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**Presentation Title:**
- Six impossible things: Moving KBART into the next decade

**Abstract**

KBART is one of the most successful NISO recommendations today. Formally supported by over 80 organizations across all stakeholder groups, it enables a standardized transfer of data between content providers and knowledge bases. Most recently KBART added an automated process to transfer holdings data to localize an institution’s knowledge base holdings. While KBART was originally built to focus on journal and book data, the world has moved on—the different flavors and nuances of open access, the increased use of audiovisual material, holdings at the chapter and article levels, and issues around translations, transliterations, and author names are just some of the challenges that are disrupting the flow. So what is next for KBART? How does it adapt to continue to solve the data flow problems that libraries,
publishers, and knowledge base providers face today? The presenters in this session are all members of the NISO KBART Standing Committee and/or the KBART Automation Working Group. They will discuss the status and future of a “Phase 3” revision of NISO KBART that aims not only to clarify the existing recommendations but also to expand them to address the new challenges, including the support of additional content types beyond serials and monographs and improvements to item-level discovery and access. During the session, we will raise discussion points and encourage candid interactions, where the audience can contribute their ideas and concerns to keep the ball rolling into 2020 and well beyond.

Main points
Automated updates of institutional holdings is just one example of extending the use of the KBART file format in ways not anticipated by its current iteration. With changes in user expectations and in the content marketplace, libraries have seen an increased need to manage holdings in nontraditional formats, track item-level metadata, handle various “flavors” of Open Access rights, and provide access to translations and other international content. The KBART Standing Committee is in the process of proposing a substantial revision to the Recommended Practice, last updated in 2014, with the aim of both clarifying the existing recommendations and expanding them to address adopters’ most pressing needs. This session will present the status and future of these plans and solicit audience feedback in an effort to steer the project toward a practical balance of lower barriers to adoption and higher value to adopters.

Problem Statement
1. Formats beyond serials and monographs
2. Article/chapter-level metadata
3. Nuances of hybrid OA
4. Support for XML file format
5. Support (endorsement) tiers
6. Tracking of past subscriptions
7. Global content (translations, transliterations, author names)
8. Change in KBART scope (including Automation)

Project Goals
1. Clarify current recommendations
2. Clarify endorsement process
3. Support additional content types
4. Support KBART Automation
5. Update mission statement
6. Improve item-level discovery and access

Relevance to attendees
Content providers, knowledge base vendors, and libraries all must adjust their workflows to accommodate changes to the KBART Recommended Practice, so each group of attendees has a stake in voicing their needs and concerns about potential developments.

How the presentation is unique on the topic
As members of NISO’s KBART Standing Committee and KBART Automation Working Group, the presenters are uniquely positioned to discuss developments related to the proposed Phase 3 update to this widely accepted recommended practice.

Ways you will engage audience
Presenters welcome feedback from the audience, including both ideas and concerns that will help the committee plan an appropriate scope for upcoming revisions.