# **THE UNIVERSITY OF RHODE ISLAND**

## University of Rhode Island [DigitalCommons@URI](https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/)

[Mathematics Faculty Publications](https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/math_facpubs) [Mathematics](https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/math) Mathematics Mathematics

1991

## Oscillations of delay differential equations

K. Gopalsamy

G. Ladas University of Rhode Island

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/math\\_facpubs](https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/math_facpubs?utm_source=digitalcommons.uri.edu%2Fmath_facpubs%2F52&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) 

### Citation/Publisher Attribution

Gopalsamy, K., & Ladas, G. (1991). Oscillations of delay differential equations. The Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society. Series B. Applied Mathematics, 32(4), 377-381. doi:10.1017/ S0334270000008493

Available at:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0334270000008493>

This Article is brought to you by the University of Rhode Island. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mathematics Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact [digitalcommons-group@uri.edu.](mailto:digitalcommons-group@uri.edu) For permission to reuse copyrighted content, contact the author directly.

## Oscillations of delay differential equations

Terms of Use All rights reserved under copyright.

This article is available at DigitalCommons@URI: [https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/math\\_facpubs/52](https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/math_facpubs/52) 

## **OSCILLATIONS OF DELAY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS**

## K. GOPALSAMY<sup>1</sup> and G. LADAS<sup>2</sup>

(Received 30 July 1989; revised 28 February 1990)

#### **Abstract**

Sufficient conditions are established for all solutions of the linear system

$$
\frac{dy_i(t)}{dt} + \sum_{j=1}^n q_{ij}y_j(t-\tau_{ij}) = 0, \qquad i = 1, 2, ..., n,
$$

to be oscillatory, where  $q_{ij} \in (-\infty, \infty)$ ,  $\tau_{ij} \in (0, \infty)$ , *i*, *j* = 1, 2, ..., *n*.

### **1. Introduction**

Consider the system of delay differential equations

$$
\frac{dy_i(t)}{dt} + \sum_{j=1}^n q_{ij} y_j(t - \tau_{ij}) = 0, \qquad i = 1, 2, ..., n \qquad (1)
$$

where the coefficients are real numbers and the delays are positive real numbers. We say that a solution

$$
y(t) = \begin{bmatrix} y_1(t) \\ y_2(t) \\ \vdots \\ y_n(t) \end{bmatrix}
$$
 (2)

of (1) oscillates if for some  $i \in (1, 2, ..., n)$ ,  $y_i(t)$  has arbitrarily large zeros. A solution  $y(t)$  of (1) is said to be nonoscillatory if there exists a  $t_0 \ge 0$  such that for each  $i = 1, 2, ..., n$ ,  $y_i(t) \ne 0$  for  $t \ge t_0$ . The aim of this brief paper is to derive a set of sufficient conditions for all solutions

<sup>&#</sup>x27;School of Mathematics, Flinders University, Bedford Park, S. A. 5042.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Rhode Island, Kingston, R. I., U.S.A.

<sup>©</sup> Copyright Australian Mathematical Society 1991, Serial-fee code 0334-2700/91

of (1) to oscillate. Our result is an extension of a result of Gopalsamy in [2], where only bounded solutions of systems like (1) have been considered. For references concerning the oscillation of systems, the reader is referred to the references in [2].

### 2. Sufficient conditions for oscillation

The following lemma will be useful in the proof of our theorem below.

LEMMA 1. *Assume that* (1) *has a nonoscillatory solution* (2). *Then there are numbers*

$$
\delta_i \in \{-1, 1\}
$$
 for  $i = 1, 2, ..., n$ 

*such that the system*

$$
\frac{dz_i(t)}{dt} + \sum_{j=1}^n p_{ij} z_j(t - \tau_{jj}) = 0
$$
 (3)

*where*

$$
p_{ij} = \frac{\delta_j}{\delta_i} q_{ij} \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n \tag{4}
$$

has a nonoscillatory solution  $[z_1(t), z_2(t), \ldots, z_n(t)]$ <sup>T</sup> with eventually posi*tive components*  $z_i(t)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ .

**PROOF.** The components  $y(t)$  of (2) are positive or negative eventually. That is, there exists a  $T \ge 0$  such that  $y_i(t) \ne 0$  for  $t \ge T$  and  $i = 1, 2, ..., n$ . Set  $\delta_i = \text{sign}[y_i(t)]$ ,  $i = 1, 2, ..., n$  and  $t \geq T$ . It is now easy to see that

$$
z(t) = [\delta_1 y_1(t), \, \delta_2 y_2(t), \, \dots, \, \delta_n y_n(t)]^{\dagger} \tag{5}
$$

satisfies (3) and  $\delta_i y_i(t) > 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, ..., n$  and  $t \geq T$ .

The next result is concerned with the asymptotic behaviour of nonoscillatory solutions of (1).

LEMMA 2. *Consider the system* (1) *and suppose that the constant coefficients of (I) satisfy*

$$
q = \min_{1 \le i \le n} \left| q_{ii} - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \ j \ne i}}^n |q_{ji}| \right| > 0.
$$
 (6)

*Then every nonoscillatory solution*  $y(t) = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n)$  *satisfies* 

$$
\lim_{t\to\infty}y_i(t)=0.
$$

PROOF. Clearly (6) is also satisfied with the  $q_{ij}$  replaced by the respective  $p_{ij}$ of (4). From this and (5) it suffices to prove the lemma for nonoscillatory solutions of (2) with eventually positive components. Let us assume that there is a  $t_0 \ge 0$  such that  $y_i(t) > 0$  for  $t \ge t_0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, ..., n$ . If we let

$$
w(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} y_j(t), \quad t \ge t_0
$$
 (7)

then

$$
\frac{dw(t)}{dt} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_{ij} y_j (t - \tau_{jj}) = 0
$$

or

$$
\frac{dw(t)}{dt} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{ii} y_i (t - \tau_{ii}) = - \sum_{\substack{i=1 \ j \neq i}}^{n} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \ j \neq i}}^{n} q_{ij} y_j (t - \tau_{jj})
$$
\n
$$
\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \ j \neq i}}^{n} |q_{ji}| y_i (t - \tau_{ii}). \tag{8}
$$

It follows from (8) that

$$
\frac{dw(t)}{dt} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ q_{ii} - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \ j \neq i}}^{n} |q_{ji}| \right\} y_i(t - \tau_{ii}) \le 0.
$$
 (9)

An integration of both sides of (9) leads to

$$
w(t) + q \int_{t_0 + \tau}^t \sum_{i=1}^n y_i (s - \tau_{ii}) ds \le w(t_0 + \tau)
$$
 (10)

where  $\tau = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \tau_{jj}$ . A consequence of (10) is that w is bounded and  $y_i \in L_1(t_0 + \tau, \infty)$  for  $i = 1, 2, ..., n$ . From the boundedness of w one can conclude that of  $y_i$  since  $w(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i(t)$  and  $y_i(t) > 0$  eventually. It will now follow from (1) that  $\dot{y}_i$  is bounded for  $t \geq \tau$ , and therefore  $y_i$  is uniformly continuous on  $[0, \infty)$ . The uniform continuity of  $y_i$  on  $[0, \infty)$ , the eventual positivity of y<sub>i</sub> and the integrability of y<sub>i</sub> on a halfline together with a lemma of Barbalat [1], will imply that  $\lim_{t\to\infty}y_i(t) =$  $0, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$  and this completes the proof.

THEOREM. Let 
$$
q_{ij} \in (-\infty, \infty)
$$
,  $\tau_{jj} \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2, ..., n$ . If

$$
q\tau_{\star} > \frac{1}{e} \quad \text{where } q = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left( q_{ii} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \ j \neq i}}^{n} |q_{ji}| \right), \quad \tau_{\star} = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \tau_{ii} \tag{11}
$$

*then every solution of* (1) *oscillates.* 

terms of use, available at [https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms.](https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms) <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0334270000008493> Downloaded from [https://www.cambridge.org/core.](https://www.cambridge.org/core) University of Rhode Island Library, on 27 Nov 2018 at 20:04:44, subject to the Cambridge Core

PROOF. Assume for the sake of a contradiction that (1) has a nonoscillatory solution (2). In view of Lemma 1 we can assume that the components of  $y_i(t)$  are eventually positive for  $i = 1, 2, ..., n$ . We have directly from (1) that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{dy_i(t)}{dt} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{ij} y_j(t - \tau_{jj}) = 0
$$

which satisfies

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{d y_i(t)}{dt} \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( q_{ii} - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \ j \neq i}}^{n} |q_{ji}| \right) y_i(t - \tau_{ii}) \le 0.
$$
 (12)

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

We have from (12) that  $w(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i(t)$  satisfies

$$
\frac{dw(t)}{dt} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| q_{ii} - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \ j \neq i}} |q_{ji}| \right| y_i(t - \tau_{ii}) \le 0.
$$
 (13)

Integrating both sides of (13) over  $(t, \infty)$  and using the fact

$$
w(t) \to 0
$$
 as  $t \to \infty$  (since  $y_i(t) \to 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, ..., n$ )

we derive that

$$
-w(t) + q \int_{t}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}(s - \tau_{ii}) \le 0
$$
 (14)

and this leads to

$$
w(t) \ge q \int_{t}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}(s - \tau_{ii}) ds.
$$
 (15)

It is found from (15) that

$$
w(t) \ge q \int_{t-\tau_*}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i(s) \, ds, \qquad \tau_* = \min_{1 \le i \le n} \tau_{ii} \tag{16}
$$

or

$$
w(t) \ge q \int_{t-\tau_*}^{\infty} w(s) \, ds. \tag{17}
$$

Now we let

$$
F(t) = \int_{t-\tau_{\star}}^{\infty} w(s) \, ds \tag{18}
$$

terms of use, available at [https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms.](https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms) <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0334270000008493> Downloaded from [https://www.cambridge.org/core.](https://www.cambridge.org/core) University of Rhode Island Library, on 27 Nov 2018 at 20:04:44, subject to the Cambridge Core and derive from (17) and (18) that

$$
\frac{dF(t)}{dt} = -w(t - \tau_*)
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq -qF(t - \tau_*); \qquad t > 2\tau_*.
$$
 (19)

It follows from  $(19)$  that F is an eventually positive solution of

$$
\frac{dF(t)}{dt} + qF(t - \tau_*) \le 0; \qquad t > 2\tau_*.
$$
 (20)

But it is well known (from Ladas and Stavroulakis [3]) that when (11) holds, (20) cannot have an eventually positive solution and this contradiction completes the proof.

#### **References**

- [1] I. Barbalat, "Systemes d'equations differentielles d'oscillations nonlineaires," *Rev. Roumain. Math. PuresAppl.* 4 (1959) 267-270.
- **[2]** K. Gopalsamy, "Oscillatory properties of systems of first order delay differential inequalities," *Pacific J. Math.* **128** (1987) 299-305.
- **[3]** G. Ladas and I. P. Stavroulakis, "On delay differential inequalities of first order," *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj.* 25 (1982) 105-113.