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Introduction

In the US, approximately 70% of adults are overweight or obese (Hales, Carroll, Fryar, & Ogden, 2017). Regular physical activity (PA) plays an important role in preventing weight gain and in weight maintenance after weight loss (Donnelly et al., 2009; Donnelly et al., 2003; Donnelly, Jacobsen, Heelan, Seip, & Smith, 2000; Jakicic et al., 2001). Moreover, independent of any effects it may have on weight, regular PA has been shown to decrease risk of heart disease (Barry et al., 2014), type 2 diabetes (Ross, 2003), and cancers of the breast and colon (Boyle, Keegel, Bull, Heyworth, & Fritschi, 2012; Monninkhof et al., 2007), morbidities for which those who are overweight or obese are at increased risk. The benefits of regular PA may become more pertinent as people age, with mounting evidence demonstrating the protective effects of regular PA on age-related weight gain (Waller, Kaprio, & Kujala, 2008) as well as cardiovascular disease, certain cancers, dementia, sarcopenia, osteoporosis, and Alzheimer’s disease (Nelson et al., 2007; Warburton, Nicol, & Bredin, 2006).

The current United States Department of Health and Human Services guidelines recommend that adults expend at least 1000 kcal per week in PA (Royall et al., 2008), which can be obtained through 150 minutes per week of moderate intensity PA, 75 minutes of vigorous intensity PA, or some combination. However, current estimates suggest that only 3.5% to 43.5% of US adults, and 28% of overweight or obese adults adhere to these recommendations, with estimates varying according to measurement methods (Adabonyan, Loustalot, Kruger, Carlson, & Fulton, 2010; Carlson, Fulton, Schoenborn, & Loustalot, 2010; Troiano et al., 2008)(Donnelly et al., 2009; Young, Jerome, Chen, Laferriere, & Vollmer, 2009). Moreover, people across all World Health Organization regions become less active as they age (Hallal et al., 2012). Among United States (US) adults, a more progressive decline in PA is seen after age 45-50 (Luke, Dugas, Durazo-Arvizu, Cao, & Cooper, 2011; Troiano et al., 2008). In essence, PA rates tend to
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decrease as people age and gain weight while the health benefits of PA become more pronounced.

Until recently, research on psychological contributors to PA adherence has been focused primarily on cognitive determinants, such as self-efficacy, outcome expectancies, attitudes, social support, social norms, and behavioral intentions (Marcus et al., 2006; Williams & Marcus, 2012). However, in the past two decades, there has been a gradual increase in attention to affective determinants of health behaviors in general (Williams, Rhodes, & Conner, 2018) and PA adherence in particular (Baldwin, Baldwin, Loehr, Kangas, & Frierson, 2013; Conner, Rhodes, Morris, McEachan, & Lawton, 2011; Ekkekakis, 2003; Ekkekakis, Hall, & Petruzzello, 2005; Kiviniemi, Voss-Humke, & Seifert, 2007; Kwan & Bryan, 2010; Rhodes, Courneya, Blanchard, & Plotnikoff, 2007; Rhodes, Fiala, & Conner, 2009; Rose & Parfitt, 2012; Schneider, Dunn, & Cooper, 2009; Williams et al., 2008; Williams, Dunsiger, Jennings, & Marcus, 2012).

One of the major challenges to increasing PA among unfit and/or sedentary populations is that many people within these populations experience a negative shift in affective valence (feeling good versus bad; Russell, 1980) from prior to PA to during PA (Ekkekakis, 2011). These findings appear to conflict with the popular notion that “exercise feels good;” however, positive shifts in affective valence tend to occur after PA rather than during PA (Ekkekakis, 2011). Thus, it is more accurate to say: Being done with exercise feels good, whereas exercise itself often feels bad.

Affective responses to PA may be important for understanding the low rates of PA. For example, previous theorists have posited that humans have a universal tendency to pursue behaviors that feel good and avoid behaviors that feel bad, a phenomenon known as the hedonic principle, or psychological hedonism (Bentham, 1789/2007; Cabanac, 1971; Kahneman, Wakker, & Sarin, 1997; Williams, 2018). Indeed, a recent systematic review indicates that those
who experience more negative (or less positive) affective responses to PA are less likely to repeat PA in the future, and thus more likely to drop out of PA promotion programs (Rhodes & Kates, 2015). Given that many people have an immediate negative affective response to PA, and a more negative response predicts lower PA behavior, it is unsurprising that there is a public health problem of physical inactivity (Lee, Emerson, Williams, 2016).

One possible strategy to increase PA adherence is to circumvent the negative affective response to PA by recommending self-paced PA—i.e., engaging in PA of a self-selected intensity (Williams, 2008). By self-selecting intensity rather than attempting to engage in PA of a prescribed intensity (e.g., moderate intensity), it is possible that one can optimize affective response to PA, thus making an aversive response less likely and, in turn, increasing the likelihood of continuing to engage in regular PA (Kwan & Bryan, 2010; Schneider, Dunn, & Cooper, 2009; Williams et al., 2008; Williams, Dunsiger, Jennings, & Marcus, 2012).

Some recent evidence has accumulated to suggest that self-paced PA may lead to a more positive affective response and thus better adherence to PA programs. Several laboratory studies comparing the psychological response to self-paced versus prescribed intensity PA have shown that self-paced PA results in a more positive affective response (Ekkekakis & Lind, 2006; Parfitt, Rose, & Burgess, 2006; Rose & Parfitt, 2007). Moreover, in a recent randomized pilot study, adults assigned to a self-paced walking condition engaged in more minutes of PA than adults who were prescribed moderate intensity walking, with a trend toward greater PA-based energy expenditure for the self-paced condition (Williams et al., 2015). In the latter study, better adherence to the self-paced condition was a function of a more positive affective response to self-paced versus prescribed moderate intensity PA (Williams et al., 2016).

Few studies, however, have examined age differences in affective response to self-paced or prescribed intensity PA and the implications for PA adherence. In two laboratory studies,
Barnett (2012) found that compared to younger adults, older adults showed higher positive engagement (e.g., enthusiastic, happy, upbeat) during 20 minutes of stationary cycling at 60% VO$_{2\text{Max}}$, whereas Focht and colleagues (2007) found no difference between age groups in affective response during 20 minutes of stationary cycling at 65% VO$_{2\text{max}}$. In a third study, DaSilva and colleagues (2010) found no age-related differences in affective responses to self-paced PA. However, to our knowledge, no study has examined age-related differences in affective response and adherence to self-paced versus moderate intensity PA.

Thus, the goal of the present study was to test age as a moderator of the effects of self-selected versus prescribed moderate intensity PA on PA adherence. We hypothesized (H1) that the recommendation for self-paced PA (as compared to prescribed moderate intensity PA) would have a more positive effect on adherence to PA among older versus younger adults (Figure 1). We further hypothesized (H2) that any age-related differences seen in the positive effect of self-paced (compared to prescribed moderate intensity) PA on PA behavior would be explained by more positive affective responses to PA.

**Methods**

The present study was a secondary analysis of data from a previous randomized pilot study comparing self-selected to prescribed moderate intensity PA among low-active overweight adults (Williams et al., 2015). Moderate intensity (64-76% of age-adjusted maximal heart rate) was used as a comparison to self-paced intensity because it is recommended as an appropriate intensity for the general population by the American College of Sports Medicine (Thompson, Pescatello, & Gordon, 2010), the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (2008), and the World Health Organization (2010). The local Institutional Review Board approved the study protocol.

**Participants**
Participants were community-dwelling adults (n=59), ages 18-65, who were low-active (<60 min/week of structured exercise), and overweight or obese (body mass index (BMI) 25.0–39.9 kg/m²), but otherwise healthy (i.e., no chronic disease). The participants had a mean age of 47.71 years (SD = 11.06), and a mean BMI of 31.93 kg/m² (SD=3.99). Most participants were female (88%), employed (85%), reported household income ≥ US$50,000/year (54%), and self-identified as non-Hispanic White (76%). There were no significant differences in baseline demographics between groups (p>0.05).

**Procedures**

Participant recruitment included distribution of brochures throughout the community, and advertising in newspapers, on the internet, and the radio. Participants were blinded to the true purpose of this study (i.e., the efficacy of self-paced versus prescribed moderate intensity exercise prescriptions), and were instead told that the purpose of the study was to test an exercise promotion program.

All participants received a 6-month exercise promotion program consisting of mailed print-based materials designed to help them overcome barriers to regular exercise (Marcus, Lewis, et al., 2007; Marcus, Napolitano et al., 2007; Williams et al., 2011). The intervention focused on structured and planned exercise, with a particular focus on walking-for-exercise. This was done in order to isolate the effects of intensity of PA (self-paced versus prescribed moderate intensity) by minimizing potential variability in PA modality (e.g., lifestyle PA, cycling, jogging, hiking, and swimming). Specifically, all participants were instructed to engage in one 30-60-minute walking session at least 5 days per week, with a total goal of 150-300 minutes of walking per week, in order to meet national PA guidelines (Donnelly et al., 2009; Royall et al., 2008).

Participants were randomized to either the prescribed moderate intensity or self-selected (self-paced) intensity condition. Participants were randomized surreptitiously at the
randomization/enrollment session, with research staff opening sealed envelopes in which assigned groups were written in advance. Participants randomized to the moderate intensity condition (n=29) were told to walk at an intensity corresponding to 64-76% of their predicted maximal heart rate. Participants in the self-selected intensity condition (n=30) were told to select their own pace when walking for exercise. All participants were given a heart rate monitor and asked not to walk at a pace that elevated their heart rate beyond 76% of their predicted maximal heart rate.

**Measures**

**Exercise.** Structured and planned walking for purposes of exercise was measured through ecological momentary assessment (EMA) throughout the course of the 6-month intervention. Participants used handheld electronic diaries (e-diaries; HP iPAC 111) to provide real-time self-reports of their exercise behavior. Participants were instructed to indicate the start of an exercise session when they began walking, and to indicate when the session ended. The real-time reports of exercise were used to calculate the number of minutes participants walked for exercise. Each morning participants were also asked whether they had (a) exercised the previous day, and (b) if they had reported this exercise session in real-time using their e-diaries. Participants completed 87% of these daily morning reports over the 6-month study period, with no differences between conditions (p>0.05). For 81% of the exercise sessions that participants reported on the daily morning report (i.e., participant indicated that they had walked for exercise the previous day), participants reported completing real-time exercise reports on the previous day at the time of the exercise session (and, in all cases, they had done so), and thus, the number of minutes of exercise was computed based on the real-time data. However, for the remaining 19% of exercise sessions reported on the morning report, participants reported that they did not record the exercise in real
time (and, in all cases, they had not done so). In these situations, participants were asked to retrospectively report the number of minutes of exercise completed the previous day.

**Affective response to exercise.** Participants were asked to report their affective response to exercise at every exercise session during the 6 month study. After indicating the start of an exercise session, participants were asked to report their affect in response to the question, “right now, how are you feeling?” and responded on a scale from -5 (Very Bad) to +5 (Very Good) (Hardy & Rejeski, 1989). Participants were asked to report their affect during exercise in five minute increments after the initial affect report when the exercise session started. To reduce burden, after 35 minutes of walking, participants were asked to report their affect in 10 minute increments; after 55 minutes of walking, participants were asked to report their affect in 15 minute increments. There was at least one during-exercise affect report for 90.2% of exercise sessions that were reported in real time.

**Statistical Analyses**

A mixed effects regression model was used to test the hypothesis that age at baseline was a moderator of the effect of condition on minutes of exercise over the course of the 6-month intervention. The clustered nature of the data was taken into account by nesting daily minutes of exercise both within week and within participant. The distribution of daily minutes of exercise was skewed towards zero, and a log-transformation used to normalize the data. Missing data were accounted for through a likelihood-based approach to estimation which makes use of all available data.

To test the moderation hypothesis, exercise behavior was regressed on condition, age, time, the interaction between condition × age, and a subject-specific random intercept. To test whether the interaction effect of condition × age was mediated by affective response to exercise, a series of three regression models were conducted simultaneously, in order to estimate overall
path coefficients, as well as indirect effects. A median split was used to divide participants into those who were ≥50 versus <50. Age 50 is also a clinically meaningful cut point regarding declines in PA (Luke, Dugas, Durazo-Arvizu, Cao, & Cooper, 2011; Troiano et al., 2008). First, affective response to exercise was regressed on the condition × age interaction, main effects of condition and age, time, and a subject-specific random intercept (a path). Next, exercise behavior was regressed on affective response to exercise, time, and a subject-specific random intercept (b path). Finally, exercise behavior was regressed on the condition × age interaction, main effects of condition and age, affective response to exercise, time, and a subject-specific random intercept (indirect path). All standard errors were adjusted for clustering effects over time. Analyses were conducted using SPSS 18.0 and SAS 9.3.

Results

We tested for a moderation effect of age on the impact of self-paced versus prescribed moderate intensity exercise on number of minutes of structured walking for exercise. We found significant moderating effects of age such that differences between self-paced and moderate intensity conditions were more pronounced amongst older participants (main effect of condition: 6.14, SE=2.54, p=0.02; condition × age: -11.55, SE =3.77, p<0.01; Figure 2). As hypothesized, simple effects analysis showed that among participants ≥ 50 years, those in the self-paced condition exercised 6 more minutes/day (p=0.02) compared to those in the moderate intensity condition. Alternatively, among participants < 50 years, those in the moderate intensity condition exercised 5.4 more minutes/day compared to those in the self-paced condition, with the latter difference of borderline significance (p=0.05).

We also examined affective response to exercise as a putative mediator of the moderating effects of age to determine whether effects of study condition on walking minutes by age were due to age-based differences in affective response to exercise. Contrary to our hypothesis, the
effect of study condition on affective response to exercise was not moderated by age ($p=0.13$) (Figure 2). The effect of study condition on perceived exertion also was not moderated by age (Figure 2).

**Discussion**

Empirical evidence regarding the benefits of self-paced walking for increasing PA is accumulating (Schneider, Dunn, and Cooper, 2009; Williams et al., 2015; for review see Ekkekakis et al, 2009). Self-paced PA, in contrast to prescribed intensity PA, may influence affective response to PA, which may vary by age (Barnett, 2012; but see DaSilva et al., 2010; Focht, Knapp, Gavin, Raedeke, & Hickner, 2007). To our knowledge, the present study is the first to examine the moderating role of age on the effect of a self-selected versus prescribed moderate intensity exercise on exercise adherence (Figure 1).

Consistent with our hypothesis, age moderated the effect of condition on minutes spent walking for exercise over a 6-month exercise promotion program. Participants who were older and told to self-select their exercise intensity exercised more minutes per week compared to older participants who were told to exercise at moderate intensity. In contrast, and unexpectedly, participants who were younger and told to exercise at a moderate intensity trended toward exercising more minutes per week compared to younger participants told to self-select their exercise intensity.

In an attempt to understand why the self-paced recommendation worked better among adults who were older, we investigated affective response to exercise as a putative mediator of the hypothesized moderating effect of age. A prior study using the present data showed that, among the full sample of participants, those in the self-paced condition has a more positive affective response to exercise than those in the prescribed moderate intensity condition (Williams et al., 2016). However, the present analysis showed that the effect of condition on affective
response to exercise was not moderated by age. That is, there was no differential effect of condition (self-paced versus moderate intensity) on affective response to exercise as a function of age.

Taken together, the present findings show that adults who were older were more likely to exercise if they were given a recommendation to exercise at their own pace rather than specifically told to exercise at moderate intensity. The positive effect of self-paced exercise among older versus younger adults was not explained by affective response to exercise. Other possible reasons for this finding are that older adults, compared to younger adults, may have experienced more uncomfortable symptoms, such as shortness of breath, due to a longer span of de-conditioning, and therefore were more likely to exercise at a self-paced versus a moderate intensity. Although one might expect that such physical symptoms would impact affective response, it is possible that they instead had a direct effect on exercise behavior. Additionally, there may have been other unmeasured variables that led to the differential effects of self-selected versus moderate intensity on exercise behavior among older versus younger adults, such as differences in expected benefits of exercising at a self-paced intensity, greater ability to engage in communal walking at a self-paced intensity, or the challenge of determining moderate intensity levels. Additional research is needed to understand the role of these and other potential mediators of the differential effects of self-selected versus moderate intensities among older versus younger adults.

A strength of the present study is the use of EMA in a field-based experimental context, which, compared to the previous laboratory studies in this area, is likely to be more ecologically valid. The present sample also intentionally included only participants who were overweight and obese. This population was chosen because previous research shows that affective response to PA may be more negative among overweight and obese adults (Ekkekakis & Lind, 2006).
On the other hand, the relatively small sample size in the present study provided limited statistical power, particularly when testing effects of moderated mediation. Thus, the null findings for our second hypothesis could be the result of a type II error. Additionally, the present study included a predominantly affluent female sample and thus the findings may not generalize to other populations.

**Conclusion**

While the health benefits of PA become more pronounced as people age and gain weight, PA rates tend to decrease. The present study provides preliminary evidence that recommending self-paced exercise may be a particularly effective strategy to promote exercise among overweight adults who are older (i.e., ≥50), as compared to the prescription of moderate intensity exercise as recommended in national guidelines. More research is needed to explore the potential mechanisms of this effect.
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