2-2013

Competitor Avoidance Drives Within-Host Feeding Site Selection in a Passively-Dispersed Herbivore

Sara Gomez

Liahna Gonda-King

Colin M. Orians

Evan L. Preisser

University of Rhode Island, preisser@uri.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/bio_facpubs

Terms of Use

All rights reserved under copyright.

Citation/Publisher Attribution

Gomez, S., Gonda-King, L., Orians, C. M., & Preisser, E. L. (2014). Competitor Avoidance Drives Within-Host Feeding Site Selection in a Passively-Dispersed Herbivore. *Ecological Entomology*, 39(1), 10-16.

Available at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/een.12059>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Biological Sciences at DigitalCommons@URI. It has been accepted for inclusion in Biological Sciences Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact digitalcommons-group@uri.edu.

Competitor avoidance drives within-host feeding site selection in a passively-dispersed herbivore

Journal:	<i>Ecological Entomology</i>
Manuscript ID:	Draft
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Gomez, Sara; University of Rhode Island, Biological Sciences Gonda-King, Liahna; University of Rhode Island, Biological Sciences Orians, Colin; Tufts University, Biology Preisser, Evan; University of Rhode Island, Biological Sciences
Keywords:	preference , within-host selection, choice tests, sessile herbivores, plant-insect interactions

Competitor avoidance drives within-host feeding site selection in a passively-dispersed herbivore

Authors:

Sara Gómez^{*1,2}, Liahna Gonda-King¹, Colin M. Orians² and Evan L. Preisser¹

Addresses:

¹Department of Biological Sciences, University of Rhode Island, 9 E. Alumni Ave., Kingston, RI, USA 02881

²Department of Biology, Tufts University, 163 Packard Ave., Medford, MA, USA 02155

Correspondence:

Sara Gómez

Department of Biological Sciences

University of Rhode Island

9 E. Alumni Ave.

Kingston, RI, USA 02881

Email: saracogomez@gmail.com

Phone: 1-617-627-4036

Fax: 617-627-3805

Running title: Within-host selection by a sessile herbivore

Key words: female choice, within-host selection, preference, performance, choice tests, sessile herbivores

Abstract

1. Evolutionary theory predicts that ovipositing females that can actively disperse should select hosts that increase offspring performance. However, for organisms that are exclusively passively-dispersed feeding site selection is only possible at the within-host level. This should be particularly important for their offspring which have strong temporal and spatial dispersal constraints within a host. Such constraints will be likely magnified by tissue quality heterogeneity caused by competing herbivores.

2. We investigated within-host feeding site selection of passively-dispersed neonates of a sessile herbivore, the hemlock woolly adelgid (*Adelges tsugae* Annand) when it shares its eastern hemlock (*Tsuga canadensis* Carrière) host, with another sessile herbivore, the elongate hemlock scale (*Fiorinia externa* Ferris). Within-host feeding site selection was studied at the (a) shoot level (with or without *F. externa*) using choice tests and (b) needle level by scoring insect presence in field surveys.

3. *A. tsugae* avoided *F. externa*-colonized foliage in both the choice tests and field surveys. Since *A. tsugae* has no efficient predators or parasitoids in the study area, we conclude that our results are due to the presence of the competing herbivore.

4. Even though *A. tsugae* cannot actively disperse among hosts, we showed that within-host feeding site selection is an important mechanism to minimize the co-occurrence with a competing herbivore that has known negative impacts on *A. tsugae* population densities. Studying within-host feeding site selection in a multiple-species context could assist in understanding and predicting the impact of destructive pests such as *A. tsugae* and the co-evolution with their novel hosts.

Introduction

Evolutionary theory predicts that ovipositing females capable of discriminating between hosts should lay eggs on those hosts that increase the performance of their offspring (Thompson, 1988; Gripenberg *et al.*, 2010). Most studies on herbivore behavior to date have focused on quality differences at the whole-plant level, comparing adult and/or offspring's preference among different genotypes or phenotypes within a plant species and the relationship between preference and offspring performance (Horner & Abrahamson, 1992; van Leur *et al.*, 2008; Kleine & Müller, 2011; Nyman *et al.*, 2011; Soler *et al.*, 2012). Although selecting a high-quality host is an important first step in maximizing the performance of future offspring, assessing multiple hosts is impossible for some organisms such as passively-dispersed herbivores. As a result, the selection of suitable feeding locations for such organisms is only possible at the within-host level. Because of ubiquitous within-plant heterogeneity in food quality (Shelton, 2004) and its potential impact on plant-herbivore interactions (Awmack & Leather, 2002), the ability to select feeding sites within a given host may prove crucial to offspring performance. Evidence shows that herbivores display different preferences between tissues within a host and this can be affected by prior herbivory or other factors extrinsic to the host plant (McAuslane & Alborn, 2000; Anderson & Agrell, 2005; Gómez *et al.*, 2007; 2008). In the case of passively-dispersed organisms, we expect they will be subjected to particularly strong selective pressure to evolve mechanisms to discriminate quality differences within the host plant upon landing. To our knowledge, not much is known about preference of passively-dispersed herbivores and the link to offspring performance.

Within a given host, variation in resource quality (and subsequent herbivore preference) can be driven by ontogenetic differences in the foliage. Young foliage is richer in nitrogen and

water content (Mattson, 1980) and usually more palatable than older foliage (Coley & Barone, 1996; Fenner *et al.*, 1999; Gómez *et al.*, 2008). Variation in within-host resource quality can also be affected by the presence of other conspecific or heterospecific herbivores. Feeding by competing herbivores can change host quality via altered resource concentration and composition and/or the induction of defense compounds (Karban & Baldwin, 1997). These changes can be especially important in interactions between temporally-separated herbivore species. Later-arriving herbivores can experience suboptimal growth and development on a host that has suffered prior herbivory (Inbar *et al.*, 1999; Soler *et al.*, 2007) because changes in foliage quality can persist over extended periods of times (Gómez *et al.*, 2010; Underwood, 2012).

Within-host feeding site selection is especially important for herbivores whose active dispersal within a host is constrained by spatial and/or temporal limitations (Burstein & Wool, 1993). Herbivores with limited spatial mobility across all life stages might experience strong intraspecific competition due to limited resource availability. Similarly, time-limited dispersers, such as those that suffer high mortality rates within hours after hatching (Itioka & Inoue, 1991) will be forced to settle in suboptimal feeding sites if a suitable location is not found after an initial habitat discrimination phase is over (Ward 1987). Such spatial and temporal constraints apply to the hemlock woolly adelgid (*Adelges tsugae* Annand). *A. tsugae* is a destructive non-native hemipteran pest introduced to the eastern United States from Japan. It is currently present in 18 states, covering over 45% of the eastern hemlock's range (Morin *et al.*, 2011). In the invaded range, *A. tsugae* feeds exclusively on the native eastern and Carolina hemlocks (*Tsuga canadensis* Carrière and *T. caroliniana* Engelm, respectively), killing its hosts in as little as four years (McClure, 1991). Stands of these long-lived and shade-tolerant conifers create cool and

moist microclimates, critical habitat for a wide range of plant and animal species (Orwig *et al.*, 2012). The invasion by *A. tsugae* is devastating hemlock forests and dramatically altering the surrounding forest ecosystems (Orwig & Foster, 1998; Jenkins *et al.*, 1999; Stadler *et al.*, 2005; Cobb, 2010). Because viable *A. tsugae* neonates ('crawlers') in the invaded range are wingless, between-host movement of *A. tsugae* occurs via passive dispersal by wind or animals (McClure, 1991; Turner *et al.*, 2011). *A. tsugae* dispersal is also temporally limited since crawlers are only mobile for several days; once they settle and begin feeding, they are sessile for the remainder of their life cycle, including the mature stages (McClure, 1987). Despite the limitations imposed on between-host dispersal, the newly-hatched crawlers are mobile and capable of active within-host dispersal, typically settling on the youngest hemlock foliage (McClure, 1989). Because sessile *A. tsugae* adults must oviposit *in situ*, within-host selection of feeding sites by the newly-hatched crawlers may be crucial for their survival and future fitness.

In its invaded range, *A. tsugae* frequently co-occurs on eastern hemlock with a second non-native hemipteran pest, the elongate hemlock scale (*Fiorinia externa* Ferris). *A. tsugae* and *F. externa* overlap throughout much of their invaded range, and are found in the same hemlock stands and on the same individual trees (Preisser *et al.*, 2008). *F. externa* also feeds preferentially on eastern hemlock and, like *A. tsugae*, continues to expand its invaded range (Preisser *et al.*, 2008; 2011). At low to moderate densities, *F. externa* has minimal impacts on its host (Miller-Pierce *et al.*, 2010; Radville *et al.*, 2011; Gonda-King *et al.*, 2012); at high densities, however, it may be able to kill already-stressed trees (McClure, 1980). There is strong evidence of interspecific competition between *A. tsugae* and *F. externa* (Preisser & Elkinton, 2008). Because *A. tsugae* crawlers are produced mid-summer, 1-2 months after *F. externa* crawlers have settled and begun to feed, *F. externa*-induced changes in host quality could alter *A. tsugae* performance.

This hypothesis is consistent with the results of a previous study showing a 40% decrease in *A. tsugae* population density in trees previously infested with *F. externa* for two years (Miller-Pierce & Preisser, 2012). If this difference in *A. tsugae* performance can alter its settling behavior, a selective advantage will accrue to crawlers capable of detecting and avoiding *F. externa*-infested foliage within a host.

Here we examine tissue selection and distribution of *A. tsugae* crawlers in the presence and absence of *F. externa*. Because crawlers are small, few studies have explored patterns of distribution within or between hosts (but see Evans & Gregoire, 2007, Turner *et al.*, 2011), and to our knowledge no previous studies have addressed active within-host feeding site selection. Because adult *A. tsugae* have no effective predators in the invaded range (Wallace & Hain, 2000; Havill *et al.* 2011), within-tree crawler movement is likely driven by the need to find a permanent feeding site and by the site-specific likelihood of competition with other herbivores. Specifically, we hypothesized that *A. tsugae* prefers uninfested eastern hemlock foliage over *F. externa*-infested foliage. We tested our hypothesis by conducting laboratory choice tests and field surveys.

Materials and methods

Herbivores: *A. tsugae* has two generations a year in its invaded range (McClure, 1987). Briefly, the April-to-June progrediens generation emerges in early spring and settles on previously-produced hemlock foliage, where it produces ~75 eggs/female. In contrast, crawlers from the July-to-April sistens generation settle on newly-produced foliage in mid-summer. They aestivate until late fall, then feed throughout the winter, producing ~300 eggs/female that will become the next progrediens generation. *F. externa* has only one generation in the northern part

of the invaded range; *F. externa* crawlers emerge in late spring and are the first herbivores to settle on newly-produced hemlock foliage (Abell, 2010). The mobile *F. externa* crawlers also settle on young foliage and reduce host nitrogen levels (McClure, 1980; Gómez *et al.*, 2012). While *A. tsugae* feeds at the base of hemlock needles by inserting its stylet bundle into ray parenchyma cells at the base of the needle cushion (Young *et al.*, 1995), *F. externa* crawlers settle on the underside of the needles, where they suck fluids from the mesophyll cells (McClure, 1980). Both herbivores' crawlers remain sessile once they settle.

Laboratory choice tests: We conducted choice tests to test whether *A. tsugae* crawlers showed preference for uninfested vs. *F. externa*-infested foliage within the same tree. In April 2012, forty-seven eastern hemlocks naturally infested with *F. externa* were haphazardly selected in the Middlesex Fells Reservation (Winchester, MA). In each tree, two ~5 cm shoots produced during the previous growing season were selected, one collected from an uninfested branch and the other having at least five adult *F. externa* settled on the needles (= 47 replicates). All of the selected foliage was carefully inspected for the presence of *A. tsugae* or any other non-*F. externa* herbivores; there was no evidence of *A. tsugae* or other herbivores on any of the shoots.

In addition to investigate *A. tsugae* preference in naturally-infested trees, we conducted a second set of laboratory choice tests using foliage sources from nursery-purchased trees to control for unknown factors governing *F. externa* infestation in the field. These choice tests were conducted following the same procedure as above but using uninfested and infested foliage (minimum two scale present) from eastern hemlock saplings manually inoculated yearly with *F. externa* in June 2011 and 2012. The saplings were planted in the understory of a mixed conifer-deciduous forest in Kingston, Rhode Island. By enforcing the infestation of *F. externa* on a given set of experimental trees rather than using naturally-infested trees we can rule out the possibility

that the *F. externa* foliage used in the choice tests were successfully infested by *F. externa* due to unknown traits of the host rather than by chance and the possibility that *A. tsugae* behavioral patterns in feeding site selection were due to innate differences in preference/survival across hosts (or tissues within hosts) by these two insects. In a subset of those tests (n=30), *F. externa* remained intact on the infested foliage, while in a second subset (n=32) *F. externa* was manually removed from the foliage to determine whether *A. tsugae*'s preference is driven by the physical presence of the scale or by *F. externa*-induced changes in the foliage quality.

In all choice tests, the base of each shoot was placed inside an eppendorf tube with a pierced lid filled with deionized water to avoid desiccation. Both tubes were taped to the bottom lid of a 9 cm diameter petri dish. Eastern hemlock foliage with *A. tsugae* egg masses was collected from naturally-infested trees and a small stem fragment with three *A. tsugae* egg masses was placed inside each petri dish touching both shoots (Fig. 1b). The petri dishes were closed and sealed with parafilm and placed on their sides so that the shoots were in an upright position at 21°C and natural light conditions. We inspected each petri dish daily for the presence of *A. tsugae* crawlers. Once *A. tsugae* crawlers were detected, the inoculants were removed and the crawlers allowed to choose between the two foliage types. Seven days after *A. tsugae* crawlers were first detected, the number of *A. tsugae* crawlers on each shoot was counted and the percentage of crawlers on each choice was calculated. A sign test was used to assess whether *A. tsugae* crawlers prefer uninfested foliage by comparing the number of replicates with a higher percentage of crawlers on uninfested versus *F. externa*-infested foliage. The second set of choice tests (experimental trees) was analyzed by using a repeated measures ANOVA on the amount of crawlers present on either choice at the end of the test, using infestation by *F. externa* (uninfested

vs infested foliage) as the within-subjects factor and the removal of *F. externa* from the infested foliage (yes/no) as the between-subjects factor.

Field surveys: We conducted three surveys of *A. tsugae* preference in the field. Three hemlock stands were selected based on their moderate densities of naturally-occurring *A. tsugae* and *F. externa* (11-100 insects/m branch) as shown by 2011 field surveys (Preisser *et al.*, 2011). The stands were located in Massachusetts (Belchertown and Hampden; sampled on June 21st, 2012) and Connecticut (Suffield; sampled on July 2nd, 2012). In each site we selected 25 trees infested with both insects. In each tree, we selected two ~5 cm new-growth shoots (i.e., produced during the 2012 growing season) that were infested with at least three *A. tsugae* and three *F. externa* individuals. We counted the number of needles on each shoot with neither insect, *A. tsugae* only, *F. externa* only, or both insects. The results from the two shoots per tree were averaged and the expected distribution of needles in each category analyzed using a 2x2 contingency table. Because there were no statistical differences among sites (Likelihood ratio test; site effect: $\chi^2 = 0.9261$, $P = 0.629$), data from the three sites were pooled in the analysis.

Results

Laboratory choice tests: *A. tsugae* crawlers chose uninfested foliage over *F. externa*-infested foliage from the same tree in both naturally- and artificially-infested with *F. externa* trees. In choice tests using naturally-infested trees, 32 of 47 (68%) choice tests, the majority of *A. tsugae* crawlers were present on the uninfested foliage (one-tailed Sign test $P = 0.015$; Fig 1a). Although there was considerable variation in the percentage of *A. tsugae* crawlers choosing uninfested foliage, 23 of 47 choice tests had between 51-75% of crawlers on the uninfested foliage (Fig 1c). Interestingly, the percentage of *A. tsugae* crawlers on *F. externa*-infested foliage

was not correlated with the amount of *F. externa* present on the foliage (Pearson correlation = -0.087, $P = 0.561$; Fig 1d). In choice tests using foliage from experimentally inoculated trees, *A. tsugae* crawlers also exhibited an overall preference for uninfested foliage (Insect infestation $P < 0.0001$), regardless of whether *F. externa* was left intact on the foliage or manually removed (Insect infestation x Insect removal $P = 0.194$; Fig 2).

Field surveys: Our field surveys found that *A. tsugae* and *F. externa* co-occurred less often than expected ($\chi^2 = 19.04$, $P < 0.0001$; Table 1). Had *A. tsugae* been randomly distributed with respect to *F. externa*, 3.1% of needles should have contained both insects. Our results show that *A. tsugae* and *F. externa* only co-occurred on 1.8% of needles, meaning that the observed value was 42% lower than expected. Conversely, *A. tsugae* and *F. externa* occurred alone more often than expected if both species were randomly distributed with respect to the other.

Discussion

We found both experimental and survey evidence for active within-host feeding site selection in *Adelges tsugae*. Specifically, we found that *A. tsugae* crawlers preferred foliage that lacks the competing herbivore *F. externa* in both laboratory choice tests and field surveys of naturally-infested trees. The lower preference for *F. externa*-infested foliage was driven by plant-mediated changes on the foliage caused by *F. externa*'s attack rather than the physical presence of this insect. This demonstrates that relying on passive between-host dispersal does not preclude *A. tsugae* (and, presumably, many other small-bodied insects) from actively choosing a suitable feeding site within a given host.

Insect performance will inevitably differ across hosts of the same species because of varying genotypic and ontogenetic host quality, which is in turn influenced by abiotic factors

such as nutrient availability and by biotic factors such competing herbivores (Cronin & Abrahamson, 1999). While differences in host quality are important for all herbivores, they are perhaps most critical for sessile species that must quickly assess host quality before settling irrevocably in a single location (Ward 1987). In such organisms, the success of newly-hatched juveniles thus depends upon the quality of their natal host or being dispersed to a new suitable host.

Our results showed that *A. tsugae* crawlers could discriminate between *F. externa*-infested foliage at the shoot level (choice tests) and at the needle level (field survey). In the laboratory, 68% of the choice tests had more *A. tsugae* crawlers on uninfested foliage than on *F. externa*-infested foliage from *F. externa* naturally-infested trees. This preference was particularly evident in choice tests conducted on experimentally infested trees, with a known prior history of no infestation, where on average approximately twice as many *A. tsugae* crawlers showed preference for uninfested foliage. In the field, the co-occurrence of *A. tsugae* and *F. externa* on the same needle occurred 42% less often than expected if both insects were randomly distributed with respect to each other. Because *F. externa* crawlers settle on the surveyed newly-produced foliage earlier in the summer than *A. tsugae* crawlers, the most likely explanation for our results is that the presence of settled *F. externa* drives *A. tsugae* preference and settlement through plant-mediated changes in foliage quality. The possibility of apparent competition can also be ruled out since *A. tsugae* has no efficient predators in the invaded range or parasitoids worldwide (Wallace & Hain, 2000; Havill *et al.*, 2011).

Our results showed that *A. tsugae* has a lower preference for *F. externa*-infested shoot regardless of the number of *F. externa* present on the infested shoot. This suggests that the foraging cue *A. tsugae* uses to avoid *F. externa* is not density-dependent. Instead, a

presence/absence cue might be used as a longer-range detection mechanism to identify (and subsequently avoid) a broader tree area infested with *F. externa*. This is advantageous because in tree hosts where *F. externa* density is not high, their distribution is initially very patchy within the tree (Preisser, *unpublished data*). Although we found that *A. tsugae* has a lower preference for *F. externa*-infested foliage, we still observed co-occurrence in the field and especially in the laboratory choice-tests. One possible explanation is that *A. tsugae* has not yet adapted to avoid *F. externa*-infested foliage to a larger extent. While *A. tsugae* invaded New England in the late 1980s (McClure & Cheah, 1999), the rapid spread of *F. externa* through this area began in the early 2000s (Preisser *et al.*, 2008); as a result, the co-occurrence of high-density populations of both species is a relatively new event. It is also possible that while *A. tsugae* prefers to settle on *F. externa*-free foliage, it will settle in a suboptimal location rather than incur the risk of increased mortality associated with continued searching for a feeding location.

The fact that *A. tsugae* is obligately asexual in its invaded range (McClure, 1987) might constrain selection for *F. externa* avoidance; since all offspring are clones of their parent, the only source of genetic variation is randomly-occurring mutations in a given individual. The lack of sexual reproduction, and the recombination that results, might limit the adelgid's ability to adapt to avoid a competing herbivore. Despite this limitation, there is some evidence that adelgids in the invaded range have differentiated in response to strong selection; specifically, northern adelgid clones are more tolerant to cold winter temperatures than their southern counterparts (Butin *et al.*, 2005). The authors of this paper suggest that the extremely high rates of adelgid fecundity - a single female can produce >300 eggs in one generation - might produce so many individuals that even 'rare' random mutations could often occur. If avoiding *F. externa* foliage increases *A. tsugae* fitness, as suggested by higher *A. tsugae* population growth rate on

uninfested versus *F. externa*-infested trees (Miller-Pierce & Preisser, 2012), mutations that promote *F. externa* avoidance (or quality discrimination in general) could provide a substantial fitness advantage to the clonal line.

Despite being the more recent invader in New England, *F. externa* has now exceeded the northern range of *A. tsugae* and is present in areas that currently lack the adelgid. If *F. externa* can lower *A. tsugae* densities (Miller-Pierce & Preisser, 2012), and therefore tree mortality (Preisser *et al.*, 2008), hemlock stands previously colonized by *F. externa* might derive some benefit from the presence of this second invasive herbivore. Alternately, the ability of *A. tsugae* to efficiently discriminate against *F. externa*-infested foliage within a host might minimize the potential benefits of *F. externa* infestation. Future research should investigate what herbivore induced plant-mediated traits influence within-host feeding site selection and whether they are similar in response to hetero- and conspecific herbivores.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank L. Glidden for practical assistance during preliminary experiments, C. Thornber for statistical advice, landowners for granting permission to survey the trees used in the field study and two anonymous reviewers for useful comments on the manuscript. This project was funded by NSF DEB-0715504 and NIFA 2011-67013-30142 to ELP.

Contribution of authors

SG designed the project with intellectual contributions from all authors, SG and LGK collected and analyzed the data and all authors contributed to the writing of the manuscript.

References

- Abell, K.J. (2010) Population dynamics and biological control of elongate hemlock scale, *Fiorinia externa*. PhD thesis. University of Massachusetts Amherst, MA, USA.
- Anderson, P. & Agrell, J. (2005) Within-plant variation in induced defence in developing leaves of cotton plants. *Oecologia*, **144**, 427-434.
- Awmack, C.S. & Leather, S.R. (2002) Host plant quality and fecundity in herbivorous insects. *Annual Review of Entomology*, **47**, 817-844.
- Burstein, M. & Wool, D. (1993) Gall aphids do not select optimal galling sites (smynthuroides-betae, pemphigidae). *Ecological Entomology*, **18**, 155-164.
- Butin, E., Porter, A.H. & Elkinton, J. (2005) Adaptation during biological invasions and the case of *Adelges tsugae*. *Evolutionary Ecology Research*, **7**, 887-900.
- Cobb, R.C. (2010) Species shift drives decomposition rates following invasion by hemlock woolly adelgid. *Oikos*, **119**, 1291-1298.
- Coley, P.D. & Barone, J.A. (1996) Herbivory and plant defenses in tropical forests. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, **27**, 305-335.
- Cronin, J.T. & Abrahamson, W.G. (1999) Host-plant genotype and of her herbivores influence goldenrod stem galler preference and performance. *Oecologia*, **121**, 392-404.
- Evans, A.M. & Gregoire, T.G. (2007) The tree crown distribution of hemlock woolly adelgid, *Adelges tsugae* (Hem., Adelgidae) from randomized branch sampling. *Journal of Applied Entomology*, **131**, 26-33.
- Fenner, M., Hanley, M.E. & Lawrence, R. (1999) Comparison of seedling and adult palatability in annual and perennial plants. *Functional Ecology*, **13**, 546-551.

- 299 Gómez, S., Orians, C.M. & Preisser, E.L. (2012) Exotic herbivores on a shared native host:
300 Tissue quality after individual, simultaneous, and sequential attack. *Oecologia*, **169**, 1015-
301 1024.
- 302 Gómez, S., van Dijk, W. & Stuefer, J.F. (2010) Timing of induced resistance in a clonal plant
303 network. *Plant Biology*, **12**, 512-517.
- 304 Gómez, S., Onoda, Y., Ossipov, V. & Stuefer, J.F. (2008) Systemic induced resistance: A risk-
305 spreading strategy in clonal plant networks? *New Phytologist*, **179**, 1142-1153.
- 306 Gómez, S., Latzel, V., Verhulst, Y.M. & Stuefer, J.F. (2007) Costs and benefits of induced
307 resistance in a clonal plant network. *Oecologia*, **153**, 921-930.
- 308 Gonda-King, L., Radville, L. & Preisser, E.L. (2012) False ring formation in eastern hemlock
309 branches: Impacts of hemlock woolly adelgid and elongate hemlock scale. *Environmental*
310 *Entomology*, **41**, 523-531.
- 311 Gripenberg, S., Mayhew, P.J., Parnell, M. & Roslin, T. (2010) A meta-analysis of preference-
312 performance relationships in phytophagous insects. *Ecology Letters*, **13**, 383-393.
- 313 Havill, N., Montgomery, M.E. & Keenan, M. (2011) Hemlock woolly adelgid and its hemlock
314 hosts: a global perspective. *Implementation and status of biological control of the hemlock*
315 *woolly adelgid*, Morgantown, WV, U.S. Forest Service.
- 316 Horner, J.D. & Abrahamson, W.G. (1992) Influence of plant genotype and environment on
317 oviposition preference and offspring survival in a gallmaking herbivore. *Oecologia*, **90**, 323-
318 332.
- 319 Inbar, M., Doostdar, H., Leibee, G.L. & Mayer, R.T. (1999) The role of plant rapidly induced
320 responses in asymmetric interspecific interactions among insect herbivores. *Journal of*
321 *Chemical Ecology*, **25**, 1961-1979.

- 322 Itioka, T. & Inoue, T. (1991) Settling-site selection and survival of 2 scale insects, *Ceroplastes*
323 *rubens* and *C. ceriferus*, on citrus trees. *Researches on Population Ecology*, **33**, 69-85.
- 324
- 325 Jenkins, J.C., Aber, J.D. & Canham, C.D. (1999) Hemlock woolly adelgid impacts on
326 community structure and N cycling rates in eastern hemlock forests. *Canadian Journal of*
327 *Forest Research-Revue Canadienne De Recherche Forestiere*, **29**, 630-645.
- 328 Karban, R. & Baldwin, I.T. (1997) *Induced Responses to Herbivory*, Chicago, University of
329 Chicago Press.
- 330 Kleine, S. & Mueller, C. (2011) Intraspecific plant chemical diversity and its relation to
331 herbivory. *Oecologia*, **166**, 175-186.
- 332 Mattson, W.J. (1980) Herbivory in relation to plant nitrogen content. *Annual Review of Ecology*
333 *and Systematics*, **11**, 119-161.
- 334 McAuslane, H.J. & Alborn, H.T. (2000) Influence of previous herbivory on behavior and
335 development of *Spodoptera exigua* larvae on glanded and glandless cotton. *Entomologia*
336 *Experimentalis et Applicata*, **97**, 283-291.
- 337 McClure, M.S. (1991) Density-dependent feedback and population-cycles in *Adelges tsugae*
338 (Homoptera, Adelgidae) on *Tsuga canadensis*. *Environmental Entomology*, **20**, 258-264.
- 339 McClure, M.S. (1989) Evidence of a polymorphic life-cycle in the hemlock woolly adelgid,
340 *Adelges tsugae* (Homoptera, Adelgidae). *Annals of the Entomological Society of America*,
341 **82**, 50-54.
- 342 McClure, M.S. (1987) Biology and control of hemlock woolly adelgid. *Connecticut Agricultural*
343 *Experiment Station Bulletin (New Haven)*, **851**, 1-9.
- 344 McClure, M.S. (1980) Foliar nitrogen - a basis for host suitability for elongate hemlock scale,
345 *Fiorinia externa* (Homoptera, Diaspididae). *Ecology*, **61**, 72-79.

- 346 McClure, M.S. & Cheah, C.A.S.-. (1999) Reshaping the ecology of invading populations of
347 hemlock woolly adelgid, *Adelges tsugae* (Homoptera: Adelgidae), in eastern North America.
348 *Biological Invasions*, **1**, 247-254.
- 349 Miller-Pierce, M.R., Orwig, D.A. & Preisser, E. (2010) Effects of hemlock woolly adelgid and
350 elongate hemlock scale on eastern hemlock growth and foliar chemistry. *Environmental*
351 *Entomology*, **39**, 513-519.
- 352 Miller-Pierce, M.R. & Preisser, E.L. (2012) Asymmetric priority effects influence the success of
353 invasive forest insects. *Ecological Entomology*, **37**, 350-358.
- 354 Nyman, T., Pajananen, R., Heiska, S. & Julkunen-Tiitto, R. (2011) Preference-performance
355 relationship in the gall midge *Rabdophaga rosaria*: Insights from a common-garden
356 experiment with nine willow clones. *Ecological Entomology*, **36**, 200-211.
- 357 Morin, R.S., Oswalt, S.N., Trotter, R.T. III & Liebhold, A.M. (2011) Status of hemlock in the
358 eastern United States. *Forest inventory and analysis factsheet, e-Science update SRS-038*.
359 Asheville, NC. US Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Southern Research Station.
- 360 Orwig, D.A., Thompson, J.R., Povak, N.A., Manner, M., Niebyl, D. & Foster, D.R. (2012) A
361 foundation tree at the precipice: *Tsuga canadensis* health after the arrival of *Adelges tsugae*
362 in central New England. *Ecosphere*, **3**, 10
- 363 Orwig, D.A. & Foster, D.R. (1998) Forest response to the introduced hemlock woolly adelgid in
364 southern New England, USA. *Journal of the Torrey Botanical Society*, **125**, 60-63.
- 365 Preisser, E.L. & Elkinton, J.S. (2008) Exploitative competition between invasive herbivores
366 benefits a native host plant. *Ecology*, **89**, 2671-2677.
- 367 Preisser, E.L., Lodge, A.G., Orwig, D.A. & Elkinton, J.S. (2008) Range expansion and
368 population dynamics of co-occurring invasive herbivores. *Biological Invasions*, **10**, 201-213.

- 369 Preisser, E.L., Miller-Pierce, M.R., Vansant, J. & Orwig, D.A. (2011) Eastern hemlock (*Tsuga*
370 *canadensis*) regeneration in the presence of hemlock woolly adelgid (*Adelges tsugae*) and
371 elongate hemlock scale (*Fiorinia externa*). *Canadian Journal of Forest Research-Revue*
372 *Canadienne De Recherche Forestiere*, **41**, 2433-2439.
- 373 Radville, L., Chaves, A. & Preisser, E.L. (2011) Variation in plant defense against invasive
374 herbivores: Evidence for a hypersensitive response in eastern hemlocks (*Tsuga canadensis*).
375 *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, **37**, 592-597.
- 376 Shelton, A.L. (2004) Variation in chemical defences of plants may improve the effectiveness of
377 defence. *Evolutionary Ecology Research*, **6**, 709-726.
- 378 Soler, R., Pineda, A., Li, Y., Ponzio, C., van Loon, J.J.A., Weldegergis, B.T. & Dicke, M. (2012)
379 Neonates know better than their mothers when selecting a host plant. *Oikos*, **121**, 1923-
380 1934.
- 381 Soler, R., Bezemer, T.M., Cortesero, A.M., Van der Putten, W.H., Vet, L.E.M. & Harvey, J.A.
382 (2007) Impact of foliar herbivory on the development of a root-feeding insect and its
383 parasitoid. *Oecologia*, **152**, 257-264.
- 384 Stadler, B., Muller, T., Orwig, D. & Cobb, R. (2005) Hemlock woolly adelgid in New England
385 forests: Canopy impacts transforming ecosystem processes and landscapes. *Ecosystems*, **8**,
386 233-247.
- 387 Thompson, J.N. (1988) Evolutionary ecology of the relationship between oviposition preference
388 and performance of offspring in phytophagous insects. *Entomologia Experimentalis et*
389 *Applicata*, **47**, 3-14.

- 390 Turner, J.L., Fitzpatrick, M.C. & Preisser, E.L. (2011) Simulating the dispersal of hemlock
391 woolly adelgid in the temperate forest understory. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*,
392 **141**, 216-223.
- 393 Underwood, N. (2012) When herbivores come back: effects of repeated damage on induced
394 resistance. *Functional Ecology*, **26**, 1441-1449.
- 395 van Leur, H., Vet, L.E.M., Van der Putten, W.H. & van Dam, N.M. (2008) *Barbarea vulgaris*
396 glucosinolate phenotypes differentially affect performance and preference of two different
397 species of lepidopteran herbivores. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, **34**, 121-131.
- 398 Wallace, M.S. & Hain, F.P. (2000) Field surveys and evaluation of native and established
399 predators of the hemlock woolly adelgid (Homoptera : Adelgidae) in the southeastern united
400 states. *Environmental Entomology*, **29**, 638-644.
- 401 Ward, S.A. (1987) Optimal habitat selection in time-limited dispersers. *American Naturalist*,
402 **129**, 568-579.
- 403 Young, R.F., Shields, K.S. & Berlyn, G.P. (1995) Hemlock woolly adelgid (Homoptera,
404 Adelgidae) - stylet bundle insertion and feeding sites. *Annals of the Entomological Society*
405 *of America*, **88**, 827-835.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. (a) Percentage of choice tests with the majority of *A. tsugae* crawlers on uninfested foliage (white) or *F. externa*-infested foliage (gray); (b) Typical choice test experimental set-up; (c) Frequency distribution of choice tests with different ranges of *A. tsugae* crawler percentage on uninfested foliage; (d) Correlation between *A. tsugae* crawlers on *F. externa*-infested foliage and number of *F. externa* present on the infested foliage.

Figure 2. Average number (+ standard error) of *A. tsugae* crawlers on uninfested (white) or *F. externa*-infested (gray) foliage sampled from manually inoculated trees in laboratory dual choice tests. *F. externa* was left intact on the foliage in a subset of choice tests (left bars; n=30) and it was manually removed from the infested foliage in a second subset (right bars; n=32).

Figure 1

Figure 2

Table 1. Observed counts of *A. tsugae* and *F. externa* on individual needles of eastern hemlock naturally occurring in the field. Numbers in brackets represent the expected values.

		<i>A. tsugae</i>	
		Absent	Present
<i>F. externa</i>	Absent	1556 (1586)	339 (308)
	Present	408 (377)	43 (73)