## THE UNIVERSITY OF RHODE ISLAND

University of Rhode Island DigitalCommons@URI

Pharmacy Practice and Clinical Research Faculty Publications

Pharmacy Practice and Clinical Research

2015

# Observed antagonistic effect of linezolid on daptomycin or vancomycin activity against biofilm-forming methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in an in vitro pharmacodynamic model

Megan Luther University of Rhode Island

L. LaPlante University of Rhode Island, kerrylaplante@uri.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/php\_facpubs

## **Citation/Publisher Attribution**

Luther, M. & LaPlante, K. L. (2015). Observed antagonistic effect of linezolid on daptomycin or vancomycin activity against biofilm-forming methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in an *in vitro* pharmacodynamic model. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, 59*(12), 7790-7794. doi: 10.1128/AAC.01604-15

Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01604-15

This Article is brought to you by the University of Rhode Island. It has been accepted for inclusion in Pharmacy Practice and Clinical Research Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact digitalcommons-group@uri.edu. For permission to reuse copyrighted content, contact the author directly.

Observed antagonistic effect of linezolid on daptomycin or vancomycin activity against biofilm-forming methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in an in vitro pharmacodynamic model

The University of Rhode Island Faculty have made this article openly available. Please let us know how Open Access to this research benefits you.

This is a pre-publication author manuscript of the final, published article.

## Terms of Use

This article is made available under the terms and conditions applicable towards Open Access Policy Articles, as set forth in our Terms of Use.

Title: Observed antagonistic effect of linezolid on daptomycin or vancomycin activity against 1 2 biofilm-forming methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in an in vitro pharmacodynamic 3 model 4 5 Date August 19, 2015 6 Running title: Linezolid antagonism of cell wall active agents 7 Megan Luther<sup>1,2</sup> and Kerry L. LaPlante<sup>1,2,3#</sup> 8 9 1. Rhode Island Infectious Diseases (RIID) Research Program, Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Providence, RI 10 2. Department of Pharmacy Practice, University of Rhode Island, Kingston, RI 11 12 3. Warren Alpert Medical School, Brown University, Providence, RI 13 \*Corresponding Author: Kerry L. LaPlante, Pharm.D., Professor of Pharmacy, University of 14

15 Rhode Island, Department of Pharmacy Practice; Senior Director, RIID Research Program,

16 Providence Veterans Affairs Medical Center; Adjunct Associate Professor of Medicine, Brown

17 University; University of Rhode Island, College of Pharmacy; 7 Greenhouse Road; Kingston, RI

18 02881; office: 401.874.5560; fax: 401.874.2717; email: KerryLaPlante@uri.edu

19

### 21 ABSTRACT

Pharmacodynamic activity in antibiotic combinations of daptomycin, vancomycin and linezolid was investigated in a 48h in vitro pharmacodynamic model. Using free human-simulated concentrations, activity against clinical biofilm-forming methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates was evaluated. Linezolid antagonized vancomycin activity at 24 and 48h. Linezolid antagonized daptomycin at 24 and 48h depending on dose and strain. Adding daptomycin increased vancomycin activity at 48h (p<0.03). These results may be straindependent and require further clinical investigation.

29

Keywords: methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, combination therapy, antagonism,
 biofilm, linezolid, vancomycin, daptomycin, persistent bacteremia.

32 There is recent increased interest in the activity of protein synthesis inhibitors in combination with cell wall active agents. Some combination regimens are being used clinically, but are 33 lacking data to support their combined use.(1) High-dose daptomycin and linezolid have been 34 35 recommended for use as combination therapy in the 2011 methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus 36 aureus (MRSA) treatment guidelines for persistent bacteremia or vancomycin failure.(2) However, other in vitro studies have demonstrated antagonism with combinations of linezolid 37 38 and vancomycin.(3, 4) To date, there have been limited investigations with daptomycin and linezolid in combination. (5, 6) The combined use of these agents prompted an investigation into 39 pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic activity and possible interactions when using combinations 40 of bactericidal and bacteriostatic antimicrobials, as previously described. (7, 8) 41

42

43 Two randomly selected clinical MRSA blood isolates (L31 and L328) from the LaPlante 44 Laboratory at the Providence Veterans Affairs Medical Center were selected for analysis. Both are known biofilm-producing strains, previously isolated from patients with catheter-related 45 bloodstream infections.(9) Biofilm formation was previously determined as described.(9, 10) 46 47 Daptomycin (lot# CDC271; Cubist Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Lexington, MA), linezolid (lot# 11C10U10, 13F05U09; Pfizer, New York, NY), and vancomycin (lot# 12070DD, 382553A; 48 Hospira, Lake Forest, IL) were tested. Mueller-Hinton broth (MHB, Becton Dickinson, Sparks, 49 50 MD, USA) supplemented with calcium and adjusted to 25 mg/L calcium chloride (for daptomycin studies 50mg/mL of calcium chloride; ionized Ca; 1.03-1.23 mmol/L) and 12.5 mg/L magnesium 51 was used for all minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs), minimum bactericidal concentrations 52 (MBCs), and in vitro pharmacodynamic (IVPD) infection models.(11-13) Colony counts were 53 determined using Tryptic Soy Agar (TSA, Difco, Becton Dickinson). 54

55

56 A previously described IVPD model was used to evaluate several antibiotic regimens against 57 MRSA.(7) Briefly, a 0.5 McFarland standard of planktonic bacteria from overnight growth on

58 TSA was diluted in a one compartment model (250ml working volume) to a starting inoculum of ~10<sup>6</sup> CFU/mL. Free concentrations of antimicrobials were evaluated. Daptomycin was 59 administered to simulate a 6mg/kg dose (t<sub>1/2</sub> 8h, Cmax 98.6µg/mL, protein-binding 92%; fCmax 60 61 7.9 $\mu$ g/mL) or 10mg/kg dose (t<sub>1/2</sub> 8h, Cmax 140 $\mu$ g/mL, protein binding 92%; fCmax 11.2 $\mu$ g/mL) 62 every 24 hours(q24h), (14); linezolid 600mg q12h, ( $t_{1/2}$  6hrs, Cmax 21ug/mL, protein-binding 31%; fCmax 14.5µg/mL) (15); and vancomycin 1.25g g12h (t<sub>1/2</sub> 6hrs, Cmax 45µg/mL, Cmin 15-63 20 µg/mL, protein binding 55%; fCmax 20.3µg/mL). (16) Antibiotics were given as boluses into 64 the compartment and peristaltic pumps were used to achieve the desired half-lives and replace 65 media with fresh MHB. All model experiments were performed in duplicate to triplicate to ensure 66 reproducibility. In addition, simulations in the absence of antibiotics were performed to assure 67 adequate growth of organisms in the model. Samples were removed from each model at each 68 69 0, 4, 8, 24, 32 and 48 hour time point. Once removed, samples were immediately diluted, plated on TSA, and incubated at 37°C for 24h before colony count enumeration. The limit of detection 70 for this method is 2.0 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/mL.(17) Antimicrobial carryover was minimized by serial dilution 71 (1:10-1:10,000) of plated samples in conjunction with vacuum filtration, if needed, as previously 72 73 described.(12)

74

MICs and MBCs of study antimicrobial agents were determined by Etest methodology and broth microdilution according to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines.(18, 19) All samples were incubated at 37°C in ambient air for 24 hours. E-tests were used to assess changes in MIC at 24 and 48h to detect resistance. Plates were examined for growth after 24h of incubation at 37°C. Changes in MIC were confirmed with microbroth dilution MIC. Samples were evaluated directly from the model to prevent changes in MIC from removing antibiotic pressure and to optimize the detection of MIC changes.

83 Time-kill curves were plotted to determine reduction in log<sub>10</sub> CFU/mL over 48 hours. Bactericidal 84 activity (99.9% kill) was defined as a  $\geq$  3 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/mL reduction and bacteriostatic activity was defined as a < 3  $\log_{10}$  CFU/mL change in colony count from the initial inoculum.(20) The time to 85 86 kill 99.9% of the bacteria present was determined by non-linear regression (using a minimum of 4 data points) if  $r^2 \ge 0.95$  or by visual inspection. Enhancement of activity was defined as an 87 increase in kill of  $\geq$  2-log<sub>10</sub> CFU/mL by combination of antimicrobials versus the most active 88 89 single agent of that combination.(7) Improvement was defined as a 1 to 2-log<sub>10</sub> CFU/mL increase in kill in comparison to the most active single agent, while combinations that resulted in 90  $\geq$  1-log<sub>10</sub> bacterial growth in comparison to the most active single agent were considered to 91 represent antagonism.(7) The terms "improvement" and "enhancement" were used because our 92 93 simulations involve therapeutically obtained serum concentration and this does not permit the 94 mathematical modeling necessary to consider the standard terms "additivity" and "synergy" (7, 21). Indifference was defined as  $<1-\log_{10}$  CFU/mL change in activity. 95

96

Samples for pharmacokinetic analyses were obtained through the injection port at 0, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 97 6, 8, and 24h for verification of target antibiotic concentrations. All samples were stored at 98 99 -80°C until analysis. Daptomycin concentrations were determined by a previously described and validated HPLC method (Center for Anti-Infective Research and Development, Hartford, 100 101 CT).(11) Vancomycin concentrations were determined by a homogeneous particle-enhanced turbidmetric immunoassay (PETIA; Architect, Multigent®; Abbott Diagnostics Abbott Park, IL, 102 USA) at the Providence Veteran Affairs Medical Center.(11) The vancomycin assay has a 103 detection range of 0.5 to 80.0 µg/mL, and a between day sample precision and CV% of 1.6% 104 and < 5.0%, respectively. Linezolid concentrations were evaluated using HPLC (Infectious 105 106 Disease Pharmacokinetics Laboratory; Charles Peloquin) as previously described.(7) The halflife, AUC, Cmax, and minimum concentration (Cmin) of the antibiotics were determined by the 107 108 trapezoidal method utilizing PK Analyst software (Version 1.10, MicroMath Scientific Software,

Salt Lake City, UT). Maximum concentration (Cmax) to MIC ratios, the percent time above the MIC (%T > MIC), and AUC<sub>0-24</sub> to MIC ratios were calculated for each antibiotic and were compared to literature values.(22-25)

112

113 Changes in bacterial growth (log<sub>10</sub> CFU/mL) at 4, 8, 24 and 48h and time to 99.9% kill were 114 compared by analysis of variance with Tukey's post-hoc test. A p value of < 0.05 was 115 considered significant.(7, 11) All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Statistical 116 Software (Release 20 SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL).

117

The MIC results are shown with MBCs and pharmacodynamic parameters obtained in Table 1.
Pharmacokinetic values obtained were within 8% of targeted values. The results of the IVPD
models are demonstrated in Figure 1 and Table 2.

121

Against both biofilm-forming isolates, all regimens, including monotherapy and combination, demonstrated statistically significant kill (decrease in CFU/mL) by 8 hours as compared to growth control (p<0.001). Linezolid demonstrated initial kill until 24h, with regrowth until 48h. Vancomycin demonstrated bacteriostatic activity at 24h against L31, but bactericidal activity against L328 at 24h. Vancomycin was bacteriostatic at 48h against both isolates. No increases in MIC were found at 24 or 48h in any of the experiments.

128

For both isolates, daptomycin at 6mg/kg and 10mg/kg demonstrated bactericidal activity by 24h. Daptomycin and vancomycin plus daptomycin were the only regimens to demonstrate sustained bactericidal activity from 24 to 48h. Daptomycin alone was significantly more active than linezolid at 48h (mean differences in log CFU/mL 1.78-2.73, p<0.04).

133

In combination studies, at 24h vancomycin plus daptomycin 6mg/kg and daptomycin 6mg/kg or 10mg/kg plus linezolid were not statistically significantly different from their most active components. This is despite meeting the definition for antagonism against both isolates for daptomycin 10mg/kg plus linezolid, and L328 for daptomycin 6mg/kg plus linezolid. Linezolid plus vancomycin was the least active regimen. Linezolid plus vancomycin met the definition for antagonism at 24h for both isolates, but was significantly different only for L328 (1.67, 95%Cl 0.76-2.59, p<0.01).

141

Linezolid plus daptomycin 6mg/kg met the definition for antagonism at 24h for one isolate and 142 48 hours for both isolates, while the higher dose of daptomycin plus linezolid demonstrated 143 antagonism at 24h for both isolates and 48h for one. Against L31, the activity of daptomycin 144 145 6mg/kg or 10mg/kg alone was significantly greater than daptomycin (either dose) plus linezolid at 48h (mean difference in log CFU/mL 1.82-2.43, p<0.01). The differences in activity between 146 linezolid containing regimens (linezolid alone, linezolid plus vancomycin, daptomycin plus 147 linezolid) were not statistically significant at 48h for both isolates, but linezolid alone was less 148 149 active than either dose of daptomycin alone (mean differences 1.78-2.73, p<0.04). Adding 150 daptomycin 6mg/kg improved the activity of vancomycin at 48h (mean difference in log CFU/mL 1.65-2.20, p<0.03), but was not significantly different than daptomycin alone. 151

152 Despite common concomitant clinical use of linezolid with bactericidal antibiotics.(1) we have 153 demonstrated in vitro antagonism at 24 and 48h using combinations of linezolid plus vancomycin and linezolid plus daptomycin. The use of these combinations of antibiotics is 154 155 lacking both in vitro and clinical outcomes data to support their use. Combinations of two active 156 antibiotics are frequently excluded or not analyzed in clinical trials where single agents are the main focus, due to small numbers of patients.(1, 26) Notably, a landmark study by Lepper et al. 157 158 demonstrated an increase in mortality in meningitis patients receiving tetracycline-penicillin 159 combination therapy over patients receiving the same penicillin dose alone.(27) The stasis produced by protein synthesis inhibitors, including linezolid, likely inhibits the activity of cell wall 160 active antibiotics, which work best on actively-dividing bacteria. Antagonism has been 161 162 demonstrated in previous time-kill studies using static concentrations of combinations of 163 vancomycin and linezolid.(3-6) Linezolid has also demonstrated attenuation of activity of aztreonam or ceftazidime against Escherichia coli isolates in an in vitro pharmacodynamic 164 model.(7) This highlights the importance of pharmacodynamic interactions with combination 165 therapy, even for antibiotics with a completely different spectrum of activity. Of interest, one 166 167 study has demonstrated activity of daptomycin and linezolid in combination against MRSA, but 168 in contrast to our study, this study tested formed biofilms on coupons.(28)

169

170 In our study, regrowth was noted between 24 and 48h for both strains though no increases in MIC were noted using Etests. This could be due to biofilm formation of these planktonic strains 171 after 24h, increasing growth without susceptibility changes, since biofilms can withstand 10-172 1000 times the concentrations of antibiotics compared to planktonic bacteria. According to 173 research by our group, approximately 50% of MRSA isolates from our institution form 174 175 biofilm.(29) Biofilm-forming isolates are known to cause persistent, difficult to treat infections where combination therapy may be considered. The strains used in this study previously tested 176 177 positive for biofilm formation as noted above, using the same temperature and inoculum, with similar media to this IVPD model. Over the 48h period tested, biofilm growth could seed susceptible bacteria into the model during sampling, which would appear as regrowth.(9) A previous study demonstrated a reduction in biofilm biomass, but no reduction in cell viability, using combinations of linezolid and vancomycin against formed MRSA biofilms.(30)

182

Despite reaching the target of the estimated total AUC/MIC ratio for vancomycin of >400, and with an estimated total vancomycin trough concentration of 15.5µg/mL, vancomycin did not achieve bactericidal activity against L31 during the 48h period. This indicates that for an isolate with a vancomycin MIC of 2mg/L, this regimen may not be adequate.

187

In regard to limitations, we evaluated two strains, and recognize that these observations may be
isolate-specific or dependent on the MICs of the isolates for each antibiotic.

190

In these daptomycin-, linezolid-, and vancomycin-susceptible strains of biofilm-forming MRSA, 191 192 regimens containing daptomycin were more active than those containing linezolid. Linezolid 193 antagonized the activity of vancomycin and daptomycin 6 mg/kg and 10mg/kg at 24 and 48h. 194 Adding linezolid to daptomycin 6mg/kg or 10mg/kg significantly decreased activity at 48h against L31 versus daptomycin alone. The combination of vancomycin plus daptomycin 6mg/kg 195 196 or daptomycin 6mg/kg or 10mg/kg alone demonstrated sustained bactericidal activity through the 48h period. Based on this data, combinations of linezolid with either daptomycin 6mg/kg, 197 10mg/kg or vancomycin should be investigated for the clinical implications of in vitro 198 199 antagonism.

#### 200 Acknowledgements

We thank Kayla Babcock for laboratory assistance. We gratefully acknowledge Christine Long, Core Laboratory Supervisor and Dr. Clyde Belgrave, Chief of Laboratory Services at the Veterans Affairs Medical Center in Providence RI, for analysis of the vancomycin samples. We also gratefully acknowledge David P. Nicolau, and Christina Sutherland at the Center for Anti-Infective Research and Development at Hartford Hospital (Hartford, CT) for HPLC analysis of daptomycin concentrations and Charles Peloquin from University of Florida (Gainesville, FL) for HPLC analysis of the linezolid samples.

- A portion of these results have been presented as a poster at the 53<sup>rd</sup> annual Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy (ICAAC), September 10, 2013; Denver, CO.
- All named authors meet the ICMJE criteria for authorship for this manuscript, take responsibility for the integrity of the work as a whole, and have given final approval to the version to be published. The views expressed are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the position or policy of the United States Department of Veterans Affairs.
- 213

#### 214 Conflict of Interest

- 215 Megan Luther declares research funding from Pfizer and Cubist. Kerry LaPlante declares Cubist, Davol,
- 216 Marvao Medical, and Pfizer research funding, advisor, and/or consultancy.

217		REFERENCES
218 219	1.	Usery JB, Vo NH, Finch CK, Cleveland KO, Gelfand MS, Self TH. 2015. Evaluation of the
220		Treatment of Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus Bacteremia. Am J Med Sci 349:36-41.
221	2.	Liu C, Bayer A, Cosgrove SE, Daum RS, Fridkin SK, Gorwitz RJ, Kaplan SL, Karchmer AW,
222		Levine DP, Murray BE, M JR, Talan DA, Chambers HF. 2011. Clinical practice guidelines by
223		the Infectious Diseases Society of America for the treatment of methicillin-resistant
224		Staphylococcus aureus infections in adults and children. Clin Infect Dis 52:e18-55.
225	3.	Grohs P, Kitzis MD, Gutmann L. 2003. In vitro bactericidal activities of linezolid in combination
226		with vancomycin, gentamicin, ciprofloxacin, fusidic acid, and rifampin against Staphylococcus
227		aureus. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 47:418-420.
228	4.	Singh SR, Bacon AE, 3rd, Young DC, Couch KA. 2009. In vitro 24-hour time-kill studies of
229		vancomycin and linezolid in combination versus methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus.
230		Antimicrob Agents Chemother 53:4495-4497.
231	5.	Kelesidis T, Humphries R, Ward K, Lewinski MA, Yang OO. 2011. Combination therapy with
232		daptomycin, linezolid, and rifampin as treatment option for MRSA meningitis and bacteremia.
233		Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis <b>71:</b> 286-290.
234	6.	Steed ME, Vidaillac C, Rybak MJ. 2010. Novel daptomycin combinations against daptomycin-
235		nonsusceptible methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in an in vitro model of simulated
236		endocardial vegetations. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 54:5187-5192.
237	7.	LaPlante KL, Sakoulas G. 2009. Evaluating aztreonam and ceftazidime pharmacodynamics with
238		Escherichia coli in combination with daptomycin, linezolid, or vancomycin in an in vitro
239		pharmacodynamic model. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 53:4549-4555.
240	8.	Wallace JF, Smith RH, Garcia M, Petersdorf RG. 1965. Antagonism between penicillin and
241		chloramphenicol in experimental pneumococcal meningitis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother
242		(Bethesda) <b>5:</b> 439-444.
243	9.	McConeghy KW, LaPlante KL. 2010. In vitro activity of tigecycline in combination with
244		gentamicin against biofilm-forming Staphylococcus aureus. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 68:1-6.

#### 10. Christensen GD, Simpson WA, Younger JJ, Baddour LM, Barrett FF, Melton DM, Beachey

- EH. 1985. Adherence of coagulase-negative staphylococci to plastic tissue culture plates: a
   quantitative model for the adherence of staphylococci to medical devices. J Clin Microbiol 22:996 1006.
- LaPlante KL, Woodmansee S. 2009. Activities of daptomycin and vancomycin alone and in
   combination with rifampin and gentamicin against biofilm-forming methicillin-resistant
   Staphylococcus aureus isolates in an experimental model of endocarditis. Antimicrob Agents
   Chemother 53:3880-3886.
- Steed M, Vidaillac C, Rybak MJ. 2011. Evaluation of ceftaroline activity versus daptomycin
   (DAP) against DAP-nonsusceptible methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus strains in an in
- vitro pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic model. Antimicrob Agents Chemother **55**:3522-3526.
- 25613.Leonard SN, Rybak MJ. 2009. Evaluation of vancomycin and daptomycin against methicillin-
- resistant Staphylococcus aureus and heterogeneously vancomycin-intermediate S. aureus in an
   in vitro pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic model with simulated endocardial vegetations. J
- Antimicrob Chemother **63:**155-160.
- Dvorchik BH, Brazier D, DeBruin MF, Arbeit RD. 2003. Daptomycin pharmacokinetics and
   safety following administration of escalating doses once daily to healthy subjects. Antimicrob
   Agents Chemother 47:1318-1323.
- Stalker DJ, Jungbluth GL, Hopkins NK, Batts DH. 2003. Pharmacokinetics and tolerance of
   single- and multiple-dose oral or intravenous linezolid, an oxazolidinone antibiotic, in healthy
   volunteers. J Antimicrob Chemother 51:1239-1246.
- Matzke GR, Zhanel GG, Guay DR. 1986. Clinical pharmacokinetics of vancomycin. Clin
   Pharmacokinet 11:257-282.
- LaPlante KL, Rybak MJ. 2004. Impact of high-inoculum Staphylococcus aureus on the activities
   of nafcillin, vancomycin, linezolid, and daptomycin, alone and in combination with gentamicin, in
   an in vitro pharmacodynamic model. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 48:4665-4672.

- 271 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). (2012) Methods for Dilution Antimicrobial 272 Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria that Grow Aerobically; Approved Standard- Ninth Edition, M07-273 A9. Wayne, PA.
- 274 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). (2014) Performance Standards for 19.
- 275 Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Twenty-Fourth Informational Supplement. M100-S24. Wayne,
- 276 PA.

18.

- 277 20. LaPlante KL, Rybak MJ, Leuthner KD, Chin JN. 2006. Impact of Enterococcus faecalis on the 278 bactericidal activities of arbekacin, daptomycin, linezolid, and tigecycline against methicillin-279 resistant Staphylococcus aureus in a mixed-pathogen pharmacodynamic model. Antimicrob 280 Agents Chemother **50**:1298-1303.
- 281 21. Allen GP, Cha R, Rybak MJ. 2002. In vitro activities of quinupristin-dalfopristin and cefepime, 282 alone and in combination with various antimicrobials, against multidrug-resistant staphylococci 283 and enterococci in an in vitro pharmacodynamic model. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 46:2606-284 2612.
- 285 22. Benvenuto M, Benziger DP, Yankelev S, Vigliani G. 2006. Pharmacokinetics and tolerability of 286 daptomycin at doses up to 12 milligrams per kilogram of body weight once daily in healthy 287 volunteers. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 50:3245-3249.
- 288 23. Safdar N, Andes D, Craig WA. 2004. In vivo pharmacodynamic activity of daptomycin. 289 Antimicrob Agents Chemother 48:63-68.
- 290 Boak LM, Li J, Rayner CR, Nation RL. 2007. Pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic factors 24. 291 influencing emergence of resistance to linezolid in an in vitro model. Antimicrob Agents
- 292 Chemother **51**:1287-1292.
- 25. 293 Rybak M, Lomaestro B, Rotschafer JC, Moellering R, Jr., Craig W, Billeter M, Dalovisio JR,
- 294 Levine DP. 2009. Therapeutic monitoring of vancomycin in adult patients: a consensus review of
- 295 the American Society of Health-System Pharmacists, the Infectious Diseases Society of America,
- and the Society of Infectious Diseases Pharmacists. Am J Health Syst Pharm 66:82-98. 296
- Rolston KV, McConnell SA, Brown J, Lamp KC. 2010. Daptomycin use in patients with cancer 297 26. 298 and neutropenia: data from a retrospective registry. Clin Adv Hematol Oncol 8:249-256, 290.

- 299 27. Lepper MH, Dowling HF. 1951. Treatment of pneumococcic meningitis with penicillin compared
   300 with penicillin plus aureomycin; studies including observations on an apparent antagonism
   301 between penicillin and aureomycin. AMA Arch Intern Med 88:489-494.
- Parra-Ruiz J, Bravo-Molina A, Pena-Monje A, Hernandez-Quero J. 2012. Activity of linezolid
   and high-dose daptomycin, alone or in combination, in an in vitro model of Staphylococcus
- aureus biofilm. J Antimicrob Chemother **67**:2682-2685.
- Parente DM, Luther MK, Caffrey AR, Martin ET, Salzman EA, LaPlante KL. 2015. Molecular
   Predictors of Biofilm-Producing *Staphylococcus aureus*. Poster C-1042, Interscience Conference
- 307 on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, San Diego, CA.
- 308 30. de Matos PD, Sedaca S, Ferreira DC, Iorio NL, Toledo VC, Freitas AI, Coelho FL, Sousa C,
- 309 Dos Santos KR, Pereira MO. 2014. Antimicrobial synergism against different lineages of
- 310 methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus carrying SCCmec IV. J Appl Microbiol **116**:1418-
- 311 1426.
- 312
- 313

	MIC (mcg/mL)	MBC (mcg/mL)	<i>f</i> Cmax/ MIC	%T>MIC	fAUC/MIC	Estimated totaIAUC/MIC
MRSA (L31)						
Daptomycin (6mg/kg)	0.5	1	17.13 ± 0.61	100%	170-181	2121-2262
Linezolid	1	>64	14.49 ± 0.66	100%	213	309
Vancomycin	2	2	10.77 ± 1.23	100%	181-185	402-411
MRSA (L328)						
Daptomycin (6mg/kg)	0.25	0.25	34.26 ± 1.22	100%	339-361	4243-4524
Linezolid	2	>64	7.24 ± 0.33	100%	107	155
Vancomycin	1	1	21.55 ± 2.45	100%	362-370	804-823

316

Table 1. MIC, MBC and pharmacodynamic parameters obtained from IVPD experiments using

318 free concentrations.

319 MIC= minimum inhibitory concentration

320 MBC= minimum bactericidal concentration

321 *f*Cmax= maximum free concentration

322 AUC= area under the curve

323 %T>MIC= percentage of time above MIC

	MRSA	Change in Log <sub>10</sub> CFU/mL relative to 0h at:			
	Strain	24h	48h		
Growth Control	L31	+2.52 ± 0.11	+2.37 ± 0.27		
	L328	+2.46 ± 0.23	+3.29 ± 0.29		
Daptomycin 6mg/kg	L31	-3.51 ± 0.08	-3.03 ± 0.68		
	L328	-3.11 ± 0.32	-3.15 ± 0.28		
Daptomycin 10mg/kg	L31	-3.54 ± 0.03	-3.48 ± 0.09		
	L328	-3.45 ± 0.11	-3.24 ± 0.56		
Linezolid	L31	-2.90 ± 0.47	-0.84 ± 0.43		
	L328	$-2.82 \pm 0.69$	$-1.51 \pm 0.54$		
Vancomycin	L31	-2.85 ± 0.15	-2.02 ± 0.15		
,	L328	$-3.08 \pm 0.52$	$-1.39 \pm 0.57$		
Daptomycin 6mg/kg	L31	$-2.62 \pm 0.80$	-1.14 ± 0.68		
+ Linezolid		(inhibited 0.81 log CFU/mL,	(inhibited 1.82 log CFU/mL,		
-		indifference)	antagonism)		
	L328	$-2.05 \pm 0.35$	$-1.62 \pm 0.89$		
		(inhibited 1.04 log CFU/mL,	(inhibited 1.52 log CFU/mL,		
		antagonism*)	antagonism)		
Daptomycin 10mg/kg	L31	-2.55 ± 0.58	-1.21 ± 0.66		
+ Linezolid		(inhibited 1.14 log CFU/mL,	(inhibited 2.43 log CFU/mL,		
		antagonism)	antagonism*)		
	L328	-2.40 ± 0.18	-2.35 ± 0.83		
		(inhibited 1.01 log CFU/mL,	(inhibited 0.85 log CFU/mL,		
		antagonism*)	indifference)		
Linezolid +	L31	-1.88 ± 0.98	-0.60 ± 0.55		
Vancomycin		(inhibited 1.00 log CFU/mL,	(inhibited 1.36 log CFU/mL,		
		antagonism)	antagonism)		
	L328	-1.43 ± 0.17	-0.14 ± 0.17		
		(inhibited 1.67 log CFU/mL, antagonism*)	(inhibited 1.27 log CFU/mL, antagonism*)		
Vancomycin +	L31	-3.57 ± 0.08	-3.57 ± 0.08		
Daptomycin 6mg/kg		(no change, indifference)	(enhanced 0.48 log CFU/mL		
	1 200	0 54 + 0 40	indifference)		
	L328	$-3.51 \pm 0.10$	-3.51 ± 0.10		
		(enhanced 0.43 log CFU/mL, indifference)	(enhanced 0.39 log CFU/mL indifference)		

- Table 2. Activity of each antibiotic alone and in combination in an IVPD model at 24 and 48h.
- \*Significant antagonism from the most active component of the regimen (p<0.05).
- Improvement: 1-2 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/mL increase in kill over the most active component.
- Enhancement: >2  $\log_{10}$  CFU/mL increase in kill over the most active component.
- 337 Antagonism:  $\geq 1 \log_{10} \text{ CFU/mL}$  increase in growth over the most active component.
- Indifference: <1 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/mL change in activity from the most active component.
- 339
- 340
- 341
- Figure 1. Activity of daptomycin and linezolid (A and C), or vancomycin and linezolid (B and
- D)combinations on planktonic MRSA L31 and L328 over 48h.
- 344 GC= growth control, DAP6= daptomycin 6mg/kg, DAP10= daptomycin 10mg/kg, VAN=
- 345 vancomycin, LZD= linezolid
- 346

