KBART Recommended Practice Phase III Ideas

Low-hanging fruit
- RP gives expanded guidance and examples of files and metadata fields
- RP recommends that content providers post a guide to their KBART files, including version history
- RP supports additional content types, including textual and nontextual formats
- RP improves support for global content, including non-Latin scripts
- RP clarifies and enhances the endorsement process, possibly in tiers
- RP provides model license language for libraries to propose to content providers

Tough questions
- To what extent and in what ways should KBART’s purpose shift to accommodate its current uses?
- Should KBART support article- and chapter-level metadata?
- Should KBART include optional XML recommendations?

Survey
What are the top three priorities that you are hoping that a revised KBART Recommended Practice would address? (These may or may not be in the lists on the reverse.)

1.

2.

3.

What is your role?
☐ Content provider  ☐ Knowledgebase vendor  ☐ Librarian  ☐ Other ________________

Drop off this form with your feedback, or take the survey online at http://bit.ly/KBART2019.