Dear Andree,

Thank you again for your participation as a presenter at the NERCOMP Annual Conference 2018. As promised, below is a summary of the evaluations from your session, ResearchGate vs. the Institutional Repository: Competition or Complement?

We hope you will take the feedback you receive from these evaluations receive to enhance future presentations. EDUCAUSE is committed to providing resources to support your professional development and invite you to explore the ever-evolving Presenter Concierge site, which hosts a variety of resources to help you make the most of your presentation.

*Thank you again* for your important contributions to the event. It was a pleasure working with you!

Best wishes,

Sarah Reynolds

EDUCAUSE Speaker Liaison

**NERCOMP 2018 Evaluation:**

4 evaluation form(s) were returned for this session.

As a learning experience, I would evaluate this session as:

Excellent: 50%
Good: 50%
Fair: 0%
Poor: 0%
Degree session met the outcomes described in the program

Excellent: 75%
Good: 25%
Fair: 0%
Poor: 0%

SESSION DELIVERY/SPEAKER QUESTIONS

Knowledge of topic

Excellent: 75%
Good: 25%
Fair: 0%
Poor: 0%

Audience engagement

Excellent: 50%
Good: 25%
Fair: 25%
Poor: 0%

Reinforcement of key points

Excellent: 75%
Good: 0%
Fair: 25%
Poor: 0%

Effective use of media and/or handouts to support session

Excellent: 50%
Good: 25%
Fair: 25%
Poor: 0%

COMMENTS: Well-presented, well-researched and very engaging!