

University of Rhode Island

DigitalCommons@URI

State Humanities Committees (1979-1982)

Education: National Endowment for the Arts
and Humanities, Subject Files II (1962-1996)

7-23-1979

State Humanities Committees (1979-1982): Correspondence 20

R. Oakley Winters

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/pell_neh_II_68

Recommended Citation

Winters, R. Oakley, "State Humanities Committees (1979-1982): Correspondence 20" (1979). *State Humanities Committees (1979-1982)*. Paper 6.

https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/pell_neh_II_68/6

This Correspondence is brought to you for free and open access by the Education: National Endowment for the Arts and Humanities, Subject Files II (1962-1996) at DigitalCommons@URI. It has been accepted for inclusion in State Humanities Committees (1979-1982) by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@etal.uri.edu.

JUL 26 1979



North Carolina Humanities Committee

1209 West Market Street, Greensboro, North Carolina 27412 • (919) 379-5325

July 23, 1979

Chairman

Jean Eason
Assistant Vice Chancellor
Academic Affairs
UNC-Greensboro

Elie Maynard Adams
Kenan Professor of Philosophy
UNC-Chapel Hill

Sydney Barnwell
Physician, New Bern

James D. Brewington, Chairman
Coharie Tribal Development Assn.
Clinton

Lindley S. Butler
Historian-in-Residence
Rockingham Community College
Wentworth

Leroy B. Frasier
Retired Insurance Executive
Durham

Shirley T. Frye
Assistant to Vice Chancellor
N.C. A & T State University

Austin Hyde
Physician, Rutherfordton

Harley E. Jolley
Department of History
Mann Hill College

H. G. Jones, Curator
North Carolina Collection
UNC Library, Chapel Hill

Heather Ross Miller
Division of Humanities
Pfeiffer College

Memory F. Mitchell
Archives and History
Department of Cultural Resources

John F. Oates, Chairman
Department of Classical Studies
Duke University

Addison W. Reed
Department of Music
St. Augustine's College

Ronald O. Smith
Academic Dean
Fayetteville State University

William Snider, Editor
Greensboro Daily News

Marge Sosnik
Broadcaster, Winston-Salem

Alex Spears
Sr. Vice President, Lorillard
Greensboro

William H. Wagoner
Chancellor
UNC-Wilmington

Cratis Williams
Retired, Boone

Edwin G. Wilson, Provost
Wake Forest University

John J. Young
Department of Philosophy
Davidson College

Administrative Assistant:
Daisy S. Brownstein

Western Program Associate:
Charlotte T. Ross

Eastern Program Associate:
Alfred E. Wellons

Special Consultant:
John T. Caldwell

Executive Director:
R. Oakley Winters

Mr. Steve Weiland, Executive Director
Federation of Public Programs in the Humanities
15 South 5th Street - Suite 720
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402

Dear Steve:

In response to Betsy McCreight's memo of July 6 which asked for opinions concerning OMB's proposed funding formula, we in North Carolina are favorable to it--based on what we know of it. Vaguarities in implementation and our failure to grasp all its implications could cancel this endorsement, of course, but we like its intent to introduce a more equitable balance between population and program funds allocated. In the absence of more objective measures of quality, a per-capita funding ratio with lower minimum grants is most equitable. The resources at NEH committed to relatively large minimum grants serve to penalize states with large but rural populations, as these states not only fail to receive an equitable share of state program from NEH, they also do not have extensive private resources (museums, galleries, universities and the like) to pull up the so-called cultural slack. Whereas state program resources, regardless of their size, may be negligible when compared to other cultural programs extant in such urban states as New York, Massachusetts, and California, state programs in the southeast and the middle west reflect cultural dowries of considerable size.

I should also like to suggest two additional changes in the policies affecting the distribution of state program monies at NEH.

1. There is no policy so corrupting to the image and purpose of state programs as the "hustle factor" in the current gifts-and-matching procedure. Limited by the restrictive \$85,000 lid on their administrative budgets, large states receiving grants of less than \$425,000 are forced to siphon off a percentage of their private gifts to meet administrative costs. When faced with this temptation, few among us can resist favoring sponsors who may come bearing cash gifts for NEH matching. Many states have invested large amounts of staff time in fund raising; this is fine if it is consistent

Mr. Steve Weiland, Executive Director
July 23, 1979
Page Two

with the improvement of public programming in the humanities. To help insure the programmatic integrity of our Committees' fund raising efforts, we would propose a stipulation that 100% of all gifts presented for NEH matching be utilized as regrant monies; in turn, we propose that gifts (or portions of gifts) raised for unrestricted use by state committees not be eligible for matching by NEH.

2. As companion to the above, we propose that the Endowment lift the current limits on administrative expenses to be paid by grant monies. In its place a proportional scale could be adopted to reflect more accurately varying administrative needs of the state programs. For example:
 - (a) States receiving \$500,000 or more from the Endowment would be held to administrative budgets of no more than 20%;
 - (b) States receiving \$400,000 - \$499,000 would have a maximum limit of \$100,000 imposed upon them for administration;
 - (c) States receiving \$300,000 or less would adhere to \$85,000 maximum for administration.

We believe the above suggestions, if implemented as policy, would help to eliminate a potential conflict-of-interest evident in current G & M policies.

Sincerely yours,



R. Oakley Winters
Executive Director

ROW:lf

cc: Maynard Adams, Chairman