

7-13-2018

Development of Electrolytes for Si-Graphite Composite Electrodes

Cao Cuong Nguyen
University of Rhode Island

Brett L. Lucht
University of Rhode Island, blucht@chm.uri.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/chm_facpubs

Citation/Publisher Attribution

Nguyen, C.C., Lucht, B.L. Development of electrolytes for Si-graphite composite electrodes (2018) Journal of the Electrochemical Society, 165(10), pp. A2154-A2161. DOI: 10.1149/2.0621810jes
Available at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1149/2.0621810jes>

This Article is brought to you by the University of Rhode Island. It has been accepted for inclusion in Chemistry Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact digitalcommons-group@uri.edu. For permission to reuse copyrighted content, contact the author directly.

Development of Electrolytes for Si-Graphite Composite Electrodes

Creative Commons License



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



Development of Electrolytes for Si-Graphite Composite Electrodes

Cao Cuong Nguyen and Brett L. Lucht *,^z

Department of Chemistry, University of Rhode Island, Kingston, Rhode Island 02881, USA

The performance of Si-graphite/Li cells and Si-graphite/NMC111 cells has been investigated in 1.2 M LiPF₆/EC:DEC (1/1, w/w) with different electrolyte additives including LiNO₃, FEC, and MEC. The addition of additives into electrolytes result in a significant improvement in capacity retention compared to the standard electrolyte for Si-graphite/Li cells. The cells cycled with electrolyte containing 0.5 wt% LiNO₃, 5–10 wt% MEC or 10 wt% FEC have high capacity retention, at least 88%, while the cells cycled with standard electrolyte have lower capacity retention, 64%, after 100 cycles. Investigation of Si-graphite/NCM111 cells reveals that the cells cycled in electrolyte containing 0.5 wt% LiNO₃ have better capacity retention than cells cycled with 10 wt% FEC, 57.9% vs. 44.6%, respectively. The combination of 10% MEC and LiNO₃ further improves the capacity retention of the Si-graphite/NCM111 full cells to 79.9% after 100 cycles which is highest among the electrolytes investigated. Ex-situ surface analyses by XPS and IR-ATR have been conducted to provide a fundamental understanding the composition of the solid-electrolyte interphase (SEI) and its correlation to cycling performance.

© The Author(s) 2018. Published by ECS. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License (CC BY, <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted reuse of the work in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. [DOI: 10.1149/2.0621810jes]



Manuscript submitted April 4, 2018; revised manuscript received June 28, 2018. Published July 13, 2018.

Si has been intensively investigated as anode material for lithium ion batteries due to its high theoretical capacity, 3579 mAh/g.^{1–5} However, the cycle life of Si is much worse than commercial graphite anodes due to the large volume change of Si (~280%) upon lithiation which results in particle pulverization,^{1–5} loss of electrical connection within electrode components,^{1–6} and continuous decomposition of the electrolyte.^{7–11} The cycling performance of silicon has been improved by using nano-structured silicon which better tolerates the large volume changes of silicon upon lithiation/delithiation.^{2,4,5,12} Further improvement has been obtained by using Si/graphite composite electrodes since graphite improves the electrical contact and accommodates the volume change of Si. Si/graphite composite electrodes can also be calendared to obtain higher loading density and further improves electrical contact between particles.^{13,14} Other methods to improve the cycling performance of silicon based electrodes include the use of sacrificial electrolyte additives to form a stable solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) on the silicon particles. Vinylene carbonate (VC) and fluoroethylene carbonate (FEC) are the most frequently used additives in carbonate based electrolytes for silicon electrodes.^{7,9,15} These additives are reduced to form a stable SEI composed of polycarbonate, lithium alkyl carbonates, Li₂CO₃ and LiF.^{9,15} However, recent investigations suggest that high concentrations of FEC increase the reactivity of the electrolyte with lithiated graphite resulting in capacity loss upon storage.¹⁶ The high concentration of FEC also causes significant gas evolution in Si-graphite/LiCoO₂ full cells compared to electrolytes containing VC.^{10,17} Kim et al.¹⁸ reported that FEC decomposes at elevated temperature to produce HF which causes SEI degradation and transition metal ion dissolution from the cathode. Development of alternative additives to FEC is necessary. Recently, we reported that the cyclability of silicon electrodes was significantly improved by inclusion of methylene ethylene carbonate (MEC).¹⁹ The SEI on Si cycled with electrolyte containing MEC has a high concentration of polycarbonate (i.e., poly(MEC)) and lithium carbonate. Lithium nitrate has been widely investigated as an additive for Li/S batteries since it can be reduced on the surface of lithium to form a SEI which passivates the surface of the lithium anode.^{20–25} Aubach and co-authors reported that silicon electrodes cycled with 1 M LiTFSI in an ether based electrolyte with added lithium nitrate has significantly improved cycling performance.²⁶ However, the use of ether based electrolytes limits the choice of cathode materials due to the oxidative instability of ethers at the cathode surface at high voltage. In addition, there have been no reports on the use of LiNO₃ as an additive for Si-based anodes in alkyl carbonate based electrolytes, possibly due to the low solubility of LiNO₃ in carbonate solvents.

In this report, a combination MEC or FEC with LiNO₃ have been investigated as electrolyte additives for Si/graphite composite electrodes. A significant reduction in capacity fade over 100 cycles is observed for Si-graphite/lithium cells with electrolytes containing the additives. The novel electrolyte formulations also improve the cycling performance of Si-graphite/NCM111 cells. In addition, the surface of the cycled electrodes have been investigated via a combination of attenuated total reflectance infrared spectroscopy (ATR-IR) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) to develop a better understanding of the role of the additive in SEI structure and function.

Experimental

Silicon nanoparticles (≤ 50 nm) were purchased from Alfa Aesar. Super C65 and SFG6L graphite was provided by Timcal and G8 graphite was provided by ConocoPhillips. Partially neutralized PAA was prepared by reaction of poly (acrylic acid) (PAA, $M_w = 450,000$, Aldrich) with LiOH with a mole ratio of PAA/LiOH of 0.7 (denoted as PAALi_{0.7}). The pH of diluted solution of PAALi_{0.7} was ~6.5.²⁷ Silicon nanoparticles, SFG6L and G8, super C and PAALi with a ratio of 10:45:30:5:10 (in weight) were thorough mixed in distilled water with a mortar and pestle for 1 hour then stirred for additional 3 hours with a magnetic stirring bar. The composite electrode formulation was determined from preliminary experiments to optimize capacity and cycle life. The well mixed slurry was spread on copper foil and dried at room temperature in air for one hour and then in a vacuum oven overnight. The anode electrodes were then punched into 14.0 mm diameter disks and dried at 120 °C in a vacuum oven overnight. The electrodes were not calendared and have a loading of 1.2 mAh/cm². The cathodes were prepared by coating a slurry consisting of 90 wt% LiMn_{1/3}Ni_{1/3}Co_{1/3}O₂ (NMC111) (BASF), 5 wt% super C (Timcal) and 5 wt% poly(vinylene difluoride) (PVdF, Solvay) in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone solvent onto aluminum foil. The cathode electrodes were cut into 13.7 mm diameter disks and dried at 120 °C in a vacuum oven overnight. The N/P ratio in full cells is ~1.1.

Electrolyte solvents, salts and additives were obtained from BASF and used as received. The water content is < 50 ppm. 2032 coin cells consisting of a Si-graphite working electrode, a lithium foil counter electrode, 100 μ L electrolyte and two separators (one Celgard 2325 and one Whatman GF/D glass fiber) were prepared (half cells). 2032 coin cells were also prepared with a Si-graphite anode, a NCM111 cathode, one Whatman GF/D glass fiber between two Celgard 2325 separators and 100 μ L electrolyte (full cells). The standard electrolyte was 1.2 M LiPF₆/ethylene carbonate (EC): diethyl carbonate (DEC) (1:1, w/w). MEC, FEC and LiNO₃ were added to the standard electrolyte by weight percentage. Details about electrolyte formulations are provided in Table I. The half cells were charged (lithiation) and

*Electrochemical Society Member.

^zE-mail: blucht@chm.uri.edu

Table I. Electrolyte formulations investigated.

	Electrolyte	Additive concentration
Std	1.2M LiPF ₆ /EC:DEC (1:1, w/w)	
Std+LiNO ₃	1.2M LiPF ₆ /EC:DEC (1:1, w/w)	Saturated LiNO ₃ (~0.5 wt%, ~0.09M)
5% MEC	1.2M LiPF ₆ /EC:DEC (1:1, w/w)	5% wt MEC
10% MEC	1.2M LiPF ₆ /EC:DEC (1:1, w/w)	10% wt MEC
10% FEC	1.2M LiPF ₆ /EC:DEC (1:1, w/w)	10% wt.FEC
10%FEC+LiNO ₃	1.2M LiPF ₆ /EC:DEC (1:1, w/w)	10% wt.FEC+0.5% wt.LiNO ₃
5%MEC+LiNO ₃	1.2M LiPF ₆ /EC:DEC (1:1, w/w)	5% wt.MEC+0.5% wt.LiNO ₃
10%MEC+LiNO ₃	1.2M LiPF ₆ /EC:DEC (1:1, w/w)	10% wt.MEC+0.5% wt.LiNO ₃

discharged (delithiation) between 0.005 and 1.5 V with constant current and constant voltage (CC-CV) at a rate of C/20 for first cycle and at the rate of C/3 for an additional 99 cycles using an Arbin BT2000 battery cycler at 25 °C. At the end of lithiation, the cells were held at 5 mV until the current decreased to C/20. The rate was calculated based on a capacity of the Si-graphite electrode of 630 mAh/g (total weight including binder) which was obtained using the theoretical capacity of Si (3579 mAh/g) and graphite (372 mAh/g). The full cells were cycled with same rate as in half cells but in the voltage window of 2.5–4.2 V. The capacity of full cells was calculated based on mass of the cathode active material. All cells were built in duplicate.

Cycled electrodes were extracted from cells after the 100th discharge, carefully rinsed with DMC four times (1 mL in total) to remove residual electrolytes and then dried in an argon-filled glove box for ex-situ surface analysis. The infrared spectra with attenuated total reflectance (IR-ATR) were measured with 256 scans and spectral resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ using Bruker Tensor 27 equipped with LaDTG detector inside a nitrogen-filled glove box. Samples were transferred

in an argon filled jar. Surface analysis was conducted using ex-situ XPS (K-alpha, Thermo) with Al K_α X-ray source at a pass energy of 50 eV and a measured spot size of 400 μm without charging compensation. The electrodes were transferred from the glove box to the XPS analysis chamber using a special vacuum-sealed module (Thermo) without exposure to air. The binding energy was corrected based on the C 1s peak of hydrocarbon at 285 eV.

Results and Discussion

Performance of silicon-graphite/Li half cells.—Electrochemical performance.—The voltage vs capacity profiles of Si-graphite/Li half cells for first cycle in different electrolytes are provided in Figure 1a. The first discharge capacity, initial coulombic efficiency and capacity retention are presented in Table II. All electrodes have a similar delithiation capacity of ~600 mAh/g based on the weight of the entire composite electrodes, excluding the weight of copper current collector. The capacity is ~700 mAh/g based on weight of the active materials,




Figure 1. Voltage profile plots for the first cycle (a), dQ/dV plots (b and c) for the first charge in region of 0.2V to 2V. The curves in dQ/dV are plotted offset in Y-axis to avoid the overlap between curves.


Table II. First discharge capacity, first cycle efficiency and capacity retention (average of duplicate cells) of Si-graphite/Li half cells. Capacity retention was calculated compared to the 2nd discharge capacity of the cells where the cells were cycled at same rate, C/3, for cycles 2–100.

	First discharge capacity (mAh/g)	First cycle Efficiency (%)	100 th capacity retention (% , calculated against 2 nd discharge)
Std	609	88.6	64.0
Std+LiNO ₃	601	87.0	89.3
5% MEC	602	89.9	88.3
10% MEC	596	90.1	88.2
10% FEC	599	88.5	88.4
10%FEC+LiNO ₃	581	86.0	94.2
5%MEC+LiNO ₃	598	88.1	93.5
10%MEC+LiNO ₃	600	88.4	92.8

Si and graphite. The dQ/dV plots for first lithiation in the region of 2.0–0.2 V are provided in Figure 1b and 1c. The dQ/dV plots for the first lithiation for cells cycled with standard electrolyte exhibit shoulders at ~0.93 and 0.63 V due to the reduction of EC and DEC.²⁸ The cell cycled with 10% FEC contains a small new peak around 1.1 V, attributed to the reduction of FEC. The cell cycled with added MEC contains a new peak at 1.1–1.2 V, which is due to the reduction of MEC, as previously reported.^{19,29} The cells cycled with added LiNO₃ shows several peaks from 1.9–1.2 V, attributed to the reduction of LiNO₃.³⁰ The cell cycled with a combination of FEC and LiNO₃ contains a peak centered at 1.4 V and a shoulder from 0.7–1.2 V, attributed to reduction of FEC and EC and/or DEC. The electrodes cycled with a combination of MEC and LiNO₃ also show a peak centered at ~1.4 V, probably due to the reduction of LiNO₃. Interestingly, the small peaks for the reduction of MEC at around 1.2 V disappear. The presence of LiNO₃ appears to alter the reduction mechanism of both FEC and MEC.

The capacity retention of Si-graphite electrodes in half cells with different electrolytes is provided in Figure 2. The electrodes cycled with the standard electrolyte have rapid capacity fade to 64.0% after 100 cycles (Figure 2a and Table II). The first cycle efficiency is 88.6% (Table II). The addition of FEC to the standard electrolyte improves capacity retention to ~88.4% after 100 cycles. The cells cycled with electrolyte containing added MEC (5 and 10%) have similar capacity retention to the cell containing 10 wt% FEC, but have better first cycle efficiency, ~90% compared to 88.5% for the cell cycled with 10% FEC. Surprisingly, the addition of ~0.5% LiNO₃ (~0.09 M) into the standard electrolyte improves capacity retention of the cells to 89.3% after 100 cycles which is comparable to the cells cycled with electrolyte containing 10% FEC or 5 or 10% MEC. However, the first cycle efficiency is 87.0% which is lower than observed for the cells cycled with added FEC or MEC. The lower first cycle efficiency is probably due to the significant reduction of LiNO₃ as observed in the dQ/dV plots in Figure 1b. Interestingly, cells cycled with a combination of LiNO₃ with MEC or FEC further improve the capacity retention to ~93% despite having slightly lower first cycle efficiency compared to the cells cycled with only MEC or FEC. This data suggests a synergic effect of the combination MEC or FEC and LiNO₃.

SEI formation on silicon-graphite anode in half cells.—IR-ATR spectra of the Si-graphite anodes before and after 100 cycles in half cells are provided in Figure 3. The fresh electrode contains peaks at 1700 and 1552 cm⁻¹, attributed to carboxylic acid (-COOH) and carboxylate (-COOLi) functional groups from partially lithiated PAA binder, the PAALi_{0.7}.²⁷ Absorptions are also observed at 1583 and 869 cm⁻¹ characteristic of graphite.^{31,32} After 100 cycles with the standard electrolyte, the Si-graphite electrode contains strong absorptions characteristic of electrolyte decomposition products. The absorptions at 1652, 1319 and 822 cm⁻¹ are characteristic of lithium alkyl carbonates, ROCOOLi,^{8,9,15,31,33,34} while the absorption at 1450 and 1490 cm⁻¹ are characteristic of lithium carbonate, Li₂CO₃.^{8,9,15,31,33,34} The electrode cycled with electrolyte containing added LiNO₃ contains similar electrolyte decomposition products to the electrolyte cycled in standard electrolyte. The IR spectrum of the Si-graphite electrode cycled with 5% MEC show a strong peak at 1802 cm⁻¹, from poly(MEC)^{19,29}, and at 1490, 1450 and 869 cm⁻¹ from Li₂CO₃. The presence of lithium carboxylate, RCOOLi is suggested by the presence of a weak absorption at 1613 cm⁻¹.³⁵ Increasing the concentration of MEC to 10% increases the intensity of the peak at 1802 cm⁻¹, suggesting a higher concentration of poly(MEC).^{19,29} Interestingly, the electrodes cycled in a combination of MEC and LiNO₃ have very different IR-ATR spectra compared to electrodes cycled either in MEC or LiNO₃ alone. The spectra of the electrodes cycled with MEC+LiNO₃ are dominated by peaks at 1490, 1450 and 869 cm⁻¹,^{8,9,15,31,33,34} suggesting that Li₂CO₃ is the main component of the SEI. Surprisingly, the peak for poly(MEC) at 1802 cm⁻¹^{19,29} is weak, consistent with a low concentration of poly(MEC) in the SEI. The absorption characteristic of ROCOOLi at 1652 cm⁻¹ is very weak consistent with an absence of ROCOOLi. It appears that LiNO₃ inhibits the formation of poly(MEC) and promotes the formation of Li₂CO₃ on surface of Si-graphite anodes. The spectra of Si-graphite electrodes cycled with the 10% FEC electrolyte and the 10% FEC + LiNO₃ electrolyte are similar to each other and are dominated by Li₂CO₃. However, additional peaks are observed at 1615 cm⁻¹ for lithium carboxylate salts, RCOOLi, and 1802 cm⁻¹ for poly(FEC). The high concentration of Li₂CO₃ in cells containing FEC is likely

**Figure 2.** Capacity retention of Si-graphite electrodes from Si-graphite/lithium cells with different electrolytes.




Figure 3. ATR-IR of the Si-graphite anodes extracted from Si-graphite/Li half cells after 100 cycles.

related to the generation of Li_2CO_3 upon reduction of FEC as previously reported.³⁶

Performance of silicon-graphite/NCM111 full cells.—
Electrochemical performance.—Since Si-graphite anodes have promising cyclability with electrolytes containing a combination of MEC and LiNO_3 , Si-graphite/NCM111 cells have been investigated with this interesting electrolyte. Cycling data of the Si-graphite/NCM111 cells are depicted in Figures 4 and 5. The cycling performance of Si-graphite/NCM111 with electrolytes containing added LiNO_3 or FEC is also included for comparison. The first cycle discharge capacity and efficiency for cells containing the different electrolytes are listed in Table III. The cells cycled with standard electrolyte have an initial discharge capacity of 141.8 mAh/g and a first cycle efficiency of 83.1% (Figure 5 and Table III). However, the capacity fades rapidly over 100 cycles. The capacity retention is significantly improved when additives are added to the electrolytes. The cells cycled with 10 wt% FEC have a significant improvement in capacity retention compared to standard electrolyte (44.6% vs. 8.8%). Interestingly, the cells cycled with electrolyte containing std+ LiNO_3 have better capacity retention compared to the cells cycled in 10% FEC. After 100 cycles, the cells containing std+ LiNO_3 retain 57.6% of the initial capacity. The cells cycled with 10% FEC+ LiNO_3 have better performance than the cells cycled with 10% FEC alone but are similar to std+ LiNO_3 with a lower first cycle efficiency. Si-graphite/NCM111 full cells containing electrolyte with added MEC+ LiNO_3 have the best electrochemical performance. The cells cycled with 10% MEC + LiNO_3 have high capacity retention of 79.9% after 100 cycles.

Surface characterization of cycled electrodes in silicon-graphite/NCM111 full cells.—
Surface chemistry of the Si-graphite anode.—The surface of the Si-graphite anodes cycled in different electrolytes in full cells, has been investigated via IR-ATR and XPS.




Figure 4. Voltage profiles for the first (a) and 100th cycle (b) of Si-graphite/NCM111 full cells with different electrolytes.

The IR-ATR spectra of Si-graphite anodes before and after cycling in Si-graphite/NCM111 full cells are presented in Figure 6. The IR spectrum of Si-graphite electrode cycled with the standard electrolyte is similar to the electrode cycled in a half cell and is dominated by lithium alkyl carbonates, ROCOOLi, at 1652, 1319 and 822 cm^{-1} ,^{8,9,15,31,33,34} and lithium carbonate, Li_2CO_3 , at 1490, 1450 and 869 cm^{-1} .^{8,9,15,31,33,34} The cell cycled in Std+ LiNO_3 contains strong absorptions characteristic of Li_2CO_3 at 1490 and 1450 cm^{-1} and a weaker peak characteristic of ROCOOLi at 1652 cm^{-1} which is different than the spectra observed for the Si-graphite half cells. The spectra of the cells cycled in MEC + LiNO_3 are dominated by absorptions characteristic of Li_2CO_3 at 1490, 1405 and 869 cm^{-1} , similar to observation in half cells. However, the peak at 1802 cm^{-1} , characteristics of poly(MEC) is weaker compared to the spectra of the electrodes cycled in half cells (Figure 3). The electrodes cycled with 10% FEC and 10% FEC+ LiNO_3 show similar spectra to each




Figure 5. Specific capacity of Si-graphite/NCM111 full cells with different electrolytes.

Table III. First discharge capacity, first cycle efficiency and capacity retention (average of duplicate cells) of Si-graphite/NCM111 full cells. Capacity retention was calculated compared to the 2nd discharge capacity of the cells where the cells were cycled at same rate, C/3, for cycles 2–100.

	First discharge capacity (mAh/g)	First cycle Efficiency (%)	100 th capacity retention (% , calculated against 2 nd discharge)
Std	142	83.1	8.8
Std+LiNO ₃	138	82.4	57.6
10% FEC	136	80.0	44.6
10% FEC+LiNO ₃	130	77.0	57.9
5% MEC+LiNO ₃	141	84.0	73.3
10% MEC+LiNO ₃	145	86.0	79.9

other, dominated by Li₂CO₃ at 1490 and 869 cm⁻¹ with a low concentrations of lithium carboxylate at 1613 cm⁻¹ and poly(FEC) at 1802 cm⁻¹. Overall, the IR spectra of the Si-graphite anode in full cells are slightly different from those cycled in half cells. Higher concentrations of Li₂CO₃ and lower concentration of poly(MEC) or poly(FEC) are observed for electrodes cycled in full cells.

High resolution C1s, O1s, P2p and N1s XPS spectra of fresh and cycled electrodes are provided in Figure 7. The relative atomic concentrations for the surface of the cycled electrodes are also provided. The C1s spectrum of the fresh Si-graphite electrode contains a strong peak at 284.5 eV from graphite. Peaks at 285 eV and 289 eV are attributed to hydrocarbon and -COOH/-COOLi groups, respectively, from the PAALi binder. The O1s spectrum of the fresh electrode has a broad peak at 531.5 eV characteristic of C=O and ~533 eV assigned to a mixture of Si-O and C-O.^{27,37–39} After cycling, the C1s spectrum of the electrode cycled with standard electrolyte has new peaks at 290 and 286.5 eV, and related peaks are observed in the O1s spectrum at 531.5 and 533 eV characteristic of ROCOOLi and Li₂CO₃.^{15,38} The electrode cycled with electrolyte containing added

LiNO₃ has an increase in peak intensity at 290 eV in C 1s spectrum and 531.5 eV in O 1s spectrum, indicating an increase in the concentration of ROCOOLi or Li₂CO₃. The electrodes cycled with electrolyte containing 5% MEC+LiNO₃ contain a small new peak at 291 eV and an increase in peak intensity at 286.5 eV in C1s characteristic of poly(MEC). This is further supported by the appearance of the peak at 534 eV in the O 1s spectrum.^{19,29} Increasing the concentration of MEC, 10% MEC+LiNO₃, results in an increase in the intensity of the peaks at 291 eV in C1s and 534 eV in O 1s, consistent with an increase in the content of poly(MEC). The XPS and IR data suggest that the Si-graphite electrode is covered by a Li₂CO₃ rich inner layer and poly(MEC) rich outer layer, since the IR spectrum, which has a greater depth of penetration, has greater relative intensity of the Li₂CO₃ peak while the XPS spectrum, which has a lower depth of penetration, has a greater relative intensity of the poly(MEC) peak. The electrode cycled with electrolyte containing 10% FEC has similar spectral features to the electrode cycled with electrolyte containing MEC+LiNO₃, but the peaks for ROCOOLi and Li₂CO₃ at ~290 eV in C 1s and 531.8 eV in O 1s have greater intensity.

The F1s spectra of the electrodes cycled with all of the electrolytes contain two peaks at 685 and 686.8 eV characteristic of LiF and Li₃PO₃F₂, respectively, from the decomposition of LiPF₆. However, the intensity varies with the electrolyte composition.^{15,40} The electrodes cycled with added LiNO₃ or MEC+LiNO₃ have weaker F1s peak intensity compared to the standard electrolyte consistent with a suppression of LiPF₆ decomposition. Alternatively, the electrodes cycled with FEC containing electrolyte have higher concentrations of F1s peaks due to the generation of LiF from the reduction of FEC.^{9,15,41–43}

The electrodes cycled with LiNO₃-containing electrolytes have a peak at 407.5 eV in the N 1s spectrum from residual LiNO₃. The presence of LiNO₃ on the surface of the electrodes is likely due to the low solubility of LiNO₃ in DMC, the solvent used for rinsing. A new small peak is observed at 403.5 eV consistent with the presence of LiNO₂ or RNO₂ (R = alkyl group) generated from the reduction of LiNO₃. A broad strong peak centered at 400 eV is observed characteristic of amine/ammonium salt, R₃N / R₄N⁺. The electrode cycled with the std+LiNO₃ electrolyte has a weaker N1s signal than electrodes cycled with the MEC+LiNO₃ or FEC+LiNO₃ electrolytes.

Surface chemistry of the cathode.—The IR-ATR spectra of NCM111 cathodes before and after 100 cycles are presented in Figure 8. The IR spectrum of fresh NCM111 cathode is dominated by signals from the PVDF binder at 1402, 1175, 878 and 840 cm⁻¹.⁴⁴ A weak absorbance at 1489 cm⁻¹ is from Li₂CO₃, a common surface impurity from metal oxide production.^{45,46} The electrode cycled with the standard electrolyte contains a weak peak at 1615 cm⁻¹ attributed to lithium carboxylates and a strong peak at 1743 cm⁻¹, from polycarbonate. The high concentration of polycarbonate is frequently observed when the cathode is cycled to potentials above 4.3 V.^{38,39} While the cells investigated were only cycled to 4.2 V, upon cycling full cells with Si electrodes the voltage of cathode shifts to higher voltage with increased cycles due to the continuous loss of active lithium.^{48,49} Since the Si-graphite/NCM111 cells cycled with standard electrolyte have severe capacity loss, the voltage of the cathodes should increase resulting in the formation of poly(EC). Incorporation of additives significantly




Figure 6. ATR-IR of Si-graphite anodes extracted from Si-graphite/NCM111 cells after 100 cycles.




Figure 7. High resolution XPS spectra for C 1s, O 1s, F 1s, and N 1s and relative atomic concentration of Si-graphite anodes extracted from Si-graphite/NCM111 full cells after 100 cycles.

reduces the intensity of the polycarbonate peak, consistent with stabilization of the cathode potential and improved capacity retention. In addition, the spectra of electrodes cycled with electrolyte additives are very similar to the fresh electrodes, suggesting minimal decomposition of the electrolyte on the cathode surface. Electrodes cycled with added MEC and LiNO_3 contain a new weak peak at 1812 cm^{-1} from poly(MEC).

High resolution XPS spectra of fresh and cycled NCM111 cathodes are provided in Figure 9. The C 1s spectrum of the fresh electrode contains strong peaks at 291 and 286.5 eV in C 1s characteristic of $-\text{CF}_2-$ and $-\text{CH}_2-$, respectively, from PVdF while the peak at 284.5 eV is assigned to carbon black. After cycling, the C 1s spectra of the cycled electrodes remain similar to the fresh electrodes for all electrolytes consistent with the presence of minimal electrolyte decomposition products. The O 1s spectrum of the fresh electrode contains two peaks, one characteristic of the metal oxide (M-O) at

529.5 eV and a second at 531.8 eV characteristic of Li_2CO_3 , consistent with IR data. The M-O peak becomes weaker after cycling, consistent with the generation of a thin film composed of electrolyte decomposition products. The new peaks at 532 and 534 eV are characteristic of the C=O and C-O of polycarbonate.

The N 1s spectra of the cathodes cycled with electrolytes containing LiNO_3 contain similar N-containing species to the anodes cycled with electrolytes containing LiNO_3 , but the intensity of the peaks are much weaker on the cathodes. A very weak peak is observed at 408 eV, characteristic of residual LiNO_3 . The broad peak at $\sim 400\text{ eV}$ is assigned to a combination of $\text{R}_3\text{N}/\text{R}_4\text{N}^+$. The presence of the N containing species on the cathode could result from either decomposition on the cathode or reduction on the anode followed by dissolution and deposition on the cathode.

The F 1s spectra contain a strong peak at 688 eV from the $-\text{CF}_2-$ of PVdF. After cycling, a new weak peak is observed at 685 eV,




Figure 8. ATR-IR of NCM111 cathode extracted from Si-graphite/NCM111 cells after 100 cycles.

characteristic of LiF from the decomposition of LiPF_6 . The P2p spectra are similar for all electrodes and contain a very weak peak at ~ 136 eV characteristic of a low concentration of $\text{Li}_3\text{PF}_6\text{O}_2$.

The data from IR and XPS on the anodes and cathodes reveal that the additives significantly modify the surface of the anode while

changes to the surface of NCM111 cathodes are small. Thus, the improved electrochemical performance of the Si-graphite/NCM111 full cells upon addition of MEC and LiNO_3 primarily results from the stabilization of the SEI on the Si-graphite anodes.

Conclusions

The performance of Si-graphite composite anodes have been investigated in Si-graphite/Li half cells and Si-graphite/NCM111 full cells with 1.2 M LiPF_6 /EC:DEC (1/1, w/w) electrolyte with a combination of FEC, MEC and LiNO_3 as electrolyte additives. Incorporation of additives into the electrolyte results in a significant improvement of capacity retention compared to the standard electrolyte for Si-graphite/Li cells. Cells cycled with electrolyte containing 0.5% LiNO_3 , 5–10% MEC or 10% FEC have much better capacity retention, at least 89%, compared to cells cycled with standard electrolyte, $\sim 64.0\%$, after 100 cycles. The combination of 5–10% MEC with 0.5% LiNO_3 provides the best capacity retention, $\sim 93\%$, after 100 cycles. Further investigations of Si-graphite/NCM111 cells reveal that the cells cycled with electrolyte containing 0.5% LiNO_3 have better capacity than cells cycled with electrolyte containing 10% FEC, 57.9% vs. 44.6%, respectively. The combination of 10% MEC and LiNO_3 further improves the capacity retention of the Si-graphite/NCM111 full cells to 79.9% after 100 cycles which is best among the electrolytes investigated. Ex-situ surface analysis of the electrodes via a combination of IR-ATR and XPS reveal significant changes to the SEI structure on Si-graphite anodes upon incorporation of the electrolyte additives. Addition of LiNO_3 increases the concentration of Li_2CO_3 and decreases the concentration of lithium alkyl carbonates in the SEI while incorporation of MEC results in the generation of poly(MEC). Thus, an SEI composed of Li_2CO_3 and poly(MEC) appears to be particularly stable on the Si-graphite composite anode. Incorporation of the





Figure 9. High resolution XPS spectra for C 1s, O 1s, F 1s, and N 1s and relative atomic concentration for NCM111 cathodes extracted from Si-graphite/NCM111 cells after 100 cycles.



additives did not result in significant changes to the surface of the NMC111 cathode.

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge funding from Department of Energy Office of Basic Energy Sciences EPSCoR Implementation award (DE-SC0007074).

ORCID

Brett L. Lucht  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4660-0840>

References

- M. N. Obrovac and L. J. Krause, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **154**, A103 (2007).
- M. N. Obrovac and V. L. Chevrier, *Chem. Rev.*, **114**, 11444 (2014).
- M. T. McDowell, S. W. Lee, W. D. Nix, and Y. Cui, *Adv. Mater.*, **25**(36), 4966 (2013).
- A. Magasinski, P. Dixon, B. Hertzberg, A. Kvit, J. Ayala, and G. Yushin, *Nat. Mater.*, **9**, 353 (2010).
- C. K. Chan, H. Peng, G. Liu, K. McIlwrath, X. F. Zhang, R. A. Huggins, and Y. Cui, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, **3**, 31 (2007).
- J. H. Ryu, J. W. Kim, Y.-E. Sung, and S. M. Oh, *Electrochem. Solid-State Lett.*, **7**, A306 (2004).
- N.-S. Choi, K. H. Yew, K. Y. Lee, M. Sung, H. Kim, and S.-S. Kim, *J. Power Sources*, **161**, 1254 (2006).
- M. Nie, D. P. Abraham, D. M. Seo, Y. Chen, A. Bose, B. L. Lucht, and D. Chalasani, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **117**, 13403 (2013).
- C. C. Nguyen and B. L. Lucht, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **161**, A1933 (2014).
- R. Petibon, V. L. Chevrier, C. P. Aiken, D. S. Hall, S. R. Hyatt, R. Shunmugasundaram, and J. R. Dahn, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **163**, A1146 (2016).
- R. Jung, M. Metzger, D. Haering, S. Solchenbach, C. Marino, N. Tsiouvaras, C. Stinner, and H. A. Gasteiger, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **163**, A1705 (2016).
- H. Kim, B. Han, J. Choo, and J. Cho, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed Engl.*, **47**, 10151 (2008).
- Z. Du, R. a. Dunlap, and M. N. Obrovac, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **161**, A1698 (2014).
- V. L. Chevrier, L. Liu, D. B. Le, J. Lund, B. Molla, K. Reimer, L. J. Krause, L. D. Jensen, E. Figgemeier, and K. W. Eberman, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **161**, A783 (2014).
- V. Etacheri, O. Haik, Y. Goffer, G. A. Roberts, I. C. Stefan, R. Fasching, and D. Aurbach, *Langmuir*, **28**, 965 (2011).
- L. Ma, J. Xia, X. Xia, and J. R. Dahn, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **161**, A1495 (2014).
- D. Y. Wang, N. N. Sinha, J. C. Burns, C. P. Aiken, R. Petibon, and J. R. Dahn, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **161**, A467 (2014).
- K. Kim, I. Park, S.-Y. Ha, Y. Kim, M.-H. Woo, M.-H. Jeong, W. C. Shin, M. Ue, S. Y. Hong, and N.-S. Choi, *Electrochimica Acta*, **225**, 358 (2017).
- C. C. Nguyen and B. L. Lucht, *Electrochem. Commun.*, **66**, 71 (2016).
- D. Aurbach, E. Pollak, R. Elazari, G. Salitra, C. S. Kelley, and J. Affinito, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **156**, A694 (2009).
- S. S. Zhang, *J. Power Sources*, **322**, 99 (2016).
- A. Rosenman, R. Elazari, G. Salitra, E. Markevich, D. Aurbach, and A. Garsuch, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **162**, A470 (2015).
- F. C. de Godoi, D.-W. Wang, Q. Zeng, K.-H. Wu, and I. R. Gentle, *J. Power Sources*, **288**, 13 (2015).
- S. Xiong, K. Xie, Y. Diao, and X. Hong, *Electrochimica Acta*, **83**, 78 (2012).
- X. Liang, Z. Wen, Y. Liu, M. Wu, J. Jin, H. Zhang, and X. Wu, *J. Power Sources*, **196**, 9839 (2011).
- V. Etacheri, U. Geiger, Y. Gofer, G. A. Roberts, I. C. Stefan, R. Fasching, and D. Aurbach, *Langmuir*, **28**, 6175 (2012).
- C. C. Nguyen, T. Yoon, D. M. Seo, P. Guduru, and B. L. Lucht, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, **8**(19), 12211 (2016).
- X. Zhang, R. Kostecki, T. J. Richardson, J. K. Pugh, and P. N. Ross, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **148**, A1341 (2001).
- D. Chalasani, J. Li, N. M. Jackson, M. Payne, and B. L. Lucht, *J. Power Sources*, **208**, 67 (2012).
- S. S. Zhang, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **159**, A920 (2012).
- S. Tsubouchi, Y. Domi, T. Doi, M. Ochida, H. Nakagawa, T. Yamanaka, T. Abe, and Z. Ogumi, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **159**, A1786 (2012).
- R. A. Friedel and G. L. Carlson, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **75**, 1149 (1971).
- K. Xu, G. V. Zhuang, J. L. Allen, U. Lee, S. S. Zhang, P. N. Ross, and T. R. Jow, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, **110**, 7708 (2006).
- D. Aurbach and B. Markovsky, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **143**, 3809 (1996).
- H. Ota, Y. Sakata, A. Inoue, and S. Yamaguchi, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **151**, A1659 (2004).
- A. Michan, B. Parimalam, M. Leskes, R. Kerber, T. Yoon, C. Grey, and B. L. Lucht, *Chem. Mater.*, **28**, 8149 (2016).
- T. P. Nguyen and S. Lefrant, *J. Phys. Condens. Matter*, **1**, 5197 (1989).
- R. Dedryvère, L. Gireaud, S. Grugeon, S. Laruelle, J.-M. M. Tarascon, D. Gonbeau, and R. Dedryvère, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, **109**, 15868 (2005).
- B. Philippe, R. Dedryvère, J. Allouche, F. Lindgren, M. Gorgoi, H. Rensmo, D. Gonbeau, and K. Edström, *Chem. Mater.*, **24**, 1107 (2012).
- A. M. Andersson, M. Herstedt, A. G. Bishop, and K. Edström, *Electrochimica Acta*, **47**, 1885 (2002).
- K. Leung, S. B. Rempe, M. E. Foster, Y. Ma, J. M. M. del la Hoz, N. Sai, and P. B. Balbuena, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **161**, A213 (2014).
- I. A. Shkrob, J. F. Wishart, and D. P. Abraham, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **119**, 14954 (2015).
- B. T. Young, D. R. Heskett, C. C. Nguyen, M. Nie, J. C. Woicik, and B. L. Lucht, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, **7**, 20004 (2015).
- Y. Bormashenko, R. Pogreb, O. Stanevsky, and E. Bormashenko, *Polym. Test.*, **23**, 791 (2004).
- G. V. Zhuang, G. Chen, J. Shim, X. Song, P. N. Ross, and T. J. Richardson, *J. Power Sources*, **134**, 293 (2004).
- L. Yang, B. Ravdel, and B. L. Lucht, *Electrochem. Solid-State Lett.*, **13**, A95 (2010).
- T. Liu, A. Garsuch, F. Chesneau, and B. L. Lucht, *J. Power Sources*, **269**, 920 (2014).
- S. D. Beattie, M. J. Loveridge, M. J. Lain, S. Ferrari, B. J. Polzin, R. Bhagat, and R. Dashwood, *J. Power Sources*, **302**, 426 (2016).
- M. Klett, J. A. Gilbert, S. E. Trask, B. J. Polzin, A. N. Jansen, D. W. Dees, and D. P. Abraham, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **163**, A875 (2016).