THE UNIVERSITY OF RHODE ISLAND

University of Rhode Island [DigitalCommons@URI](https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/)

[Biological Sciences Faculty Publications](https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/bio_facpubs) **Biological Sciences** Biological Sciences

2015

A Molecular-Assisted Alpha Taxonomic Study of the Genus Centroceras (Ceramiaceae, Rhodophyta) in Bermuda Reveals Two Novel Species

Craig W. Schneider

Elisabeth N. Cianciola

Thea R. Popolizio University of Rhode Island, tpopolizio@uri.edu

Dylan S. Spagnuolo

Christopher E. Lane University of Rhode Island, clane@uri.edu

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/bio_facpubs](https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/bio_facpubs?utm_source=digitalcommons.uri.edu%2Fbio_facpubs%2F64&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Citation/Publisher Attribution

Craig W. Schneider, Elisabeth N. Cianciola, Thea R. Popolizio, Dylan S. Sagnuolo, and Christopher Lane. (2015). "A molecular-assisted alpha taxonomic study of the genus Centroceras (Ceramiaceae, Rhodophyta) in Bermuda reveals two novel species." Algae, 30(1), 15-33. Available at:<http://dx.doi.org/10.4490/algae.2015.30.1.015>

This Article is brought to you by the University of Rhode Island. It has been accepted for inclusion in Biological Sciences Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact digitalcommons-group@uri.edu. For permission to reuse copyrighted content, contact the author directly.

A Molecular-Assisted Alpha Taxonomic Study of the Genus Centroceras (Ceramiaceae, Rhodophyta) in Bermuda Reveals Two Novel Species

Creative Commons License

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 3.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/)

This article is available at DigitalCommons@URI: https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/bio_facpubs/64

Research Article

Algae 2015, 30(1): 15-33 http://dx.doi.org/10.4490/algae.2015.30.1.015

Open Access

A molecular-assisted alpha taxonomic study of the genus *Centroceras* **(Ceramiaceae, Rhodophyta) in Bermuda reveals two novel species**

 \mathbf{C} raig W. Schneider 1,* , Elisabeth N. Cianciola 1 , Thea R. Popolizio 2 , Dylan S. Spagnuolo 1 **and Christopher E. Lane²**

1 Department of Biology, Trinity College, Hartford, CT 06106, USA 2 Department of Biological Sciences, University of Rhode Island, Kingston, RI 02881, USA

When the generitype *Centroceras clavulatum*, a presumed cosmopolitan warm temperate to tropical red alga, was discovered to have a biogeographic distribution limited to the Pacific Ocean using molecular and morphological evidence, the taxonomy in the genus *Centroceras* was thrown into chaos worldwide. An analysis of what species was, or were, previously identified as *C. clavulatum* in Bermuda is the focus of the present molecular (COI-5P, *rbc*L) and morphological study. Two novel species are proposed, *C. arcii* sp. nov. and *C. illaqueans* sp. nov., and the distributions of three taxa recently segregated in the '*C. clavulatum* complex' of the western Atlantic, *C. gasparrinii*, *C. hyalacanthum*, and *C. micracanthum*, have been expanded to include Bermuda. *C. arcii* is shown to be morphologically cryptic with *C. micracanthum*, and remains best distinguished by its COI-5P barcode sequence.

Key Words: Bermuda; *Centroceras* spp.; *C. arcii* sp. nov.; *C. illaqueans* sp. nov.; Ceramiaceae; COI-5P; *rbc*L; Rhodophyta

INTRODUCTION

In the early 20th century when the first modern compilation of algal species for Bermuda was completed by Collins and Hervey (1917), only a single *Centroceras* species was recorded, at that time regarded as *Ceramium clavulatum* C. Agardh. This species was thought to be the "commonest and most variable Bermudian representative of the Ceramiaceae" (Howe 1918). Howe (1918) recognized that *Centroceras clavulatum* (C. Agardh) Mont. was distinguished from *Ceramium* by its nodal spines, and that their "length, shape, and abundance" varied greatly among individuals found in the many different habitats of the islands. Despite this, at the time Taylor (1960) completed his comprehensive flora of the warm waters of the western Atlantic, only a single species of *Centroceras* was again reported. Since then and prior to the advent of mo-

This is an Open Access article distributed under the $\left(\overline{cc}\right)$ terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

lecular sequencing, only two other species had been added to the western Atlantic flora, *C. internitens* Gallagher et Humm (Gallagher and Humm 1983) and *C. minutum* Yamada (Littler et al. 2008), both small epiphytes that could not be confused with the larger *C. clavulatum*.

Until recently, *C. clavulatum* was considered a poster child for cosmopolitan marine algae (Lüning 1990, Van den Hoek and Breeman 1990). It had been recorded from the Atlantic, Pacific and Indian Oceans (Guiry and Guiry 2014), and from warm temperate to tropical waters (Lüning 1990). De Barros-Barreto et al. (2006) produced an *rbc*L maximum-likelihood (ML) tree that first demonstrated there were at least two genetic species within *C. clavulatum* in the Atlantic, one from Brazil a second from the Canary Islands. A molecular-assisted alpha

Received October 21, 2014, **Accepted** December 26, 2014 ***Corresponding Author**

E-mail: cschneid@trincoll.edu Tel: +1-860-297-2233, Fax: +1-860-297-2538

taxonomic (MAAT) study by Won et al. (2009) restricted the biogeographic range of *C. clavulatum* to the Pacific Ocean (type locality = Peru). The Won et al. (2009) study segregated out five species from the Gulf of Mexico and Caribbean, southern Chile and South Africa from a limited set of sequenced samples. They also reported that three western Atlantic species were resurrected from the '*C. clavulatum* complex;' *C. gasparrinii* (Menegh.) Kütz., *C. hyalacanthum* Kütz., and *C. micracanthum* Kütz., all listed as synonyms of *C. clavulatum* since the middle of the 19th century (Agardh 1851). Because *C. clavulatum* was until now one of just two species reported from Bermuda, island collections were in need of both molecular and morphological assessment to determine their taxonomic identities, the focus of the present study.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Specimens were collected by scuba or snorkeling at the depths reported in Table 1 and locations recorded using a Garmin TM GPS III Plus (Garmin Ltd., Olathe, KS, USA). All of the species were found in similar lower intertidal to shallow subtidal habitats on coral reefs or as epiphytes on other macroalgae. Only one species, *Centroceras micracanthum*, was found extending into deep water habitats. Portions of each specimen were dried on silica gel for DNA extraction, fixed in 4-5% formalin in seawater, and the remaining pressed as herbarium vouchers. Prior to drying, all DNA vouchers were photographed using a Canon Powershot s90 camera (Canon Inc., Tokyo, Japan). Axes with apical regions were whole-mounted onto glass slides, and liquid-preserved specimens were sectioned at 25 µm using an American Optical freezing microtome (American Optical, Buffalo, NY, USA). All of the mounts were affixed permanently in a 20 : 1 solution of 30% Karo corn syrup and 1% aniline blue. Herbarium specimens were scanned on an HP Photosmart Premium (Hewlett-Packard Company, Palo Alto, CA, USA), and photomicrographs were taken using Zeiss Axioskop 40 microscope (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) equipped with a model 11.2 Spot InSight 2 digital camera (Diagnostic Instruments, Sterling Heights, MI, USA). The digital images were assembled using Adobe Photoshop CS6 v.13.0.1 (Adobe Systems, San Jose, CA, USA). Voucher specimens are deposited in the first author's personal herbarium (*Craig W. Schneider* [CWS]), with some duplicates sent to KIRI, MICH, NY and the Bermuda Natural History Museum (BAMZ). The referenced *Phycotheca Boreali-Americana* (*P.B.-A.*) exsiccata represents the set belonging to the first author, herbarium abbreviations follow the online *Index Herbariorum* (http://sweetgum.nybg.org/ih/), and standard author initials/abbreviations follow Brummitt and Powell (1992).

Silica-dried samples were ground in liquid nitrogen and stored at -20°C. Sample DNA was extracted from 0.5 µL ground material using the Sigma-Aldrich GenElute Plant Genomic Miniprep Kit (Sigma-Aldrich Corp., St. Louis, MO, USA) according to manufacturer protocol, with a modified lysis solution (50 µL of 10% Tween 20 and 5 µL of 20 mg mL-1 ProK), as well as 1 h at 23°C incubation followed by a 20 min incubation on ice (Saunders and Druehl 1993). DNA was amplified via polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with the Takara Ex-Taq DNA polymerase kit (PanVera, Madison, WI, USA) in an Eppendorf AG Mastercycler epGradient thermal cycler (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). To assign all specimens to species groups, two oligonucleotide primers were used for both sequencing and amplification of the COI-5P mitochondrial marker, GWSFn (Le Gall and Saunders 2010) and GWSRx (Saunders and McDevit 2012). Several specimens were selected for additional sequencing of the plastidencoded RuBisCO operon. Four oligonucleotide primers were used for both amplification and sequencing of two overlapping fragments (forward RR1 5′ ATGTCTAACTCT-GTAGAAG 3′ and reverse RR4 5′ TTCAGCTCTTTCATACAT 3′; forward RrIf 5′ TCTCAGCCTTTTATGCGTTG 3′ and reverse Rrr 5′ ATCTCACTATTCTATACTCC 3′). A denaturation cycle of 94°C for 4 min was followed by 38 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 50°C for 1 min, 72°C for 2 min, and a final extension of 72°C for 7 min. Samples were stored at 4°C until removed from the system. Amplified DNA was treated with Sigma-Aldrich GenElute PCR Clean-Up Kit per manufacturer protocol, and the purified PCR product was sequenced at the Rhode Island Genomics and Sequencing Center using the Applied Biosystems Inc. 3130xl Genetic Analyzer (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY, USA).

Sequence data were aligned using the multiple sequence comparison (MUSCLE) by log-expectation) alignment program in Geneious (v.6.1.8 available from http://www.geneious.com) followed by neighbor-joining analysis of the COI-5P barcode alignment (59 specimens, 567 sites) with Tamura-nei-corrected distances (default setting). This tree was used to identify genetic species groups.

The best models of evolution for the individual gene regions COI-5P (10 taxa, 567 sites) and *rbc*L (30 taxa, 1,289 sites), after removing identical sequence replicates as identified in the previous analysis, were first estimated

Fig. 1. Consensus tree derived from maximum-likelihood (ML) analyses of COI-5P sequences. Values above branches are bootstrap supports for ML (1,000 replicates) followed by Bayesian posterior probabilities in %. An asterisk (*) indicates full support for both robustness metrics (refer to Table 1 for GenBank numbers).

in jModelTest volume 2.1.5 (Guindon and Gascuel 2003, Darriba et al. 2012). The model GTR + I + G model was implemented for both COI-5P and *rbc*L. Maximum-likelihood (ML) analyses for the COI-5P data were conducted using the PHYML 3.0 (Guindon et al. 2010) plugin for Geneious, with BIONJ used to designate the starting tree, best of nearest-neighbor interchange or subtree pruning and regrafting branch-swapping options, and with the tree topology, branch lengths and substitution rates optimized. Branch support was estimated using 1,000 bootstrap replicates. Bayesian analyses were conducted using MrBayes v.3.2.2 (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck 2003) and run with four parallel chains including three heated

chains, with branch lengths optimized during the run for one million generations. The initial 2,500 trees were discarded as the burn-in. Posterior probabilities were estimated based on the remaining trees. The *rbc*L ML phylogeny was estimated using the RAxML graphical user interface (Silvestro and Michalak 2012) with 1,000 bootstrap replicates. Bayesian posterior probabilities were generated in MrBayes, with the same parameters as in the COI-5P analysis. Stationarity was attained after the first $250,000$ generations (burnin = $2,500$ trees). The COI-5P (Fig. 1) and *rbc*L (Fig. 2) consensus trees were both manipulated for presentation using FigTree software (http:// tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/).

Table 1. Continued

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Based on our many Bermuda DNA samples and available sequences from GenBank (Table 1), COI-5P barcode analyses (Fig. 1) and *rbc*L phylogenies were generated (Fig. 2). As shown in these trees, the three species Won et al. (2009) resurrected for the western Atlantic Ocean by aligning type specimens with their recent genetic material, *C. gasparrinii*, *C. hyalacanthum*, and *C. micracanthum*, are found in the Bermuda flora. In addition, two novel species were delineated in our molecular analyses. During the 20th century, all of these five species would have been identified as *C. clavulatum* in the islands (Schneider 2003, p. 301)*.* Along with the new genetic information, we follow Won et al. (2009, Fig. 14) in using nodal cortical units and the origin, number and shape of cortical cells, glands and spines produced from cortical initials as distinguishing features among the species. The descriptions presented for each species below reflect genetically determined specimens from Bermuda, Florida and the U.S. Virgin Islands.

Centroceras arcii **C. W. Schneid., Cianciola et Popolizio sp. nov. (Figs 3 & 4A)**

Description. Plants brownish- to rosy-red, with prostrate and erect axes 160-260 (-300) µm in diam. and to 5 cm tall (Fig. 3A & B); overall branching pattern pseudodichotomous with a branch or pair of branches usually occurring in the notches of main branches at apices (Fig. 3C); branches forming at intervals of 7-14 axial cells on the main axes, the branches divaricate and arched reflexively with straight or forcipate apices; adventitious branches developing from periaxial cells in lower portions (Fig. 3C); uniseriate, multicellular rhizoids issued from prostrate axes and lower portions of erect axes; 5-12 straight, 2-celled spines whorled at axial cell nodes, one always found in notches of dichotomous branches, 24-40 µm diam. and 80-130 µm long (Fig. 3D); distal ends of axial cells cutting off 14-16 periaxial cells, these remaining at the nodes during axial cell elongation (Fig. 3E); periaxial cells cutting off two cortical initials acropetally and one basipetally (Fig. 4A); the first cortical initial cutting off one spine and one elongate cortical cell, one gland cell and one elongate cortical cell, or two elongate cortical cells, the second cortical initial cutting off one elongate acropetal cortical cell and one basipetal cortical filament, the third cortical initial cutting off one basipetal filament; basipetal filaments made of mostly staggered cortical cell files of 8-27 cells, the cells rectangular in surface view,

Table 1.

Continued

Fig. 2. Phylogeny of *Centroceras* based on maximum-likelihood (ML) analyses of *rbc*L sequences. Values above branches are bootstrap supports for ML (1,000 replicates) followed by Bayesian posterior probabilities in %. An asterisk (*) indicates 100% support for both robustness metrics while a dash (-) indicates support values <80% (refer to Table 1 for GenBank numbers).

the smallest being 3-10 µm diam. and 9-43 µm long, the largest being 6-17 µm diam. and 8-54 µm long, these files completely corticating axial cells from node to node (Fig. 3F); tetrasporangia formed in whorls at the nodes (Fig. 3D), one produced from each periaxial cell, spherical to subspherical, 26-55 µm diam. and 38-75 µm long, subtended by 0-3 involucral filaments; gametangia not seen.

Diagnosis. This species is morphologically cryptic with *C. micracanthum*, but differs genetically in its COI-5P barcode sequences (refer to Table 1 for GenBank numbers).

Etymology. Named for A. Ralph Cavaliere (ARC), Professor Emeritus at Gettysburg College, who introduced the first author to the beauty of the algae, to commemorate his retirement as the beloved Charles H. Graff Professor of Biology. ARC spent many summers in Bermuda studying and teaching the local marine flora and publishing a number of works on seaweeds including his local field guide, Sterrer and Cavaliere (1998), *Bermuda's Seashore Plants and Seaweeds*. The epithet also is suggestive of *arcus* (L.), a bow-like curved line forming reflexively in distal portions of this species.

Holotype (designated here). Craig W. Schneider(*CWS*)/ Christopher E*.* Lane (*CEL*) 09-13-6, Mar 16, 2009, Horseshoe Bay grotto, south shore Bermuda Is., 32°15′01.1″ N, 64°49′23.9″ W, Bermuda, western Atlantic Ocean [MICH] (Fig. 3A), GenBank No. KP222800; isotypes—KIRI, NY, UNB, US, Herb. CWS.

Fig. 3. *Centroceras arcii* sp. nov. (A) Habit of one of two cards in holotype packet, *CWS/CEL* 09-13-6. (B) Habit displaying reflexive branching, *CWS/CEL/TRP* 12-21-10. (C) Axis with a branch pair in the notch of the main branch and single branch in penultimate notch, *CWS/CEL/TRP* 12-21- 10. (D) Axial node with cortical initials bearing elongated acropetal cells, spines, and tetrasporangium, *CWS/CEL* 08-9-2. (E) Cross section of axis showing 13 periaxial cells, *TRP* 12-34-4. (F) Axial segment displaying staggered, basipetal filaments of cortical cells, *TRP* 12-70-4. Ax, axial cell; C1- 3, sequence of cortical initials; *CEL*, Christopher E. Lane; *CWS*, Craig W. Schneider; P, periaxial cell. Scale bars represent: A, 1.5 cm; B, 2 cm; C, 2 mm; D-F, 50 µm.

Fig. 4. Diagrams of cortical initials with spines, acropetal cells and basipetal filaments at axial nodes in *Centroceras* species from Bermuda showing the pattern on most periaxial cells, the first (abaxial) periaxial cell development not depicted. (A) *C. arcii* sp. nov. (B) *C. gasparrinii*. (C) *C. hyalacanthum*. (D) *C. illaqueans* sp. nov. (E) *C. micracanthum*. P, periaxial cell; 1-3, sequence of cortical initials (dotted lines for species where all basipetal corticating cells not shown).

Paratypes. Bermuda—*CWS/CEL* 08-9-2 (\oplus), *CWS/ CEL* 09-1-3, *CWS/CEL* 09-25-6, *CWS/CEL/TRP* 12- 21-10 [BDA0701], *TRP* 12-27-13 [BDA0773], *TRP* 12-34-4 [BDA0870], *TRP* 12-50-6 [BDA1012], *TRP* 12-53-3 [BDA1023], *TRP* 12-63-5 [BDA1119], *TRP* 12- 69-2 [BDA1152], *TRP* 12-70-4 [BDA1058], *TRP* 12-82-4 [BDA1250]. St. John, Virgin Is.—*TRP* 10-3-4 (for collection details see Table 1).

Distribution. Bermuda, Virgin Islands.

Remarks. *C. arcii* is the most common member of the genus in Bermuda, and is sister to the morphologically cryptic and sympatric *C. micracanthum* in a separate clade according to COI-5P and *rbc*L analyses. Sequence divergence between the new species and *C. micracanthum* is ~1% for *rbc*L and ~9% for COI-5P, the latter clearly demonstrating genetic separation.

The most obvious morphological feature of *C. arcii* is its divaricate, reflexive branching (Fig. 3B) giving the plants an arching overall habit, a feature found in all mature collections of the species thus far. Unfortunately, *C. micracanthum* demonstrates at least some reflexive branching in ca. 75% of our collections and when this is the case, this species is difficult to distinguish from *C. arcii*. Won et al. (2009, Fig. 7b) demonstrated reflexive branching in *C. micracanthum*. Thus, *C. arcii* and *C. micracanthum* are cryptic in their anatomies (Table 2). At the extremes of ranges for certain characters, e.g., spine length, number of cells in descending cortical files and median sizes of tier cells, there are differences between the two species, but for the most part, they demonstrate overlapping ranges for cell dimensions and numbers (Table 2). At the extreme, cells composing basipetal cortical files are more numerous in *C. arcii* than those in *C. micracanthum*. Invariably, *C. arcii* has one or two branches formed in the notches of distal dichotomies, and such branches are uncommon in *C. micracanthum*. In the end, the two species are nearly indistinguishable and, as noted above, require gene sequencing to differentiate them with certainty.

As is also true for *C. micracanthum*, in all but the first periaxial cell cut off in *C. arcii*, three cortical initials form, two acropetally and one basipetally (Fig. 4A). The first periaxial cell cuts off three cortical initials acropetally and one cortical initial basipetally for a total of four cortical initials. In all cortical initial groups, the first cortical initial cuts off one spine and a single elongate cortical cell, one gland cell and a single elongate cortical cell, or two elongate cortical cells. The production of cortical cells from acropetal cortical initials in *C. arcii* is the same as that found in *C. micracanthum* and *C. illaqueans*, all producing only two cells from their first cortical initials. In *C. arcii*, the largest spines at nodes are longer than those of any other members of the '*C. clavulatum* complex' in Bermuda.

Centroceras gasparrinii **(Meneghini) Kützing 1849, p. 689 (Figs 4B & 5)**

Basionym. *Ceramium gasparrinii* Meneghini 1844, p. 186.

Description. Plants dark rosy-red with prostrate and erect axes 120-220 µm in diam. and to 7 cm tall; branching pattern pseudodichotomous with a branch or pairs of branches usually occurring in the notches of main branches at apices, branches forming at intervals of 10-12 axial cells on the main axes, the branches fastigiate with slightly forcipate apices (Fig. 5A); adventitious branches developing from periaxial cells in lower portions; uniseriate, multicellular rhizoids issued from prostrate axes and lower portions of erect axes; 5-8 straight, 2-celled spines whorled at axial cell nodes, one almost always found in notches of dichotomous branches, 14-25 µm diam. and 33-75 µm long (Fig. 5B & D); distal ends of axial cells cutting off 12-16 periaxial cells, these remaining at the nodes during axial cell elongation (Fig. 5C); periaxial cells cutting off two cortical initials acropetally and one basipetally (Fig. 4B); the first cortical initial cutting off one spine and one ovoid cortical cell, one gland cell and one ovoid cortical cell, or two ovoid cortical cells, the second cortical initial cutting off one acropetal cortical cell and one basipetal cortical filament, the third cortical initial cutting off one basipetal filament; basipetal filaments made up of mostly staggered cortical cell files of 12-35 cells, the cells rectangular in surface view, the smallest 4-10 µm diam. and 11-15 µm long, the largest 5-11 µm diam. and 12-18 µm long, these completely corticating axial cells from node to node (Fig. 5D); gland cells ovoid; gametangia and tetrasporangia not seen in Bermuda collections.

Type locality. Palermo, Sicily, Mediterranean Sea.

Distribution. Widely distributed in warm temperate to tropical zones in the Atlantic, Pacific and Indian Oceans.

Selected collections. Bermuda—*CWS/CEL* 09-5-11, *CWS/CEL* 10-23-2 [BDA0355], *CWS/CEL* 10-27-2 [BDA0429], *CWS/CEL* 10-31-1 [BDA0470], *CWS/CEL/TRP* 12-14-1 [BDA0627], *CWS/CEL/TRP* 12-16-2 [BDA0631], *CWS/CEL/ TRP* 12-20-13 [BDA0685], *TRP* 12-27-1 [BDA0760], *TRP* 12-32-5 [BDA0841] (for collection details see Table 1).

Remarks. Except for first periaxial cell cut off at apices of *C. gasparrinii*, each periaxial cells produce two cortical initials acropetally and one basipetally for a total of three initials (Fig. 4B). The first periaxial cell produces three cortical initials acropetally and one basipetally for a total of four initials. Each of the cortical initials after the first produces a descending uniseriate file of cortical cells, and together these completely cover internodal re-

Fig. 5. *Centroceras gasparrinii.* (A) Axis with straight, pseudodichotomous branching, *CWS/CEL/TRP* 12-20-13. (B) Axial node with cortical initials bearing ovoid acropetal cells and a spine, *CWS/CEL/TRP* 12-14-1. (C) Cross section of axis showing 14 periaxial cells, *CWS/CEL/TRP* 12-14-1. (D) Axial segment displaying staggered, basipetal filaments of cortical cells, *CWS/CEL/TRP* 12-14-1. Ax, axial cell; C1 & 2, sequence of cortical initials; *CEL*, Christopher E. Lane; *CWS*, Craig W. Schneider; P, periaxial cell; *TRP*, Thea R. Popolizio. Scale bars represent: A, 1.5 mm; B, 15 µm; C, 50 µm; D, 25 µm.

gions. *C. gasparrinii* is distinguished from all other congeners in Bermuda by its ovoid terminal acropetal cells (Fig. 5B), which are elongated in all of the other species, the small size of its spines, and its small rectangular cells in descending cortical filaments. Won et al. (2009) report whorled 3-celled spines at the nodes in *C. gasparrinii* but illustrate 2-celled spines (Won et al. 2009, Fig. 14b) similar to those found in the Bermuda specimens. Later, Won (2010) explained that he included the cortical initial as one of the cells of the spines. All of the other characteristics that Won et al. (2009) report for *C. gasparrinii* are in conformity with those found in Bermuda specimens.

It is not surprising that *C. gasparrinii* occurs in Bermuda, as it is presently the most geographically widespread of all of the 15 currently accepted species in the genus

(Guiry and Guiry 2014). Using *rbc*L sequences, Won et al. (2009) identified this species from a number of western Atlantic locations from Brazil to Mexico and Florida, but report a range that also includes the Mediterranean Sea, the Indian Ocean, Asia, South Africa, Hawaii, Pacific Central America, and California. It seems likely that *C. gasparrinii* represents many of the specimens identified in the past as *C. clavulatum* giving it its former worldwide distribution. Bermuda specimens in the Collins et al. (1913) exsiccata, *Phycotheca Boreali-Americana*, distributed as no. 1948, *Ceramium clavulatum* C. Agardh, are *C. gasparrinii*. An earlier *P.B.-A.* collection distributed as *C. clavulatum* from Key West, Florida (Collins et al. 1895, No. 148a) likewise represents *C. gasparrinii*.

Fig. 6. *Centroceras hyalacanthum.* (A) Axis with branch forming in the notch of the main axis, *CWS/CEL/TRP* 13-16-5. (B) Axial node with cortical initials bearing elongated acropetal cells and spines, *TRP* 12-171-3. (C) Cross section of axis showing 14 periaxial cells, *CWS/CEL* 10-30-12. (D) Axial segment displaying staggered, basipetal filaments of cortical cells, *TRP* 12-171-3. Ax, axial cell; C1 & 2, sequence of cortical initials; *CEL*, Christopher E. Lane; *CWS*, Craig W. Schneider; P, periaxial cell; *TRP*, Thea R. Popolizio. Scale bars represent: A, 1 mm; B & D, 25 µm; C, 50 µm.

Centroceras hyalacanthum **Kützing 1842, p. 742 (Figs 4C & 6)**

Description. Plants beige-pink with prostrate and erect axes 110-170 µm in diam. and to 6 cm tall; branching pattern pseudodichotomous often with a branch occurring in the notches of main branches at apices, branches forming at intervals of 8-15 axial cells on the main axes, the branches erect with forcipate apices (Fig. 6A); adventitious branches developing from periaxial cells in lower portions; uniseriate, multicellular rhizoids issued from prostrate axes and lower portions of erect axes; 6-10 straight, 2-celled spines whorled at axial cell nodes, one almost always found in notches of dichotomous branches, 15-18 µm diam. and 40-68 µm long (Fig. 6B); distal ends of axial cells cutting off 10-13 periaxial cells, these remaining at the nodes during axial cell elongation (Fig. 6C); periaxial cells cutting off two cortical initials acropetally and one basipetally (Fig. 4C); the first cortical initial cutting off one spine and two elongate cortical cells, one gland cell and two elongate cortical cells, or three elongate cortical cells, the second cortical initial cutting off one elongate acropetal cortical cell and one basipetal cortical filament, the third cortical initial cutting off one basipetal filament; basipetal filaments made up of mostly

staggered cortical cell files of 7-11 cells, the cells elongate rectangular in surface view, the smallest 2-5 µm diam. and 21-28 µm long, the largest 5-10 µm diam. and 19-33 µm long, these completely corticating axial cells from node to node (Fig. 6D); gland cells ovoid; tetrasporangia formed in whorls at the nodes, one produced from each periaxial cell, subspherical, 35-43 µm diam. and 38-55 µm long, subtended by 0-2 involucral filaments; gametangia not seen.

Type locality. Antilles (French West Indies), Caribbean Sea.

Distribution. Bermuda, Florida, Caribbean Sea.

Selected collections. Bermuda—*CWS/CEL* 09-5-9, *CWS/CEL* 10-27-2 [BDA0428], *CWS/CEL* 10-30-12b [BDA0462], *CWS/CEL/TRP* 12-10-13 [BDA0590], *CWS/ CEL/TRP* 12-18-15 [BDA0653], *TRP* 12-171-3 [BDA1810]. Florida, USA—*CWS/CEL/TRP* 13-8-17 [KW090], *CWS/CEL/ TRP* 13-16-5 [KW265] (for collection details see Table 1).

Remarks. Multiple Bermuda isolates clustered with Florida, USA and Caribbean sequences of *C. hyalacanthum* in our COI-5P and *rbc*L trees (Figs 1 & 2). In *C. hyalacanthum*, the first periaxial cell cuts off three cortical initials acropetally and one cortical initial basipetally for a total of four cortical initials. On the rest of the periaxial cells, only three cortical initials form, two acropetally

Fig. 7. *Centroceras illaqueans* sp. nov. (A) Habit, holotype, *CWS/CEL* 09-2-9. (B) Axis with straight, pseudodichotomous branching, *CWS/CEL* 09- 2-9. (C) Cross section of axis showing 10 periaxial cells, *CWS/CEL* 09-2-9. (D) Axial node with cortical initials bearing elongated acropetal cells and single spine (arrowhead), *CWS/CEL* 09-2-9. (E) Axial segments displaying tiered, basipetal filaments of isodiametric cortical cells and lack of spines at nodes, *CWS/CEL* 09-2-9. Ax, axial cell; C1 & 2, sequence of cortical initials; *CEL*, Christopher E. Lane; *CWS*, Craig W. Schneider; P, periaxial cell. Scale bars represent: A, 2 cm; B, 1 mm; C-E, 25 µm.

and one basipetally (Fig. 4C). In all cortical initial groups, the first cortical initial cuts off one spine and two elongate cortical cells, one gland cell and two elongate cortical cells, or three elongate cortical cells. This production of cortical cells from acropetal cortical initials is unique among all of the species thus far isolated from Bermuda (Figs 4C & 6B), the rest producing only two cells from their first cortical initials. In addition, descending cortical cell files are made of elongate rectangular cells (Fig. 6D). This developmental pattern of the cortex initiated at the nodes is in perfect accord with that demonstrated by Won et al. (2009) for the species including their observations on the lectotype specimen they designated. Amongst all of the species in the genus found in Bermuda, *C. hyalacanthum* has the narrowest axes, 110-170 µm in diam. (Table 2), the most delicate, from Florida and the Caribbean, being even narrower than those reported by Won et al. (2009), at 140-165 µm in diam.

In the same paper in which Kützing (1842) wrote the protologue for *C. hyalacanthum*, he also described an additional Caribbean species, *C. oxyacanthum* Kütz. (type locality = Cuba), and later described *C. brachyacanthum* Kütz. (type locality = Antilles, West Indies) (Kützing 1863). After an examination of types of all three species, Won et al. (2009) considered the latter two species to be heterotypic synonyms of *C. hyalacanthum.*

Centroceras illaqueans **C. W. Schneid., Cianciola et Popolizio sp. nov. (Figs 4D & 7)**

Description. Plants light rosy-red, with prostrate and erect axes 140-210 µm in diam. and to 2 cm tall (Fig. 7A); branching pattern pseudodichotomous, rarely with a branch forming in the notches of main branches at api-

ces (Fig. 7B); branches forming at intervals of 11-12 axial cells on the main axes, branches erect with straight to slightly forcipate apices (Fig. 7B); adventitious branches occasionally developing from periaxial cells in lower portions; uniseriate, multicellular rhizoids issued in profusion from prostrate axes and lower portions of erect axes; 0-3 straight, 2-celled spines formed at axial cell nodes and in the notches of branches, one almost always found in notches of dichotomous branches, 12-14 µm diam. and 33-39 µm long (Fig. 7D); distal ends of axial cells cutting off 10-12 periaxial cells, these remaining at the nodes during axial cell elongation (Fig. 7C); except for the first abaxial periaxial cell, periaxial cells cutting off two cortical initials acropetally and one basipetally (Fig. 4D); the first cortical initial cutting off one spine and one elongate cortical cell, one gland cell and one elongate cortical cell, or two elongate cortical cells; the second cortical initial cutting off one elongate acropetal cortical cell and one basipetal cortical filament; the third cortical initial cutting off one basipetal filament; basipetal filaments made up of 8-9 cortical cells in tiered files, the cells isodiametric to short rectangular in surface view, the smallest 7-8 µm diam. and 10-13 µm long, the largest 5-14 µm diam. and 20-25 µm long, these completely corticating axial cells from node to node (Fig. 7D & E); gland cells ovoid; gametangia and tetrasporangia not seen.

Diagnosis. Differing from other species in the genus by its unique COI-5P barcode, and from the morphologically similar *Centroceras hommersandii* Won, T. O. Cho et Fredericq (2010) by producing more than one elongated acropetal cell from the cortical cell units.

Etymology. *illaqueans* (L., part., n.) = entrapping, for the habit of the new species sifting and affixing sand among its erect filaments in intertidal pools.

Holotype (designated here). *CWS/CEL* 09-2-9, Mar 15, 2009, tidal pool, rocky point south of beach, Capt. Williams' Bay, Bermuda Is., 32°18′09.3″ N, 64°44′22.4″ W, Bermuda, western Atlantic Ocean [MICH] (Fig. 7A), GenBank Nos. KP222781, KP222802; isotypes—KIRI, NY, US, Herb. CWS.

Distribution. Endemic to Bermuda and currently known only from the type locality.

Remarks. *C. illaqueans* is genetically distinct from all the other sequences in our analyses, and is grouped with *C. hyalacanthum* in our trees (Figs 1 & 2). The new species is distinguished from other *Centroceras* species in Bermuda by the length of its terminal elongated acropetal cells which are mostly equal to or longer than spines at the nodes (Table 2, Fig. 7D & E), and the alignment of its basipetal filaments which typically line up with other descending files in tiers of short isodiametric cells (Fig. 7D & E). In addition, the new species differs from *C. hyalacanthum* by its fewer number of spines at the nodes, spine length and acropetal cell length (Table 2). A recently described species from Natal, South Africa, *C. hommersandii*, shares its small size with *C. illaqueans*, but has axes that are narrower in diameter than the Bermuda species and produces only a single elongated acropetal cortical cell in each cortical cell unit, being produced from the second cortical initial (Won et al. 2010). The first cortical initial of *C. hommersandii* produces a spine or gland cell and an ovoid acropetal cortical cell (Won et al. 2010), unlike the elongated cell produced by *C. illaqueans*.

Despite the numerous collections of *Centroceras* sequenced from Bermuda, *C. illaqueans* was found only once. In this extensive population, the tips of erect axes emerged approximately one half centimeter out of sandy sediment on the bottom of a large, shallow tidal pool on the south shore. Repeated visits to the type locality have not uncovered this species again.

Centroceras micracanthum **Kützing 1842, p. 741 (Figs 4E & 8)**

Description. Plants pink to dark rosy-red, with prostrate and erect axes 130-240 µm in diam. and to 2-4 cm tall; branching pattern pseudodichotomous, branches forming at intervals of 9-12 axial cells on the main axes, the branches divaricate with forcipate apices, some arched reflexive (Fig. 8A); adventitious branches developing from periaxial cells in the lower portions; uniseriate, multicellular rhizoids issued from prostrate axes and lower portions of erect axes; 2-8 straight, 2-celled spines whorled at axial cell nodes, one almost always found in notches of dichotomous branches, 15-40 µm diam. and 48-118 µm long (Fig. 8B-D); distal ends of axial cells cutting off 14-16 periaxial cells, these remaining at the nodes during axial cell elongation (Fig. 8C); periaxial cells cutting off two cortical initials acropetally and one basipetally (Fig. 4E); the first cortical initial cutting off one spine and one elongate cortical cell, one gland cell and one elongate cortical cell, or two elongate cortical cells, the second cortical initial cutting off one elongate acropetal cortical cell and one basipetal cortical filament, the third cortical initial cutting off one basipetal filament; basipetal filaments made up of mostly staggered cortical cell files of 5-17 cells, the cells elongate rectangular in surface view, highly variable in size, from 2-12 µm diam. and 14-116 µm long, these completely corticating axial cells from node to node (Fig. 8D), at times these cells lined up

Fig. 8. *Centroceras micracanthum.* (A) Axis with reflexive, pseudodichotomous branching, *CWS/CEL/TRP* 13-16-25. (B) Axial node with cortical initials bearing elongated acropetal cells and spines, *CWS/CEL/TRP* 12-10-9. (C) Cross section of axis showing 15 periaxial cells, *CWS/CEL/TRP* 12- 11-12. (D) Axial segment displaying staggered, basipetal filaments of cortical cells, *CWS/CEL/TRP* 12-10-9. Ax, axial cell; C1 & 2, sequence of cortical initials; *CEL*, Christopher E. Lane; *CWS*, Craig W. Schneider; P, periaxial cell; *TRP*, Thea R. Popolizio. Scale bars represent: A, 2 mm; B, 25 µm; C & D, 50 µm.

in tiers; gland cells ovoid; gametangia and tetrasporangia not seen in Bermuda collections. This species is best distinguished from *C. arcii* by its COI-5P barcode sequence (see Table 1 for GenBank numbers).

Type locality. Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, western Atlantic Ocean.

Distribution. Brazil, Caribbean, Bermuda, Cape Verde, Mediterranean, Polynesia.

Selected collections. Bermuda—*CWS/CEL* 09-16- 5, *CWS/CEL* 10-11-4a [BDA0084], *CWS/CEL* 10-14-24 [BDA0179], *CWS/CEL* 10-30-12a [BDA0460], *CWS* 12-10-9 [BDA0583], *CWS/CEL/TRP* 12-11-12 [BDA0611], *CWS/CEL/ TRP* 12-18-14 [BDA0652], *CWS/CEL/TRP* 12-19-2 [BDA0658], *TRP* 12-28-2 [BDA0778], *TRP* 12-46-3 [BDA0971], *TRP* 12-59-3 [BDA1075], *TRP* 12-134-4 [BDA1561], *TRP* 12- 153-6 [BDA1682], *TRP* 12-169-1 [BDA1795], *TRP* 12-173-9 [BDA1825]. Florida, USA—*CWS/CEL/TRP* 13-6-29 [KW039],

CWS/CEL/TRP 13-16-25 [KW288] (for collection details see Table 1).

Remarks. In our *rbc*L analysis, sequences isolated from Bermuda specimens grouped with *C. micracanthum* from Florida and the Caribbean (Fig. 2), and our morphological characters matched those described from the same areas (Won et al. 2009). In the same work with the protologue of *C. micracanthum*, Kützing (1842) also described *C. leptacanthum* Kütz. (type locality = Genoa, Italy), *C. cryptacanthum* Kütz. (type locality = Antilles, West Indies) and *C. macracanthum* Kütz. (type locality = Brazil), all of which are now considered heterotypic synonyms of *C. micracanthum* after an examination of type material (Won et al. 2009). Given its widespread distribution in the western Atlantic (Guiry and Guiry 2014), it is not surprising that *C. micracanthum* is found in Bermuda with many other macroalgal species also known in the Caribbean. Based

upon morphological characteristics, Florida specimens of *C. clavulatum* distributed as No. 1347 in the Collins et al. (1906) exsiccatae, *Phycotheca Boreali-Americana*, are better identified as *C. micracanthum*. The label noted that these Key West specimens correspond to *C. leptacanthum*, a species that Won et al. (2009) maintained under *C. micracanthum* as noted above.

As discussed above, *C. micracanthum* is most difficult to distinguish morphologically from *C. arcii* in Bermuda. Its habit of reflexive branching in about 75% of specimens and overlap of anatomical characteristics make it truly cryptic with *C. arcii.* Therefore, this species is best identified by comparing genetic sequences with those available in the public domain.

CONCLUSION

The use of molecular-assisted alpha taxonomy has allowed for the resolution of five species in the '*Centroceras clavulatum* complex' in Bermuda. Won et al. (2009, Fig. 14) used stylized diagrams of nodal cortical units for each of the species they covered in their seminal study of this complex. They depicted the origin, number and shape of cortical cells, glands and spines produced from cortical initials as distinguishing features among the species, and we have done the same for nodal cortical initials bearing spines (Fig. 4), and the developmental patterns of our two new species can be compared in this way. Three of the five Bermudian species are recorded in their most northerly locations in the western Atlantic, and the remaining two, *C. arcii* and *C. illaqueans*, are newly described from the islands. Studies in other parts of the world's warm temperate to tropical seas will undoubtedly extend or shrink the distributional ranges of some *Centroceras* species, and thousands of herbarium specimens in the genus worldwide will need further study and annotation. Only then will we have a more accurate picture of the biogeography of the many species in the genus.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

CWS and CEL were funded by NSF DEB grants 1120688 and 1120652 and the Charles A. Dana Foundation. DS was supported by the Trinity College Interdisciplinary Science Program (ISP). We thank Tayoot Chengsupanimit who prepared some of the whole mounts used in this study and Alyssa Rogers for some of the DNA processing. We thank Dr. Struan Smith of the Bermuda Natural History

Museum, and Chris Flook, formerly of the Bermuda Aquarium and presently of the Bermuda Blue Halo Project, who provided logistical support while in Bermuda. This is contribution no. 219 to the Bermuda Biodiversity Project (BBP) of the Bermuda Aquarium, Natural History Museum and Zoo (BAMZ).

REFERENCES

- Agardh, J. G. 1851. *Species genera et ordines algarum, seu descriptiones succinctae specierum, generum et ordinum, quibus algarum regnum constituitur. Volumen secundum: algas florideas complectens.* Part 2(1), C.W.K. Gleerup, Lund, pp. 337-504.
- Brummitt, R. K. & Powell, C. E. 1992. *Authors of plant names*. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, 732 pp.
- Collins, F. S. & Hervey, A. B. 1917. The algae of Bermuda. Proc. Am. Acad. Arts Sci. 53:1-195.
- Collins, F. S., Holden, I. & Setchell, W. A. 1895. *Phycotheca Boreali-Americana (Exsiccata), Algae of North America*. *Fascicle III.* Nos. 101-150. Malden, MA.
- Collins, F. S., Holden, I. & Setchell, W. A. 1906. *Phycotheca Boreali-Americana (Exsiccata), Algae of North America*. *Fascicle XXVII.* Nos. 1301-1350. Malden, MA.
- Collins, F. S., Holden, I. & Setchell, W. A. 1913. *Phycotheca Boreali-Americana (Exsiccata), Algae of North America*. *Fascicle XXXIX. Algae of Bermuda.* Nos. 1901-1950. Malden, MA.
- Darriba, D., Taboada, G. L., Doallo, R. & Posada, D. 2012. jModelTest 2: more models, new heuristics and parallel computing. Nat. Methods 9:772.
- De Barros-Barreto, M. B., McIvor, L., Maggs, C. A. & Ferreira, P. C. G. 2006. Molecular systematics of *Ceramium* and *Centroceras* (Ceramiaceae, Rhodophyta) from Brazil. J. Phycol. 42:905-921.
- Gallagher, S. B. & Humm, H. J. 1983. *Centroceras internitens* n. sp. (Rhodophyceae, Ceramiaceae) from the western tropical north Atlantic Ocean. J. Phycol. 19:261-268.
- Guindon, S., Dufayard, J. F., Lefort, V., Anisimova, M., Hordijk, W. & Gascuel, O. 2010. New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. Syst. Biol. 59:307-321.
- Guindon, S. & Gascuel, O. 2003. A simple, fast and accurate algorithm to estimate large phylogenies by maximumlikelihood. Syst. Biol. 52:696-704.
- Guiry, M. D. & Guiry, G. M. 2014. AlgaeBase, world-wide electronic publication, National University of Ireland, Galway. Available from: http://www.algaebase.org. Accessed Jun 16, 2014.
- Howe, M. A. 1918. Algae. *In* Britton, N. L. (Ed.) *Flora of Bermuda.* Charles Scribner's Sons, NY, pp. 489-540.
- Kützing, F. T. 1842 [1841]. Ueber *Ceramium* Ag. Linnaea 15:727-746.
- Kützing, F. T. 1849. *Species algarum.* F. A. Brockhaus, Leipzig, 922 pp.
- Kützing, F. T. 1863. *Tabulae phycologicae. Vol. 13*. Nordhausen, 31 pp.
- Le Gall, L. & Saunders, G. W. 2010. DNA barcoding is a powerful tool to uncover algal diversity: a case study of the Phyllophoraceae (Gigartinales, Rhodophyta) in the Canadian flora. J. Phycol. 46:374-389.
- Littler, D. S., Littler, M. M. & Hanisak, M. D. 2008. *Submersed plants of the Indian River Lagoon: a floristic inventory and field guide.* OffShore Graphics, Inc., Washington, D.C., 286 pp.
- Lüning, K. 1990. *Seaweeds: their environment, biogeography, and ecophysiology.* John Wiley & Sons, New York, 527 pp.
- Meneghini, G. 1844. Algarum species novae vel minus notae a prof. J. Meneghini propositae*.* G. Bot. Ital. Anno 1 1:296-306.
- Ronquist, F. & Huelsenbeck, J. P. 2003. MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. Bioinformatics 19:1572-1574.
- Saunders, G. W. & Druehl, L. 1993. Revision of the kelp family Alariaceae and the taxonomic affinities of *Lessoniopsis* Reinke (Laminariales, Phaeophyta). Hydrobiology 260/261:689-697.

Saunders, G. W. & McDevit, D. C. 2012. Methods for DNA bar-

coding photosynthetic protists emphasizing the macroalgae and diatoms. Methods Mol. Biol. 858:207-222.

- Schneider, C. W. 2003. An annotated checklist and bibliography of the marine macroalgae of the Bermuda islands. Nova Hedwigia 76:275-361.
- Silvestro, D. & Michalak, I. 2011 [2012]. raxmlGUI: a graphical front-end for RAxML. Org. Divers. Evol. 12:335-337.
- Sterrer, W. & Cavaliere, A. R. 1998. *Bermuda's Seashore Plants and Seaweeds*. Bermuda Zoological Society, Flatts, Bermuda, 269 pp.
- Taylor, W. R. 1960. *Marine algae of the eastern tropical and subtropical coasts of the Americas*. University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, MI, 879 pp.
- Van den Hoek, C. & Breeman, A. M. 1990. Seaweed biogeography of the North Atlantic: where are we now? *In* Garbary, D. J. & South, G. R. (Eds.) *Evolutionary Biogeography of the Marine Algae of the North Atlantic*. NATO ASI Series, Vol. G22, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 55-86.
- Won, B. Y. 2010. Characterization of *Centroceras gasparrinii* (Ceramiaceae, Rhodophyta) known as *Centroceras clavulatum* in Korea. Algae 25:71-76.
- Won, B. Y., Cho, T. O. & Fredericq, S. 2009. Morphological and molecular characterization of species of the genus *Centroceras* (Ceramiaceae, Ceramiales), including two new species. J. Phycol. 45:227-250.
- Won, B. Y., Fredericq, S. & Cho, T. O. 2010. Two new species of *Centroceras* (Ceramiaceae, Rhodophyta) from KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. Eur. J. Phycol. 45:240-246.