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Abstract:

The Cellulose Synthase (CESA) gene family of seed plants comprises six clades that encode isoforms with conserved expression patterns and distinct functions in cellulose synthesis complex (CSC) formation and primary and secondary cell wall synthesis. In mosses, which have rosette CSCs like those of seed plants but lack lignified secondary cell walls, the CESA gene family diversified independently and includes no members of the six functionally distinct seed plant clades. There are seven CESA isoforms encoded in the genome of the moss Physcomitrella patens. However, only PpCESA5 has been characterized functionally and little information is available on the expression of other PpCESA family members. We have profiled PpCESA expression through quantitative RT-PCR, analysis of promoter-reporter lines, and cluster analysis of public microarray data in an effort to identify expression and co-expression patterns that could help reveal the functions of PpCESA isoforms in protein complex formation and development of specific tissues. In contrast to the tissue-specific expression observed for seed plant CESAs, each of the PpCESAs was broadly expressed throughout most developing tissues. Although a few statistically significant differences in expression of PpCESAs were noted when some tissues and hormonal treatments were compared, no strong co-expression patterns were observed. Along with CESA phylogenies and lack of single PpCESA mutant phenotypes reported elsewhere, broad overlapping expression of the PpCESAs indicates a high degree of interchangeability and is consistent with a different pattern of functional specialization in the evolution of the seed plant and moss CESA families.
Introduction:

Cellulose synthases (CESAs) are key proteins in the synthesis of cellulose in plants (Delmer, 1999). Multiple CESA isoforms are encoded by the genomes of vascular and nonvascular plants (Roberts and Bushoven, 2007; Somerville, 2006), and in both cases the CESAs form rosette structures known as cellulose synthase complexes (CSCs) (Kimura et al., 1999; Reiss et al., 1984). Arabidopsis has two distinct types of CSCs that are specific for either primary or secondary cell wall formation, and each is obligately hetero-oligomeric, consisting of three different CESA isoforms that are required for assembly of the complex. As a result, CESA null mutations have strong phenotypes in Arabidopsis, causing either collapsed xylem in the case of secondary CESA s or embryo lethality in the case of two of the primary CESAs (Desprez et al., 2007; Persson et al., 2007; Taylor et al., 2003). The six functionally distinct CESA types that form these Arabidopsis CSCs diversified before the divergence of gymnosperms and angiosperms, and are widely shared among seed plants (Yin et al., 2014).

Along with phenotype analysis of CESA mutants, analysis of CESA co-expression patterns has provided critical information for understanding the composition of the hetero-oligomeric seed plant CSCs. For example, expression analysis has contributed to functional characterization of the CESAs of various plants including poplar (Djerbi et al., 2004), pine (Nairn and Haselkorn, 2005), barley (Burton et al., 2004), maize (Appenzeller et al., 2004), rice (Wang et al., 2010) and Brachypodium distachyon (Handakumbura et al., 2013), and dissection of the distinct roles of the partially redundant 6-like AtCESAs, which occupy the same position in primary cell wall specific CSCs, but have different mutant phenotypes (Persson et al., 2007). The secondary cell wall specific CESAs are strongly co-expressed and other secondary cell wall associated genes have been identified based on co-regulation with these CESAs (Brown et al., 2004).
Genes co-regulated with primary cell wall specific CESAs have also been identified using this approach (Persson et al., 2005).

The moss *Physcomitrella patens* (Hedw.) Bruch & Schimp has multiple CESA isoforms and rosette CSCs, but it lacks lignified secondary cell walls characteristic of vascular plants (Roberts et al., 2012). The seven CESA genes in *P. patens* have diversified independently from seed plant CESAs (Roberts and Bushoven, 2007) and their individual functions are still unknown (Roberts et al., 2012). Understanding how the functions of PpCESAs differ from those of seed plant CESAs may provide insight into CSC evolution and the roles of the distinct CESA isoforms in CSC assembly and function.

The ease of genetic manipulation in *P. patens* facilitates investigation of gene function (Schaefer, 2002). Mutation analysis has shown that PpCESA5 is required for gametophore formation (Goss et al., 2012) and that a double *PpCESA6/7* knockout has shorter gametophores (Wise et al., 2011). With the exception of an analysis of EST abundances in various *P. patens* cDNA libraries (Nishiyama et al., 2003; Roberts and Bushoven, 2007) and a few focused studies (Goss et al., 2012; Wise et al., 2011), *PpCESA* expression patterns have not been characterized.

We have examined *PpCESA* expression through analysis of lines transformed with *promoter:: β-glucuronidase (GUS)* reporters, relative quantitative RT-PCR, and hierarchical cluster analysis of public microarray data to determine whether specific *PpCESAs* are co-expressed as in seed plants and determine whether patterns of expression suggest potential *PpCESA* functions and interactions.
Materials and Methods:

Vector construction

Genomic sequences were downloaded from http://www.cosmoss.org/ and primers with flanking attB1 and attB5r sites for Gateway Multisite cloning (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY, USA) were designed to amplify approximately 2 kb of nucleotides upstream of the start of each coding sequence (Table S1). Promoters were amplified from 4 µL of genomic DNA (Roberts et al., 2011) in 50 µL reactions using Phusion High-Fidelity PCR Master Mix (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA) with a 30 s denaturation at 98°C; 35 cycles of 7 s at 98°C, 7 s at 58-68°C (Table S1), and 1 min at 72°C; and a final 5 min extension at 72°C. Using the same PCR conditions, the GUS gene was amplified from pRITA (Genbank FB507484.1) and the PpCESA5 coding sequence was amplified from pdp24095 (RIKEN BRC, Ibaraki, Japan) with primers flanked by attB5 and attB2 sites (Table S1). PpCESA promoters were cloned into pDONR P1-P5r and GUS and PpCESA5 coding sequences were cloned into pDONR P5-P2 using BP Clonase II (Invitrogen).

To construct a destination vector, the si3pTH plasmid containing a hygromycin resistance cassette flanked by 5' and 3' segments of the 108 targeting locus (gift of Pierre-Francois Perroud) was digested with SalI, targeting a site between the hygromycinR gene and the 3' 108 locus. A Gateway cassette (Invitrogen) was amplified with primers flanked with XhoI sites (Table S1) using Platinum Taq (Invitrogen) with a 2 min denaturation at 95°C; 35 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 30 s at 64°C, and 1 min at 72°C; and a final 5 min extension at 72°C, cloned into PCR TOPO 2.1 (Invitrogen) and sequence verified. The XhoI fragment containing the
Gateway cassette was ligated into the SalI site of si3 pTH and the Gateway enabled vector (si3-pTH-GW) was propagated in ccdb cells (Invitrogen).

To construct the PpCESApro::GUS vectors, entry clones containing the PpCESA promoters and GUS gene were inserted into the si3-pTH-GW destination vector using LR Clonase II Plus (Invitrogen). Entry clones containing the PpCESA5 promoter and PpCESA5 coding sequence were inserted in si34pTH4GW for the rescue vector. All vectors were linearized with SwaI, except PpCESA8pro::GUS, which was linearized with PvuII.

Construction and analysis of promoter::GUS lines

Wild type P. patens strain Gransden 2011 (Rensing et al., 2008) was transformed as described previously (Roberts et al., 2011) and 3 to 7 stable lines from each transformation were analyzed for GUS activity. Homogenized tissue was subcultured at low density on solid BCDAT medium for 6 d to generate protonemal colonies. For analysis of bud and gametophore development, tissue clumps were cultured on solid BCD medium for 1 to 3 weeks (Roberts et al., 2011). Tissue was stained for GUS activity as described previously (Wang et al., 2008) and dissected with fine forceps. Images were captured using a Leica M165FC stereomicroscope with a Leica DFC310FX camera (Leica Microsystems Inc., Buffalo Grove, IL, USA).

Primer design for quantitative PCR

PpCESA coding sequences were aligned using Clustal with Geneious software (Biomatters Ltd., Auckland, New Zealand). Potential primers (18-23 bp, T_m=60°C, 50-400 bp amplicon) were screened for specificity using the NCBI/Primer-Blast tool (Ye et al., 2012). Specificity was tested by PCR against plasmids containing cDNA clones of each of the seven PpCESAs using Taq polymerase (New England Biolabs) in 25 µL reactions with 1 µM primers and 1 ng of template with a 2 min denaturation at 95°C; 32 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 30s at 60°C,
and 30 s at 72°C; and a final 5 min extension at 72°C and analysis by gel electrophoresis, and verified by amplifying reverse transcribed *P. patens* RNA (see below) and sequencing the products. Primer concentrations were optimized and tested for efficiency. Primers that amplify actin (*ACT*) and v-Type H⁺ translocating pyrophosphatase (*vH⁺PP*) genes were used as references for all samples (Le Bail et al., 2013).

**RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis**

RNA was extracted from approximately 100 mg of squeeze dried 6 d-old protonemal tissue using an RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (QIAGEN Inc., Venlo, Limburg, Netherlands) or approximately 20 developing gametophores collected with micro dissecting scissors (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA, USA) from 3 week-old cultures using an RNeasy Micro kit (QIAGEN Inc.). Tissue was frozen in liquid nitrogen with 700 µL of RLT buffer and 100 mg of garnet beads (BioSpec Products, Bartlesville, OK, USA) and disrupted with a Tissue Lyzer (QIAGEN Inc.) with a frequency of 30 Hz for 10 min. DNA was removed on column using RNase-Free DNase (QIAGEN Inc.). For hormone treatments, RNA was extracted from tissue grown from protoplasts on PRMB for 3 d (Roberts et al., 2011) and transferred to BCD, BCDAT, BCD + 3 µM benzylaminopurine (cytokinin) or BCD + 1 µM naphthaleneacetic acid (axuin) for 7 d. All samples were collected in biological replicates of 3.

RNA quality was tested using a Bioanalyzer with RNA 6000 Nano chip (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). RNA with RIN quality scores of >7 was reverse transcribed using M-MuLV transcriptase (New England Biolabs) according to manufacturer’s instructions and diluted 1:1 with nuclease-free water.

**Quantitative PCR**
cDNA samples were tested in duplicate with no template controls in every run and a no reverse transcriptase control for each sample. The 20 µL qPCR reactions with SYBR Green I Master Mix (Hoffmann-La Roche AG, Basel, Switzerland), 25 ng of cDNA, and primers at optimized concentrations (Table S2) were analyzed on a Roche Lightcycler 480 Multiwell Plate 96. Reactions were denatured for 10 min at 95°C and subjected to 32 cycles of 10 s at 95°C, 20 s at 60°C, and 20 s at 72°C for quantification, followed by 5 s denaturation at 95°C, 1 min annealing at 65°C, and ramping at 2.2°C/s to 97°C for melting curve analysis. Target/average reference cross point ratios were calculated for each sample and standard errors were calculated for biological replicates. For statistical analysis, data were log transformed to meet normal distribution and homogeneity and subjected to one-way ANOVA and Tukey-Kramer T test. Protonema and hormone treatment experiments were repeated with similar results.

Microarray analysis

*PpCESA* expression data from public microarray experiments (Hiss et al., 2014) were analyzed using the hierarchical clustering tool for anatomy, development, and perturbations in Genevestigator (Zimmermann et al., 2004). The *PpCESAs* are represented on the arrays as Phypa_105213 (*PpCESA5*), Phypa_233978 (*PpCESA8*), Phypa_202222 (*PpCESA3*), Phypa_213586 (*PpCESA4*), Phypa_192909/ Phypa_192906 (*PpCESA6/7*), and Phypa_169568 (*PpCESA10*).

Results:

Promoter::GUS localization

All lines stably transformed with *promoter::GUS* reporter vectors were examined for consistency and two were used for detailed analysis of each promoter. Protonemal filaments
grown on BCDAT were sampled daily from day 4 to day 7 after plating. No differences in 
PpCESA expression patterns were seen during this 4 d time course. However, 6 d-old cultures 
provided the most complete representation of primary and branching filaments with few 
senescing filaments. GUS activity shows that all seven PpCESA promoters were active in protonema (Figure 1A-H).

PpCESA expression throughout gametophore development was examined in early buds 
and buds with leaves sampled at 1 week, young gametophores with 6-10 leaves sampled at 2 
weeks, and mature gametophores that had stopped producing new leaves sampled at 3 weeks. 
All PpCESA promoters except PpCESA4pro and PpCESA10pro were active in early buds 
(Figure 2A-H) and the apical meristems of buds with leaves as shown for PpCESA3pro (Figure 
2I). PpCESA4pro and PpCESA10pro activity was detected only in the axillary hairs of buds 
with 2 to 3 leaves as shown for PpCESA4pro (Figure 2J). In gametophores with 6 to 10 leaves, 
Promoters for PpCESA3, PpCESA5, PpCESA6, PpCESA7 and PpCESA8 remained active in 
meristems and were also active in stems and rhizoids (Figure 1I-P). PpCESA6 was strongly 
expressed at the base of the stem and throughout the rhizoids (Figure 1L). Lines transformed 
with PpCESA4pro::GUS and PpCESA10pro::GUS revealed little or no GUS activity in 
gametophore meristems, stems or rhizoids (Figures 1J,O), although PpCESA10pro was active 
in leaf margins (Figure 1O). Mature gametophores were examined, but no promoter activity 
was detected (not shown).

Young to fully expanded leaves with prominent midribs were dissected from 
gametophores with 6 to 10 leaves and examined with a compound microscope. PpCESA3, 
PpCESA5, and PpCESA6 promoters were active in emerging leaves (Figure 1I,K,L) and near 
the base of expanding leaves with some staining in developing midribs (Figure 1Q,S,T). In lines
transformed with *PpCESA7pro::GUS*, faint staining was seen at the base of young and expanding leaves and in midribs of expanding leaves (Figure 1M,U). *PpCESA8pro::GUS* lines showed relatively strong staining in young gametophore leaves (Fig. 1N) and midribs of expanding leaves (Figure 1V). *PpCESA10pro* was active in the margins of young leaves (Fig. 1O) and bases of expanding leaves (Figure 1W). No staining was seen in the gametophore leaves in the *PpCESA4pro::GUS* lines (Figure 1J, 1R). No *PpCESA* promoters were active in the midribs of mature leaves (not shown).

The functionality of the cloned *PpCESA5* promoter was confirmed by complementing the *ppcesa5KO* mutant, which lacks normal gametophores (Goss et al., 2012), with the *PpCESA5pro::PpCESA5* vector (Figure 1Y,Z). The other promoters could not be tested because other single *PpCESA* knockouts produced no obvious morphological phenotypes (Wise et al. (2011) and unpublished data).

**PpCESA expression levels measured by RT-qPCR**

Testing of primer specificity by PCR showed that all primer pairs amplified fragments of the expected size when paired with their corresponding cDNA plasmid template and no amplification was seen when primers were paired with other *PpCESA* cDNA templates or in no template control reactions (Figure S1). All primer pairs had efficiencies of 90% to 110% (Table S1). Despite repeated attempts, we were unable to design efficient primers that specifically amplified *PpCESA6*, which is nearly identical to *PpCESA7* throughout the CDS and UTR sequences (Wise et al., 2011).

RT-qPCR performed on RNA isolated from cultured protonemal tissue and leafy gametophores isolated by dissection show that *PpCESA10* is more highly expressed in the protonemal tissue (P<0.0001) and *PpCESA3, PpCESA5*, and *PpCESA7* are more highly
expressed in gametophores (P<0.005) (Figure 3A). To test whether differences in *PpCESA* expression extrapolated from analysis of EST abundances (Nishiyama et al., 2003; Roberts and Bushoven, 2007) are valid, *PpCESA* expression was measured by RT-qPCR in tissues that had been induced to differentiate on media containing different nitrogen sources (ammonium and nitrate (BCDAT), which stimulates protonemal growth, or only nitrate (BCD), which promotes gametophore development) and hormone supplements (cytokinin, which promotes over-production gametophores, or auxin, which promotes over-production of rhizoids) (Cove and Quatrano, 2004; Nishiyama et al., 2003). *PpCESA8* is up-regulated in tissue cultured on BCD vs. BCDAT medium, whereas all other *PpCESAs* are expressed at equal levels on both media (Figure 3B). The auxin treatment resulted in significant up-regulation of *PpCESA3, PpCESA4, PpCESA7* and *PpCESA8* compared to BCDAT controls, whereas only *PpCESA7* and *PpCESA8* were up-regulated compared to BCD controls. The expression of *PpCESA5* and *PpCESA10* was not significantly different in cultures with and without auxin (Figure 3B). The cytokinin treatment resulted in significant up-regulation of all *PpCESAs*, except *PpCESA10*, compared to both BCDAT and BCD controls.

**Microarray analysis of PpCESA expression**

Microarray expression profiles of the 7 *PpCESAs* (including profiles of *PpCESA6* and *PpCESA7* combined) analyzed relative to anatomy and development showed high expression of *PpCESA10* and *PpCESA5* in protonema and in association with protonemal development, including germination of protoplasts and spores (Figures S2, S3). In contrast, all *PpCESAs* except *PpCESA10* were expressed at moderate to high levels in gametophores and in association with gametophore growth and development. Although phyllids (i.e. leaves) are part of the gametophore, the phyllid experiments included in the array data involved
dedifferentiation of excised phyllids and initiation of protonemal growth (Hiss et al., 2014). Thus, expression of *PpCESA10* in these samples confirms association with protonemal development. Many of the experimental perturbations tested had little effect on *PpCESA* expression (Figure S4). However, up-regulation of *PpCESA10* and *PpCESA8* was detected at most time points in the phyllid dedifferentiation experiment, whereas *PpCESA5* was highly up-regulated in the first two hours after leaf excision and down-regulated at later time points. *PpCESA8* and *PpCESA4* were down-regulated by high light and dehydration/rehydration treatments. *PpCESA3*, *PpCESA5* and *PpCESA8* were down-regulated in the dark.

**Discussion:**

Numerous rosette CSCs were visible with freeze fracture electron microscopy in protonemal tips of *P. patens* and the related species *Funaria hygrometrica* (Reiss et al., 1984; Roberts et al., 2012) indicating the importance of cellulose synthesis in protonemal development. High expression detected by RT-qPCR shows that PpCESA10 is associated with protonemal cellulose synthesis. However, promoter-GUS analysis indicates that all PpCESAs may participate in deposition of the protonemal cell wall. This is consistent with microarray data (Hiss et al., 2014) analyzed through Genevestigator (Nebion AG), which show high *PpCESA10* and low to moderate expression of other *PpCESAs* in protonema and in association with their development (Figures S2, S3).

Cell wall synthesis in gametophores appears to involve multiple PpCESAs, with expression of isoforms changing throughout development. All *PpCESAs* except *PpCESA10* are expressed at moderate to high levels during gametophore development based on analysis of microarray data (Figures S2, S3). RT-qPCR corroborated this and revealed that *PpCESA3,*
PpCESA5, and PpCESA7 are more highly expressed in gametophores compared to protonema (Figure 3A). RT-qPCR also showed up-regulation of all but PpCESA10 in cytokinin-treated cultures that overproduced gametophores (Figure 3B), consistent in general with analysis of ESTs (Nishiyama et al., 2003; Roberts and Bushoven, 2007). Expression of PpCESA3, PpCESA5, PpCESA6, PpCESA7, and PpCESA8 is associated with cell division in the basal regions of developing leaves (Harrison et al., 2009), gametophore buds, and meristems (Figures 1 and 2). Expression of PpCESA3, PpCESA6, PpCESA7, and PpCESA8 in midribs may indicate participation in thickening of midrib cell walls (Figure 1). PpCESA3 co-expression with NAC transcription factor PpVN7 (Xu et al., 2014) is also consistent with a role in this process.

PpCESA10 is expressed transiently in the margins of leaves that are just beginning to expand, consistent with a specific role in gametophore development.

PpCESA6, PpCESA7 and PpCESA8 appear to be the main contributors to cellulose synthesis in rhizoids based on up-regulation of PpCESA7 and PpCESA8 by auxin compared to BCD (Figure 3B) and over representation of PpCESA6 and PpCESA8 ESTs in libraries from auxin-treated tissue (Roberts and Bushoven, 2007). Strong PpCESA6pro activity (Figure 1L) and PpCESA6-GFP localization in rhizoids (Wise et al., 2011), also suggest that PpCESA6 is involved in rhizoid development. However, analysis of ppcesa6KO, ppcesa7KO, (Wise et al., 2011) and ppcesa8KO (unpublished data) have shown no defects in rhizoid development indicating possible redundant function in rhizoid development (Wise et al., 2011).

We anticipated that expression profiling would indicate which PpCESAs interact within hetero-oligomeric CSCs, as was the case with Arabidopsis (Brown et al., 2005; Persson et al., 2005). However, no strong co-expression patterns were detected. Whereas PpCESA4 and PpCESA10 are down-regulated in gametophore buds and meristems, only PpCESA10 is
significantly up-regulated in protonema, and cluster analysis of microarray data does not show co-expression. *PpCESA5* is expressed in gametophore meristems as expected based on the mutant phenotype (Goss et al., 2012). Although *PpCESA3, PpCESA6, PpCESA7,* and *PpCESA8* are also expressed in gametophore meristems, knockout mutants of *PpCESA6* and *PpCESA7* (Wise et al., 2011) and *PpCESA3* and *PpCESA8* (unpublished data) do not phenocopy *ppcesa5KO*. Thus, *P. patens* differs from *Arabidopsis*, in which null mutations in any of the *CESA*s expressed in secondary cell wall synthesis (i.e. *AtCESA4, AtCESA7* and *AtCESA8*) result in the same collapsed xylem phenotype and null mutations in either of two *CESA*s expressed in primary cell wall synthesis (i.e. *AtCESA1* and *AtCESA3*) are embryo lethal (Persson et al., 2007; Taylor et al., 2003). Instead, overlapping *CESA* expression and lack of strong phenotypes for most single *PpCESAKOs* are consistent with functional interchangeability of PpCESAs. Phylogenetic analysis of CESAs indicates that PpCESAs diversified and evolved specialized functions independently from seed plant CESAs and that the common ancestor of mosses and seed plants had a single *CESA* gene, and therefore homooligomeric CSCs (Roberts and Bushoven, 2007). This implies that the evolution of seed plant and moss *CESA* families involved different patterns of functional specialization and that obligate hetero-oligomeric CSCs have not evolved in the moss lineage.

**Supporting information:**

Supplemental File 1. Supplemental tables

Supplemental File 2. Primer specificity test

Supplemental File 3. Cluster analysis of public microarray data
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Figure Legends:

Figure 1. *PpCESA expression analyzed by histochemical staining.* (A-G) All *PpCESApro::GUS* lines grown on BCDAT medium for 6 d and stained for 6 h show expression in protonema. (I-O) *PpCESApro::GUS* lines grown on BCD for 2 weeks and stained for 16 h show expression in (I,K,M,N) gametophore meristems, leaves, and young rhizoids (L) throughout gametophore stems and older rhizoids, and also in leaves or (O) only within leaves or (J) no expression. (Q-W) Leaves from gametophores with 6-10 leaves from *PpCESApro::GUS* lines sampled at 2 weeks and stained for 16 h show (Q,S,T,V) strong staining in the leaf bases, (U) weak staining in the leaf bases, or (Q,T,U,V) weak staining in developing veins. (H,P,X) No staining in negative control line transformed with a vector containing *GUS* with no promoter. (Y) *cesa5KO* rescued with *PpCESA5pro::PpCESA5* showing gametophores and (Z) negative control *cesa5KO* transformed with empty si3pTH vector without gametophores. Bars shown in A for A-H, I for I-P, Q for Q-X and Y for Y-Z.

Figure 2. *PpCESA expression in buds analyzed by histochemical staining.*

*PpCESApro::GUS* lines grown on BCD for 1 week and stained for 6 h show expression throughout young buds in (A) *PpCESA3pro::GUS*, (C) *PpCESA5pro::GUS*, (D) *PpCESA6pro::GUS*, (E) *PpCESA7pro::GUS*, and (F) *PpCESA8pro::GUS*. No staining was seen within the buds in (B) *PpCESA4pro::GUS*, (G) *PpCESA10pro::GUS*, and (H) negative control *GUS* lines. Expression in (I) the apical meristem of older buds with leaves in *PpCESA3pro::GUS* and (J) axillary hairs (arrows) in *PpCESA4pro::GUS*. 
Figure 3. **RT qPCR analysis of CES4 expression in P. patens.** *PpCESA* expression levels relative to *PpVhpp* and *PpACT* in (A) 6-day-old protonemal cultures and developing gametophores dissected from 3-week-old cultures of *P. patens*, *PpCESA10* is more highly expressed in protonemal tissue and *PpCESA3*, *PpCESA5*, and *PpCESA7* are more highly expressed in gametophores, and (B) cultures grown on BCDAT, BCD, BCD+auxin, and BCD+cytokinin for 7 days. *PpCESA8* has higher expression on BCD medium, which induces gametophore development, while all other *PpCESAs* have equivalent expression on both BCD and BCDAT, which induces protonemal growth. *PpCESA3*, *PpCESA4*, *PpCESA7*, and *PpCESA8* were up-regulated in auxin-treated tissues that over-produce rhizoids and *PpCESA3*, *PpCESA4*, *PpCESA5*, *PpCESA7*, and *PpCESA8* were up-regulated in cytokinin-treated tissues that over-produce gametophores.
Figure 1. PpCESA expression analyzed by histochemical staining. (A-G) All PpCESApro::GUS lines grown on BCDAT medium for 6 d and stained for 6 h show expression in protonema. (I-O) PpCESApro::GUS lines grown on BCD for 2 weeks and stained for 16 h show expression in (I,K,M,N) gametophore meristems, leaves, and young rhizoids (L) throughout gametophore stems and older rhizoids, and also in leaves or (O) only within leaves or (J) no expression. (Q-W) Leaves from gametophores with 6-10 leaves from PpCESApro::GUS lines sampled at 2 weeks and stained for 16 h show (Q,S,T,V) strong staining in the leaf bases, (U) weak staining in the leaf bases, or (Q,T,U,V) weak staining in developing veins. (H,P,X) No staining in negative control line transformed with a vector containing GUS with no promoter. (Y) cesa5KO rescued with PpCESA5pro::PpCESA5 showing gametophores and (Z) negative control cesa5KO transformed with empty si3pTH vector without gametophores. Bars shown in A for A-H, I for I-P, Q for Q-X and Y for Y-Z. 92x45mm (300 x 300 DPI)
Figure 2. PpCESA expression in buds analyzed by histochemical staining. PpCESApro::GUS lines grown on BCD for 1 week and stained for 6 h show expression throughout young buds in (A) PpCESA3pro::GUS, (C) PpCESA5pro::GUS, (D) PpCESA6pro::GUS, (E) PpCESA7pro::GUS, and (F) PpCESA8pro::GUS. No staining was seen within the buds in (B) PpCESA4pro::GUS, (G) PpCESA10pro::GUS, and (H) negative control GUS lines. Expression in (I) the apical meristem of older buds with leaves in PpCESA3pro::GUS and (J) axillary hairs (arrows) in PpCESA4pro::GUS. 103x103mm (300 x 300 DPI)
Figure 3. RT qPCR analysis of CESA expression in P. patens. PpCESA expression levels relative to PpVhpp and PpACT in (A) 6-day-old protonemal cultures and developing gametophores dissected from 3-week-old cultures of P. patens, PpCESA10 is more highly expressed in protonemal tissue and PpCESA3, PpCESA5, and PpCESA7 are more highly expressed in gametophores, and (B) cultures grown on BCDAT, BCD, BCD+auxin, and BCD+cytokinin for 7 days. PpCESA8 has higher expression on BCD medium, which induces gametophore development, while all other PpCESAs have equivalent expression on both BCD and BCDAT, which induces protonemal growth. PpCESA3, PpCESA4, PpCESA7, and PpCESA8 were up-regulated in auxin-treated tissues that over-produce rhizoids and PpCESA3, PpCESA4, PpCESA5, PpCESA7, and PpCESA8 were up-regulated in cytokinin-treated tissues that over-produce gametophores.
Table S1: Primers used for *PpCESA* promoter::*GUS* vector construction. Sequence ID numbers are from [www.cosmoss.org](http://www.cosmoss.org) except as indicated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer Name</th>
<th>Sequence ID</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Annealing temp.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CesA3PROattB1</td>
<td>Pp1s8_137V6</td>
<td>GGGGACAAGTTTGTACAAAAAGCAGGCTAATACACACACACGCACGCCTC</td>
<td>58˚C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CesA3PROattB5r</td>
<td></td>
<td>GGGGACACCTTTGTATACAAAGGCTGCAACGCCACCTCCGCT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CesA4PROattB1</td>
<td>Pp1s90_244V6</td>
<td>GGGGACAAGTTTGTACAAAAAGCAGGCTGCAACTTATGATGCGCTGAGT</td>
<td>59˚C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CesA4PROattB5r</td>
<td></td>
<td>GGGGACACCTTTGTATACAAAGGCTGCAACTTATGATGCGCTGAGT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CesA5PROattB1</td>
<td>Pp1s30_48V6</td>
<td>GGGGACAAGTTTGTACAAAAAGCAGGCTGCTATGAGTATCTGACGACTGC</td>
<td>64˚C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CesA5PROattB5r</td>
<td></td>
<td>GGGGACACCTTTGTATACAAAGGCTGCAACTTATGATGCGCTGAGT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CesA6PROattB1</td>
<td>Pp1s189_96V6</td>
<td>GGGGACAGTTTGTACAAAAAGCAGGCTGCTTGAGGATCCATACCCACGT</td>
<td>64˚C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CesA6PROattB5r</td>
<td></td>
<td>GGGGACACCTTTGTATACAAAGGCTGCAACTTATGATGCGCTGAGT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CesA7PROattB1</td>
<td>Pp1s189_92V6</td>
<td>GGGGACAGTTTGTACAAAAAGCAGGCTGCTTGAGGATCCATACCCACGT</td>
<td>64˚C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CesA7PROattB5r</td>
<td></td>
<td>GGGGACACCTTTGTATACAAAGGCTGCAACTTATGATGCGCTGAGT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CesA8PROattB1</td>
<td>Pp1s112_75V6</td>
<td>GGGGACAGTTTGTACAAAAAGCAGGCTGCTTGAGGATCCATACCCACGT</td>
<td>62˚C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CesA8PROattB5r</td>
<td></td>
<td>GGGGACACCTTTGTATACAAAGGCTGCAACTTATGATGCGCTGAGT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CesA10PROattB1</td>
<td>Pp1s213_4V6</td>
<td>GGGGACAGTTTGTACAAAAAGCAGGCTGCTTGAGGATCCATACCCACGT</td>
<td>64˚C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CesA10PROattB5r</td>
<td></td>
<td>GGGGACACCTTTGTATACAAAGGCTGCAACTTATGATGCGCTGAGT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUSattB5</td>
<td>Genbank</td>
<td>TATCATGAGATTATCTGCAGGGCAGGCCACCTGG</td>
<td>62˚C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUSattB2</td>
<td>FB507484.1</td>
<td>ATCACAAAGTTTGTACAAAAAGCAGGCTGCTTGAGGATCCATACCCACGTGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CesA5attB5</td>
<td>Pp1s30_48V6</td>
<td>GGGGACAGTTTGTACAAAAAGCAGGCTGCTTGAGGATCCATACCCACGTGG</td>
<td>68˚C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CesA5attB2</td>
<td></td>
<td>GGGGACACCTTTGTATACAAAGGCTGCAACTTATGAGGCTAATGAGGGGACACCTGCACCTGC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S2: Primers used for RT-qPCR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Amplicon size</th>
<th>Primer concentrations (µM)</th>
<th>Annealing temp.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>PpCESA3</em></td>
<td>cesa3f2</td>
<td>TCTAATTGAGCGAGGGACC</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cesa3r</td>
<td>ATCCTCCGCCACCTTCATTG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>PpCESA4</em></td>
<td>cesa4f2</td>
<td>CGGTCATTTGGACAAACATG</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cesa4r2</td>
<td>GCGTTGCAAGATACTCACT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>PpCESA5</em></td>
<td>cesa5df</td>
<td>TGCAGGCTCACAAATCGTA</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cesa5cR</td>
<td>GTCACAAGTCATCCCACAT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>PpCESA7</em></td>
<td>cesa7aF</td>
<td>GCGAATGCAGGGCTGCTG</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cesa7bR</td>
<td>ACATTACTCAACGGCTCGG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>PpCESA8</em></td>
<td>cesa8F5</td>
<td>AATTCACGGCCACGGCTGA</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cesa8R4</td>
<td>GCAAGTGCGACAAGCTGGAAGG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>PpCESA10</em></td>
<td>cesa10f2</td>
<td>GGAGATTGACTCATCCACCT</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cesa10r2</td>
<td>AACCTCCCTCCACCTTGGCT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cDNA</td>
<td>CESA3</td>
<td>CESA4</td>
<td>CESA5</td>
<td>CESA6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cesa3f2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cesa3r</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cesa4f2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cesa4r2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cesa5df</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cesa5cR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cesa7aF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cesa7bR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cesa8F5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cesa8R4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cesa10f2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cesa10r2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure S1. Primer specificity test. Primer pairs (left) were tested for specificity by PCR using plasmids containing full-length *PpCESA* cDNA clones (top) as templates in 25 µL PCR reaction. 10 µL of each PCR reaction was run on a 2% agarose gel at 100V in 1x TAE buffer. Primers amplified only the expected targets. All visible bands on the gels are shown.
**Figure S2.** Expression matrix of *PpCESAs* clustered by gene and by anatomical structure.

**Dataset:** 4 anatomical parts (sample selection: PP–SAMPLES–0)
6 genes (gene selection: PP–GENES–0)
**Dataset**: 3 developmental stages (sample selection: PP-SAMPLES-0)  
6 genes (gene selection: PP-GENES-0)

Figure S3. Expression matrix of *PpCESAs* clustered by gene and by developmental process.
Figure S4. Expression matrix of *PpCESAs* clustered by gene and by experimental perturbation.