

University of Rhode Island

DigitalCommons@URI

---

Legislative History of the Reauthorization of the  
National Endowment for the Arts (NEA) (1990)

Education: National Endowment for the Arts  
and Humanities, Subject Files I (1973-1996)

---

1993

## Legislative History of the Reauthorization of the National Endowment for the Arts (NEA) (1990): Report 01

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/pell\\_neh\\_l\\_41](https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/pell_neh_l_41)

---

### Recommended Citation

"Legislative History of the Reauthorization of the National Endowment for the Arts (NEA) (1990): Report 01" (1993). *Legislative History of the Reauthorization of the National Endowment for the Arts (NEA) (1990)*. Paper 17.

[https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/pell\\_neh\\_l\\_41/17](https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/pell_neh_l_41/17)[https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/pell\\_neh\\_l\\_41/17](https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/pell_neh_l_41/17)

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the Education: National Endowment for the Arts and Humanities, Subject Files I (1973-1996) at DigitalCommons@URI. It has been accepted for inclusion in Legislative History of the Reauthorization of the National Endowment for the Arts (NEA) (1990) by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact [digitalcommons@etal.uri.edu](mailto:digitalcommons@etal.uri.edu).

MAKING APPROPRIATIONS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR AND  
RELATED AGENCIES, FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30,  
1994, AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES

OCTOBER 15, 1993.—Ordered to be printed

Mr. YATES, from the committee of conference,  
submitted the following

CONFERENCE REPORT

[To accompany H.R. 2520]

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 2520) "making appropriations for the Department of the Interior and Related Agencies, for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1994, and for other purposes," having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the Senate recede from its amendments numbered 6, 7, 8, 20, 21, 25, 29, 37, 40, 45, 48, 56, 60, 61, 63, 79, 83, 86, 92, 103, 104, 112, 119, 122.

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendments of the Senate numbered 28, 31, 34, 36, 57, 58, 59, 64, 68, 70, 80, 91, 93, 96, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 113, 114, 115, 116, and agree to the same.

The committee of conference report in disagreement amendments numbered 1, 2, 4, 10, 12, 18, 23, 24, 27, 38, 39, 41, 42, 43, 49, 50, 51, 54, 62, 67, 69, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 81, 82, 84, 90, 95, 100, 101, 102, 111, 118, 120, 121, 123, 124, 125.

Amendment numbered 3:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 3, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: \$12,122,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 5:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 5, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: \$484,313,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

## NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

### NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE ARTS

#### GRANTS AND ADMINISTRATION

Amendment No. 107: Appropriates \$140,836,000 for grants and administration as proposed by the Senate instead of \$137,228,450 as proposed by the House.

#### MATCHING GRANTS

Amendment No. 108: Appropriates \$29,392,000 for matching grants as proposed by the Senate instead of \$28,634,900 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 109: Earmarks \$12,858,000 for challenge grants as proposed by the Senate instead of \$13,187,000 as proposed by the House.

### NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE HUMANITIES

The managers agree to the distribution of funds proposed by the House which includes \$250,000 more for the National Heritage Preservation Program than proposed by the Senate. The Senate had included \$250,000 more than the House for the U.S. Newspaper program.

#### ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

Amendment No. 110: Deletes House language which prohibits continuation of the President's Committee on the Arts and the Humanities as proposed by the Senate.

### FRANKLIN DELANO ROOSEVELT MEMORIAL COMMISSION

#### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Amendment No. 111: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate which provides that funds appropriated to the Franklin Delano Roosevelt Memorial Commission in fiscal year 1993 shall remain available until expended. The House had no similar provision.

The managers also have provided \$11,000,000 in the National Park Service construction account to continue construction of the memorial.

### PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

#### PUBLIC DEVELOPMENT

Amendment No. 112: Appropriates \$4,289,000 for public development as proposed by the House instead of \$4,389,000 as proposed by the Senate.

### TITLE III—GENERAL PROVISIONS

Amendment No. 113: Deletes House proposed language stricken by the Senate which would have prohibited the use of funds in

this Act for any sale of unpr purchaser from Federal lands

Amendment No. 114: De lishing a monetary floor for States for National Forests Northern Spotted Owl, as pro have been addressed in Publ ation Act of 1993.

Amendment No. 115: Del lishing a monetary floor for land grant counties, based on Senate. Such payments have 66, the Budget Reconciliation

Amendment No. 116: Del Senate, which would have ma of the Smithsonian Institution

Amendment No. 117: Re compliance with the Buy Ame Senate and changes the section

Amendment No. 118: Rep managers on the part of the and concur in the amendment as follows:

Retain the matter inserte follows:

In lieu of the section nun sert: 314

The managers on the par in the amendment of the Hou

The amendment provides f Northwest on Forest Service lands, subject to existing env laws, and changes the section n

Amendment No. 119: Dele use of funds to initiate projects unless provided for in the budg tions bill and/or reports. The m explosion of new proposals whic plications, but which have no process. While many of these ty tioned, they must be considere et. Available discretionary dolla strained in the years ahead, an ested in the programs funded i for additional funding will incre of other projects in the budget, i a time when many agencies are operational base, the managers age between these matters be re

Amendment No. 120: Repor managers on the part of the H and concur in the amendment as follows: