

# University of Rhode Island DigitalCommons@URI

Pharmacy Practice and Clinical Research Faculty Publications

Pharmacy Practice and Clinical Research

12-30-2013

# Activity of tobramycin and polymyxin-E against Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilm coated medical grade endotracheal tubes.

Keiko Tarquinio University of Rhode Island

Confreda

James Shurko *University of Rhode Island* 

Kerry L. LaPlante University of Rhode Island, kerrylaplante@uri.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/php\_facpubs

#### Citation/Publisher Attribution

Tarquinio, K., Confreda, K., Shurko, J., & LaPlante, K. (2013). Activity of tobramycin and polymyxin-E against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm coated medical grade endotracheal tubes. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*, *58*(3), 1723-1729. doi: 10.1128/AAC.01178-13.

Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01178-13

This Article is brought to you by the University of Rhode Island. It has been accepted for inclusion in Pharmacy Practice and Clinical Research Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact digitalcommons-group@uri.edu. For permission to reuse copyrighted content, contact the author directly.

Activity of tobramycin and polymyxin-E against Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilm coated medical grade endotracheal tubes.

The University of Rhode Island Faculty have made this article openly available. Please let us know how Open Access to this research benefits you.

This is a pre-publication author manuscript of the final, published article.

# Terms of Use

This article is made available under the terms and conditions applicable towards Open Access Policy Articles, as set forth in our Terms of Use.

1 Activity of tobramycin and polymyxin-E against Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilm 2 coated medical grade endotracheal tubes 3 Keiko Tarquinio, 1,2,3,5, Kelsev Confreda, James Shurko, Kerry LaPlante<sup>2,3,4,#</sup> 4 5 6 <sup>1</sup>Pediatric Critical Care Medicine, Hasbro Children's Hospital, Rhode Island Hospital, 7 Providence, RI 8 <sup>2</sup>Rhode Island Infectious Diseases (RIID) Research Program, Providence Veterans Affairs 9 Medical Center, Providence, RI <sup>3</sup>University of Rhode Island, Department of Pharmacy Practice, Kingston, RI 10 <sup>4</sup>Department of Medicine, <sup>5</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Warren Alpert Medical School of 11 12 Brown University, Providence, RI 13 14 **Running Title**: Tobramycin and polymyxin-E against *P. aeruginosa* 15 \*Corresponding Author: Kerry L. LaPlante, Pharm.D. Associate Professor of Pharmacy, 16 17 University of Rhode Island, Veterans Affairs Medical Center (151); Research Building 35

; 830 Chalkstone Avenue; Providence, RI 02908, office: 401-273-7100 x2339; fax: 401-

457-3305; e-mail: KerryLaPlante@uri.edu

18

#### **ABSTRACT**

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

Indwelling medical devices have become a major source of nosocomial infections; especially *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (*P. aeruginosa*) infection, which remain the most common cause of ventilator associated pneumonia (VAP) in neonates and children. Using medical grade polyvinyl chloride endotracheal tubes (ETTs), the activity of tobramycin and polymyxin-E was quantified in a simulated prevention and treatment static time kill model using biofilm forming *P. aeruginosa*. The model simulated three clinical conditions: 1) planktonic bacteria in the presence of antibiotics, tobramycin and polymyxin-E, without ETTs, 2) planktonic bacteria grown in the presence of *P. aeruginosa*, antibiotic and ETTs (simulating prevention) and 3) a 24h formed *P. aeruginosa* biofilm on ETTs prior to antibiotic exposure (simulating treatment). In the model simulating "prevention" (conditions 1 and 2 above), tobramycin alone or in combination with polymyxin-E was more bactericidal than polymyxin-E alone at 24 hours using a concentration greater than 2 times the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC). However, after a 24h old biofilm was allowed to form on the ETTs, neither monotherapy nor combination therapy over 24 hours exhibited bactericidal or bacteriostatic effects. Against the same pathogens, tobramycin and polymyxin-E, both alone or in combination exhibited bactericidal activity prior to biofilm attachment to the ETTs, however no activity was observed once biofilm formed on ETTs. These findings support surveillance culturing to identify pathogens for a rapid and targeted approach to therapy, especially when *P. aeruginosa* is a potential pathogen.

#### INTRODUCTION

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

Indwelling medical devices are a major source of nosocomial infections. In particular, patients requiring mechanical ventilation (intubation with an endotracheal tube (ETT)) face a high probability of contracting one of the most prevalent nosocomial infections, ventilator associated pneumonia (VAP).(1-3) Neonatal and pediatric populations are at especially high risk for VAP because the current standard of care involves prolonged intubation without ETT exchange or tracheostomy, both common practice in adult patients. In neonates and infants, the inner diameter of the ETT is often 2.5-3.5mm (the size of a thin straw), which complicates suctioning of secretions and confounds attempts to maintain patency. Despite aggressive bedside hygiene, Pseudomonas aeruginosa (P. aeruginosa) remains one of the most common causes of VAP in intubated children. (2, 4, 5) P. aeruginosa, often found on indwelling devices such as ETTs, forms a biofilm which serves as an ideal environment for antibiotic resistance, making VAP difficult to treat.(6, 7) Biofilm on ETTs is considered to be a reservoir for infecting pathogens derived from oropharyngeal flora and gastric microaspiration, and is highly correlated with lower airway infection and subsequent VAP.(8-11) To date, few side-by-side studies have compared killing activity (defined as 99.9% kill) of tobramycin and polymyxin-E against P. aeruginosa, especially in the context of ETT biofilm and VAP.(12-15) The effect of monotherapy and/or combination therapy (synergistic versus antagonistic activity) must be assessed when evaluating antimicrobial drug therapy, especially in the presence of medical grade polyvinyl chloride (PVC) or conventional ETTs. For convenience, most studies investigating antibiotic susceptibility in formed biofilms have used PVC coupons rather

than clinically available medical devices.(16-18) However, most of the coupons made of PVC are not medical grade and, in many cases, do not contain equivalent plasticizer content. These differences result in different texture and flexibility between medical grade PVC products and PVC coupons used in biofilm experiments. Using clinically available ETTs, this study aimed to both assess the efficacy of antibiotics against planktonic vs. biofilm formed *P. aeruginosa*, and to identify which antibiotic, alone or combination, demonstrates the best in vitro activity against *P. aeruginosa* in the context of VAP.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

70

91

71 **Bacterial Isolates.** American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA) strain 72 25668 was obtained. Reference strain PAO1 was obtained from Dr. Thomas Murray, 73 Frank H. Netter MD School of Medicine, Quinnipiac University, North Haven, CT. (19, 20) 74 Prior to use, all bacteria were stored in tryptic soy broth (TSB; Difco laboratories, Sparks, 75 MD) with 15% glycerol and frozen at -80 °C. Both strains are prolific biofilm 76 producers.(21, 22) 77 78 Antimicrobial Agents. Commercially available, chemical grade polymyxin-E (lot# 79 081M1525V) powder and chemical grade tobramycin (lot# 090M1196V) powder was 80 purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Tobramycin and polymyxin-E powder 81 were stored at 4°C. Both tobramycin and polymyxin-E were diluted in sterile water and a 82 fresh stock was made each day, and prior to every experiment. Tobramycin and 83 polymyxin-E were tested at one, two, four and eight times their respective minimal 84 inhibitory concentration (MIC) at 0, 4 and 24 hours after inoculation. (23) Cation Adjusted 85 Mueller-Hinton broth (CA-MHB, Difco Laboratories, Sparks, MD) supplemented with 25 86 mg/L calcium, 12.5 mg/L magnesium and 0.25% dextrose (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA, USA) was used to obtain a suspension corresponding to 0.7 - 0.8 McFarland standards 87 to produce an initial starting inocula of 5.5-6.0 x 10<sup>6</sup> colony forming units per milliliter 88 89 (CFU/mL). Colony counts were determined using tryptic soy agar (TSA, Difco, Becton 90 Dickinson Co., Sparks, MD) plates.

Susceptibility Testing. MIC tests were performed in triplicate using broth microdilution in accordance with Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines.(24, 25) The MIC was defined as the minimum concentration of antibiotic that will inhibit the visual growth of the isolated organism. Minimum bactericidal concentrations (MBC) were also determined in triplicate for each antimicrobial agent using CSLI guidelines. (25) Bacteria were quantified using CFU/mL, and 5 microliter aliquots were used for determination of MBC after 24 hours incubation at 37°C using TSA.(26) Endotracheal Tubes (ETTs). Commercially available Sheridan® 6.0 mm ID, uncuffed ETTs (Hudson RIC, Temecula, CA, USA) were obtained. Each ETT was cut into 0.6 cm by 0.3 cm rectangular pieces (ETT chips) using a ¼ rectangle hand puncher (Fiskars Corporation, Helsinki, Finland), and sterilized with ethylene oxide gas prior to use in preformed and formed biofilm time kills experiments.(22) For comparison, we also tested commercially available PVC coupons (part Number RD 128-PVC, Biosurface Technologies, Corp, Bozeman, MT) for pre-formed biofilm *P. aeruginosa* PAO1.(16-18) **Biofilm Formation.** Sterile ETT chips were placed in each well of a 24-well plate (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA). The ETT chip was submerged with 2 mL of a final bacteria inoculum, either PAO1 or ATCC 25668, obtained as described above using TSB supplemented with 1% dextrose, 2% NaCl and 25 mg/L calcium (STSB) using modified Growing and Analyzing Static Biofilms.(27) The well plate was incubated at 37°C under static conditions for 24 hours to promote biofilm formation on ETT chips. After 24 hours,

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

each ETT chip was gently rinsed three times in sterile phosphate buffered saline (PBS) 115 (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA). 116 117 **Time Kill Study.** Using a 24h time kill study, three clinical conditions were modeled using 118 P. aeruginosa strains PAO1 and 25668: 1) planktonic bacteria in the presence of the 119 antibiotics tobramycin and polymyxin-E, without ETTs, 2) planktonic bacteria grown in 120 the presence of *P. aeruginosa*, antibiotics and ETTs (simulating prevention) and 3) a 24h 121 formed *P. aeruginosa* biofilm on ETTs prior to antibiotic exposure (simulating treatment). 122 Each time kill experiment was carried out in a minimum of triplicate. All antimicrobial 123 agents were tested at one, two, four and eight times their respective MIC with starting inocula of 5.5–6.0 x 10<sup>6</sup> CFU/mL adjusted to McFarland standards using the Vitek 124 125 colorimeter (bioMérieux, Inc, Durham, NC).(18, 28) 126 127 Sample aliquots (0.1 mL) were removed from cultures at 0, 4, and 24 hours after vortexing 128 each tube for one minute to remove biofilm growth from the ETT chip. (22) Antimicrobial 129 carryover was accounted for by serial dilution (10 - 10, 000 fold) of plated samples with 130 normal saline or vacuum filtration. This methodology has a lower limit of detection of 2.0 131 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/mL.(28) Growth control tubes for each organism were prepared without 132 antibiotic and run in parallel to the antibiotic test tubes. 133 134 For single antimicrobial agents, bactericidal activity (99.9% kill) was defined as a  $\geq 3$ 135 log<sub>10</sub>CFU/mL reduction at 24h in colony count from the initial inoculum. Bacteriostatic 136 activity was defined as a  $< 3 \log_{10}$  CFU/mL reduction at 24h in colony count from the

initial inoculum, while inactive was defined as no observed reduction from the initial inoculum.(23) For antibiotics evaluated in combination, synergy was defined as  $\geq 2 \log_{10}$  CFU/mL decrease, indifference was defined as a 1 to  $2 \log_{10}$  CFU/mL change (increase or decrease), and antagonism was defined as  $> 2 \log_{10}$  CFU/mL increase in growth compared to the most active single agent.

**Data analysis**. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS statistical software (IBM SPSS statistics version 20, IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY USA). After 24 h of exposure to antimicrobial agent(s), the biofilm formation was quantified, bacteria at 4 hour and 24 hour were counted (with a lower limit of detection  $2.0 \log_{10}$  CFU/mL) to compare between antimicrobial groups, concentrations and strains using analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey's *post-hoc* analysis. Multiple regressions for the association between substrates and CFU/mL were analyzed. A p value of  $\leq 0.05$  indicated statistical significance.

#### RESULTS

151

173

152 The MIC for tobramycin was 0.5 µg/mL and for polymyxin-E was 2 µg/mL for both PAO1 and 153 25668 strains. The MBC for tobramycin was 4 and 32 μg/mL and for polymyxin-E was 16 and 154 64 µg/mL respectively for Pseudomonas PAO1 and ATCC 25668 strains. 155 156 In the planktonic time kill study, tobramycin demonstrated bactericidal activity against both 157 Pseudomonas isolates at 24 hours with average decrease of 3.81±0.16 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/mL for all 158 concentrations except 1 time the MIC for PAO1 (Fig. 1.a & b). Polymyxin-E demonstrated 159 bacteriostatic activity at 2 and 4 times the MIC (average decrease of 2.16-2.63 log<sub>10</sub>CFU/mL), 160 and bactericidal activity at 8 times the MIC (average decrease of 3.07-3.56 log<sub>10</sub>CFU/mL), but 161 inactive at 1 time the MIC for both isolates at 24 hours (Fig. 1.c & d). The combination therapy 162 at 2, 4, and 8 times the MIC demonstrated indifference with >3.44 log 10CFU/mL kill for PAO1, 163 and  $>3.46 \log_{10}$ CFU/mL kill for 25668 at 24 hours (Fig 1. e & f). 164 165 In the pre-formed biofilm time kill studies (simulating prevention) at 24 hours, tobramycin 166 demonstrated bactericidal activity against both Pseudomonas isolates (average decrease of > 3.3 167 log <sub>10</sub>CFU/mL), except 1 time the MIC for 25668 which showed inactivity (1.02±1.86 168 log<sub>10</sub>CFU/mL increase; Fig 2.a & b). Similarly, polymyxin-E demonstrated bactericidal activity 169 (average decrease of >3.08 log 10CFU/mL) at greater than 2 times the MIC, but bacteriostatic 170 activity at 1 time the MIC for both isolates at 24 hours (Fig. 2.c & d). Tobramycin and 171 polymyxin-E combination demonstrated indifferent activity at all concentrations for both isolates 172 (Fig. 2.e & f).

In formed biofilm time kill studies (simulating treatment) for PAO1, combination therapy at 4 times the MIC was significantly more active at 4 hours compared to polymyxin-E alone at 4 times (mean difference (MD)= -1.34, 95% confidence interval [CI], -2.4-0.3  $\log_{10}$  CFU/mL, p= 0.004) and 8 times (MD= -1.45, 95% CI, -2.5-0.4  $\log_{10}$  CFU/mL, p=0.001) the MIC. Similarly, combination therapy at 8 times the MIC was significantly more active at 4 hours compared to polymyxin-E alone at 8 times the MIC (MD= -1.23, 95% CI, -2.3-0.2  $\log_{10}$  CFU/mL, p=0.001). However, indifferent activity was observed at 24 hours. Similarly, for 25668, combination therapy at 8 times the MIC was significantly more active compared to polymyxin-E alone at 4 hours (MD= -1.06, 95% CI, -1.7-0.4  $\log_{10}$  CFU/mL, p< 0.001). However, indifferent activity was observed at 24 hours. Once biofilm is formed, both single agent and combination antibiotics resulted in inactivity or indifference (Fig. 3. a - f).

In addition to medical grade PVC ETTs, we assayed time kill using commercially available PVC coupons(16-18). A similar trend of bactericidal activity was demonstrated at 24 hours with greater than 4 times the MIC of tobramycin (average decrease of >3.03  $\log_{10}$  CFU/mL) and with greater than 2 times the MIC of polymyxin-E (average decrease of >3.1  $\log_{10}$  CFU/mL), but indifference was noted when the combination of tobramycin and polymyxin-E was evaluated at 2, 4, and 8 times the MIC (average decrease of > 3.21  $\log_{10}$ CFU/mL). ANOVA showed that there was a significant difference between substrates and CFU/mL at 4 hours (MD= 0.08, 95% CI, 2.5-3.7  $\log_{10}$  CFU/mL, p= 0.041) (Table 1). Multiple regression analysis demonstrated that there was a significant association between CFU/mL with substrate at 4 hours (partial eta squared [eta] =0.493, p< 0.001) and at 24 hours (eta=0.208, p<0.001). The overall model fit was  $R^2$  = 0.954.

#### DISCUSSION

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

Ventilator associated pneumonia, a common nosocomial infection often caused by bacteria that produce biofilm, results in increased morbidity, medical costs and multi-drug resistant organisms.(2, 3, 29-32) In one study, adult patients with VAP were hospitalized longer (38 vs. 13 days, p < 0.01), mortality rates were higher (50% vs. 34%, p < 0.01), and hospital costs were greater (\$70,568 vs. \$21,620, p < 0.01) compared to uninfected ventilated patients, with estimated VAP attributable costs of \$11,897.(32) However, limited diagnostic criteria and modification of ETTs make VAP prevention particularly challenging and difficult especially for neonates and 206 children.(2) In children, re-intubation and tracheostomy insertion create the additional risk of damaging their small and fragile airway; therefore, re-intubation or tracheostomy after a standard duration of intubation is not routinely practiced. Thus, the longer the ETTs remain in patients due to prolonged mechanical ventilation, the more likely biofilms are to develop and adhere.(33-35) This bacterial accumulation of biofilms on ETTs may become dislodged during simple routine care such as suctioning or due to ventilation air flow. Bacteria and biofilm that break off become planktonic and seed further in the airway, causing more complicated pneumonia. (8, 36) One controversial approach to treatment of VAP is "selective decontamination of the digestive tract" with broad spectrum intravenous (IV) antimicrobials.(37, 38) However, IV prophylaxis is not widely accepted due to fear of creating antibiotic resistant strains among VAP pathogens. In the pediatric population, one of the most common VAP pathogen is *P. aeruginosa*, accounting for 17-25% of VAP.(2, 4, 5) Our model is most consistent with the practice of direct instillation of liquid antimicrobial agents through the ETT as prophylaxis against, or treatment of VAP caused by *P. aeruginosa* compared to inhalation of nebulized antibiotics.(39) Instillation

treatments pose less risk of systemic toxicity than IV administration because antimicrobial agents can be delivered locally using ETTs or tracheostomy tubes in children and neonates. Moreover, instillation can deliver drug directly to the site of pneumonia whereas nebulized drug may adsorb on the ETT, permeate into the ETT wall, or remain in the proximal airway. Therefore, our study model using ETT chips is useful to help understand the effects of tobramycin and polymyxin-E, alone or in combination, to treat VAP cause by *P. aeruginosa*.

In our study, we examined *P. aeruginosa* growth with or without the presence of medical grade polyvinyl chloride (PVC) ETT to evaluate the bactericidal effects of two antibiotics in the condition of VAP. We found that in an in vitro condition, the bactericidal effect of tobramycin or polymyxin-E monotherapy required greater than 2 times the MIC at 24 hours for the prebiofilm condition (prevention). However, antibiotics demonstrated different activity against the two different strains. For PAO1, tobramycin monotherapy was equally active for killing compared to the combination approach. For 25668, the combination therapy was more active for killing compared to monotherapy at 24 hours (Fig 2.a-f); this finding may be related to the biofilm-forming abilities of each bacterium.

Our study also demonstrated that two of the antibiotics tested in either monotherapy or in combination showed inactivity or indifference once biofilm was formed on ETTs against both Pseudomonas strains (Fig 3.a - f). This is in contrast to the conclusions drawn by Herrmann et al. using a 96-peg Calgary biofilm device in vitro showing combination therapy with colistin-tobramycin combination was superior to monotherapy against *Pseudomonas* biofilm.(40)

Many in vitro studies have used commercially available PVC coupons, which have different texture and flexibility (based on the plasticizer content compared to medical grade PVC ETTs). We hypothesized that bacterial colonies would form differently on commercially available PVC coupons compared to medical grade PVC ETTs. To capture *Pseudomonas* growth in relation to different material surfaces more accurately, we studied the same antibiotic therapy against *Pseudomonas* PAO1 using both PVC coupon and PVC ETTs. There was the significant association among CFU/mL and substrate at 4 and 24 hours (Table 1), thus it showed the importance of utilizing same device material to mimic VAP condition to evaluate antibiotic activity on biofilm.

In conclusion, neither single nor combination therapy with tobramycin and/or polymyxin-E demonstrated killing activity once *Pseudomonas* biofilm was already formed on ETTs, however, no antagonism was noted. Bactericidal effects against pre-formed biofilm (simulating prevention) in the presence of ETTs suggest that surveillance cultures could identify pathogens prior to biofilm formation, and allow prophylactic or targeted approaches to therapy, especially when *Pseudomonas* is a potential pathogen. In addition, this study demonstrated the importance of material choice in vitro time kill study. Further investigation could incorporate wild type strains as well as clinically feasible treatment options for VAP in children.

263	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
264	This study was funded by PCCSDP (Pediatric Critical Care Scientist Development Program),
265	through NIH 5K12HD047349-07, and supported by Department of Pediatrics, Hasbro Children's
266	Hospital, Rhode Island Hospital.
267	Presented as a poster (E-790) at the Interscience Conference of Antimicrobial Agents and
268	Chemotherapy 2012 meeting, San Francisco CA, September 7-12th 2012.
269	

# 270 REFERENCES

- 271 1. Srinivasan R, Asselin J, Gildengorin G, Wiener-Kronish J, Flori HR. 2009. A
- prospective study of ventilator-associated pneumonia in children. Pediatrics **123:**1108-
- 273 1115.
- 274 2. **Foglia E, Meier MD, Elward A.** 2007. Ventilator-associated pneumonia in neonatal and
- pediatric intensive care unit patients. Clin Microbiol Rev **20**:409-425, table of contents.
- 276 3. Stockwell J A. 2007. Nosocomial infections in the pediatric intensive care unit: affecting
- the impact on safety and outcome. Pediatr Crit Care Med 8:S21-37.
- Weber D. J., Rutala WA, Sickbert-Bennett EE, Samsa GP, Brown V, Niederman MS.
- 279 2007. Microbiology of ventilator-associated pneumonia compared with that of hospital-
- acquired pneumonia. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol **28:**825-831.
- 281 5. Babcock H, Zack JE, Garrison T, Trovillion E, Kollef MH, Fraser VJ. 2003.
- Ventilator-associated pneumonia in a multi-hospital system: differences in microbiology
- by location. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol **24:**853-858.
- 284 6. Costerton J, Montanaro L, Arciola CR. 2005. Biofilm in implant infections: its
- production and regulation. Int J Artif Organs **28:**1062-1068.
- 7. Talsma S S. 2007. Biofilms on medical devices. Home Healthc Nurse 25:589-594.
- 287 8. Adair C, Gorman SP, Feron BM, Byers LM, Jones DS, Goldsmith CE, Moore JE,
- 288 Kerr JR, Curran MD, Hogg G, Webb CH, McCarthy GJ, Milligan KR. 1999.
- Implications of endotracheal tube biofilm for ventilator-associated pneumonia. Intensive
- 290 Care Med **25:**1072-1076.
- 291 9. Estes R JG U Meduri. 1995. The pathogenesis of ventilator-associated pneumonia: I.
- Mechanisms of bacterial transcolonization and airway inoculation. Intensive Care Med
- **293 21:**365-383.

294 Gil-Perotin S, P Ramirez, V Marti, J M Sahuquillo, E Gonzalez, I Calleja, R 10. 295 Menendez J Bonastre. 2012. Implications of endotracheal tube biofilm in ventilator-296 associated pneumonia response: a state of concept. Crit Care 16:R93. 297 11. **Inglis T J, M R Millar, J G JonesD A Robinson.** 1989. Tracheal tube biofilm as a 298 source of bacterial colonization of the lung. J Clin Microbiol 27:2014-2018. 299 12. Gorman S, McGovern JG, Woolfson AD, Adair CG, Jones DS. 2001. The 300 concomitant development of poly(vinyl chloride)-related biofilm and antimicrobial 301 resistance in relation to ventilator-associated pneumonia. Biomaterials 22:2741-2747. 302 13. Zavascki A, Li J, Nation RL, Superti SV, Barth AL, Lutz L, Ramos F, Boniatti MM, 303 Goldani LZ. 2009. Stable polymyxin B susceptibility to Pseudomonas aeruginosa and 304 Acinetobacter spp. despite persistent recovery of these organisms from respiratory 305 secretions of patients with ventilator-associated pneumonia treated with this drug. J Clin 306 Microbiol 47:3064-3065. 307 Goyal K, Gautam V, Ray P. 2012. Doripenem vs meropenem against Pseudomonas and 14. 308 Acinetobacter. Indian J Med Microbiol 30:350-351. 309 15. Kiem S, Schentag JJ. 2006. Relationship of minimal inhibitory concentration and 310 bactericidal activity to efficacy of antibiotics for treatment of ventilator-associated 311 pneumonia. Semin Respir Crit Care Med 27:51-67. 312 16. Ceri H, Olson ME, Stremick C, Read RR, Morck D, Buret A. 1999. The Calgary 313 Biofilm Device: new technology for rapid determination of antibiotic susceptibilities of 314 bacterial biofilms. J Clin Microbiol 37:1771-1776. 315 17. Garey K, Vo QP, Lewis RE, Saengcharoen W, LaRocco MT, Tam VH. 2009. 316 Increased bacterial adherence and biomass in Pseudomonas aeruginosa bacteria exposed 317 to clarithromycin. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 63:81-86.


318 Hadi R, Vickery K, Deva A, Charlton T. 2010. Biofilm removal by medical device 18. 319 cleaners: comparison of two bioreactor detection assays. J Hosp Infect **74:**160-167. 320 19. Murray T, Kazmierczak BI. 2008. Pseudomonas aeruginosa exhibits sliding motility in 321 the absence of type IV pili and flagella. J Bacteriol **190:**2700-2708. 322 20. Murray T, Okegbe C, Gao Y, Kazmierczak BI, Motterlini R, Dietrich LE, Bruscia 323 EM. 2012. The carbon monoxide releasing molecule CORM-2 attenuates Pseudomonas 324 aeruginosa biofilm formation. PLoS One 7:e35499. 325 21. Klausen M, Heydorn A, Ragas P, Lambertsen L, Aaes-Jørgensen A, Molin S, 326 **Tolker-Nielsen T.** 2003. Biofilm formation by Pseudomonas aeruginosa wild type, 327 flagella and type IV pili mutants. Molecular Microbiology **48:**1511-1524. 328 22. Seil J T, Rubien NM, Webster TJ, Tarquinio KM. 2011. Comparison of quantification 329 methods illustrates reduced Pseudomonas aeruginosa activity on nanorough polyvinyl 330 chloride. Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part B: Applied Biomaterials 98B:1-331 7. 332 23. LaPlante K, Sakoulas G. 2009. Evaluating aztreonam and ceftazidime 333 pharmacodynamics with Escherichia coli in combination with daptomycin, linezolid, or 334 vancomycin in an in vitro pharmacodynamic model. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 335 **53:**4549-4555. 336 24. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2013. Performance Standards for 337 Antimicrobial Susceptability Testing M100-S23, 23rd Informational Supplement ed. 338 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne PA. 339 25. Clinical and Labratory Standards Institute. 1999. Methods for Determining 340 Bactericidal Activity of Antimicrobial Agents; Approval Guideline M26-A, First ed.

Clinical and Labratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.

- LaPlante K, Rybak MJ. 2004. Impact of high-inoculum Staphylococcus aureus on the
   activities of nafcillin, vancomycin, linezolid, and daptomycin, alone and in combination
   with gentamicin, in an in vitro pharmacodynamic model. Antimicrob Agents Chemother
   48:4665-4672.
- 346 27. **Merritt J, Kadouri DE, O'Toole GA** 2005. Growing and analyzing static biofilms.
- Current Protocols in Microbiology **Chapter 1: Unit 1B.1.:**1.1.
- 348 28. **LaPlante K, Rybak MJ.** 2004. Clinical glycopeptide-intermediate staphylococci tested against arbekacin, daptomycin, and tigecycline. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis **50:**125-130.
- Chastre J, Fagon JY. 2002. Ventilator-associated pneumonia. Am J Respir Crit Care
   Med 165:867-903.
- 352 30. **Fagon J, Chastre J, Domart Y, Trouillet JL, Gibert C.** 1996. Mortality due to ventilator-associated pneumonia or colonization with Pseudomonas or Acinetobacter species: assessment by quantitative culture of samples obtained by a protected specimen brush. Clin Infect Dis **23:**538-542.
- 31. Elward A, Warren DK, Fraser VJ. 2002. Ventilator-associated pneumonia in pediatric intensive care unit patients: risk factors and outcomes. Pediatrics 109:758-764.
- 358 32. Warren D, Shukla SJ, Olsen MA, Kollef MH, Hollenbeak CS, Cox MJ, Cohen MM,
   359 Fraser VJ. 2003. Outcome and attributable cost of ventilator-associated pneumonia
   360 among intensive care unit patients in a suburban medical center. Crit Care Med 31:1312 361 1317.
- 362 33. Levine S AM S Niederman. 1991. The impact of tracheal intubation on host defenses
   363 and risks for nosocomial pneumonia. Clin Chest Med 12:523-543.


364	34.	Feldman C, M Kassel, J Cantrell, S Kaka, R Morar, A Goolam Mahomed J I Philips.					
365		1999. The presence and sequence of endotracheal tube colonization in patients					
366		undergoing mechanical ventilation. Eur Respir J 13:546-551.					
367	35.	Gibbs KI R Holzman. 2012. Endotracheal tube: friend or foe? Bacteria, the endotracheal					
368		tube, and the impact of colonization and infection. Semin Perinatol 36:454-461.					
369	36.	Bauer T, Torres A, Ferrer R, Heyer CM, Schultze-Werninghaus G, Rasche K. 2002.					
370		Biofilm formation in endotracheal tubes. Association between pneumonia and the					
371		persistence of pathogens. Monaldi Arch Chest Dis 57:84-87.					
372	37.	Bonten M J. 2002. Strategies for prevention of hospital-acquired pneumonia: oral and					
373		selective decontamination of the gastrointestinal tract. Semin Respir Crit Care Med					
374		<b>23:</b> 481-488.					
375	38.	van Essen E, de Jonge E. 2011. Selective decontamination of the digestive tract (SDD):					
376		is the game worth the candle? Semin Respir Crit Care Med 32:236-242.					
377	39.	Brown R B, J A Kruse, G W Counts, J A Russell, N V ChristouM L Sands. 1990.					
378		Double-blind study of endotracheal tobramycin in the treatment of gram-negative					
379		bacterial pneumonia. The Endotracheal Tobramycin Study Group. Antimicrob Agents					
380		Chemother <b>34:</b> 269-272.					
381	40.	Herrmann G, Yang L, Wu H, Song Z, Wang H, Hoiby N, Ulrich M, Molin S,					
382		Riethmuller J, Doring G. 2010. Colistin-tobramycin combinations are superior to					
383		monotherapy concerning the killing of biofilm Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J Infect Dis					
384		<b>202:</b> 1585-1592.					
385							

# 387 FIGURES




**Figure 1.a-f.** Time Kill against planktonic *P. aeruginosa*. Tobramycin against planktonic *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 (Fig 1.a), 25668 (Fig 1.b), polymyxin-E against planktonic *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 (Fig 1.c), 25668 (Fig 1.d), combination of tobramycin and polymyxin-E against planktonic *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 (Fig 1.e) and 25668 (Fig 1.f). Results are presented as mean ± standard deviation.

Hour



√1x MIC


2x MIC \*4x MIC 8x MIC



Growth Control

1X MIC

2X MIC 4X MIC 8X MIC







Figure 2.a-f. Time Kill against pre-biofilm formed *P. aeruginosa*. Tobramycin against *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 (Fig 2.a), 25668 (Fig 2.b), polymyxin-E against *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 (Fig 2.c), 25668 (Fig 2.d), combination of tobramycin and polymyxin-E against *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 (Fig 2.e) and 25668 (Fig 2.f) with presence of ETT chips. Results are presented as mean ± standard deviation.



401 Figure 3. a-f. Time Kill against biofilm formed *P. aeruginosa*. Tobramycin against *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 (Fig 3.a), 25668 (Fig 3.b), polymyxin-E against *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 (Fig 3.c), 25668 (Fig 3.d), combination of tobramycin and polymyxin-E against *P. aeruginosa* PAO1
404 (Fig 3.e) and 25668 (Fig 3.f). Results are presented as mean ± standard deviation.

405 TABLE

**Table 1**: Comparison of log<sub>10</sub> colony forming unit/mL (CFU/mL) change at 4 hours from 0 hr

growth control between endotracheal tube (ETT) and polyvinyl chloride (PVC) coupons.

	Tobramycin		Polymyxin-E		Tobramycin + Polymyxin-E	
	ETT	PVC coupon	ETT	PVC coupon	ETT	PVC coupon
1 x MIC	$-2.81 \pm 0.04$	-2.82±0.12	-3.44±0.43	-1.77±0.04	-3.36± 0.46	-4.09±0.10
2 x MIC	-3.97 ±0.21	-0.71±0.01	-3.92± 0.03	-2.86±0.10	-3.99±0.10	-4.24±0.03
4 x MIC	-3.97 ±0.21	-1.23±0.03	-3.92±0.03	-3.16±1.2	-3.99±0.10	-4.24±0.03
8 x MIC	-3.97 ±0.21	-1.06±0.03	-3.92±0.03	-3.49±1.28	-3.99±0.10	-4.24±0.03

408 Average change ± standard deviation.