3-1-2015

Hemlock Woolly Adelgid Alters Fine Root Bacterial Abundance and Mycorrhizal Associations in Eastern Hemlock

Justin F. Vendettuoli

David A. Orwig

Jennifer Adams Krumins

Matthew D. Waterhouse

Evan L. Preisser

University of Rhode Island, preisser@uri.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/bio_facpubs

The University of Rhode Island Faculty have made this article openly available. Please let us know how Open Access to this research benefits you.

This is a pre-publication author manuscript of the final, published article.

Terms of Use

This article is made available under the terms and conditions applicable towards Open Access Policy Articles, as set forth in our [Terms of Use](#).

Citation/Publisher Attribution

JF Vendettuoli, DA Orwig, J Adams Krumins, MD Waterhouse, and EL Preisser. (2015). "Hemlock woolly adelgid alters fine root bacterial abundance and mycorrhizal associations in eastern hemlock." *Forest Ecology and Management*. 339: 112-116. Available at: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378112714007178>.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Biological Sciences at DigitalCommons@URI. It has been accepted for inclusion in Biological Sciences Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact digitalcommons-group@uri.edu.

Running head: Underground effects of adelgid

TITLE: Hemlock woolly adelgid alters fine root bacterial abundance and mycorrhizal associations in eastern hemlock

Justin F. Vendettuoli¹, David A. Orwig², Jennifer Adams Krumins³, Matthew D. Waterhouse⁴, and Evan L. Preisser^{5*}

¹Department of Natural Resources Science, University of Rhode Island, Kingston, RI 02881

²Harvard Forest, Harvard University, Petersham MA 01366

³Department of Biology and Molecular Biology, Montclair State University, Montclair NJ 07043

⁴Department of Biology, University of British Columbia, Kelowna BC V1V 1V7

⁵Department of Biological Sciences, University of Rhode Island, Kingston RI 02881

*Corresponding author: Evan Preisser

Department of Biological Sciences, University of Rhode Island, Kingston RI 02881

Ph: 401-874-2120 e-mail: preisser@uri.edu

KEYWORDS

Adelges tsugae, *Tsuga canadensis*, mycorrhizae, fine root bacteria, fine root carbon:nitrogen

ABSTRACT

While the impact of aboveground herbivores on plant biomass and fitness has received considerable attention, there has been far less research on the corresponding belowground impacts. The belowground effects of aboveground feeding may be particularly noticeable for invasive and/or outbreaking herbivore species that reach high densities and can cause major damage and sometimes death. The hemlock woolly adelgid, *Adelges tsugae*, is an invasive pest on the eastern seaboard of the United States that feeds on a native shade-tolerant conifer, the eastern hemlock *Tsuga canadensis*. Trees rapidly decline and die following infestation, and the invasion of this insect has devastated hemlock populations from Georgia in the south to Maine in the north. Despite their substantial impact on tree health, we are unaware of any research into the adelgid's effect on hemlock roots and the surrounding rhizosphere. We report the results of research assessing ectomycorrhizal root colonization, rhizosphere bacterial abundance, and root C:N ratios of infested and uninfested *T. canadensis*. We found that adelgid infestation decreased the percentage of root material colonized by ectomycorrhizal fungi by more than 67%. Rhizosphere bacterial abundance on fine roots was 25% lower on adelgid-infested versus uninfested trees, and roots of adelgid-infested trees contained significantly less carbon. Our results demonstrate that aboveground adelgid infestation can affect hemlock root composition and alter belowground interactions with ectomycorrhizal fungi and bacteria. This information demonstrates that above-belowground linkages can transmit the impact of herbivory far from the site of localized damage.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The impact of insect herbivores on plant growth and community structure can range from inconsequential to major; in extreme cases the structure and functions of entire ecosystems can

be substantially altered (Lovett *et al.*, 2006). In some instances, insect herbivores can increase biodiversity via preferential feeding on dominant species, allowing resources to be exploited by a greater number of species (Carson and Root, 2000). In other cases where herbivores inflict substantial damage, outbreaks of such species can devastate their hosts and cause major changes to the environment (Smith and Schowalter, 2001; Gandhi and Herms, 2010) and economic loss (Aukema *et al.*, 2011; Oliveira *et al.*, 2013).

Researchers are increasingly aware that herbivore grazing on aboveground green biomass can have profound belowground impacts on the composition of organisms and subsequent nutrient cycling in the rhizosphere (Bardgett and Wardle, 2010). The herbivore removal of aboveground plant tissue can alter patterns of carbon and nutrient allocation in belowground roots (Rasmann *et al.*, 2009). This can affect the composition and abundance of rhizosphere-dwelling organisms. Cattle grazing, for instance, has been shown to alter microbial community composition and food web structure in the root zone of grass (Hamilton and Frank, 2001; Veen *et al.*, 2010). Moose and snowshoe hare grazing have also led to reduced ectomycorrhizal (EM) colonization in roots of balsam poplar (*Populus balsamifera*) and willow (*Salix* spp.) (Rossow *et al.*, 1997). These changes can in turn alter rates of nutrient cycling and availability in ways that affect the grazed plant. This feedback loop has been well-documented and can be surprisingly favorable for plant growth and recovery (Ruess and McNaughton, 1987; Krumins, 2014).

While the effect of folivory on aboveground-belowground interactions has been well-studied in herbaceous plants, the impact of sap-feeding herbivores on aboveground-belowground interactions in woody plant species has attracted less attention. This gap is notable in light of work documenting that sap-feeder impacts on woody plant fitness equal or exceed those of folivores (Zvereva *et al.*, 2010). Aphid infestations, for instance, indirectly reduce root growth in

Sitka spruce by limiting the tree's ability to provide carbon from photosynthesis (Day and Cameron, 1997) and reduce root tissue density of Douglas fir by inducing the translocation of additional carbohydrates from roots to shoots (Smith and Schowalter, 2001). Herbivory by the needle-feeding scale *Matsucoccus acalyptus* reduced EM colonization of pinyon pine (Gehring and Whitham, 1991); similarly, western spruce budworm (*Choristoneura occidentalis*) defoliation reduced EM colonization in Douglas fir seedlings (Kolb *et al.*, 1999). More generally, both folivores and sap feeders can also impact epiphytic microbial communities through their production of nutrient-rich excrement (Stadler *et al.*, 2001).

The hemlock woolly adelgid (*Adelges tsugae*; 'adelgid') is an invasive sessile herbivore that feeds exclusively on eastern hemlock (*Tsuga canadensis* (L.) Carr.) in the northeastern United States. While it has minimal impact on hemlock health in its native range of Japan and China (Havill *et al.*, 2006), it can kill even mature eastern hemlocks in as little as four years; few heavily-infested trees survive longer than ten years (Orwig and Foster, 1998). While the impact of the adelgid on hemlock physiology has been studied (Radville *et al.*, 2011; Gómez *et al.*, 2012; Gonda-King *et al.*, 2012; Domec *et al.*, 2013), its effects on hemlock root physiology and the associated rhizosphere remain unexplored.

We report the results of work exploring the belowground impact of adelgid infestation on *T. canadensis*. We measured root EM associations of infested and uninfested hemlock trees, rhizosphere bacterial abundance, and root C:N ratios. Our findings demonstrate that aboveground adelgid infestation of eastern hemlock has belowground consequences that likely augment the ecosystem-level impact of this pest and may need to be addressed for the maximal success of forest restoration efforts.

2.0 METHODS

As part of a long-term research program addressing the impacts of the hemlock woolly adelgid on eastern hemlock, we characterized adelgid infestation level and stand vigor in 79 stands in CT and 63 stands in MA. Following their initial characterization (1997-1998 in CT, 2002-2004 in MA; Orwig *et al.*, 2002; Orwig *et al.*, 2012), these stands were repeatedly surveyed for adelgid infestation and stand vigor in 2005, 2007, 2009, and 2011 (Preisser *et al.*, 2008; Preisser *et al.*, 2011). We assessed the rhizosphere of eastern hemlocks in a subset of the stands described above. In order to explore how adelgid infestation affects rhizosphere processes in eastern hemlock, we characterized both fine-root EM colonization (Study #1) and bacterial abundance (Study #2).

In Study #1, we quantified EM colonization of hemlock roots in three adelgid-infested and two uninfested hemlock stands in 2003; we focused our research on ectomycorrhizae as opposed to arbuscular (endo) mycorrhizae because conifers like hemlock are almost exclusively colonized by ectomycorrhizae (Smith *et al.*, 1997). Infested stands were located in south-central Connecticut, and had been colonized by adelgid for 3-10 years; uninfested forests were located in central Massachusetts (Fig. 1). In Study #2, we quantified rhizosphere bacterial abundance from ten infested and ten uninfested hemlock stands in central and northern Massachusetts in 2013 (Fig. 1). In both studies, trees sampled in the ‘infested’ treatment were heavily infested themselves (>1 mature adelgid/cm foliage growth) and surrounded by other heavily-infested trees. In contrast, trees sampled in the ‘uninfested’ treatments were in stands where no adelgid had been detected during previous large-scale surveys; to ensure that the sampled trees had maintained their uninfected status, we carefully surveyed each sampled tree and all trees within 10m of it to ensure the absence of adelgid. Because of logistical constraints, we were unable to sample the same sites in both surveys. Regardless of location, all sampled stands consisted of

>50% hemlock canopy cover within 100m of the sampled trees, and 100% canopy cover within 10 m of the sampled trees.

2.1. Study 1: Ectomycorrhizal colonization of hemlock roots

From each of the five sites, we collected roots from 3–8 hemlock saplings (1.5–2m in height); we sampled a total of 14 saplings from infested sites and 16 from uninfested sites. Soil and stand traits were sampled in the course of several related studies (Cobb *et al.*, 2006; Orwig *et al.*, 2013); infested sites averaged 708 ± 19 [SE] trees ha⁻¹, with a mean hemlock stand basal area of 46.6 ± 2.7 m² ha⁻¹; uninfested sites averaged 1072 ± 97 [SE] trees ha⁻¹, with a mean hemlock stand basal area of 45.6 ± 2.0 m² ha⁻¹. soils in infested sites had a mean organic (forest floor) soil C:N ratio of $26.1 \pm 2.3\%$ [SE] and a mean mineral soil c:n ratio of $23.2 \pm 0.65\%$. Soils in uninfested sites had a organic (forest floor) soil C:N ratio of 26.9% and a mineral soil c:n ratio of 24.1% (also see online appendix #1). Only trees growing under a hemlock-dominated canopy were sampled. Each sapling was uprooted to expose the entire root system, and three 20cm root samples per tree were collected and rinsed with deionized water (four of 30 sampled trees only had sufficient roots for two 20cm root samples). Although we would have liked to sample mature trees, the labor involved in uprooting multiple large hemlock trees (necessary to ensure that the sampled roots in fact belonged to the chosen tree) necessitated using saplings. Each root sample was assigned a random number to ensure an unbiased assessment and then trimmed down to a 5cm section. The grid intercept method (Giovanetti and Mosse, 1980) was used to assess the percent EM colonization for each root sample. Roots with EM colonization were differentiated on the basis of morphology, color, characteristics of the surface of the hyphal mantle, and planar views of different mantle layers using standard methods (Agerer, 1992). Each root sample was randomly dispersed in a 9cm diameter petri plate with 0.5cm grid lines. The intersection between

grid lines and roots were designated as either EM-colonized or non-mycorrhizal. The proportion of root counts that were mycorrhizal was calculated for each root sample and averaged for each sapling. We took a total of 5,902 counts, an average of 197 counts per tree (each tree had a minimum of 100 counts).

2.2 Study 2: Bacterial abundance in the rhizosphere

We collected fine roots ($\leq 2\text{mm}$ diameter; Robertson *et al.*, 1999) from three understory hemlocks (2 – 5m tall) at each of twenty sites (10 infested and 10 uninfested); all sampled trees were growing under a hemlock-dominated canopy. Again, our choice of the sampled trees was motivated by the difficulties inherent in uprooting multiple large hemlock trees (necessary to ensure that the sampled roots in fact belonged to the chosen tree). Infested sites averaged 1312 ± 240 [SE] trees ha^{-1} , with a mean hemlock basal area of $33.2 \pm 1.5 \text{ m}^2 \text{ ha}^{-1}$; uninfested sites averaged 859 ± 78 [SE] trees ha^{-1} , with a mean hemlock basal area of $38.2 \pm 1.7 \text{ m}^2 \text{ ha}^{-1}$. Soils in infested sites had a mean organic (forest floor) soil C:N ratio of $26.9 \pm 1.4\%$ [SE] and a mean mineral soil c:n ratio of $24.5 \pm 2.0\%$. Soils in uninfested sites had a mean organic soil C:N ratio of $28.8 \pm 1.6\%$ and a mean mineral soil c:n ratio of $24.5 \pm 1.6\%$ (also see online appendix #1; for a more detailed site description, see Orwig *et al.*, 2012). Roots were collected from each hemlock by lightly scraping away leaf litter and organic soil from the base of the tree, extracting the roots, and clipping three 12-15cm root samples. Root samples were combined in a single plastic bag (one bag per tree), immediately returned to the lab, and refrigerated for <2 hours prior to fixation. Before fixing each sample, loose debris and soil was manually shaken off; $\sim 0.1\text{g}$ of fine roots from each tree was then placed in 5mL of phosphate buffer saline (PBS) solution and vortexed for 2 minutes to suspend the bacteria. Each suspension was fixed with 1.5% filtered

formalin (1.5% final formalin concentration) and again vortexed. Fixed samples were stored at 4°C prior to staining and enumeration.

Staining took place within three days of the sample being fixed and collected; because the samples were fixed immediately after collection, there were no time-related differences between bacterial counts taken on different days. Bacterial abundance was determined using acridine orange direct counts (AODC) (Kepner and Pratt, 1994). Cell concentration was optimized by dilution to achieve countable samples. Between 0.1-0.5ml of each fixed sample was removed (the extracted amount was supplemented with PBS to ensure a total volume of 1ml) and then stained with 200µl of 0.1% acridine orange. Each sample was vacuum-captured onto a 0.2µm black polycarbonate filter (EMD Millipore Corporation, MA) and fixed to a slide with immersion oil. Enumeration was done using a Nikon Eclipse Ti inverted fluorescent microscope fixed with a Nikon DS-Fi1 camera. We determined mean bacterial abundance per slide by photographing ten randomly-chosen locations on each slide, using ImageJ (Schneider et al. 2012) to count bacterial densities, and averaging the ten counts. Each count thus reflects the mean number of cells per g fresh weight of plant material. Because the extraction procedures involved washing and removing fresh plant tissue, we could not calculate the dry weight of plant material.

We used the procedures outlined above to collect an additional fine root sample in 2013 for carbon and nitrogen analysis from each tree used for bacterial abundance at the 20 sites. Fine roots were rinsed with deionized water to remove soil particles and dried at 60°C in an oven for 48 hrs. Dried samples were ground into a fine powder using a grinding mill (Spex Mixer Mill 8000M, Metuchen, NJ) and analyzed for carbon and nitrogen content with a nutrient analyzer

(Elementar vario MICRO cube, Mount Laurel, NJ). Two replicates of each fine root sample were analyzed, and the results averaged to calculate a site-level mean.

2.3 Statistical Analysis: To test the effect of adelgid infestation on EM colonization, bacterial abundance, and root C:N, all samples were grouped by site and the infested and control sites were compared. Because the data from Study #1 did not meet the assumptions of normality, we analyzed it using a non-parametric median test; data from Study #2 was analyzed using one-way ANOVA. EM colonization was calculated as percent colonization and bacterial abundance was calculated as number of cells per gram fresh weight of root. All analyses were performed using JMP 9.0 (SAS, Cary, NC).

3.0 RESULTS

The percentage of EM colonization was significantly lower ($10.6 \pm 2.4\%$ [SE]) on infested hemlock roots compared to roots from uninfested trees ($32.4 \pm 10.2\%$) (Median Test; $p=0.046$). Hemlock fine root bacterial abundance, measured in millions of cells per gram, was also lower on infested versus uninfested trees ($F_{1,18}=2.22$, $p=0.044$; Fig. 2A). Chemical analysis of fine roots from adelgid-infested versus uninfested trees revealed that percent carbon was significantly lower in infested hemlock stands ($F_{1,18}=5.11$, $p=0.036$; Fig. 2B), but that adelgid infestation did not affect percent nitrogen (Fig. 2C). Despite the differences in root C, roots from infested versus uninfested stands did not differ in their root C:N ratio (Fig. 2D).

4.0 DISCUSSION

We found that aboveground infestation by hemlock woolly adelgid significantly affected rhizosphere processes. The rhizosphere surrounding fine roots of adelgid-infested trees had less ectomycorrhizal colonization and lower bacterial abundance (Fig. 2A), while the fine roots themselves had lower carbon concentrations (Fig. 2B). The lower percentage of ectomycorrhizal

colonization found in our results is consistent with findings following herbivory from several types of insects (Gehring and Whitham, 1994b). One of the most common causes of decreased ectomycorrhizal colonization is herbivory-driven reductions in photosynthate availability; this can disrupt carbohydrate supply to mycorrhizae and reduce mycorrhizal root tip abundance (Gehring and Whitham, 1994b) and mycorrhizal inoculum potential (Lewis *et al.*, 2008). Aphid outbreaks on other conifer species, for instance, can decrease photosynthetic efficiency (Day and Cameron, 1997). The hemlock woolly adelgid has been shown to cause similar reductions in photosynthesis (Nelson *et al.*, 2014), and continued feeding on hemlock likely leads to continuous carbohydrate depletion. It is possible that the reduction in carbon found in the fine roots of infested trees (Fig. 2B) and lower EM colonization result from a disruption of that carbon source.

Environmental conditions can also play a significant role in the mycorrhizal response to herbivory (Bardgett and Wardle, 2003; Gehring and Whitham, 2003). There has been a documented decrease in EM colonization in sites with higher soil nutrient status compared with water- and nutrient-stressed sites (Gehring and Whitham, 1994a). Several environmental characteristics of chronic HWA infestation may have impacted the EM colonization response seen in this study. First, Stadler *et al.* (2005; 2006) provided evidence that adelgid impacts the composition of throughfall in infested stands. Their work showed higher inputs of N into the soil under adelgid-infested trees. Second, in addition to inputs from throughfall, adelgid damage often yields microenvironmental conditions that lead to increased soil N due to changes in decomposition, N cycling and availability, and reduced tree uptake of nutrients (Kizilinski *et al.* 2002; Orwig *et al.* 2008). Enhanced soil N status resulting from either of these mechanisms may also have led to reduced colonization of hemlock fine roots and associated bacterial levels.

229 Finally, altered mycorrhizal community structure resulting from increased N in the system may
230 have selected for ectomycorrhizal species that perform less of a service for their host but still
231 require the same carbon cost (Johnson, 1993).

232 We expected the abundance of bacterial cells colonizing the roots of adelgid-infested
233 trees to be higher. Experiments in grasslands ecosystems showed that grazed plants exude more
234 carbon into the rhizosphere, thus stimulating microbial growth and metabolism (Hamilton and
235 Frank, 2001). The priming effect (Kuzyakov *et al.*, 2000) stimulated by above-ground herbivory
236 fed back positively to the grasses, increasing nutrient availability. Rhizosphere microbes have the
237 primary responsibility for making nutrients available to plants through the decomposition and
238 mineralization of soil organic matter (Vessey, 2003). It is interesting to note that we did not find
239 increased microbial abundance in the trees we studied. This difference may be due to important
240 differences in the feeding behavior of herbivores and how they affect the host plant (Lovett *et al.*,
241 2006). Sucking insects, for instance, export far less wasted plant biomass (increasing soil organic
242 matter) and frass to the forest floor than do chewing insects or larger grazers (Zvereva *et al.*,
243 2010).

244 Bacterial and fungal communities are often tightly coupled in the rhizosphere. The
245 reduced bacterial abundance found in adelgid-infested hemlock stands may simultaneously be
246 linked to reduced ectomycorrhizal associations and changes in root and soil nutrient chemistry
247 associated with infestation. Indeed, some studies have found that soil communities experiencing
248 mycorrhizal loss also lose their fungally-associated bacteria (Hol *et al.*, 2014). However, the
249 significant decline in absolute numbers of bacteria suggests resource limitation from the root.
250 The implications of decreased bacterial load will be decreased mineral nutrient availability to the
251 tree (Vessey, 2003; Wardle *et al.*, 2004); this is consistent with our results (Fig. 2A). Knowing

both the load of EM and bacteria in the rhizosphere is, however, only the first critical step. Soil communities are classically divided into bacterial- and fungal-based energy channels (Moore and Hunt, 1988; De Ruiter *et al.*, 1995). It is the balance of these two energetic pathways, however, that leads to stability and functioning of the rhizosphere community (Rooney *et al.*, 2006) and overall plant health. Therefore, future work will test differences in community composition of both mycorrhiza and bacteria colonizing the roots of trees in affected and unaffected sites. The reduced colonization by EM and bacterial abundance found here may be caused by strong competitors dominating the rhizosphere community.

Our work provides the first documentation of the below-ground consequences of above-ground herbivory on eastern hemlock by an exotic herbivore. The impact of aboveground feeding by the hemlock woolly adelgid on the rhizosphere processes of the hemlock and the mycorrhizal and bacterial abundances illustrates the need for a greater understanding of how herbivores impact all aspects of an ecosystem. From a management perspective, there is increased recognition (Kardol and Wardle, 2010) of the importance of aboveground-belowground linkages in determining the efficacy of management and restoration efforts. Specifically, adelgid-mediated alterations in the belowground communities that facilitate hemlock growth could make it more difficult to replant hemlocks in formerly-suitable areas. Further research should investigate the soil community structure of infested hemlock stands against uninfested hemlock stands, to see if there are shifts in the species found in addition to the change in abundance of bacteria.

5.0 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank M. Patel for her assistance with the lab work and C. Renaud for help processing samples. The manuscript was greatly improved by the comments and suggestions

of two anonymous reviewers. This work was funded by RI00HI-4004 to EP, NIFA 2011-67013-30142 to EP and DO, NSF funding through its programs in Research Experience for Undergraduates (DBI 10-03938), Long Term Ecological Research (DEB 12-37491), and is a publication of the Harvard Forest Long Term Ecological Research (LTER) Site.

6.0 LITERATURE CITED

- Agerer, R., 1992. Ectomycorrhizae of *Phellodon niger* on Norway spruce and their chlamydospores. *Mycorrhiza* 2, 47-52.
- Aukema, J., Leung, B., Kovacs, K., Chivers, C., Britton, K., Englin, J., Frankel, S., Haight, R., Holmes, T., Liebhold, A., McCullough, D., Von Holle, B., 2011. Economic impacts of non-native forest insects in the continental United States. *PLoS ONE* 6, e24587.
- Bardgett, R.D., Wardle, D.A., 2003. Herbivore-mediated linkages between aboveground and belowground communities. *Ecology* 84, 2258-2268.
- Bardgett, R.D., Wardle, D.A., 2010. Aboveground-Belowground Linkages: Biotic Interactions, Ecosystem Processes, and Global Change. Oxford University Press.
- Carson, W.P., Root, R.B., 2000. Herbivory and plant species coexistence: community regulation by an outbreaking phytophagous insect. *Ecol Monogr* 70, 73-99.
- Cobb, R., Orwig, D., Currie, S., 2006. Decomposition of green foliage in eastern hemlock forests of southern New England impacted by hemlock woolly adelgid populations. *Can. J. For. Res.* 36, 1331-1341.
- Day, K.R., Cameron, A., 1997. Effect of contemporary infestation by the spruce aphid (*Elatobium abietinum*) on root growth in Sitka spruce transplants. *Forestry* 70, 1-5.
- De Ruiter, P., Neutel, A.-M., Moore, J.C., 1995. Energetics, patterns of interaction strengths, and stability in real ecosystems. *Science* 269, 1257-1260.

298 Domec, J.-C., Rivera, L.N., King, J.S., Peszlen, I., Hain, F., Smith, B., Frampton, J., 2013.
299 Hemlock woolly adelgid (*Adelges tsugae*) infestation affects water and carbon relations of
300 eastern hemlock (*Tsuga canadensis*) and Carolina hemlock (*Tsuga caroliniana*). New Phytol
301 199, 452-463.

302 Gandhi, K., Herms, D., 2010. Direct and indirect effects of alien insect herbivores on ecological
303 processes and interactions in forests of eastern North America. Biol. Invasions 12, 389-405.

304 Gehring, C.A., Whitham, T.G., 1991. Herbivore-driven mycorrhizal mutualism in insect-
305 susceptible pinyon pine. Nature 353, 556-557.

306 Gehring, C.A., Whitham, T.G., 1994a. Comparisons of ectomycorrhizae on pinyon pines (*Pinus*
307 *edulis*; Pinaceae) across extremes of soil type and herbivory. Am. J. Bot. 81, 1509-1516.

308 Gehring, C.A., Whitham, T.G., 1994b. Interactions between aboveground herbivores and the
309 mycorrhizal mutualists of plants. Trends Ecol Evol 9, 251-255.

310 Gehring, C.A., Whitham, T.G., 2003. Mycorrhizae-Herbivore Interactions: Population and
311 Community Consequences. In: van der Heijden, M.G.A., Sanders, I.R. (Eds.), Mycorrhizal
312 Ecology. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 295-320.

313 Giovanetti, M., Mosse, B., 1980. An evaluation of techniques for measuring vesicular-arbuscular
314 mycorrhizal infection in roots. New Phytol 84, 489-500.

315 Gómez, S., Orians, C., Preisser, E., 2012. Exotic herbivores on a shared native host: tissue
316 quality after individual, simultaneous, and sequential attack. Oecologia 169, 1015-1024.

317 Gonda-King, L., Radville, L., Preisser, E., 2012. False ring formation in eastern hemlock
318 branches: impacts of hemlock woolly adelgid and elongate hemlock scale. Environ Entomol 41,
319 523-531.

320 Hamilton, E.W., Frank, D.A., 2001. Can plants stimulate soil microbes and their own nutrient
321 supply? Evidence from a grazing tolerant grass. *Ecology* 82, 2397-2402.

322 Havill, N., Montgomery, M., Yu, G., Shiyake, S., Caccone, A., 2006. Mitochondrial DNA from
323 hemlock woolly adelgid (Hemiptera: Adelgidae) suggests cryptic speciation and pinpoints the
324 source of the introduction to eastern North America. *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.* 99, 195-203.

325 Hol, W.H.G., de Boer, W., Medina, A., 2014. Beneficial Interactions in the Rhizosphere. In:
326 Dighton, J., Krumins, J.A. (Eds.), *Interactions in Soil: Promoting Plant Growth*. Springer
327 Netherlands, pp. 59-80.

328 Johnson, N.C., 1993. Can fertilization of soil select less mutualistic mycorrhizae? *Ecol. Appl.* 3,
329 749-757.

330 Kardol, P., Wardle, D.A., 2010. How understanding aboveground–belowground linkages can
331 assist restoration ecology. *Trends Ecol Evol* 25, 670-679.

332 Kepner, R.L., Pratt, J.R., 1994. Use of fluorochromes for direct enumeration of total bacteria in
333 environmental samples: past and present. *Microbiol Rev* 58, 603-615.

334 Kolb, T.E., Dodds, K.A., Clancy, K.M., 1999. Effect of western spruce budworm defoliation on
335 the physiology and growth of potted Douglas-fir seedlings. *For Sci* 45, 280-291.

336 Krumins, J.A., 2014. The Positive Effects of Trophic Interactions in Soil. In: Dighton, J.,
337 Krumins, J.A. (Eds.), *Interactions in Soil: Promoting Plant Growth*. Springer Netherlands, pp.
338 81-94.

339 Kuzyakov, Y., Friedel, J.K., Stahr, K., 2000. Review of mechanisms and quantification of
340 priming effects. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 32, 1485-1498.

341 Lewis, J., Licitra, J., Tuininga, A., Sirulnik, A., Turner, G., Johnson, J., 2008. Oak seedling
342 growth and ectomycorrhizal colonization are less in eastern hemlock stands infested with
343 hemlock woolly adelgid than in adjacent oak stands. *Tree Physiology* 28, 629-636.

344 Lovett, G.M., Canham, C.D., Arthur, M.A., Weathers, K.C., Fitzhugh, R.D., 2006. Forest
345 ecosystem responses to exotic pests and pathogens in eastern North America. *Bioscience* 56,
346 395-405.

347 Moore, J.C., Hunt, H.W., 1988. Resource compartmentation and the stability of real ecosystems.
348 *Nature* 333, 261-263.

349 Nelson, L.A., Dillaway, D.N., Rieske, L.K., 2014. Effect of an exotic herbivore, *Adelges tsugae*,
350 on photosynthesis of a highly susceptible *Tsuga* host, with notes on conspecifics. *Arthropod-*
351 *Plant Interactions* 8, 9-15.

352 Oliveira, C.M., Auad, A.M., Mendes, S.M., Frizzas, M.R., 2013. Economic impact of exotic
353 insect pests in Brazilian agriculture. *J Appl Entomol* 137, 1-15.

354 Orwig, D., Foster, D., 1998. Forest response to the introduced hemlock woolly adelgid in
355 southern New England, USA. *J Torrey Bot Soc* 125, 60-73.

356 Orwig, D., Foster, D., Mausel, D., 2002. Landscape patterns of hemlock decline in New England
357 due to the introduced hemlock woolly adelgid. *J Biogeogr* 29, 1475-1487.

358 Orwig, D., Plotkin, A., Davidson, E., Lux, H., Savage, K., Ellison, A., 2013. Foundation species
359 loss affects vegetation structure more than ecosystem function in a northeastern USA forest.
360 *PeerJ* 1, e41.

361 Orwig, D., Thompson, J., Povak, N., Manner, M., Niebyl, D., Foster, D., 2012. A foundation tree
362 at the precipice: *Tsuga canadensis* health after the arrival of *Adelges tsugae* in central New
363 England. *Ecosphere* 3, 10.

364 Preisser, E., Lodge, A., Orwig, D., Elkinton, J., 2008. Range expansion and population dynamics
365 of co-occurring invasive herbivores. *Biol. Invasions* 10, 201-213.

366 Preisser, E., Miller-Pierce, M., Vansant, J., Orwig, D., 2011. Eastern hemlock (*Tsuga*
367 *canadensis*) regeneration in the presence of hemlock woolly adelgid (*Adelges tsugae*) and
368 elongate hemlock scale (*Fiorinia externa*). *Can. J. For. Res.* 41, 2433-2439.

369 Radville, L., Chaves, A., Preisser, E., 2011. Variation in plant defense against invasive
370 herbivores: evidence for a hypersensitive response in eastern hemlocks (*Tsuga canadensis*). *J*
371 *Chem Ecol* 37, 592-597.

372 Rasmann, S., Agrawal, A.A., Cook, S.C., Erwin, A.C., 2009. Cardenolides, induced responses,
373 and interactions between above- and belowground herbivores of milkweed (*Asclepias* spp.).
374 *Ecology* 90:2393-2404. *Ecology* 90, 2393-2404.

375 Robertson, G.P., Coleman, D.C., Bledsoe, C.S., Sollins, P. (Eds.), 1999. *Standard Soil Methods*
376 *for Long-term Ecological Research*. Oxford University Press, New York.

377 Rooney, N., McCann, K., Gellner, G., Moore, J.C., 2006. Structural asymmetry and the stability
378 of diverse food webs. *Nature* 442, 265-269.

379 Rossow, L.J., Bryant, J.P., Kielland, K., 1997. Effects of above-ground browsing by mammals
380 on mycorrhizal infection in an early successional taiga ecosystem. *Oecologia* 110, 94-98.

381 Ruess, R.W., McNaughton, S.J., 1987. Grazing and the dynamics of nutrient and energy
382 regulated microbial processes in the Serengeti grasslands. *Oikos* 49, 101-110.

383 Smith, J., Schowalter, T., 2001. Aphid-induced reduction of shoot and root growth in Douglas-fir
384 seedlings. *Ecol Entomol* 26, 411-416.

385 Smith, S.E., Read, D.J., Harley, J.L., 1997. *Mycorrhizal Symbiosis*. Academic Press, San Diego
386 CA.

387 Stadler, B., Müller, T., Orwig, D., 2006. The ecology of energy and nutrient fluxes in hemlock
388 forests invaded by the hemlock woolly adelgid. *Ecology* 87, 1792-1804.

389 Stadler, B., Müller, T., Orwig, D., Cobb, R., 2005. Hemlock woolly adelgid in New England
390 forests: canopy impacts transforming ecosystem processes and landscapes. *Ecosystems* 8, 233-
391 247.

392 Stadler, B., Solinger, S., Michalzik, B., 2001. Insect herbivores and the nutrient flow from the
393 canopy to the soil in coniferous and deciduous forests. *Oecologia* 126, 104-113.

394 Veen, G.F., Olff, H., Duyts, H., van der Putten, W.H., 2010. Vertebrate herbivores influence soil
395 nematodes by modifying plant communities. *Ecology* 91, 828-835.

396 Vessey, J.K., 2003. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria as biofertilizers. *Plant Soil* 255, 571-
397 586.

398 Wardle, D.A., Bardgett, R.D., Klironomos, J.N., Setälä, H., van der Putten, W.H., Wall, D.H.,
399 2004. Ecological linkages between aboveground and belowground biota. *Science* 304, 1629-
400 1633.

401 Zvereva, E., Lanta, V., Kozlov, M., 2010. Effects of sap-feeding insect herbivores on growth and
402 reproduction of woody plants: a meta-analysis of experimental studies. *Oecologia* 163, 949-960.

403

404   **7.0 Figure Legends**

405   Figure 1. Sites in Connecticut and Massachusetts used for the mycorrhizal (Study #1) and
406   root bacterial abundance (Study #2) surveys.

407   Figure 2. Mean bacterial abundance (A), mean percent carbon (B), mean percent nitrogen
408   (C), and mean carbon:nitrogen ratio (D) of eastern hemlock fine roots in 10 uninfested hemlock
409   stands and 10 adelgid-infested hemlock stands.

410