12-3-2013

Comparing sediment equilibrium partitioning and passive sampling techniques to estimate benthic biota PCDD/F concentrations in Newark Bay, New Jersey (U.S.A.)

Carey L. Friedman

Rainer Lohmann

University of Rhode Island, rlohmann@uri.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/gsofacpubs>

The University of Rhode Island Faculty have made this article openly available.
Please let us know how Open Access to this research benefits you.

This is a pre-publication author manuscript of the final, published article.

Terms of Use

This article is made available under the terms and conditions applicable towards Open Access Policy Articles, as set forth in our [Terms of Use](#).

Citation/Publisher Attribution

Friedman, C. L., & Lohmann, R. (2014). Comparing sediment equilibrium partitioning and passive sampling techniques to estimate benthic biota PCDD/F concentrations in Newark Bay, New Jersey (U.S.A.). *Environmental Pollution*, 186, 172-179. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2013.12.002

Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2013.12.002>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Graduate School of Oceanography at DigitalCommons@URI. It has been accepted for inclusion in Graduate School of Oceanography Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact digitalcommons-group@uri.edu.

**Comparing sediment equilibrium partitioning and passive sampling techniques to estimate
benthic biota PCDD/F concentrations in Newark Bay, New Jersey (U.S.A.)**

Carey L. Friedman^{a1*} and Rainer Lohmann^a

^aUniversity of Rhode Island Graduate School of Oceanography (URI-GSO), University of Rhode
Island Bay Campus, 215 South Ferry Road, Narragansett, RI 02882 USA

Telephone: 401-874-6612

Fax: 401-874-6811

*Corresponding author (clf@mit.edu)

¹C.L. Friedman's current address is Center for Global Change Science, MIT E19-411n, 77 Massachusetts Ave.
Cambridge, MA, USA 02139.

ABSTRACT

Sediment and polyethylene sampler-based estimates of polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxin/dibenzofuran (PCDD/F) concentrations in Newark Bay, New Jersey (USA) benthic biota were compared. Biota concentrations based on sediment were estimated using an organic carbon (OC)-water partitioning model and an OC and black carbon (BC)-water dual model. Biota concentrations based on polyethylene were estimated from samplers deployed in the Newark Bay water column and samplers immersed in a sediment/porewater slurry in the laboratory. Porewater samplers provided the best estimates of biota concentrations (within 3.1x), with best results achieved for deposit-feeders (within 1.6x). Polyethylene deployed in deep water also provided good estimates of biota concentrations (within 4x). By contrast, OC-water partitioning overestimated biota concentrations by up to 7x, while OC and BC combined underestimated biota concentrations by up to 13x. We recommend polyethylene for estimating concentrations of hydrophobic organic contaminants in field biota given its simplicity and relatively lower uncertainty compared to sediment equilibrium partitioning.

Capsule: Using polyethylene samplers to measure porewater concentrations is a more efficient approach for estimating site-specific bioavailable organic contaminants than equilibrium partitioning.

Key Words: polyethylene, equilibrium partitioning, PCDD, Newark

INTRODUCTION

Polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins and dibenzofurans (PCDD/Fs) are toxic hydrophobic organic contaminants (HOCs) that sorb to particles in sediments (Luthy et al., 1997). Sedimentary HOCs can be bioavailable to benthic marine organisms and accumulate up the marine food chain (DeWit et al., 1995; Pickard and Clarke, 2008). The risk of aquatic organism exposure to HOCs is a primary consideration when choosing an approach to clean-up HOC-contaminated sites. One such site is the lower Passaic River/Newark Bay in New Jersey (USA). The lower Passaic is the location of the former Diamond Alkali pesticide manufacturing company, which discharged waste to adjacent waters during the 1950s/60s, severely contaminating sediments with PCDD/Fs. In 1984, the sediment site was added to the U.S. EPA Superfund list and is currently undergoing a two-phase clean-up process that will include removal of 153,000 m³ of sediment via dredging (US EPA). Newark Bay, one of the most industrialized estuaries in the United States, extends just south of the Passaic (**Fig. 1**) and is known to be impacted by PCDD/Fs from the Passaic (Rappe et al., 1991). Though there have been efforts to characterize contaminant dynamics in the Passaic River and Newark Bay, passive sampling, a promising state-of-the-art method for determining dissolved HOC concentrations (Choi et al., 2013; Fernandez et al., 2009), has not been employed. In this study, we compare passive sampling-based estimates of PCDD/F concentrations in sediment-dwelling biota, and conventional equilibrium partitioning-based estimates using sediment geochemical characteristics (i.e., organic and black carbon, OC and BC) to PCDD/F concentrations measured in biota collected from Newark Bay.

Passive samplers of various types have been used as tools to directly sample porewater dissolved HOCs, or as surrogates for bioaccumulation organisms (Adams et al., 2007; Huckins et

al., 1990; Lohmann et al., 2004; Mayer et al., 2000; Schneider et al., 2006; Vinturella et al., 2004). Passive samplers circumvent problems associated with traditional solvent-based porewater extractions by sampling freely dissolved HOCs via diffusive uptake into the sampler matrix and thus avoiding the need to isolate interstitial water and having to address related artifacts. In a previous study by our group, a laboratory bioaccumulation study with field-contaminated sediment demonstrated that polyethylene (PE) passive samplers can estimate freely dissolved PCB concentrations organisms are exposed to within a factor of four (Friedman et al., 2009). Others have similarly shown that PE can be useful for predicting uptake of PAHs in benthic biota in the laboratory (Vinturella et al., 2004). PE and other samplers have also been useful in determining the direction of HOC fluxes between environmental compartments; for example, from the water column to the atmosphere (Morgan and Lohmann, 2008) or from porewater to the overlying water column (Cornelissen et al., 2008). Only a limited number of studies have demonstrated the utility of PE in predicting HOC body burdens of organisms in the field, however (Cho et al., 2009).

In contrast, a number of studies have discussed and used equilibrium partitioning from sediment OC, and several from OC and BC combined, to predict freely dissolved HOC concentrations and, by extension, bioavailability (Accardi-Dey and Gschwend, 2002, 2003; Burgess et al., 2013; DiToro et al., 1991; Hawthorne et al., 2007a; Hawthorne et al., 2006, 2007b; Lohmann et al., 2005). In general, OC-water-based equilibrium partitioning overestimates dissolved concentrations (Hawthorne et al., 2006; Lohmann et al., 2005), and mixed results are reported when other sediment carbon phases like BC are considered, with some studies showing improvements to estimates (Accardi-Dey and Gschwend, 2003; Lohmann et al., 2005), and others showing little change or underestimates (Hawthorne et al., 2007a; Hawthorne

et al., 2007b). Often these estimate errors are considered to result from inadequate equilibrium partition coefficient values.

Here, we compare biota PCDD/F concentrations estimated from equilibrium partitioning between sedimentary carbon phases and porewater to those measured in organisms collected directly from the Newark Bay. The specific goals of the study are to determine whether (i) PE are useful in predicting benthic biota PCDD/F burdens in the field; (ii) PE-based porewater and/or water column dissolved concentrations are better predictors of in-situ bioaccumulation than sediment equilibrium partitioning; and, (iii) including BC in partitioning calculations substantially impacts estimated biota concentrations. We address these goals by collecting and analyzing Newark Bay sediment, porewater, and biota, and by deploying PE samplers directly in the Bay.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Site Description and Overall Methodology

Newark Bay, (~1.5 km wide, 10 km long), is part of the New York/New Jersey Harbor Estuary (**Fig. 1**). The Bay converges with the Passaic and Hackensack Rivers at its north and the Arthur Kill (“AK”) and Kill van Kull (“KVK”) at its south. The Passaic and the Hackensack Rivers are sources of freshwater to Newark Bay with a combined watershed of 3000 km², though the Hackensack is estimated to contribute only ~7% of the Passaic River on average (Caplow et al., 2003).

Five sites were chosen throughout the Bay for sampling (**Fig. 1**). The “Passaic”, “Hackensack”, “AK”, and “KVK” sites represent locations where the Bay converges with each water channel. The mid-Bay (“MB”) site was located in the middle of Newark Bay. Water column depths were ~1.5 m at the Passaic, Hackensack, and MB stations, 4 m at the KVK, and 8.8 m at the AK station. Sediment and biota were collected from each site and analyzed for PCDD/Fs. Porewater was also analyzed for PCDD/Fs by tumbling PE and sediment together in glass flasks on a shaker table in the laboratory. A separate set of PE samplers was deployed *in-situ* at each site to determine dissolved PCDD/F concentrations above the sediment bed (“deep water”). PCDD/F concentrations from all media were then converted to tissue concentrations using equilibrium partition coefficients, and estimated tissue concentrations were compared to those measured directly from biota. Concentrations in porewater and deep water PE were also compared to determine the direction of the diffusive flux of PCDD/Fs across the sediment-water interface. All extraction procedures and instrumental methods are detailed in the SI (**SI text and Table S1**).

Sediment and biota collection

Sediment and biota were collected from the R/V Kenneth Biglane using a van Veen grab. From each grab, ~250 mL of sediment was collected from the top half (~10 cm) and stored on ice. The remainder of the grab was rinsed through a 1 mm sieve. Clams (*Mya arenaria*) and deposit-feeding tube worms (*Pectinaria gouldii*) remaining on the sieve were collected and depurated in seawater in plastic bags for 4-8 hours at field temperature. Collections were repeated until several grams of tissue had been collected. Biota were rinsed with tap water, placed in muffled amber jars, and frozen on dry ice.

Preparation of PEs for field deployment and laboratory tumbling experiments

Sheets of PE painter's drop cloth (25 μ M thickness, Covalence Plastics) were cut into ~1 g pieces and cleaned by submersing in dichloromethane for 24 h twice. To gauge the equilibrium status of analytes in deep water PE, performance reference compounds (PRCs) were added to PE samplers before deployment. PRCs used for all analytes included d₁₀-anthracene, d₁₂-benz[a]anthracene, and octachloronaphthalene (Ultra Scientific; Cambridge Isotopes). These compounds were chosen because of their similar planar conformation to PCDD/Fs, and because isotopically-labeled PCDD/Fs were employed as internal standard surrogates in all extractions. Thus, the assumption was made that PCDD/Fs are taken up into PE at the same rate that PRCs dissipate from PE (Huckins et al., 2002). This assumption introduces uncertainty into deep water concentrations, addressed later in the Results. Polyethylene pieces were impregnated with PRCs in an 80:20 methanol:water solution following previously published methods (Booij et al., 2002). The methanol:water solution was spiked with 1 μ g PRC per 1 g sampler and samplers were immersed in the solution for 8-12 weeks to ensure homogeneous distribution. Samplers were

removed from the PRC solution and wiped dry with laboratory-grade tissues. A small snippet (~0.1 g) was cut from each sampler for initial PRC analysis ($C_{\text{PRC},t=0}$). For field deployments, the remainder of the sampler was strung on pre-cleaned stainless steel wire (Malin Co.), wrapped in aluminum foil, and both snippet and sampler were stored at -4°C until deployment or analysis.

PE-porewater tumbling experiments

Three different sized samplers were cut from PRC-impregnated PE (~0.25, 0.50, and 0.75 g) for each sampling location. This was done to assess whether PEs had reached equilibrium with porewater during tumbling, and sizes were chosen such that PCDD/Fs were not depleted from the sediment-porewater system (calculation in the SI). Samplers were added to 125 mL muffled round bottom flasks with 50-60 g wet sediment. Ten mL of 1 mg/mL sodium azide was added to each flask to limit bacterial growth, and flasks were filled to air-tight level with Milli-Q water. PEs were left to tumble with sediments in the dark on a shaker table at room temperature (24°C) for eight weeks, sufficient for PCDD/Fs to reach equilibrium as per previous work in our group (Lambert et al., 2011).

Deep water PE-field deployments

Three replicate PE samplers were deployed from June 16 to July 6, 2009 at the same sites as sediment and tissue collection (**Fig. 1**). Samplers were deployed at the bottom of the water column using cement cinder blocks attached to a line and buoy, and PE were placed ~30 cm above the sediment bed. After every 10 deployments, a clean PE sampler was exposed to ambient air momentarily and collected as a field blank. After collection, all PEs were wrapped in

clean aluminum foil, stored on ice, and returned to the laboratory. Samplers were deployed and collected from the R/V Kenneth Biglane.

Adjustments to concentrations

To adjust field-deployed deep water PE concentrations for disequilibrium, linear relationships were determined between PRC fraction equilibrium reached (f_{EQ}) and PRC molecular weight (MW) for each set of PEs undergoing the same sampling scheme (i.e., replicates deployed at the same site or in the same tumbling experiment):

$$f_{EQ} = m(MW) + b \quad (1)$$

where m is the slope, b is the intercept and f_{EQ} is determined as:

$$f_{EQ} = 1 - \frac{C_{PRC,t}}{C_{PRC,t=0}} \quad (2)$$

where $C_{PRC,t}$ is the concentration of a PRC in PE at time t . The mean p -value for statistical correlation between MW and f_{EQ} was 0.04. The linear relationships were then used with PCDD/F MW to estimate each analyte's equilibrium status, and C_{PEdw} s were adjusted as follows:

$$C_{PEdw,\infty} = \frac{C_{PEdw}}{f_{EQ}} \quad (3)$$

where $C_{PEdw,\infty}$ is the concentration in deep water PE adjusted to 100% equilibrium. Using this method, PCDD/Fs in deep water PE had reached between 73% and 98% equilibrium. No concentration adjustments were made to PEs tumbled with sediment, as equilibrium was determined by comparing concentrations in different sized samplers.

Calculation of HOC activity gradients

Activity gradients are defined as the equilibrium concentration in the deep water PE ($C_{PEdw,\infty}$) divided by the equilibrium concentration in the porewater PE ($C_{PEpw,\infty}$) for the same compound at a given sampling site. To derive activity gradients at sites where a compound was detected in only one PE of the pair, one-half of the analytical detection limit was substituted for zero to calculate a ratio. Uncertainties introduced by this substitution are discussed in the Results.

Estimating biota HOC uptake

Lipid concentrations (ng/g) were estimated from each sorbent phase (OC, OC+BC, porewater PE, and deep water PE) and compared to those measured in tissues (C_{lip}). Lipid concentrations were estimated from sediment OC partitioning ($C_{lip,OC}$) as the product of dissolved concentrations (ng/mL) and the bioaccumulation factor (BAF; unitless) as follows:

$$C_{lip,OC} = C_{diss,OC} BAF = \frac{C_{sed} BAF}{f_{OC} K_{OC}} \quad (4)$$

where C_{sed} is the HOC concentration in the sediment (ng/g), f_{OC} is the fraction of OC in the sediment, and K_{OC} is the OC–water partition coefficient (mL water/g OC). K_{OC} s for PCDD/Fs were derived from the literature (Xia, 1998), while PCDD/F BAFs were estimated from PCDD/F octanol-water partition coefficients (K_{OWs}), using a regression determined with PAH data at 5-24°C (Muijs and Jonker, 2009). Uncertainties associated with the BAF estimation are discussed later.

Similarly, lipid concentrations based on sediment OC and BC ($C_{diss,OC+BC}$) were estimated as the product of the BAF and dissolved concentrations (Accardi-Dey and Gschwend, 2002) using a Freundlich coefficient of $n = 0.7$:

$$C_{lip,OC+BC} = C_{diss,OC+BC} BAF = \frac{C_{sed} BAF}{f_{OC} K_{OC} + f_{BC} K_{BC} C_{diss}^{n-1}} \quad (5)$$

where f_{BC} is the fraction of BC in the sediment and K_{BC} is the BC–water partition coefficient (mL water/g BC). Both literature and sediment-specific values of K_{BC} were used to calculate $C_{diss,OC+BC}$. Literature PCDD/F K_{BC} s for Newark Bay sediments (Lambert, 2010) come from an adjacent field location (the Passaic River) approximately 200 cm deeper into the sediment bed. Sediment-specific K_{BC} s were derived from porewater dissolved PCDD/F concentrations calculated from PE samplers in the present study using equation 5 (with $n=0.6, 0.7$, and 0.8).

Lastly, lipid concentrations from porewater ($C_{lip,PEpw}$) and deep water ($C_{lip,PEdw}$) were estimated from PE uptake as follows:

$$C_{lip,PE} = C_{diss,PE} BAF = \frac{C_{PE,\infty} BAF}{K_{PE-w}} \quad (6)$$

where K_{PE-w} is the PE-water partition coefficient (mL water/g PE) estimated from K_{OW} (Adams et al., 2007). Values of K_{OW} were taken from Aberg et al. (2008). K_{PE-w} s, determined at 24°C and 0 ppt salinity, were adjusted to reflect deep water and porewater temperature and salinity conditions. See the SI for additional information regarding physicochemical constants used for temperature and salinity adjustments.

Sediment total organic carbon and black carbon

For total organic carbon (TOC) determinations, sediments were dried at 60°C, ground after shell material was removed, treated with HCl, and analyzed for %C on a Carlo Erba NA 1500 elemental analyzer (Fisons Instruments, Beverly, MA, USA) coupled to a VG-Optima stable isotope mass spectrometer. BC was determined using previously published methods

225 (Accardi-Dey and Gschwend, 2002; Gustafsson et al., 1996). National Institute of Standards and
226 Technology Standard Reference Material 1941b analyzed with this method had a mean BC
227 content of $0.57 \pm 0.01\%$ ($n=3$), just within the range (0.6 – 19.7) presented by a comprehensive
228 BC quantification method intercomparison study (Hammes et al., 2007). Amorphous organic
229 carbon (i.e., the fraction of TOC not considered BC) was determined by subtracting the fraction
230 of BC from TOC.

231

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Sediment

Sediment OC ranged from 1.6 to 5.8% and sediment BC ranged from 0.2 to 0.3% (**Table S2**), comparable to previous results for OC and BC of 2.6% and 0.3% in New York Harbor (Lohmann et al., 2005). Sediment concentrations ranged from 0.1 (1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF in the AK) to 76 ng/g OC (OCDD in the AK), or 0.005 to 2.4 ng/g dry (**Figs. S1 and S2**). At all sites, OCDD was present in the greatest concentrations followed by 2,7/2,8-DiCDD. Several of the mid-MW congeners (2,3,7,8-TCDF, 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF, 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF) were only detected in the northern half of the Bay in the Passaic and Hackensack Rivers. The most toxic dioxin congener, 2,3,7,8-TCDD, was present at all sites, but was most concentrated in the Passaic and Hackensack (2.1 ± 0.8 ng/g OC and 2.1 ± 0.9 ng/g OC, respectively). We compared 2,3,7,8-TCDD concentrations from the present study to those found during the Contaminant Assessment and Reduction Project (CARP), which measured 2,3,7,8-TCDD sediment concentrations in the AK in the late 1990s/early 2000s (CARP, 2007). Concentrations of 2,3,7,8-TCDD there ranged from 0.02 to 0.08 ng/g, similar to AK dry weight concentrations determined in the present study (0.020 ± 0.004 ng/g).

Tissue

The mean lipid content of deposit feeders was 0.07 g/g dry weight, while that of filter feeders was 0.04 g/g. Lipid-normalized tissue concentrations shown are from deposit-feeding tube worms (*Pectorina gouldii*) in the AK and filter-feeding clams (*Mya arenaria*) in the Passaic, Hackensack, MB, and KVK (**Fig. S3**). Tube worms from the AK had detectable concentrations of a full suite of mono- through octa-CDD/Fs (from 2.6 to 49 ng/g lipid), whereas

filter-feeding clams had no detectable levels of high MW PCDD/Fs (i.e., hexa- through octa-CDD/Fs). The difference in high MW uptake by tissues is probably a reflection of feeding mode differences, given that sediments from all sites had fairly high concentrations of hepta- and octa-CDD/Fs. A difference in uptake among organisms with feeding modes has been observed previously, with deposit-feeders receiving the majority of their HOC burden through sediment ingestion and filter-feeders receiving roughly equal amounts from sediment ingestion and water filtration (McLeod et al., 2008). Low MW congener tissue concentrations were similar across sites and different feeding modes, except for clams in the Passaic and Hackensack, wherein only one congener (1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF) was detected, primarily due to low biota masses collected at these sites. The only tissue sample in which 2,3,7,8-TCDD was detected was AK tube worms (3.2 ng/g lipid), with concentrations similar to those found in ribbed mussels (*Modiolis demissus*) from the Passaic and Newark Bay during the CARP study (1.2 – 3.5 ng/g lipid and 0.62 – 1.4 ng/g lipid, respectively) (CARP, 2007).

Deep water and porewater

Dissolved PCDD/Fs detected in deep water ranged from 3.9 fg/L (2,3,7,8-TCDD) to 1.3×10^4 fg/L (2,7/2,8-DiCDD) and from 0.6 (2,3,7,8-TCDD) to 1.7×10^4 fg/L (2,7/2,8-DiCDD) in porewater. Fractions of equilibrium reached for each analyte (f_{EQS}), used for calculating corresponding dissolved concentrations, are reported in **Table S3**, while PCDD/F concentrations determined in the three different sizes of porewater PE are reported in **Table S4**. We divided PCDD/F concentrations in PE deployed in the water column by those of PE samplers tumbled with sediments in the laboratory to obtain deep water – porewater activity gradients (**Fig. S4**).

At all sites, low MW PCDD/Fs (mono- through tetra-CDD/Fs) exhibited activity gradients of approximately one (mean of 2.10) that varied in direction (range of 0.45 to 12.6), implying that, on average, there was little net flux between Newark Bay porewater and deep water for low MW PCDD/Fs, similar to PCDD/F fluxes at other locations (Cornelissen et al., 2008). At sampling stations where high MW PCDD/Fs (penta- through octa-CDD/Fs) were detected, activity gradients were primarily in the direction of the deep water (mean of 0.35, range of 0.04 to 1.15), indicating porewater is a potential source of these compounds to the overlying water column. The only PCDD/F that had an activity gradient in the direction of the porewater at all stations was 2,7/2,8-DiCDD. As discussed elsewhere (Friedman et al., 2012), this is possibly due to ongoing formation of 2,7/2,8-DiCDD from triclosan within Newark Bay. Overall, activity gradients suggested low MW PCDD/Fs were well mixed between the porewater and water column, whereas high MW PCDD/Fs were still potentially being released to the water column. These results are consistent with only low MW congeners being taken up in filter feeders living at the sediment-water interface, and the presence of high MW congeners in deposit feeders living within the sediment.

Activity gradients should be interpreted in the context of uncertainties related to the substitution of $\frac{1}{2}$ the analytical detection limit for non-detects and adjustments to deep water concentrations based on PRCs, however. We assumed the following relative uncertainties: 100% for PE concentrations with non-detects replaced; $1-f_{EQ}$ for the equilibrium correction for each deep water PE sampler (Friedman et al., 2012); relative standard deviation of PCDD/F concentration in porewater PEs (**Table S4**). Resulting uncertainties were between 11% and 200% for the activity gradients (mean of 88%; see the SI for uncertainty calculations). This is in

addition to analytical uncertainty (shown in error bars in **Fig. S4**). The high end of this uncertainty range generally only applies to gradients with non-detects replaced, identified with asterisks in **Fig. S4**, or high MW congeners (2,3,7,8-TCDD through OCDD). The lower average gradient uncertainty of 48% for low MW congeners supports our assertion that low MW PCDD/Fs are well-mixed within the Bay, but the greater uncertainties for higher MW PCDD/Fs make it difficult to draw conclusions regarding the direction and magnitudes of their gradients.

Estimating biota PCDD/F uptake and sediment-specific K_{BCS}

To assess where native tissues received the majority of their PCDD/F exposures, we calculated lipid-based tissue concentrations of PCDD/Fs based on partitioning from sediment OC, sediment OC and BC, porewater PE, and deep water PE. We compared these concentrations to those measured directly in Newark Bay biota (**Fig. 2**), but only for stations where more than one congener was detected in tissues (the AK, the KVK, and the MB). The comparison discussion is only for congeners detected in sediments, deep water, porewater, and tissues concurrently at a given site (i.e., congeners with only 2 or 3 chlorines).

In the AK, where only deposit-feeders were collected, tissue concentrations calculated from OC-water partitioning ($C_{lip,OC}$) overestimated C_{lip} (by 7× on average), while those from OC+BC-water partitioning ($C_{lip,OC+BC}$) underestimated C_{lip} (by 4× on average) (**Fig. 2a**). Concentrations calculated from porewater and deep water PE both underestimated C_{lip} , but not by as much as $C_{lip,OC+BC}$. Tissue concentrations calculated from porewater PE ($C_{lip,PEpw}$) were underestimated C_{lip} by 1.6× on average, while those calculated from deep water PE ($C_{lip,PEdw}$)

underestimated C_{lip} by 2.8x. Results for the AK suggest porewater PE were the best predictors of deposit-feeding tissue concentrations.

In both the KVK and MB, where only filter-feeders were collected, $C_{lip,OC}$ again overestimated C_{lip} (by 3.8x on average), while $C_{lip,OC+BC}$ again underestimated C_{lip} (by 13x on average) (**Figs. 2b and 2c**). As with AK deposit feeders, concentrations calculated from porewater and deep water PE underestimated C_{lip} , but not by as much as $C_{lip,OC+BC}$ (by 3.1x and 3.8x, respectively). Results for the KVK and MB also suggest porewater PE was the best predictor of filter-feeding tissue PCDD/F concentrations, and that in general PE samplers are better at estimating biota concentrations than traditional sediment equilibrium partitioning methods.

Several high-MW congeners were detected in the sediments of all three sites, but in tissues were only detected in deposit-feeders from the AK. High-MW PCDD/Fs were not detected in porewater (except for OCDD in the AK) or in deep water. The lack of high-MW PCDD/F uptake in filter-feeders suggests porewater/deep water filtration are more important than particle ingestion, as might be expected from previous studies (Lohmann et al., 2004; McLeod et al., 2008).

The use of literature K_{BCS} (Lambert, 2010), determined with sediment from an adjacent field site but at deeper depths, resulted in vast under-predictions of bioaccumulation for PCDD/Fs due to underestimation of dissolved concentrations. This observation is consistent with previous studies showing that the utility of K_{BC} is sediment-specific (Arp et al., 2009; Hawthorne

et al., 2007b), and further shows that even sediments from nearby locations but deeper depths, where BC is likely older and qualitatively different, can exhibit substantially different HOC-binding characteristics. Werner et al. (2010) suggested that PCB sorption to BC at low concentrations is linear (i.e., $n=1$ in eq. 5), but we find that lipid concentrations are instead overestimated when linear sorption is assumed (e.g., using Lambert et al.'s K_{BC} values with $n=1$ in eq. 5 results in C_{lip} overestimates of 3.8x). Thus, in the present study, we derived sediment and depth-specific K_{BCS} from mean measured sediment concentrations and dissolved porewater concentrations across sites. We then used these sediment-specific K_{BCS} to estimate C_{lip} at individual sites, as above. Field K_{BCS} were determined only for congeners detected in both porewater PE and sediments at three or more sites (i.e., 2,7/2,8-DiCDD, 2,4,8-TriCDF, and 2,3,7-TriCDD), and were calculated for Freundlich coefficients of $n = 0.6, 0.7$, and 0.8 (Table 1). All sediment-specific K_{BCS} were lower in value than those of Lambert, in some instances by more than an order of magnitude (e.g., 2,3,7-TriCDD). Sediment-specific K_{BCS} improved $C_{lip,OC+BC}$ estimates of C_{lip} by 2 – 87 fold. For example, in the AK, $C_{lip,OC+BC}$ determined using sediment-specific K_{BCS} was a factor of 1.1 higher than C_{lip} (compared to 1.6x lower for porewater PE), and in the KVK and MB, $C_{lip,OC+BC}$ determined using sediment-specific K_{BCS} was, on average, 4x lower than C_{lip} (compared to 3.1x lower for porewater PE).

Though $C_{lip,OC+BC}$ from sediment-specific K_{BCS} and $C_{lip,PEpw}$ were both good predictors of C_{lip} , each estimator contains uncertainties related to partition coefficients; namely, K_{PE-w} s, BAFs, and in the case of $C_{lip,OC+BC}$, K_{OC} s. We assumed that K_{PE-w} and K_{OC} values had relative uncertainties of 100%, given that both were calculated from K_{OW} , and K_{OW} s of these congeners are reported to have a high-end uncertainty of 100% (Aberg et al., 2008). We assigned a 100%

relative uncertainty to the conversion of dissolved concentrations to lipid-based from BAFs. Considering these assumptions combined, $C_{lip,OC+BC}$ uncertainties are 200% while $C_{lip,PEpw}$ uncertainties are 140%; these are in addition to analytical uncertainties (see the SI for uncertainty calculations). Thus, although $C_{lip,OC+BC}$ and $C_{lip,PEpw}$ are roughly equally good predictors of C_{lip} , we note that the lower relative uncertainty in $C_{lip,PEpw}$ s and their more straightforward determination make them a more practical and reliable option for obtaining site-specific estimates of C_{lip} .

Implications for use of PE to predict biota uptake in the field

In the present study, we predicted PCDD/F lipid concentrations in deposit feeders within an average factor of 1.6 (range 1.1 – 3.8) using porewater PEs and BAFs, while in a previous study comparing PCB uptake by *Nereis virens* to uptake in PE in the laboratory (Friedman et al., 2009), we estimated PCB uptake within an average factor of 0.99 (range 0.06 – 3.0) using porewater PE and BAFs. Collectively, this is evidence that PE samplers used to measure porewater can provide consistently more reliable estimates of C_{lip} for deposit feeders in both the laboratory and the field compared to the range of estimates observed from sediment equilibrium partitioning.

In the present study, however, there were a number of PCDD/Fs found in tissue but not porewater, particularly high MW congeners in AK deposit feeders, suggesting these congeners are not taken up by diffusion from porewater. If $C_{lip,PEpw}/C_{lip}$ is plotted against $\log K_{OW}$ for PCDD/F congeners detected in both phases for AK tube worms, a slight decreasing trend in the ratio is observed with increasing K_{OW} , though the relationship is not statistically significant at

$\alpha=0.05$ (**Fig. 3**). Also included in this plot are results from our previous study with PCBs (Friedman et al., 2009), which display similar behavior, but with a steeper decreasing trend that is statistically significant ($p<0.001$). If the two datasets are combined, the overall decrease with K_{OW} is significant ($p=0.01$), and implies that biota take up greater concentrations of high MW HOCs than dictated by the chemical activity of their surroundings (i.e., porewater), most likely via ingestion. The magnitude of negative slope tends to taper off at higher K_{OW} s, suggesting that at a given hydrophobicity, ingestion of particle-associated HOCs outweighs partitioning from porewater in governing biota uptake. From the combined data in **Fig. 3**, this switch from porewater control to ingestion dominance appears to happen between $\log K_{OW}$ 6 and 7. Similar results have been found in other studies, though the switch may take place at lower K_{OW} s in different systems (e.g., at $\log K_{OW}$ of 5.8 for uptake of PCBs in freshwater oligochaetes (Sun et al., 2009)). Benthic organisms, particularly deposit feeders, can have high levels of surfactants in the gut (Mayer et al., 1997); this may contribute to higher levels of high MW PCDD/Fs in tissue compared to PE. Additionally, though measures were taken to remove particles from tissue extracts, it is possible that some remained, which might contribute to higher levels of high MW PCDD/Fs in tissues.

Our porewater concentration results suggest that up to 62% of deposit feeder tissue concentrations can be attributed to equilibrium with porewater. Others using a biodynamic model (McLeod et al., 2008; McLeod, 2007) have estimated that deposit-feeding clams receive even less (~10%) of their HOC body burden from porewater, and showed that HOC body burdens in these organisms more closely resemble congener profiles in sediment, rather than porewater. Hence, we emphasize that while PE can be more useful than sediment geochemistry in predicting

correlated biota concentrations, their use does not imply that all HOCs are taken up through diffusive water-biota partitioning. Passive samplers may be less useful in predicting tissue concentrations of the more hydrophobic HOCs limited by diffusive kinetics in deposit feeders.

We also note that only two species of biota were collected, providing a limited range of biodiversity for both feeding modes. These two species represent the majority of the diversity observed during sampling, however. The limited range is likely due to frequent navigational dredging and sustained industrial traffic within Newark Bay, in addition to sediment contamination. Thus, results may deviate for other species, and further studies would help determine whether relationships presented here can be generalized.

Conclusion

PE samplers provide more accurate estimates of biota concentrations of PCDD/Fs in the Newark Bay field than traditional sediment equilibrium partitioning methods. The traditional K_{OC} partitioning model consistently overestimated biota PCDD/F uptake, by a factor of 4-7 times. In contrast, estimates based on K_{OC} and K_{BC} together consistently underestimated biota PCDD/F uptake, by a factor of 4-13 times, even though K_{BC} s initially employed were determined with sediment from an adjacent location. When we used porewater concentrations to find sediment- and depth-specific K_{BC} s, we improved predictions of biota PCDD/F uptake estimates. Given the additional laboratory time and uncertainty involved in determining sediment-specific K_{BC} s, however, we recommend taking direct measurements of porewater concentrations using PE and eliminating sediment measurements altogether as a more practical, efficient approach for site-specific determinations. Careful attention needs to be paid to equilibrium conditions and the

fact that kinetically-limited HOCs are susceptible to underestimates, though. There is little diffusive exchange of low MW PCDD/Fs between the porewater and water column in Newark Bay, while there is more uncertainty surrounding the exchange of high MW PCDD/Fs. Equilibrium across the sediment-water interface for low MW congeners is consistent with PCDD/F body burdens observed in filter feeders.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Robert Chant (Rutgers University) and Christopher Sommerfield (University of Delaware) for time aboard the R/V Caleta, use of data and sediment samples, and insightful discussions, as well as Eric Stern, Nicole Bujalski, and Mark Reiss (U.S. EPA) for time aboard the R/V Kenneth Biglane and assistance with sampling. Mark Cantwell (U.S. EPA) assisted with instrumental analysis and Julia Sullivan (URI-GSO) with OC and BC analysis. We thank anonymous reviewers for constructive comments. This work was supported by the Hudson River Foundation through grant 07/007A to R. Lohmann and GF/01/09 to C. Friedman.

Supplementary Information

The supporting information includes tables and figures relating to sediment organic and black carbon content, sediment and PE PCDD/F concentrations, and porewater-deep water PCDD/F gradients, as well as details regarding methods.

456 **LITERATURE CITED**

- 457 US EPA, USACE, NJ DEP, NJ DOT. Lower Passaic River Restoration Project
458 <<http://www.ourpassaic.org>>.
- 459 Aberg, A., MacLeod, M., Wiberg, K., 2008. Physical-chemical property data for dibenzo-*p*-
460 dioxin (DD), dibenzofuran (DF), and chlorinated DD/Fs: A critical review and recommended
461 values. J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 37, 1997-2008.
- 462 Accardi-Dey, A., Gschwend, P.M., 2002. Assessing the combined roles of natural organic matter
463 and black carbon as sorbents in sediments. Environ. Sci. Technol. 36, 21-29.
- 464 Accardi-Dey, A., Gschwend, P.M., 2003. Reinterpreting literature sorption data considering both
465 absorption into organic carbon and adsorption onto black carbon. Environ. Sci. Technol. 37, 99-
466 106.
- 467 Adams, R.G., Lohmann, R., Fernandez, L.A., Macfarlane, J.K., Gschwend, P.M., 2007.
468 Polyethylene devices: Passive samplers for measuring dissolved hydrophobic organic
469 compounds in aquatic environments. Environ. Sci. Technol. 41, 1317-1323.
- 470 Arp, H.P.H., Breedveld, G.D., Cornelissen, G., 2009. Estimating the in situ sediment-porewater
471 distribution of PAHs and chlorinated aromatic hydrocarbons in anthropogenic impacted
472 sediments. Environ. Sci. Technol. 43, 5576-5585.
- 473 Booi, K., Smedes, F., van Weerlee, E.M., 2002. Spiking of performance reference compounds in
474 low density polyethylene and silicone passive water samplers. Chemosphere 46, 1157-1161.
- 475 Burgess, R.M., Berry, W.J., Mount, D.R., DiToro, D.M., 2013. Mechanistic sediment quality
476 guidelines based on contaminant bioavailability: Equilibrium partitioning Sediment Benchmarks
477 (ESBs). Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 32, 102-114.
- 478 Caplow, T., Schlosser, P., Ho, D.T., Santella, N., 2003. Transport dynamics in a sheltered
479 estuary and connecting tidal straits: SF₆ tracer study in New York Harbor. Environ. Sci. Technol.
480 37, 5116-5126.
- 481 CARP, 2007. Data Archive: Water, Sediment and Biota Data collected from 1999-2003. Hudson
482 River Foundation, New York, NY. CD-ROM.
- 483 Cho, Y.-M., Ghosh, U., Kennedy, A.J., Grossman, A., Ray, G., Tomaszewski, J.E., Smithenry,
484 D.W., Bridges, T.S., Luthy, R.G., 2009. Field application of activated carbon amendment for in-
485 situ stabilization of polychlorinated biphenyls in marine sediment. Environ. Sci. Technol. 43,
486 3815-3823.
- 487 Choi, Y., Cho, Y.-M., Luthy, R.G., 2013. Polyethylene-water partition coefficients for parent-
488 and alkylated-polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and polychlorinated biphenyls. Environ. Sci.
489 Technol. Article ASAP.
- 490 Cornelissen, G., Wiberg, K., Broman, D., Arp, H.P.H., Persson, Y., Sundqvist, K., Jonsson, P.,
491 2008. Freely dissolved concentrations and sediment-water activity ratios of PCDD/Fs and PCBs
492 in the open Baltic Sea. Environ. Sci. Technol. 42, 8733-8739.
- 493 DeWit, C., Jansson, B., Bergek, S., Hjelt, M., Rappe, C., Olsson, M., Andersson, O., 1995.
494 Polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxin and polychlorinated dibenzofuran levels and patterns in fish
495 and fish-eating wildlife in the Baltic Sea. Chemosphere 25, 185-188.
- 496 DiToro, D.M., Zarba, C.S., Hansen, D.J., Berry, W.J., Swartz, R.C., Cowan, C.E., Pavlou, S.P.,
497 Allen, H.E., Thomas, N.A., Paquin, P.R., 1991. Technical Basis for Establishing Sediment
498 Quality Criteria for Nonionic Organic Chemicals Using Equilibrium Partitioning. Environ.
499 Toxicol. Chem. 10, 1541-1583.

500 Fernandez, L.A., Macfarlane, J.K., Tcaciuc, A.P., Gschwend, P.M., 2009. Measurement of freely
 501 dissolved PAH concentrations in sediment beds using passive sampling with low-density
 502 polyethylene strips. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 43, 1430-1436.
 503 Friedman, C.L., Burgess, R.M., Perron, M.M., Cantwell, M.G., Ho, K.T., Lohmann, R., 2009.
 504 Comparing polychaete bioaccumulation and passive sampler uptake to assess the effects of
 505 sediment resuspension on PCB bioavailability. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 43, 2865-2870.
 506 Friedman, C.L., Cantwell, M.G., Lohmann, R., 2012. Passive sampling provides evidence for
 507 Newark Bay as a source of PCDD/Fs to the New York/New Jersey atmosphere. *Environ.*
 508 *Toxicol. Chem.* 31, 253-261.
 509 Gustafsson, O., Haghsseta, F., Chan, C., MacFarlane, J., Gschwend, P.M., 1996. Quantification of
 510 the dilute sedimentary soot phase: Implications for PAH speciation and bioavailability. *Environ.*
 511 *Sci. Technol.* 31, 203-209.
 512 Hammes, K., Schmidt, M.W.I., Smernik, R.J., Currie, L.A., Ball, W.P., Nguyen, T.H.,
 513 Louchouart, P., Houel, S., Gustafsson, O., Elmquist, M., Cornelissen, G., Skjemstad, J.O.,
 514 Masiello, C.A., Song, J., Peng, P., Mitra, S., Dunn, J.C., Hatcher, P.G., Hockaday, W.C., Smith,
 515 D.M., Hartkopf-Froeder, C., Boehmer, A., Luer, B., Huebert, B.J., Amelung, W., Brodowski, S.,
 516 Huang, L., Zhang, W., Gschwend, P.M., Flores-Cervantes, D.X., Largeau, C., Rouzaud, J.N.,
 517 Rumpel, C., Guggenberger, G., Kaiser, K., Rodionov, A., Gonzalez-Vila, F.J., Gonzalez-Perez,
 518 J.A., de la Rosa, J.M., Manning, D.A.C., Lopez-Capel, E., Ding, L., 2007. Comparison of
 519 quantification methods to measure fire-derived (black/elemental) carbon in soils and sediments
 520 using reference materials from soil, water, sediment and the atmosphere. *Global Biogeochem.*
 521 *Cycles* 21, 1-18.
 522 Hawthorne, S.B., Azzolina, N.A., Neuhauser, E.F., Kreitinger, J.P., 2007a. Predicting
 523 bioavailability of sediment polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons to *Hyalella azteca* using
 524 equilibrium partitioning, supercritical fluid extraction, and pore water concentrations. *Environ.*
 525 *Sci. Technol.* 41, 6297-6304.
 526 Hawthorne, S.B., Grabanski, C.B., Miller, D.J., 2006. Measured partitioning coefficients for
 527 parent and alkyl polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in 114 historically contaminated sediments:
 528 Part 1. K_{OC} values. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 25, 2901-2911.
 529 Hawthorne, S.B., Grabanski, C.B., Miller, D.J., 2007b. Measured partition coefficients for parent
 530 and alkyl polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in 114 historically contaminated sediments: Part 2.
 531 Testing the $K_{OC}K_{BC}$ two carbon-type model. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 26, 2505-2516.
 532 Huckins, J.N., Petty, J.D., Lebo, J.A., Almeida, F.V., Booij, K., Alvarez, D.A., Clark, R.C.,
 533 Mogensen, B.B., 2002. Development of the permeability/performance reference compound
 534 approach for in situ calibration of semipermeable membrane devices. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 36,
 535 85-91.
 536 Huckins, J.N., Tubergen, M.W., Manuweera, G.K., 1990. Semipermeable membrane devices
 537 containing model lipid: A new approach to monitoring the bioavailability of lipophilic
 538 contaminants and estimating their bioconcentration potential. *Chemosphere* 20, 533-552.
 539 Lambert, M., 2010. The role of black carbon in the sorption of polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-
 540 dioxins and dibenzofurans at the Diamond Alkali Superfund site, Newark Bay, NJ,
 541 Oceanography. University of Rhode Island Graduate School of Oceanography, MS Thesis,
 542 Narragansett.
 543 Lambert, M., Friedman, C., Luey, P., Lohmann, R., 2011. Role of black carbon in the sorption of
 544 polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins and dibenzofurans at the Diamond Alkali Superfund site,
 545 Newark Bay, New Jersey. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 45, 4331-4338.

- Lohmann, R., Burgess, R.M., Cantwell, M.G., Ryba, S.A., MacFarlane, J.K., Gschwend, P.M., 2004. Dependency of polychlorinated biphenyl and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon bioaccumulation in *Mya arenaria* on both water column and sediment bed chemical activities. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 23, 2551-2562.
- Lohmann, R., MacFarlane, J.K., Gschwend, P.M., 2005. Importance of black carbon to sorption of native PAHs, PCBs, and PCDDs in Boston and New York, Harbor sediments. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 39, 141-148.
- Luthy, R.G., Aiken, G.R., Brusseau, M.L., Cunningham, S.D., Gschwend, P.M., Pignatello, J.J., Reinhard, M., Traina, S.J., Weber, W.J., Westall, J.C., 1997. Sequestration of hydrophobic organic contaminants by geosorbents. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 31, 3341-3347.
- Mayer, L.M., Schick, L.L., Self, R.F.L., Jumars, P.A., Findlay, R.H., Chen, Z., Sampson, S., 1997. Digestive environments of benthic macroinvertebrate guts: Enzymes, surfactants and dissolved organic matter. *J. Mar. Res.* 55, 785-812.
- Mayer, P., Vaes, W.H.J., Wijnker, F., Legierse, K., Kraaij, R.H., Tolls, J., Hermens, J.L.M., 2000. Sensing dissolved sediment porewater concentrations of persistent and bioaccumulative pollutants using disposable solid-phase microextraction fibers. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 34, 5177-5183.
- McLeod, P.B., Luoma, S.N., Luthy, R.G., 2008. Biodynamic modeling of PCB uptake by *Macoma balthica* and *Corbicula fluminea* from sediment amended with activated carbon. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 42, 484-490.
- McLeod, P.B.v.d.H.-G., M.J.; Luoma, S.N.; Luthy, R.G., 2007. Biological uptake of polychlorinated biphenyls by *Macoma balthica* from sediment amended with activated carbon. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 26, 980-987.
- Morgan, E., Lohmann, R., 2008. Detecting air-water and surface-deep water gradients of PCBs using polyethylene passive samplers. *Environ Sci Technol.* 42, 7248-7253.
- Muijs, B., Jonker, M., 2009. Temperature-dependent bioaccumulation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 43, 4517-4523.
- Pickard, S.W., Clarke, J.U., 2008. Benthic bioaccumulation and bioavailability of polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins/dibenzofurans from surficial Lake Ontario sediments. *J. Great Lakes Res.* 34, 418-433.
- Rappe, C., Bergqvist, P.-A., Kjeller, L.-O., Swanson, S., Belton, T., Ruppel, B., Lockwood, K., Kahn, P.C., 1991. Levels and patterns of PCDD and PCDF contamination in fish, crabs, and lobsters from Newark Bay and the New York Bight. *Chemosphere* 2, 239-266.
- Schneider, A.R., Paolicchi, A., Baker, J.E., 2006. The use of solid-phase microextraction to rapidly measure dissolved PCBs in natural waters. *Intern. J. Environ. Anal. Chem.* 86, 789-803.
- Sun, X., Werner, D., Ghosh, U., 2009. Modeling PCB mass transfer and bioaccumulation in a freshwater oligochaete before and after amendment of sediment with activated carbon. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 43, 1115-1121.
- Vinturella, A.E., Burgess, R.M., Coull, B.A., Thompson, K.M., Shine, J.P., 2004. Use of passive samplers to mimic uptake of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons by benthic polychaetes. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 38, 1154-1160.
- Werner, D., Hale, S.E., Ghosh, U., Luthy, R.G., 2010. Polychlorinated biphenyl sorption and availability in field-contaminated sediments. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 44, 2809-2815.
- Xia, G.S., 1998. Sorption behavior of nonpolar organic chemicals on natural sorbents. PhD Dissertation, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore MD.

TABLES

Table 1. Mean sediment-specific polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxin and dibenzofuran (PCDD/F) log black carbon – water partition coefficients ($\log K_{BCS}$) for all of Newark Bay.

PCDD/F	$\log K_{OW}$	$\log K_{OC}$	Field-derived $\log K_{BC}$			Lambert [21] $\log K_{BC}$
			$n = 0.6$	$n = 0.7$	$n = 0.8$	$n = 0.7$
2,7/2,8-DiCDD	5.59	5.30	5.18 ± 0.34	5.68 ± 0.34	6.19 ± 0.33	6.38
2,4,8-TriCDF	5.74	5.45	5.13 ± 0.32	5.73 ± 0.30	6.34 ± 0.32	5.89
2,3,7-TriCDD	6.09	5.79	4.90 ± 0.12	5.59 ± 0.08	6.28 ± 0.05	6.87

FIGURES

Figure 1. Newark Bay, surrounding water bodies, sampling locations (white circles), and the Diamond Alkali Superfund site.

Figure 2. Ratios of estimated versus measured lipid-normalized polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxin and dibenzofuran (PCDD/F) biota concentrations. Biota concentrations were estimated based on PCDD/F partitioning between biota and sediment organic carbon (OC), sediment OC and black carbon (BC), polyethylene (PE) in porewater, and PE in deep water in **a**) Arthur Kill (tube worms), **b**) Kill van Kull (clams), and **c**) mid-Bay (clams). Also shown are ratios of biota concentrations estimated from sediment OC and BC calculated using sediment-specific black carbon – water partition coefficients (K_{BCS}) to those directly measured.

Figure 3. Ratio of lipid-based biota concentrations estimated from porewater PE to those measured directly in deposit-feeders collected from the Arthur Kill in the current study (PCDD/Fs) or from a laboratory bioaccumulation test in a previous study (PCBs) versus $\log K_{OW}$. The linear best fit for the PCDD/F dataset is $y = -0.0064x + 0.56$, but the regression is not significant ($p=0.96$). The linear best fit for the PCB data is $y = -1.4x + 10$ with a regression

613 significance of $p < 0.001$. The linear best fit for the entire dataset is $y = -0.57x + 4.4$ with an r^2 of
614 0.31 with a regression significance of $p = 0.01$.