

# University of Rhode Island DigitalCommons@URI

**Physics Faculty Publications** 

**Physics** 

4-14-1986

### Critical Exponents of the Heisenberg Spin Chain

Jill C. Bonner University of Rhode Island

Gerhard Müller University of Rhode Island, gmuller@uri.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/phys\_facpubs

#### Citation/Publisher Attribution

Bonner, J. C. & Müller, G. Critical exponents of the Heisenberg spin chain. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **56** (1986), 1617. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.56.1617

This Article is brought to you by the University of Rhode Island. It has been accepted for inclusion in Physics Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact digitalcommons-group@uri.edu. For permission to reuse copyrighted content, contact the author directly.

## Critical Exponents of the Heisenberg Spin Chain

#### **Publisher Statement**

© 1986 The American Physical Society

#### Terms of Use

All rights reserved under copyright.

# Critical Exponents of the Heisenberg Spin Chain

Recent work by Schlottmann<sup>1</sup> evaluates the thermodynamic Bethe-Ansatz equations numerically for the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  Heisenberg ferromagnetic chain (HBFM), obtaining values for the critical exponents  $\alpha = -0.49$  $\pm 0.02$  and  $\gamma = 2.00 \pm 0.02$ . We here discuss his very interesting results in a broader context of  $T_c = 0$  critical behavior of quantum systems. The reader gains the impression from Ref. 1 that equality of critical exponents for classical and quantum HB chains may be a general result. This is not so, the values obtained by Schlottmann reflecting an exceptional situation. Furthermore, Schlottmann believes that he presents the first example where critical behavior for a quantum system is extracted via a thermodynamic Bethe-Ansatz approach. In fact, such results are already available for spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  planar XXZ and HB antiferromagnetic (HBAFM) chains.<sup>2,3</sup> Table I shows the scaling powers,  $a_T$  and  $a_H$ , for these models. The corresponding critical exponents are found from the  $T_c = 0$ scaling and hyperscaling relations  $\alpha = -1/a_T$ ,  $\gamma = (2a_H + a_T - 1)/a_T$ ,  $\delta = a_H/(1 - a_H)$ ,  $\eta = 2 + D(1)$  $-2a_H$ ), and  $\nu = 1/Da_T$ . Only the Schlottmann values agree with the values for the classical chain. Critical exponents for the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  HBFM from various calculations are displayed in Table II. Note that the Schlottmann susceptibility results agree with calculations (a), (b), and (d) as far down in temperature as these calculations extend. At lower temperatures, Schlottmann finds that his data enter a very low-T asymptotic regime, and tend to the classical result. Similar crossover behavior occurs in the case of calculation (e), but here results from the fact that when a Green's-function decoupling is employed, the asymptotic critical behavior is expected to be classical. We note that all calculated exponents (a) through (g) violate the  $T_c = 0$  scaling and hyperscaling hypothesis. <sup>11</sup> The only set of exponents which does satisfy scaling and hyperscaling  $(a_T = 2, a_H = 1,$  $\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\gamma = \frac{3}{2}$ ,  $\eta = 1$ ,  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ , and  $\delta = \infty$ ) is given in (h). Hence from the calculations of Schlottmann,

TABLE I. Scaling powers for various 1D models. The parameter  $\mu$  is defined via the relation  $\cos \mu = -\Delta$ , where  $\Delta$  is the anisotropy parameter.

	Model	$a_T$	$a_H$
$S = \infty$	Classical	1	1
$S=\frac{1}{2},  \Delta <1$	Planar XXZ a	1	$\frac{1}{2} + \mu/2\pi$
$S=\frac{1}{2}, \ \Delta=0$	XY	1	$\frac{3}{4}$
$S=\frac{1}{2}, \ \Delta=-1$	HBAFM <sup>b</sup>	1	$\frac{1}{2}$
$S=\frac{1}{2}, \ \Delta=1$	HBFM <sup>c</sup>	1	1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>References 3 and 4.

cReference 1.

TABLE II. Critical exponents for the HBFM resulting from various calculations.

	Reference	α	γ
(a)	5		1.67 ± 0.07
(b)	6	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$\sim 1.80$
(c)	7		$\sim 1.32$
(d)	8	$-0.3 \pm 0.1$	$1.75 \pm 0.02$
(e)	9	$-\frac{1}{2}$	2.00
(f)	1	$-0.49 \pm 0.02$	$2.00 \pm 0.02$
(g)	10	$-\frac{1}{2}$	2
(h)	Scaling	$-\frac{1}{2}$	1.5

whose conclusions are paralleled by work of Takahashi and Yamada, <sup>10</sup> we must conclude that scaling relations are violated for the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  HBFM. One might infer that this interesting result reflects the fact that this critical point has significant first-order character.

This work was supported in part by the U.S. National Science Foundation Grant No. DMR80-10819, by NATO, and by Science and Engineering Research Council Grant No. GR/D 41576 (UK).

Note added after receipt of Schlottmann's response: In Ref. 6, exponents of  $\frac{3}{2}$  for the energy and  $\frac{1}{2}$  for the entropy are explicitly given, corresponding to  $\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}$ . The quoted entropy amplitude differs by 24% and 20% from the leading amplitude values of Takahashi-Yamada and Schlottmann, respectively. It is further stated that this "... would imply a divergence of ...  $\chi(T)$  like  $1/T^{9/5}$  as  $T \to 0$ . It is quite possible, however, that the true asymptotic behavior sets in only below kT/J = 0.2."

#### Jill C. Bonner and Gerhard Müller

Physics Department

University of Rhode Island

Kingston, Rhode Island 02881

Received 5 June 1985

PACS numbers: 75.40.Fa, 05.70.Jk, 75.10.Jm

- <sup>1</sup>P. Schlottmann, Phys. Rev. Lett. **54**, 2131 (1985).
- $^{2}$ J. D. Johnson and B. M. McCoy, Phys. Rev. A 6, 1613 (1972).
- <sup>3</sup>M. Takahashi, Prog. Theor. Phys. **50**, 1519 (1973), and **51**, 1348 (1974).
  - <sup>4</sup>F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **45**, 1358 (1980).
- <sup>5</sup>G. A. Baker, Jr., G. S. Rushbrooke, and H. E. Gilbert, Phys. Rev. 135, A1272 (1964).
- <sup>6</sup>J. C. Bonner and M. E. Fisher, Phys. Rev. 135, A640 (1964).
- <sup>7</sup>J. J. Cullen and D. P. Landau, Phys. Rev. B **27**, 297 (1983).
  - <sup>8</sup>J. W. Lyklema, Phys. Rev. B 27, 3108 (1983).
- <sup>9</sup>J. Kondo and K. Yamaji, Prog. Theor. Phys. **47**, 807 (1972).
- <sup>10</sup>M. Takahashi and M. Yamada, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 54, 2808 (1985)
- <sup>11</sup>G. A. Baker, Jr., and J. C. Bonner, Phys. Rev. B 12, 3741 (1975).

bReferences 2 and 3.