University of Rhode Island

DigitalCommons@URI

Obscenity: Andres Serrano Controversy (1989)

Education: National Endowment for the Arts and Humanities, Subject Files II (1962-1996)

8-3-1989

Obscenity: Andres Serrano Controversy (1989): Correspondence 14

Claiborne Pell

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/pell_neh_II_59

Recommended Citation

Pell, Claiborne, "Obscenity: Andres Serrano Controversy (1989): Correspondence 14" (1989). *Obscenity: Andres Serrano Controversy (1989)*. Paper 34.

https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/pell_neh_II_59/34

This Correspondence is brought to you by the University of Rhode Island. It has been accepted for inclusion in Obscenity: Andres Serrano Controversy (1989) by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact digitalcommons-group@uri.edu. For permission to reuse copyrighted content, contact the author directly.

EDWARD M. KENNEDY, MASSACHUSETTS, CHAIRMAN

CLAIBORNE PELL RHODE ISLAND
HOWARD M. METZENBAUM, OHIO
SPARK M. MATSUNAGA, HAWAII
CHRISTOPHER J. DODD, CONNECTICUT
PAUL: SIMON, ILLINOIS
TOM HARKIN, IOWA
BACK ADAMS, WASHINGTON
BARBARA A. MIKULSKI, MARYLAND

ORRIN G. HATCH, UTAH NANCY LANDON KASSEBAUM, KANSAS JIM JEFFOROS, VERMONT DAN COATS, INDIANA STROM THURMOND. SOUTH CAROLINA DAVE DURENBERGER, MINNESOTA THAD COCHRAN, MISSISSIPPI

NICK LITTLEFIELD, STAFF DIRECTOR AND CHIEF COUNSEL

United States Senate

COMMITTEE ON LABOR AND HUMAN RESOURCES

WASHINGTON, DC 20510-6300

August 3, 1989

Ms. Iona Dobbins
Director
Rhode Island State Council on the Arts
95 Cedar Street
Suite 103
Providence, RI 02906

Dear Iona:

Thank you very much for calling my office the other day on behalf of the State Council staff and the Rhode Island artists community in regard to the changes proposed in the Senate version of the Arts Endowment's FY 1990 appropriations bill.

I appreciate knowing the depth of feeling against these provisions. The banning of two fine arts organizations from Endowment funding and the Helms amendment with its sweeping implications are the most troubling. It also disturbs me, however, that \$400,000 has been reallocated from the Visual Arts Program to two other program areas without any apparent rationale. Should this provision be retained, the blow to our visual arts community would be severe. I would hate to see an entire category such as "Art in Public Places" be eliminated to accommodate this cut.

I am hopeful that the House/Senate conference in September will resolve these issues in favor of the House position and I have indicated my thoughts on this to the conference chairman, Senator Byrd.

With warm regards,

Ever sincerely,

Claiborne Pell
Chairman
Subcommittee on Education,
Arts & Humanities