on the activities of other
antibiotics used against other gram-negative bacteria is war-
ranted.

We thank Suzanne Woodmansee for technical assistance.
This work was unfunded. Both authors have current and previous
relationships with Pfizer, the makers of linezolid, and Cubist Pharma-
ceuticals, the makers of daptomycin.

REFERENCES
1. Asmar, B. I., M. Prainito, and A. S. Dajani. 1988. Antagonistic effect of
chloramphenicol in combination with cefotaxime or ceftriaxone. Antimicrob.
tration of aztreonam into human bronchial secretions. Antimicrob. Agents
Chemother. 27:263–264.
1988. Pharmacokinetics and serum bactericidal activity of vancomycin alone
and in combination with cefazidime in healthy volunteers. Antimicrob.
parison of methods of interpretation of checkerboard synergy testing. Diagn.
antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically; 7th ed.
Approved guideline M7-A7. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne,
PA.
for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; 18th edition. Approved informational
supplement M100-S18. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
critical review from a response surface perspective. Pharmacol. Rev. 47:331–
385.
antibiotic synergism and antagonism; the interference of chloramphenicol
16. Lepper, M. H., and H. F. Dowling. 1951. Treatment of pneumococcal men-
ingitis with penicillin compared with penicillin plus aureomycin; studies
including observations on an apparent antagonism between penicillin and
oxazolidinone eperezolid binds to the 50S ribosomal subunit and competes
with binding of chloramphenicol and lincomycin. Antimicrob. Agents
Chemother. 41:1375–1378.
12:399–406.
of mammalian mitochondrial protein synthesis by oxazolidinones. Antimi-
crob Agents Chemother. 50:261–262.
plating method for population analysis of antibiotic-resistant staphylococci.
assay for determination of ceftazidime in pharmaceutical preparations. J.
AOAC Int. 91:59–66.
22. Schumacher, A., R. Tritter, J. A. Bohnert, K. Kummerer, J. M. Pages,
and W. V. Kern. 2007. Intracellular accumulation of linezolid in Esche-
richia coli, Citrobacter freundii and Entero bacter aerogenes: role of enhanced
2003. Pharmacokinetics and tolerance of single- and multiple-dose oral or
intravenous linezolid, an oxazolidinone antibiotic, in healthy volunteers. J.
24. Reference deleted.
Mouton. 2007. Pharmacokinetics of aztreonam in healthy subjects and
patients with cystic fibrosis and evaluation of dose-exposure relationships
3055.
Ijzerman, R. V. Cross-Dabrera, M. J. Kunel, and C. Knirsch.
2009. Concomitant skin and skin-structure infections and catheter-related
Infect. Dis. 48:203–212.

4554 LA PLANTE AND SAKOULAS ANTIMICROB. AGENTS CHEMOTHER.
