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Abstract

The distribution of mammals is determined by a suite of endogenous and exogenous factors. In territorial, polygynous species, like tigers (*Panthera tigris*), males endeavour to center their space-use around female territories, repelling competitors from these areas. Competition among males for females leads to increased mortality of both sexes and infanticide of unrelated cubs, which can lead to population declines. We hypothesized that increased territorial overlap among adult male tigers and elevated levels of inter and intra-sex competition would be manifest in populations with male-biased adult sex ratios (ASR). We also assessed whether inter-sex variation in adult survival or degree of habitat connectivity resulted in skewed ASR. We evaluated these hypotheses using camera trap data from three tiger populations occupying habitat patches with varying levels of connectivity and ASRs. Data were analyzed using multi-state occupancy models, where states were defined as habitat use by one or more male tigers, in sites with and without female use. As predicted, in populations with male-biased or even ASR, we found evidence for increased spatial overlap between male tigers, particularly around female territories. Given parity in adult survival, habitat fragmentation likely caused male-biased ASR. Our results suggest that the persistence of small tiger populations in habitat patches with male-biased ASR may be especially influenced by behaviour-mediated endogenous demographic processes that are often overlooked by managers. In habitat fragments with severely skewed ASR, population recovery of territorial carnivores may require timely supplementation of individuals to compensate for population losses from intra-specific competition.
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1. Introduction
Adult sex ratio (ASR, male: female) is an important demographic parameter that influences both individual behavior and population dynamics (Caswell, 2001; Haridas et al., 2014; Le Galliard et al., 2005; Székely et al., 2014). Skewed or uneven sex ratios in animal populations can occur for a variety of reasons, including sex differences in survival due to disproportionate costs of reproduction for females and sex-biased immigration or emigration by males (Veran and Beissinger, 2009). It has been hypothesized that ASR in many species may also be an artifact of intrasexual competition, which can result in increased mortality or dispersal of the sex with higher frequency in a population (Clutton-Brock et al., 2002; López-Sepulcre et al., 2009). Male-biased sex ratios may result in increased aggression by males towards females, resulting in a decline in their fecundity and survival with negative effects on population growth and persistence (Barrientos, 2015; Grayson et al., 2014; Le Galliard et al., 2005).

In polygamous species, adult male territories often encompass the territories of multiple females. Skewed ASR’s have especially pronounced impact on the behavior and demography of carnivores—for example, intraspecific predation has been documented in at least 14 large carnivore species (Polis, 1981). This typically involves infanticide or killing of younger and immature animals and cubs by adults, typically on account of territorial disputes, and has been shown to substantially reduce population size (Polis, 1981). When first acquiring a female territory, adult male carnivores are known to seek out and kill non-related juveniles to increase their reproductive fitness (Barlow et al., 2009; Hrdy, 1979; Persson et al., 2003). Additive mortality from intraspecific competition and infanticide may be especially detrimental for small populations of several terrestrial carnivores that are already vulnerable to extinction (Chapron et al., 2008).
Large carnivores worldwide face high extinction risks, in part because of their extensive area requirements, habitat loss and real or perceived conflicts with humans (Ripple et al., 2014). Tiger (*Panthera tigris*) populations are especially at risk because of a lucrative illegal global trade in their pelts and other body parts -- as few as 3900 individuals may now exist in the wild (WWF 2016) and remnant populations are small -- there are now probably fewer than 20 populations >50 individuals. While the risk of local extinction is primarily driven by illegal hunting and habitat loss and fragmentation, several endogenous factors may exacerbate extinction risks of small populations. For example, strong territorial behavior can aggravate the demographic issues of small and fractured populations. Intraspecific competition and aggression, especially in areas with male-biased ASR can increase the extinction risks of small populations (Barlow et al., 2009). Adult male tigers fiercely defend their territories from competing males to retain access to breeding females (Horev et al., 2012; Sunquist, 1981). If a dominant territorial male is displaced by a rival, the outcome is often infanticide of the former’s cubs by the later (Barlow et al., 2009; Smith and McDougal, 1991); this enables females to become receptive mates more quickly to the new dominant male. The harem size of male tigers and degree to which breeding males are able to maintain stable territory sizes can profoundly impact population dynamics and extinction rates (Horev et al., 2012).

Several aspects of the social behavior of tigers, including a polygynous mating system, territoriality and dispersal, are relevant to demography, behavior and space-use. Female tigers select territories to secure access to adequate resources to protect and raise young (e.g., sufficient prey, cover and water), and males compete for territorial dominance of one or more female territories (Goodrich et al., 2008; Smith, 1993; Smith and McDougal, 1991; Sunquist, 1981). In South Asia, male tiger territory size is usually >100 km², while females maintain territories
between 10-30 km² (Sunquist, 1981). Dispersal is also typically male-biased: adult females tolerate their female offspring establishing territories in close proximity to their own, but male offspring are driven away. Young males in search of new territories often disperse over large distances and commonly experience aggressive interactions with other males (Reddy et al., 2016; Smith, 1993). Although published information is sparse, ASR (Males:Females) between 1:2 and 1:3 have generally been reported from South Asia (Majumder et al., 2017; Sunquist, 1981).

Some studies in India, however, have revealed that densities and sex ratios of adult tigers can vary widely (Sadhu et al., 2017), and may even be male-biased (Chanchani et al., 2014a).

Considering the social and population biology of tigers raise several questions relevant to tiger spatial ecology, especially in fragmented landscapes with small populations. Foremost is whether there is a high potential for intraspecific competition, infanticide and antagonism among tigers due to high levels of habitat use (i.e., site occupancy) by multiple male tigers, with and without female tigers. Second, does variation in ASR help understand patterns of fine-scale habitat use by male tigers, such that we might expect higher potential intraspecific competition in local populations with male-biased ASR? Lastly, can inter-site variations in ASR for tiger populations be attributed to sex-biased emigration, limited habitat connectivity, or to differences in sex-specific adult survival rates?

To evaluate these hypotheses, we analyze an extensive capture-recapture dataset for a tiger population in the Dudhwa Tiger Reserve (DTR) – a 1,200 km² protected area within the Central Terai Landscape (CTL) in North India. DTR consists of three disjoint protected areas (subsequently referred to as, ‘sites’). Sites are characterized by strong gradients in tiger density, habitat connectivity and variation in ASR—ranging from highly connectivity, high density and female-biased ASR—to isolated, low density and male-biased ASR (Chanchani, 2016). Given
the polygynous mating-system in tigers, sites with an even sex ratio, or those with more adult males than females were deemed as having male-biased ASR. We tested the null hypothesis that the probability of habitat use (fine-scale occupancy) by one or more male tigers would be similar, regardless of a site’s ASR. Alternatively, we had two general predictions about the fine-scale space-use by male tigers in sites with male-biased ASR. First, we predicted lower overall male habitat use, i.e., extensive habitat areas that are distant from female territories will not used by male tigers (hypothesis 1 in Table 1). Second, we predicted high competition among males to secure access to female territories would be manifest in the following space-use patterns: (a) high male-use of tigers in locations with no female use (specifically along the margins of female territories; hypotheses 2 and 4, Table 1); and (b) high probability of multiple rather than single male-use in locations with female-use (hypotheses 3 and 5, Table 1). This is expected because harem sizes are smaller in areas with male-biased ASR, and multiple males are thus expected to compete intensively for access to each female (Table 1). Finally, to investigate the factors contributing to male-biased ASR in sites with limited connectivity, we also assessed if male distribution was affected by inter-sex differences in movement probabilities (stemming from differences in dispersal behavior and habitat fragmentation). When adult survival is similar for both sexes, or when female survival > male survival -- male-biased ASR in populations can be an artifact of high rates of female emigration, and/or differential male immigration from surrounding populations (Smith, 1993).
2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Description of study area

Dudhwa Tiger Reserve is located in the Terai-Duar savannas and grasslands ecoregion that spans areas of Nepal, India, Bhutan and Bangladesh (Olson et al., 1998; Fig. 1). DTR is comprised of Dudhwa National Park (~700 km²), Katerniaghat Wildlife Sanctuary (WLS; ~450 km²) and Kishanpur WLS (~200 km²), established in 1977, 1975 and 1972 respectively. DTR’s most productive wildlife habitats, grasslands and wetlands, comprise approximately 18% of the overall area. Other habitats include dry deciduous Sal (Shorea robusta) forests, and mixed-dry deciduous forests and teak (Tectonia grandis) plantations. Within India, the last remnant patches of the once-extensive grassland-wetland mosaics that characterize the CTL are now restricted to small areas in and around DTR. The reserve's management has undergone drastic changes over the past 150 years. Through the 19th century and until about 1960, large forest tracts were exclusive hunting blocks for India's colonial administrators and Indian royalty. Other areas were intensively managed to supply high-grade sal (Shorea robusta) timber (Strahorn, 2009).

The three protected areas (PAs) of DTR vary in their degree of connectedness with other tiger habitats in India and Nepal. Kishanpur Wildlife Sanctuary is embedded within a larger tiger habitat patch (~1400 km² Pilibhit forest complex in India) and connected with Shuklaphanta Wildlife Sanctuary in Nepal, via the Sharda River corridor. Katerniaghat WLS is connected to the 968 km² Bardia National Park via the 40 km long Khata corridor (along the Karnali River).

Connectivity between Dudhwa National Park and Laljhari and Basanta community forests in Nepal has been severely degraded due to land use change and expanding human settlements in recent decades (Chanchani et al., 2014b; Joshi et al., 2016; Kanagaraj et al., 2013). Finally, tiger density
and ASR in DTR’s three PA’s vary extensively. The highest tiger density (4.66 and 4.92 tigers/100 km²) and most female-biased ASR (adult males = 29% of population) were recorded from Kishanpur WLS in 2013 (Chanchani et al., 2014a; Table 2). In contrast, tiger densities were lower in Katerniaghat WLS (4.72 and 2.22 tigers/100 km², (61% and 33% males in 2012 and 2013 respectively) and Dudhwa National Park (1.05 and 1.89 tigers/100 km², 58% and 47% males in 2012 and 2013) (Jhala et al. 2015; Chanchani 2016; Table 2). There is negligible uncertainty associated with adult sex-ratios because estimates come from a near-census of the target populations (Appendix A).

2.2 Camera Trap Sampling

Between November 2011 and June 2013, we conducted extensive camera-trap surveys to assess the status of tigers in the CTL (Fig. 1). We used a grid-based sampling design and positioned pairs of cameras at intervals of approximately 2 km within tiger habitats. Camera traps were placed along forest trails or water courses to maximize detection probability. These surveys were completed in ≤ 60 days to meet the demographic closure assumption of occupancy and capture recapture models (Karanth et al., 2002). At each location, cameras were operated from 14-56 days. Overall, 304 locations were sampled with camera traps from November 2011- May 2012, and 380 locations were sampled from November - June 2013 (Table 2).

2.3 Data Processing, Model Formulation and Analysis

To evaluate our a priori hypotheses, we fit multi-state occupancy models to our camera trap data (Nichols et al., 2007). Camera trapping data have been traditionally analyzed in a mark-recapture framework to estimate demographic parameters (such as abundance and survival), but recent extensions of these methods allow estimates of patch occupancy and species co-occurrence
We apply multi-state occupancy models to test hypotheses about tiger distribution in the context of variable habitat connectivity (Johnsingh et al., 2004; Kanagaraj et al., 2013; Wikramanayake et al., 2004) and ASR within habitat patches in our study area.

Photo-captured adult tigers were individually identified from photos by three independent observers and by using pattern recognition software (Hiby et al., 2009). The sex of all tigers was discernible from the photos which allowed us to assign habitat-use states by sex and individual. We defined a sampling occasion as a 14-day period corresponding to the duration over which tiger spray scent (used for territorial marking) remains detectable (Smith et al., 1989). At each camera trap location and on every sampling occasion, male tiger captures were assigned to one of five habitat-use states. These states indicated probability of use of locations by single ($\psi$) or multiple ($\psi'$) male tigers, in the context of female use (presence/absence) ($f$; Table 1). Tiger habitat use states were defined as: State 1, no male use ($1 - \psi - \psi'$); State 2, location use by a single male and no female use ($\psi \times (1 - f)$); State 3, location use by single male, and any female ($\psi \times f$); State 4, use of location by multiple males and no female use ($\psi' \times (1 - f)$); and State 5, use by multiple males, and female use ($\psi' \times f$). Notations in parentheses are mathematical probability statements uniquely identifying each state. Multi-state occupancy models allow for state uncertainty. We accounted for non-detection of male tigers by estimating misclassification probabilities – for example, we might record the presence of a male tiger during a 14-day occasion (observe state 2) even though females were also present (true state 3); the probability of this misclassification is $p_{3 \rightarrow 2}$ (Fig. 2). We estimated model parameters using a hierarchical Bayesian multi-state occupancy model (Kery and Schaub, 2012; Royle and Dorazio, 2008). The true (latent) state of each location (trap station), $z$, can take on state values equal to 1, 2, 3, 4 or 5,
corresponding to the five habitat use states. Latent occurrence, $z$, is modeled by estimating $\Theta_i$, the state vector describing the probability that site $i$ is in one of the five states. The observation process describes how the true state $z$ is linked with the observations, $y_{ij}$, the observed states of tiger habitat use at site $i$ and occasion $j$. The conditional relationship between $y_{ij}$ and $z$ is described by a categorical distribution with the $\theta_z$, representing the observation matrix (Fig. 2). The elements of the observation matrix are the probabilities of observing tiger use in each of the five states. Diagonal elements are the probabilities of correct classification and off-diagonals elements are the probabilities of misclassification. The probabilities in each row of the observation matrix sum to 1. Detection probabilities were allowed to vary among survey occasions (2 week-long periods). Because sex-specific fine-scale habitat use by tigers was unknown, we specified vague logit normal priors for $\psi$ and $\psi'$ (Fig. 2). The prior for $f$ was modeled using a beta distribution prior, implying a uniform probability between 0 and 1. We used Dirchlet priors to describe the distribution of elements within observation array rows ($p_n, p_{1,k}, p_{2,k}, p_{3,k}, p_{4,k}$, and $p_{5,k}$), where $n$ represents the true state of a site and $k$ represents the observed state. The Dirchlet distribution satisfies the requirement that the elements of each row of the observation array sum to 1 (Hobbs and Hooten, 2015; Kery and Schaub, 2012). We fit our Bayesian model using Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithms implemented in program JAGS (Plummer, 2003) linked to R (R Development Core Team). We separately analyzed data for three PA’s in DTR in each of the two survey years, with the exception of Dudhwa National Park, (2012), where the survey period was short (14 days). Each data-set was fit using three chains (to assess parameter convergence), each with 100,000 MCMC iterations, and a burn-in value of 10,000.

2.4 Model Support and Evaluation
To assess departures from similarity for posterior distributions of estimated parameters and model support, we used a one-sided test based on Bayesian $p$ values. Specifically, to test whether a given prediction was supported — for example, that probability of multiple-male tigers using a location would be higher at sites with male-biased ASR ($\psi'_{site2} \times f_{site2}$) than in sites with female-biased ASR ($\psi'_{site1} \times f_{site1}$) — we derived:

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n.mcmc} ((\psi'_{site2} \times f_{site2}) - (\psi'_{site1} \times f_{site1})) > 0/ n.mcmc,$$

where $n.mcmc$ is the number of MCMC iterations. If the posterior distributions were exactly the same, we expect a value of 0.5 (i.e., given any value from ($\psi' \times (f)$)$_{site2}$ compared to ($\psi' \times (f)$)$_{site1}$, 50% will be greater). Values >0.5 indicate support for the hypothesis. In a scenario where all samples in ($\psi' \times (f)$)$_{site2}$ > ($\psi' \times (f)$)$_{site1}$, we expected a Bayesian $p$ value of 1.

We assessed model fit via a posterior predictive check where simulated data sets for each site/year are compared with the original data sets (Gelman and Hill, 2007). We examined whether the probabilities of the observed data were more extreme relative to the simulated data. Posterior predictive checks revealed no evidence of lack of fit because test statistics based on the observed data were not more extreme than test statistics calculated from the simulated data (Appendix B).

2.5 Estimating Survival

We used Cormack-Jolly-Seber (CJS) models to estimate apparent annual survival rates for adult tigers (Lebreton et al., 1992). We refer to ‘apparent’ survival because mortality cannot be discriminated from permanent emigration and survival for at least one year (Karanth et al., 2006).
Data on tiger survival were available from a four-year (2010-2014) capture-recapture data set. To assemble capture histories for open population models, we used data from two separate sources. Data for 2012 and 2013 came from our camera trap studies. For the years 2010 and 2014, we identified individual tigers from published photo databases of individual tigers captured in DTR (Jhala et al., 2015, 2011). We separately estimated probabilities of apparent survival ($\Phi$) and recapture probabilities ($p$) for the three PA’s allowing for $\Phi$ and $p$ to be constant, vary by sex or year, or both.

3. Results

Over the two-year study period, with a cumulative sampling effort of 18,643 trap-nights, we photo-caught 62 unique adult tigers (>2 years in age). Of these, 29 (47%) were female and 33 (53%) male (Table 2). We were unable to estimate multi-state occurrence parameters for Dudhwa National Park in 2012 because a large numbers of locations only had one sampling occasion (14 days). The relative proportions of tiger records in each of the five states varied across our study sites.

We generally found that habitat use in the five different states varied within and among sites (Figure 3). Notably, the probability habitat went unused by male tigers (State 1; $(1 - \psi' - \psi)$) was as high as 0.65 (medians) at Katerniaghat WLS and 0.56 (median) at Dudhwa NP. The lowest probability of habitat being unused by male tigers occurred at the site with the highest female-biased sex ratio and habitat connectivity (0.24, median, Kishanpur WLS). As such, we did not find support for the null hypothesis that habitat use by male tigers would be similar in sites with and without male-biased ASR (Table 3) Interestingly, the probability of habitat use by a single male
tiger and no female tigers (State 2; \( \psi \times (1-f) \)) was fairly similar; parameter uncertainty was relatively large and thus difficult to provide clear evidence of any differences.

Given a tiger population occurs in relatively good habitat with the necessary resources and stable territories and social dynamics, we would generally expect that most habitat use could be described as State 3 (\( \psi \times f \)), a single male tiger with one or more females present. As such, it is interesting that we found the highest median probabilities of habitat use in State 3 were only 0.38 and 0.4, occurring at Kishapur WLS. However, these probabilities are still higher than those from the other sites, which had lower habitat connectivity and higher male-biased/even ASR, thus supporting our hypothesis.

We found empirical support of potential intraspecific competition, infanticide, and antagonism among tigers with relatively high habitat use by multiple male tigers with females (State 5; \( \psi' \times (f) \)) or without females (State 4; \( \psi' \times (1-f) \)). The probability of a location being in state 4 or 5 ranged across sites from 0.03 to 0.2 (medians). Furthermore, model estimates also indicated support for our hypotheses about increased potential for intraspecific competition in sites with male-biased/even ASR. Median estimates of habitat use in state 4 were 1.5-2 times higher in sites with male-biased/even ASR, than in Kishanpur, a site with a female-biased ASR (Table 3; Fig. 3). However, we note that ASR was male-biased in Katerniaghat WLS in 2012 but female-biased in 2013. In general, probabilities of fine-scale habitat use by multiple male tigers and females (State 5 \( \psi' \times (f) \)), appeared to be generally low and similar across sites, regardless of ASR, suggesting that dominant males may be highly effective in warding off territorial intrusions by rivals. However, parameter uncertainty makes it difficult to statistically differentiate possible differences.
3.1 Survival Estimates

Apparent survival for adult male and female tigers were similar in the three sites, suggesting that differential survival of adult male and female tigers is unlikely to be the key factor underlying variation in ASR in these sites. Estimates of male and female survival were very similar in two of our three study sites (Fig. 4). Point estimates of female survival was highest in Kishanpur WLS ($\Phi=0.85$, SE=0.06) and were 10-15% lower in our two other study sites with lower habitat connectivity. However, the confidence intervals overlapped across sites providing no clear statistical evidence of a difference. Estimates of male survival were similar in all three sites ($\Phi=0.65$). Overall, models with sex-specific differences in survival were weakly supported relative to other models that assumed constant survival probabilities for males and females. (Appendix C).

4. Discussion

Our study casts light on how space use of a territorial carnivore is influenced by the species territorial behavior and intra-specific interactions. By modeling shared space use by tigers at point locations (camera trap stations), we are also able to investigate how heterogeneity in species occurrence may also be influenced by endogenous factors, in addition exogenous variables (for example abundance, vegetation attributes, and human presence) that are typically used to explain species occurrence (Barber-Meyer et al., 2013; Sunarto et al., 2012). We highlight three patterns in inter-site variations in fine-scale habitat use ($\psi$) that appear to be linked to territorial behaviour. First, large areas of suitable habitat may not be used by male tigers, likely because these areas are not used by females. Second, in the populations we surveyed, only a relatively small proportion of sites was associated with the socially stable state 3 (use by female and only a single male). Lastly, the finding that a non-negligible amount of habitat is used by multiple males (regardless of female
suggests potentially unstable social dynamics, in which male behavior may disrupt potential population growth. This could arise from infanticide and associated inter-sex aggression, as well as intra-sex competition to secure mates and increase harem sizes.

Our analyses also suggest that these inter-site variations in the probability of shared space use by male tigers may be attributed to, at least in part, by two inter-related factors: ASR and degree of habitat connectivity or isolation. In the following sections, we (a) interpret our results about linkages between ASR variation and probability of shared space use; (b) examine how habitat connectivity and isolation may have some influence on ASR and population structure, and thereby indirectly influence space use; (c) delve into potential demographic consequences of shared space use (and ensuing intraspecific competition) on small populations of territorial carnivores; and (d) elucidate the relevance of our study for conservation and management of tiger populations in fragmented landscapes. First, we found that the considerable variation in co-occurrence of tigers may be an artifact of adult sex ratios. A previous study noted that expansive areas of habitat within some PAs (Dudhwa and Katerniaghat in our study) were associated with low tiger use (Chanchani et al., 2016). Our results confirm that these sites had even or near-even sex ratios (as many males as females) and were associated with higher probability of use at camera trap locations (areas of the PA) with no use by male tigers, than a site with female-biased ASR. A related finding was that sites with males per female, appear to have lower likelihood of stable space use (one male per female territory), and instead found some evidence for 'heaping' of overlapping male territories in areas used by females, which potentially depresses population growth rates.

(b)
Second, we evaluate support for our prediction that the degree of overlapping space use in populations would be indirectly influenced by the degree of habitat connectivity or isolation. Our working hypothesis was that sites with poor connectivity would likely be associated with male-biased sex ratios. This prediction appears to be supported: Dudhwa and Katerniaghat both have poorer habitat connectivity with other tiger occupied areas than Kishanpur, and were also associated with even adult sex ratios, and associated patterns of fine-scale space use. A previous study established that habitat connectivity influences tiger occupancy (Chanchani et al., 2016). While we have not explicitly modeled the relationship between habitat connectivity and ASR in this study, we think it may be a critical factor driving variation in adult sex ratios in our study area. Our finding of similar probabilities of apparent survival for adult male and female tigers in the three study sites, lends support to the idea that habitat fragmentation, rather than variation in adult survival, may underlie skew in ASR and associated patterns of habitat use. While habitat fragmentation has previously been associated with population declines and loss of genetic heterozygosity (Mondol, et al. 2013), the ‘indirect’ impacts of fragmentation on population demography and individual fitness have received less attention, even though is recognize that the omission of spatial structure can undermine analysis of population vulnerability (Gilpin, 1987).

Third, we speculate that increased territorial overlap may have local effects on demography and population structure. Specifically, we suggest that this will be on account of two factors. First, male tigers in sites with male-biased ASR are likely to compete intensely for access to female territories. Second, intensified competition between males may have deleterious effects on survival of males, females and juveniles, with negative feedbacks on population growth. Reproductive success, cub survival and population growth may be depressed for several reasons. Models of equilibrium occupancy for territorial species predict that mate finding difficulties may lead to Allee
effects (Stephens et al. 1999). For tigers, we are referring specifically to depensation—a decrease in the size of the breeding population leading to reduced reproduction and lower population growth rates (Lande, 1987).

We believe small tiger populations may exist below the ecological carrying capacity of these sites as a consequence of a skewed ASR, among other factors. Recent population declines in recent decades may have been accelerated by poor recruitment, survival and low immigration of individuals, a result of poaching, habitat fragmentation and intraspecific competition (Barlow et al., 2009; Carter et al., 2015). Further, many tiger populations in habitat fragments across the species range remain vulnerable to extinction because isolation reduces genetic heterozygosity (Thatte et al., 2017). Extant habitats may only support small tiger populations with elevated risk of patch-level extinction (Noon and McKelvey, 1996; Thapa et all., 2017).

We think it plausible that these and other factors have depressed tiger populations in some PAs within our study area. Estimated apparent survival probabilities for adult male and female tigers were ~10-15% lower in fragmented sites with male-biased ASR (Dudhwa and Katerniaght) relative to larger and better connected habitats including Nagarhole in Southern India and Huai Kha Khaeng Sanctuary in Thailand and PAs in Central and Western India (Duangchantrasiri et al., 2016; Karanth et al., 2006; Majumder et al., 2017; Sadhu et al., 2017).

We attribute small population sizes and low survival rates primarily to poaching, in part, a consequence of the proximity of our sites to the international border with Nepal (Chanchani et al., 2016). However, when poaching is combined with skewed, male-biased ASR, the increased intraspecific competition and Allee effects have a synergistic negative effect on the populations (Lande, 1998; Stephens and Sutherland, 1999). In the CTL, we speculate that male-biased ASR
may result in reduced probabilities of encountering mates may be a consequence of both low
population density and increased competition among males to gain access to females (Rankin et
al., 2011; Wadekind, 2012). Difficulty in encountering potential mates may be compounded by
the occasional emigration of female tigers from protected areas. We documented two female tigers
raising cubs in sugarcane plantations away from primary habitats in PAs and Reserve Forests. Such
local movements, whether temporary or permanent, may be a response to the likelihood of
infanticide from a novel, but dominant male (Ebensperger, 1998; Singh et al., 2014; Swenson,
2003). Further mortality of sub-dominant (and often younger males) that arise from territorial
conflicts with established, dominant males may result in high rates of male mortality. One study in
Nepal ascribed 50% of mortality of young male tigers due to intra-sexual aggression (Smith,
1993), even though the ASR in Chitwan National Park (Nepal) was ~ 1:3. Finally, we note that
recovery may be a slow process in tiger populations with low adult survival (e.g., Katerniaghat and
Dudhwa), which are extinction-prone even if significant measures are implemented to increase

We are aware that overlapping space use by tigers, as inferred from camera trap data, is an
indirect measure of the potential for intra-specific conflict and does not provide probabilities or
frequencies of occurrence of actual conflict. Further, our results highlight that variation in ASR are
temporally dynamic, and that skewed ASR may be reversed by changing population vital rates
(related to Birth, Immigration, Death, Emigration. In our study, we recorded transitions in ASR
from male-biased to female-biased in one site, Katerniaghat WLS. Such switches may be triggered
by sex biased mortality or immigration/ emigration of a few individuals from or into small
populations (Robinson et al., 2008). We speculate that such reversals in ASR are more likely to
occur over shorter time intervals in sites with good habitat connectivity, than those with poor
connectivity. While our sample size is small (n = 3 sites), we are comparing these sites in the context of known variability (ASR, habitat connectivity) and based on our findings, there is generally consistent evidence supporting our hypothesis of possible influence of ASR and connectivity among our three study sites. We expect that this study will encourage others to look beyond population dynamics at behavioral and other endogenous factors that may profoundly influence tiger space and demography, which will help add support to or refine ideas brought up in this manuscript.

4.1 Conservation and Management Implications

The maintenance of viable carnivore metapopulations requires that functional habitat connectivity be maintained and that all available habitats, regardless of PA designation, be effectively protected (Wikramanayake et al., 2011). In many areas across the extant range of large carnivores, the lack of effective protection from poaching has led to large habitat tracts that support very low tiger densities (Hilborn et al., 2006; Liberg et al., 2012). For example, in the Terai, extensive tracts of suitable habitat in Nepal, only 1-2 kilometers away from the northern boundary of DNP, face high hunting pressure of both tigers and their prey. As a result, they rarely sustain breeding females whose offspring may disperse into Dudhwa NP's productive riparian habitats (Wikramanayake et al., 2010; Chanchani et al., 2014(b)). However, our finding of male-biased ASR in DNP, despite lower male survival rates of resident males, suggests that males may occasionally immigrate into the park from surrounding areas, as is observed in many large carnivores (Sweanor et al., 2000).

However, rapid land use change is severely degrading wildlife corridors in human dominated landscapes, and may severely limit the movement of large mammals through the matrix (Joshi et al., 2016). The maintenance and restoration of vital wildlife corridors often have little
political support, especially if they involve land acquisition or displacement of people. In the absence of formal corridors, we are increasingly documenting the use of the surrounding agricultural matrix by large carnivores (Athreya et al., 2013; Joshi et al., 2013). If policies can be developed to minimize human-wildlife conflict and increase human tolerance of tigers (and prey) in the agricultural matrix, it may be possible to compensate to some extent for inadequate extent of protected area and lack of law enforcement (Gosling, 2003). Our study suggests that in the CTL there may be less than the assumed three adult females per male in many tiger populations, even in areas with good habitat connectivity. Similar skews in sex ratios may also exist among other large carnivore species (Palomares et al., 2012). The persistence of small populations of territorial species requires protecting and increasing prey populations and maintaining high adult survival rates (Chapron et al., 2008; Karanth and Stith, 1999). The success of these and other conservation measures requires not only political will, but also societal involvement in, and support for conservation (Oldekop et al., 2015; Rastogi et al., 2012). Finally, our study underscores that poaching and habitat fragmentation often result in male-biased ASR with the potential to accelerate rates of population decline. Managers must therefore routinely monitor sex-ratios, reproduction and survival, in addition to population size.

Finally, for carnivore populations with severely skewed ASR and low abundance, recovery and persistence may well depend on the timely implementation of mitigation measures such as the supplementation of breeding-age individuals to compensate for skewed sex-ratios (Lambertucci et al., 2013; Reddy et al., 2016). With population declines in various areas, this is increasingly being viewed as an essential and viable strategy to achieve tiger population recovery across the species range (Sankar et al., 2010; Gray et al., 2017; Kolipaka et al., 2017; Harihar et al., 2018).
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Figure 1. Map of the Central Terai Landscape depicting female home range locations, and state-wise 'photo-capture locations of male tigers (in 2013). The approximate 'home ranges' of females tigers (defined by minimum convex polygons around capture locations) are delineated in beige.
Figure 2

Ecological Process:

\[ z_i \sim \text{Categorical}(\Omega_i) \]

\[ \Omega_i = \begin{bmatrix}
1 - \psi - \psi' & \psi' (1 - f) \\
\psi (1 - f) & \psi f \\
\psi' (1 - f) & \psi' f \\
\psi (1 - f) & \psi f \\
\end{bmatrix} \]

Observation Process:

\[ y_{i,j} \mid z_i \sim \text{Categorical}(\theta(\psi_{i,j})) \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observation state</th>
<th>True state</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State 1</td>
<td>State 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 - (p_{2,j})</td>
<td>(p_{2,j})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p_{3,1,j})</td>
<td>(p_{3,2,j})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p_{4,1,j})</td>
<td>(p_{4,2,j})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p_{5,1,j})</td>
<td>(p_{5,2,j})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Occupancy state priors:

\(lpsi \sim \text{Normal}(0,2), \psi' \sim \text{Normal}(0,2), f \sim \text{Beta}(1,1)\)

To constrain the parameters \(\psi\) and \(\psi'\) of the state matrix such that their sum was \(\leq 1\), we used a logit transformation.

\[ \psi = \frac{e^{lpsi}}{1 + e^{lpsi}}, \text{ and } \psi' = \frac{e^{lpsi}}{1 + e^{lpsi}} \]

Joint posterior distribution:

\[ [\Omega, \theta | y] \sim \prod_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{j=1}^{J} [y_{i,j} | \Omega \times \theta][\Omega | \psi, \psi', f][\theta | p_{2,j}, p_{3,1,j}, p_{3,2,j}, p_{4,1,j}, p_{4,2,j}, p_{5,1,j}, p_{5,2,j}, p_{5,3,j}, p_{5,4,j}][\psi][f][p_{2}][p_{3,1}][p_{3,2}] \]

\[ [p_{4,1}][p_{4,2}][p_{5,1}][p_{5,2}][p_{5,3}][p_{5,4}] \]
Figure 2. Bayesian, multi state occupancy model used to test hypothesis about habitat use and intra-specific completion among male tigers. The five true (latent) habitat use states are State 1: no male use; State 2: location use by single male tiger and no female use; State 3: habitat use by a single male tiger and female use; State 4: habitat use by multiple male tigers and no female use; State 5: habitat use by multiple male tigers, and female use. The observation matrix (θ) details the observation process associated the detection of tigers in each of the five habitat use states at site (i) and sampling occasion (j). The diagonal elements are the probabilities of correct classification and the all off-diagonals are probabilities of mis-classification of a state. The probabilities in each row of the matrix sum to 1. All $p_{ij}$ are vectors of detection parameters that vary by time.
Figure 3: Posterior distributions for parameters linked to the five habitat use states for three PAs in DTR (a) Dudhwa NP, (b) Katerniaghat WLS and (c) Kishanpur WLS) over the two study-years (white: 2012 and grey: 2013). These PAs span gradients of habitat connectivity and ASR (male-biased to female-biased). The width of the strip in these plots is proportional to the density. Tiger silhouettes at the top of the figure indicate habitat use states, i.e., use by 0, 1 or >1 males, with (1 or more) and without female use.
Dudhwa | Katerniaghat | Kishanpur
---|---|---
poor | good | poor
male biased/even | ASR | female biased
Figure 4. Model averaged estimates of apparent survival probability of male and female tigers in DTR’s three protected areas over a 4-year period (2010-2014).
### Tables

Table 1. Five occupancy states for male tigers and associated patterns of habitat-use, and specific predictions in relation to ASR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupancy state for male tigers</th>
<th>Probability of fine-scale habitat use by male tigers</th>
<th>Specific hypotheses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Category 1</td>
<td>Category 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State 1 (1-Ψ-Ψ′)</td>
<td>No male use</td>
<td>High (+ +)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>High probability that large areas of available habitat may go unused by male tigers in sites with male-biased ASR, because males hone in on female territories.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State 2 Ψ(1-f)</td>
<td>Use by single male tiger and no female use</td>
<td>High (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A few dominant males are expected to secure and restrict access to females in sites with male-biased ASR. Thus, higher likelihood of male tiger use outside of female territories is expected.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State 3 Ψ(f)</td>
<td>Use by single male tiger and female use</td>
<td>Low (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>In sites with male-biased ASR, males with compete fiercely for access to females. In sites with female-biased ASR, there is a higher probability that every male tiger in the population will have access to one or more female(s).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State 4 Ψ′(1-f)</td>
<td>Use by multiple male tigers and no female use</td>
<td>High (+ +)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>High probability of shared habitat use by multiple male tigers in sites with male-biased ASR because of increased intraspecific competition for mates. Shared use of sites expected in the vicinity of female home-range boundaries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State 5 Ψ′(f)</td>
<td>Use by multiple male tigers and female use</td>
<td>High (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>High probability of shared habitat use by multiple male tigers in sites with male-biased ASR because of increased intraspecific competition for mates. Territorial behavior may reduce shared use of locations, relative to sites with no female use.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Footnotes:

Category 1 sites (i.e. Dudhwa National Park) have the following characteristics: poor habitat connectivity, male-biased/ even ASR and lower adult survival rates (expected)

Category 2 sites (i.e. Kishanpur Wildlife Sanctuary) have the following characteristics: good habitat connectivity, female-biased ASR and higher adult survival rates.
Table 2. Details of camera trap effort in DTR's three protected areas. $p_{mix}$ is the estimated proportion of males in the population based on a spatially-explicit, capture-recapture model. DNP and Katerniaghat have male-biased/even sex ratios and relatively poor habitat connectivity. Kishanpur has female-biased sex ratios and good habitat connectivity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>No. of Cameras</th>
<th>Effort (trap nights)</th>
<th>No. of unique individuals detected</th>
<th>Total captures</th>
<th>No. of females</th>
<th>No. of female captures</th>
<th>No. of males</th>
<th>No. of male captures</th>
<th>Males/female</th>
<th>Estimated tiger density/100k m²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DNP</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>2626</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>2.05 (0.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNP</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>4861</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.89 (0.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katerniaghat</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>2190</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>4.72 (0.92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katerniaghat</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>3663</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>0.636</td>
<td>2.22 (0.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kishanpur</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2648</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>0.727</td>
<td>4.66 (0.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kishanpur</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2655</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>4.92 (0.88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTALS</td>
<td></td>
<td>684</td>
<td>18643</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>1213</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Footnotes:

a Adult sex ratio, calculated as the number of males/ female. Measures of uncertainty are no included because mark-recapture analyses indicated we censused the population.

b Density estimates from Bayesian spatially capture-recapture analyses reported in Chanchani et al., 2014 (a).
Table 3. Bayesian p values to test hypotheses about differences in fine scale occupancy of tigers across gradients of adult sex ratios and habitat connectivity. When distributions were exactly the same, the Bayesian p values would be 0.5. Values >0.5 indicate that our hypothesis (indicated by > sign) was supported, while values <0.5 signified our hypotheses was not supported. Probabilities near 1 indicate the strongest support possible.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Hypothesis about occupancya &amp; Bayesian p values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DNP&gt;KPUR (MBI&gt;FBC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>1 (1-Ψ- Ψ')</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2 Ψ (1-f)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2 Ψ (1-f)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>3 Ψ (f)b</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>3 Ψ (f)b</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>4 Ψ'' (1-f)</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>4 Ψ'' (1-f)</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>5 Ψ'' (f)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>5 Ψ'' (f)</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aDudhwa National Park (DNP) had even/ male-biased sex ratios and poor habitat connectivity. Kishanpur Wildlife Sanctuary (KPUR) had female-biased adult sex ratios and good habitat connectivity. Adult sex ratios in Katerniaghat Wildlife sanctuary (KGHAT) fluctuated between strongly male-biased and female-biased over the study period this site is connected to a PA in Nepal via a forest corridor. MBI - male-biased and isolated; FBC - female-biased and well connected; MBSC - male-biased, connected via a single corridor.
bNote that hypotheses about State 3 are that higher male-biased ASR’s and lower connectivity will lead to lower habitat use in State 3 (Table 1), thus low Bayesian p-values are predicted.
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