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Ms. Peggy Chang  
65 Arlington Avenue  
Providence, RI 02906  

Dear Ms. Chang:

Thank you very much for your recent letter and for your expression of concern for the future of the National Endowment for the Arts.

The NEA was, in fact, reauthorized in 1990 without any language that would impose content restrictions on the type of work eligible for funding. We did, however, amend the legislation with a number of changes that will affect the grant review process in the hopes of strengthening it and making it as fair as possible.

The reauthorization is for a term of three years – at the end of which the Congress will take yet another in depth look at the Endowment and its procedures.

Thank you very much for your letter and for letting me know of your interest in the NEA.

With warm regards,

Ever sincerely,  

Claiborne Pell  
Chairman  
Subcommittee on Education,  
Arts & Humanities