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Pell tries to politicize NEH

James Doyle

In a 1975-1976 CONGRESSIONAL YEAR in which tumblers presented in Washington have been amused and overwhelmed the nation, it has been inevitable that newsmen agencies and in that one would overlook or minimize the persistent effort of our own Sen. Claiborne Pell to politicize the National Endowment for the Humanities and its affiliate organizations in just about all the states.

The Senator has defined his attempt by the senator to re-structure the program of support of the humanities by putting control over state agencies in the hands of political hacks and by defacing the function of the national and state agencies. But Mr. Pell means only to oppose the reappointment of Dr. Ronald D. Carmichael as national chairman because he opposes the whole effort Mr. Pell wants.

A Brief Personal History

It was with great pleasure that I accepted appointment to the Rhode Island Committee for the Humanities when it was organized in the winter of 1971-1972 under the chairmanship of Judge Florence K. Murray of the state's Superior Court. The state committee answers only to the national agency in the fixing of policies and in the granting of funds for programs.

Not too many years later, that same man in politics in this state ever approached me to exert pressure for or against any project. To the best of my knowledge, no other member and the committee as a whole ever had to fend off political musclering for favors for special interest groups. If policies had been involved in the beginning, I would not have accepted appointment.

But Mr. Pell wants to effect change in what he himself helped to set up as an independent federal agency, answering only to Congress and the White House. The senator wants to have the endowment set up state humanities councils, on the order of arts councils with members-appointed by state political officers, presumably governors. Haid the senator succeeded, I would have resigned from the state committee.

But equally bad, as the politicizing, is the senator's thrust to dilute the national and state programs. He thinks that if politically oriented councils are created, content will filter beyond the prestigious humanities institutions to the people who pay the freight with taxes; he sees the state and the humanities as potential avocations for millions of newly leisureed Americans.

In a story in the last edition of the Providence Sunday Journal, Mr. Pell is quoted like this on the issue: 'Pell, meanwhile, speaks longingly of the possible $300,000 grant to some 'mom and pop store operators' to pursue a latent interest in the Great Books or $500 to a humbireck with aspirations of historical research.'

THE SENATOR'S ARGUMENTS cry out for rebuttal. To begin with, the Rhode Island committee has not poured its allocations of federal cash into "prestigious humanities institutions." If Mr. Pell had the time to look, he could have discovered early that his home state committee has funded a wide variety of programs, some of them put together by scholars, all guided by scholars, and all aimed at people.

Grants have been made to ethnic groups, such as Cape Verdean neighborhood groups determined to develop ways and means of using the humanities to enrich their lives, studies of such problems as the effect of growth pressures on land from Block Island to the Audubon bird sanctuary.

Nationally, the endowment has supported, such programs as the writing of histories for each of the 50 states, the undertaking of scholarly works and then programs to strengthen the impact of teaching in the humanities group projects for the humanities—all of them summoning the best minds in the country to enliven the teaching of humanities and patriotism in the schools.

For the senator to say longingly that he would like to see a grant of $1,000 for "some mom and pop store operators" to pursue a latent interest in the Great Books leads me to doubt seriously that Mr. Pell knew what the humanities were all about back in 1971 when he helped win passage of enabling legislation for the national arts and humanities foundations.

The Rhode Island committee has been patiently indefatigable in making certain that every project it approves involves the public or opens an opportunity for involvement of the public—to encourage the "mom and pop store operators" and "humbirecks"—if there are any in Rhode Island—to engage actively in programs involving just about every conceivable aspect of the humanities.

IT APPEARS TO ME that the Pell method for dispensing of the federal humanities money would result in the wasteful funding of private hobbies from reading books by these mom and pop store operators to a study of the interrelationship of tree rings and the weather by a humbireck. Such programs undoubtedly would be wildly popular and politically fruitful.

If the humanities program nationally and locally have not been able to compete with the arts program for public attention for the simple reason that such programs, except for the artists involved, require only spectator participation whether in watching a federally-funded ballet or a baseball game, why is the Pell method so bankrupt?

But humanities programs involve hundreds of men and women in the difficult non-spectator sports of using their minds, of engaging in fruitful dialogue on public issues from prison reform to downtown renewal, and of relating themselves and their dreams to the society in which they live. In brief, it is "in the mind and the heart."

In the Sunday Journal story, Barney Kenney, former president of Brown University and the first chairman of the national endowment, was quoted for his definition of just what the humanities are: "If you do it, it's an art. If you study or learn or examine it, it's a humanity." In the 1975-1976 brochure on its programs, the endowment itself had this to say:

"The humanities... comprise the family of knowledge that deals with what it has been—and is—to be human, to make value judgments, and to select the wiser course of action. This is achieved primarily through the examination of human experience and its implications for the present and the future."

FROM ITS BEGINNING, consonant with the goals of the national endowment, the Rhode Island committee and the committees of every state I have read of dedicated themselves to those goals prudence in the authorization of the spending of federal cash and dedication to the purpose of making sure that every approved project was organized, planned, and directed to involve people in every walk of life.

If Senator Pell succeeds in restructuring the endowment so as to politicize state agencies or if he attains his end by outing Dr. Carmichael in favor of an executive who will steer national policy along the lines he has laid down, then—with reluctance and regret—I will resign from the Rhode Island Committee, and I think, Mr. Pell, I will not be here a minority of one.