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Effect of cilostazol in treating diabetes-associated microvascular complications

Nicole J. Asal1-Karolina A. Wojciak1

Abstract

Purpose Cilostazol (Pletal), a phosphodiesterase-3 inhibitor, was approved in the United States in 1999 to reduce symptoms of intermittent claudication. Cyclic adenosine monophosphate levels increase from inhibition of phosphodiesterase resulting in anti-platelet, anti-inflammatory, and vasodilatory effects. Diabetes mellitus is a chronic disease that causes endothelial and platelet dysfunction leading to both microvascular and macrovascular complications. This mini-review highlights the emerging evidence suggesting benefits of using cilostazol in treating microvascular complications associated with diabetes mellitus. Methods A review of literature was conducted using PubMed and Embase databases focusing on cilostazol use in diabetes mellitus. Results Cilostazol demonstrated renoprotective effects in patients with diabetic nephropathy by reducing serum soluble adhesion molecule-1 and monocyte chemoattractant protein-1. Cilostazol’s anti-inflammatory actions predictably attenuate glomerular damage from increased leukocyte adherence. Additionally, cilostazol delayed renal dysfunction secondary to type 2 diabetes mellitus as albuminuria was reduced most likely resulting from inhibition of nuclear factor kappa-induced inflammatory and endothelial markers. Cilostazol’s anti-inflammatory actions in addition to its vasodilatory actions relieved retinal hypoxia and decreased excessive production of retinal blood vessels suggesting benefit in diabetic retinopathy. Cilostazol did not improve
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neuropathy symptom scores signifying that it may not be as beneficial in patients with diabetic peripheral neuropathy without diabetic nephropathy or diabetic retinopathy. 

Conclusions Cilostazol’s pleiotropic effects may be beneficial in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and diabetic nephropathy. Additional, larger studies need to be conducted to assess the benefits and risks of using cilostazol as an alternative agent in treating patients with diabetic microvascular complications.
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Introduction

Cilostazol (Pletal), a phosphodiesterase-3 inhibitor, was approved in the United States in 1999 to reduce symptoms of intermittent claudication. Prior to its approval in the United States market, cilostazol was registered for 10 years in Japan [1]. Its off-label uses include secondary prevention of cerebrovascular accident, coronary stent stenosis, and percutaneous coronary intervention. Patients with diabetes mellitus have elevated platelet reactivity and cilostazol, combined with aspirin and clopidogrel, has been found to be more effective in secondary prevention of cerebral infarction than aspirin and clopidogrel alone [2]. Compared with other antiplatelet agents, cilostazol was found to inhibit carotid intima-media thickness progression in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus, and therefore may be helpful in controlling carotid atherosclerosis [3].

Phosphodiesterase (PDE) enzymes are grouped into eleven broad families, PDE-1 to PDE-11, based on their various properties and structures. PDE-3 inhibitors can be further subdivided into PDE-3As and PDE-3Bs. PDE-3As are found in platelets, vascular smooth muscle cells, and cardiac myocytes, while PDE-3Bs are found in adipocytes, hepatocytes, pancreatic β cells, and macrophages [4]. By inhibiting PDEs, cyclic adenosine monophosphate levels increase resulting in pleiotropic effects such as anti-platelet, anti-inflammatory, and vasodilatory effects.

Diabetes mellitus is a chronic disease that causes endothelial and platelet dysfunction [5]. Endothelial dysfunction develops with disease progression leading to both macro-vascular and microvascular complications. Reduced angiogenesis in the brain, heart, and legs leads to macrovascular complications, whereas excessive angiogenesis in the eye, kidney, and nerves causes microvascular complications [6]. Nontraditional uses of cilostazol have been explored as PDE-3s are found extensively throughout the body. This mini-review highlights the emerging evidence suggesting benefits of using cilostazol in treating microvascular complications associated with diabetes mellitus.

Diabetic nephropathy

Diabetic nephropathy can cause end-stage renal disease and is characterized by proteinuria with early increases in urinary albumin levels [7, 8]. Reactive oxygen species, induced by hyperglycemia, cause both direct and indirect endothelial damage by modifying extracellular matrix proteins and by escalating the inflammatory response [9, 10]. Nuclear factor kappa B is triggered by reactive oxygen species and induces monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (MCP-1), a pro-inflammatory chemokine, which contributes to renal deterioration by recruiting macrophages [11-14].

In a randomized, placebo-controlled trial, cilostazol was evaluated in 90 Taiwanese patients with diabetic nephropathy and peripheral arterial occlusion disease [15]. The effects of cilostazol in delaying renal dysfunction secondary to type 2 diabetes mellitus were assessed through changes in urinary microalbuminuria (MAU) and albumin-to-creatinine ratio (ACR). Only patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus with an A1C between 7.0 and 12.0% were included in the study and were given either oral cilostazol 100 mg twice daily (n
or placebo (n = 45) for 52 weeks. Participants were subdivided into two groups based on baseline values: MAU (ACR 30–300 μg/mg) or macroalbuminuria (ACR > 300 μg/mg). The average age, duration of diabetes, and baseline A1C values were similar between groups. Patients in the cilostazol-treated group had a greater body mass index, were more likely to smoke, and more likely to take statins, ACE-Is/ARBs, and aspirin. After 52 weeks of treatment, cilostazol reduced albuminuria (p = 0.024) and subsequently reduced the ACR (p = 0.02) compared with placebo; however, the values were only marginally reduced in patients with MAU (p = 0.06) after subdivision. A regression analysis found that changes in albuminuria and ACR were associated with notable changes in inflammatory and endothelial markers, suggesting that cilostazol exhibits protective effects through its anti-inflammatory actions. Treatment with cilostazol significantly reduced the inflammatory marker highly-sensitive C-reactive protein (p = 0.03), endothelial markers E-selectin (p < 0.001) and soluble vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 (p < 0.001). Results of the study suggest that renal deterioration resulting from an upregulated immune response mediated by NF-κB-induced inflammatory and endothelial markers may be suppressed with cilostazol by inhibiting NF-κB [15–17]. Additionally, as supported by another study, cilostazol may ameliorate peripheral arterial occlusion disease, a macrovascular complication of diabetes mellitus, in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus by attenuating pro-inflammatory markers [18].

The renoprotective effects of cilostazol in diabetic nephropathy were demonstrated in another study [19]. Jiao et al. measured changes in serum soluble adhesion molecule-1 (sICAM-1) and MCP-1 levels in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus with and without early diabetic nephropathy. Early nephropathy was defined as a urinary albumin excretion rate level between 30 and 300 mg/24 h. Participants were divided into three groups with the first group (Group A) consisting of 20 subjects without proteinuria. Forty participants with early diabetic nephropathy were evenly divided into the second (Group B) and third groups (Group C). Subjects in Group B received cilostazol 100 mg twice daily, while subjects in Group C received placebo. Treatment lasted for 6 months and participants were not to take aspirin, other antiplatelet agents, anticoagulants, or vasodilators during the study period. Prior to the start of the study, the sICAM-1 levels in the three groups were: Group A 214.5 + 94.6 ng/mL, Group B 450.0 + 100.7 ng/mL, and Group C 422.0 + 422.9 ng/mL. Patients with early diabetic nephropathy had higher baseline levels of sICAM-1, suggesting that the occurrence of diabetic nephropathy is associated with increased levels of sICAM-1 causing glomerular damage from increased leukocyte adherence [20, 21]. After treatment with cilostazol, sICAM-1, MCP-1, and urinary albumin excretion rate decreased significantly (sICAM-1 285.1 + 94.4, p < 0.01) compared with treatment with placebo (sICAM-1 412.3 + 89.6). Additional measures in the study included blood pressure, liver and kidney function, and HbA1c; however, no significant changes were observed after treatment with cilostazol.

Together, the results from both studies demonstrate that the proposed mechanism of action by which cilostazol ameliorates the progression of renal dysfunction in patients with diabetic nephropathy is through reduced inflammation.
Diabetic retinopathy

Diabetes-induced retinal neurodegeneration results from increased vascular permeability and disordered retinal angiogenesis [7]. Glucose toxicity causes endothelial dysfunction, which increases permeability allowing circulating macrophages and pro-inflammatory cytokines to enter and cause neuronal damage [22]. Both hyperglycemia and hypoxia damage the endothelium by triggering cells to produce signal proteins such as vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) [23, 24]. Current treatments for diabetic retinopathy focus on inhibiting VEGF since overproduction results in disordered angiogenesis [25]. However, reducing rather than blocking VEGF may be an alternative strategy since VEGF initially protects cells by re-establishing oxygen delivery [25].

In a preclinical study performed in rats, the effects of oral cilostazol (30 mg/kg) on retinal neurodegeneration were compared with 0.9% saline solution [24]. Diabetic Otsuka Long-Evans Tokushima Fatty (OLETF) rats and matched non-diabetic Long-Evans Tokushima Otsuka (LETO) control rats were treated daily for 15 weeks with either cilostazol or placebo. Glial fibrillary acidic protein immunostaining and VEGF immunoreactivity demonstrated that cilostazol treatment improved retinal stress and ischemia, respectively. Retinal glial fibrillary acidic protein and VEGF protein levels decreased in both OLETF and LETO rats with cilostazol treatment; however, levels decreased significantly only in OLETF rats. Cilostazol was also able to significantly reduce retinal ganglion cell death in OLETF diabetic rats, suggesting that it may protect against diabetic retinopathy.

As demonstrated in the study, cilostazol’s vasodilatory properties relieve hypoxia by improving ischemia and its anti-inflammatory properties reduce excessive production of blood vessels by decreasing VEGF expression. Human studies need to be conducted to support these results to further evaluate cilostazol’s effect in protecting against neuronal damage and prevent vision loss in patients with diabetes.

Diabetic neuropathy

In a small pilot study, cilostazol (100, 200 mg/day) was compared with placebo to evaluate changes in neuropathy symptom scores (NSS) in Filipino patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and with diabetic peripheral neuropathy [26]. Neuropathy was diagnosed through the accordance of two neurologists and was assessed by comparing patient-reported NSS taken at baseline, week 4, week 8, and week 12. Additionally, walking distance and walking speed were assessed using a Walking Impairment Questionnaire. Patients were divided into three groups: 15 patients treated with placebo, 16 patients treated with cilostazol 100 mg/day,
and 16 patients treated with cilostazol 200 mg/day. Patients included in the study were diagnosed with type 2 diabetes mellitus more than 3 months prior to start of the trial, were in good glycemic control (HbA1c \( \leq 8\% \)), and were maintained on their diabetes medications during the study period. The mean age between treatment groups was 56 years with a greater proportion of women in each treatment group. Patients in the placebo-treated group were on average healthier, while patients in the low-dose cilostazol treatment group were diagnosed with diabetes for a longer period of time. Although overall NSS did improve from baseline to 12 weeks, there were no significant differences in NSS between treatment groups (at 12 weeks, \( p = 0.333 \)). Walking speed improved significantly \( (p = 0.028) \) with low-dose cilostazol treatment suggesting improved peripheral blood flow. The most common reported adverse events at week 4 were headaches (12 patients) and palpitations (8 patients); however, these side effects were not reported at week 12 of the study. Out of the 12 patients that reported headaches, three were receiving placebo, four were receiving low-dose cilostazol, and five were receiving high-dose cilostazol. Results from this study did not demonstrate significant improvement in relieving the symptoms of diabetic neuropathy with cilostazol therapy. However, increased walking speed in the low dose-treated cilostazol group suggests potential benefit in patients with diabetes.

Adverse reactions

In the trials reviewed above, cilostazol did not change body mass index, hemoglobin A1c, fasting glucose, and ankle-brachial index \([8, 15, 24, 26]\). Since blood glucose levels were not improved, good glycemic control in patients with diabetes is essential and is likely the rationale for appropriate glycemic control as an inclusion criterion \([15, 26]\). In the Tang et al. study, two patients withdrew due to severe dizziness in the cilostazol-treated group, while in the Rosales et al. study patients treated with cilostazol experienced more headaches and palpitations, however, these side effects disappeared after week 8 \([26]\). The risks and benefits of initiating and continuing cilostazol should be evaluated for each patient especially in patients with a history of angina, cardiac arrhythmias, and uncontrolled hypertension \([27]\). Dizziness, headaches, and palpitations are common adverse reactions related to cilostazol as well as diarrhea, pharyngitis, and rhinitis \([27]\). Furthermore, because of cilostazol’s potential to induce palpitations and tachycardia, its use is contraindicated in patients with congestive heart failure \([27]\). Serious adverse reactions include atrial fibrillation, cardiac arrest, myocardial infarction, and ventricular tachycardia, as well as bleeding and hemorrhaging \([27]\). In a case report including three patients, a potential relationship between cilostazol-induced

Tachycardia and hyperglycemia was observed [28]. All three patients had a past medical history of type 2 diabetes and were admitted for cerebral infarction. Cilostazol was initiated in each patient to prevent a subsequent cerebral infarction. All three patients had an elevated HbA1c and included an HbA1c of 10.7, 10.3, and 9.3% upon admission for patients 1, 2, and 3, respectively. Two out of the three patients were switched from cilostazol to clopidogrel as their heart rates increased following cilostazol initiation. Notable decreases in heart rate and blood glucose were noted in both patients following drug substitution. Patient 2 benefited from an increase in heart rate as he had sinus bradycardia with first degree atrioventricular block and therefore continued cilostazol. The effects of cilostazol on glycemic control require further investigation as the studies above did not show a change in fasting glucose and hemoglobin A1c. However, all patients included in the studies had good glycemic control unlike the three patients included in the case report. For patients without good glycemic control, cilostazol initiation may not be appropriate and if initiated, then close monitoring to adjust diabetes medications may be necessary.

Conclusion

Cilostazol shows potential benefit in treating microvascular diabetic complications such as diabetic nephropathy. It is important to keep in mind that most of the clinical studies evaluating cilostazol’s effects were conducted primarily in Asian populations with a small number of subjects. While evidence exists, further studies in more diverse, human populations need to be conducted to fully evaluate cilostazol’s therapeutic potential in ameliorating diabetic microvascular complications.
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